

Elevator Advisory Analysis Form – 2014

PROPOSAL NUMBER	DATE:
TITLE OF PROPOSAL:	
PREPARED BY:	
PHONE NO. & EMAIL:	
Brief summary of what this proposal does and its purpose:	
1. Does the proposal promote Public, Building or Worker Safety?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> Building <input type="checkbox"/> Worker	
2. The effect of this proposal would be:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Major <input type="checkbox"/> Minor <input type="checkbox"/> None	
If there is no effect or impact, should we continue to propose this change?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
3. If the proposal has an effect on the program, (major, minor, uncertain) briefly describe (effects) below.	
4. If enacted, what type of fiscal impact would this proposal have on the owners, elevator companies or agency?	
5. If the proposal has fiscal impact, how much?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$50,000 <input type="checkbox"/> More than \$50,000 <input type="checkbox"/> None	
6. What other stakeholders would be impacted by this proposal? List, if any, and briefly summarize the impact for each affected group and their positions:	
7. If enacted, would this proposal require a new rule or revise an existing rule?	
<input type="checkbox"/> New rule <input type="checkbox"/> Revise existing rule <input type="checkbox"/> No rule change	
If revises or repeals an existing rule, provide WAC number and title:	
8. Does the rule impact permits, licenses, and/or inspections (the word “license” includes both registration and certification)? Identify all that apply.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permits <input type="checkbox"/> Licenses <input type="checkbox"/> Inspections <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Comments:	

9. If the rule impacts permits, licenses, and/or inspections, could the rule be amended to improve timeliness or simplify the application, approval, or other process?

Improve Timeliness Simplify Process Specify your own (explain)

Comments:

10. Identify the purpose for the proposal, based on need, clarity and consistency:

Need: Does the rule need to be amended or repealed because the rule is obsolete, duplicative or unnecessary to a degree that warrants repeal or revision?

Clarity: Does the rule need to be amended or repealed because the rule is written and/or organized in a manner that is not easily understood by those to whom it applies?

Consistency: Does the rule need to be amended or repealed because of any of the following:

- The rule is inconsistent with the legislative intent of the authorizing statute?
- There is more specific legislative authority needed in order to protect the health, safety and welfare of Washington's citizens?
- Laws or other circumstances have changed which requires the rule to be amended or repealed?

Comments/Other Issues (if any):

11. General comments on this proposal (Please include any additional background that would be helpful or comments on any similar proposals that you are aware of):