

Elevator Safety Advisory Committee Agenda

May 21, 2013 - 9 to 11 a.m.

Tukwila Service Location

Time	Topic	Facilitator	Comments
9 – 9:10 a.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions/purpose • Comments regarding February minutes 	Scott Cleary Scott Cleary	
9:10 – 9:20 a.m.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacement of AD members • Chiefs Report • Scorecard/accidents • MCP Record series, implementation date of May 1, 2013 and status • Penalties 90 - 360 • Update Adoption of ASME 	Jack Day	
9:20 – 9:35 a.m. 9:35 – 9:45 a.m. 9:45 – 9:50 a.m.	<p>Old Business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testing FAID (Fire Alarm initiation Devices)(SCOPE) • Existing machine room enclosure and access to the machine room • Overview of progress on point of sale inspections of residential elevators. (17.1 – Elevators & 18.1 – Equipment) • Enforcement of MCP per 8.10 	Rob McNeil & David Gault Keith Becker Sven Larson Jack Day	
10 – 11:00 a.m.	<p>New Business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose and scope on subcommittee for Part B Permits • Any item not addressed by 11:00 will be tabled for next meeting 	Brian Wheeler Jack/Scott	
Future agenda	<p>Future Business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential maintenance Licensing • Acceptable LULA applications (limits to install) • Licensing criteria. (Scott) 		

The purpose of the Elevator Safety Advisory Committee is to advise the department on the adoption of regulations that apply to conveyances; methods of enforcing and administering the elevator law, chapter 70.87 RCW; and matters of concern to the conveyance industry and to the individual installers, owners and users of conveyances. If a member is unable to fulfill his or her obligations, a new member may be appointed. An advisory committee member may appoint an alternate to attend meetings in case of conflict or illness.

- 1) Limit meetings to no more than two hours.
- 2) Please choose an alternate and submit their names and contact information.
- 3) Nominees, merits of why, Vote for the chair position.
- 4) Each of you represent a unique part of the industry, therefore you must be available for concerns and discussion with your represented peers and if necessary bring items forward to the table to be discussed.
- 5) All items to be discussed at the advisory level shall be included within the agenda. You will ensure any item relevant to the committee, be sent to the chair for inclusion into the agenda. Items not on agenda may not be decided at the meeting. This is to ensure public participation of the forum.
- 6) Review RCW and WAC and adopted standards, if there happens to be matters of concern, it is your obligation to bring them forward. Within each and every case decisions must be based upon public, worker and building safety.
- 7) L&I, may not be the entity changing Statutes, you may need to become involved with your legislative representative in order to affect change.
- 8) The Department thanks you for stepping up and volunteering, with that said the department needs to be assured of your participation. Please keep the meeting dates updated within your calendars. Your input is very important, and the department is at a great loss without your attendance.

Stakeholder meeting: You are encouraged to stay for the meeting. It is an informal touch base with the stakeholders.

Chief's Report

MCP Record series, implementation date of May 1, 2013 and status

The record series is finished for web release. (Look in Technical Bulletins for the samples). The reasoning for the development is to standardize the array of different records that is on the jobsites today.

- The department is inspecting the current MCP's to be compliant with A17.1-2005 section 8.6 by July 1, 2012.
- Because of non-compliance May 1, 2013 the department will not inspect an elevator or escalator that does not have a compliant MCP.

However, we have developed a protocol for granting extensions for non-compliant MCP's. Specifically,

- In the event of a situation involving a new installation, the Assistant Director for Field Services & Public Safety, José Rodriguez, will, in coordination with the Chief Elevator

Elevator Safety Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda
May 21, 2013

Inspector, make a determination on a case-by-case basis to issue an operating certificate with a correction.

- The new installation inspection will be conducted only after the installing elevator contractor has provided the department with a corporate letter specifying an agreed upon date when the code compliant MCP will be completed.
- The operating certificate will be issued only if the conveyance passes all required inspections and the only pending correction is a code compliant MCP.
- If after having received a corporate agreement to produce a code compliant MCP and the agreement is not fulfilled, the department may cancel any pending new installation permits.
- If non-compliance continues, the department may suspend or revoke the elevator contractor's license.

As of July 1, 2013 an elevator companies permits and license will be affected for noncompliance to the MCP requirements.

The department is expecting the new ASME 2010 versions of MCP to be in place by the adoption of ASME A17.1-2010.

Penalties 90,180,270 & 360: Reminder – Re-initiate July 1, 2013 – Jack

One of the systems that helps ensure compliance is the issuance of civil penalties. Failure to comply with or submit official written notice will result in the department taking action. That action includes:

After 90 days	\$114.10	
After 180 days	\$285.40	
After 270 days	\$457.00	
After 360 days	\$500.00	
Each 30 days after 360 days	\$500.00	Note: Penalties are cumulative

Upon the next inspection the conveyance will be given a series of 2 additional \$500 30 day civil penalties by the inspector, upon the third penalty, the conveyance will be taken out of service.

State Review & Adoption of ASME A17.1-2010 & ASME A18.1 -2011 – Jack

We have incorporated the fundamental portions of the MRL draft into the code adoption.

- Everyone should purchase a copy of the codes.

Here is the approximate proposed timeline for rulemaking

- Status: Department employees are at 100% completion of the draft rules.
- WAC 296-96 Comment(s) are being reviewed by the department.
- CR-101 – November 20
- Final language to Sally and Alicia – January
- Language to OTS – February
- Language back from OTS – February

- CR-102 – May
- Public hearing – ?
- CR-103 –?
- Effective – End of year- ?

Replacement Advisory members

The appointed persons will serve a full four year term, but will expire on June 30th of that year.

The two positions affected are:

1. One representative of elevator mechanics licensed to perform all types of conveyance work
 - a. Charlie Val
2. One ad hoc member representing a municipality maintaining jurisdiction of conveyances in accordance with RCW 70.87.210
 - a. Skip Buntin

Old Business Notes:

Testing FAID (Fire Alarm Initiation Devices) – Rob McNeil

Discussion of the periodic smoke and heat detectors – regarding testing requirements and credentials of the initiation device testers. Others: Seattle certifies persons by confidence testing – supply the NFPA 72 testing criteria! What is the Rational?

Concern: elevator inspectors only check FAID devices at acceptance. Who is qualified for the periodic tests?

- *Should we pursue confidence testing for smoke detectors?*
- *Should we pursue confidence testing for heat detectors and shunt trip devices?*
- *Should we pursue confidence testing for the pressurization of the hoistway?*
- *Document the alternate landing?*
- *Should documentation be provided on site regarding the specific design of the fire fighters emergency service?*
- *Who performs these tests: Licensed elevator mechanics and/or certified alarm technicians?*
Involvement of building and fire officials

Existing machine room access – Keith Becker to report

Overview of progress on point of sale inspections of residential elevators – Sven Larson

Enforcement of 8.6 at 8.10 acceptance inspection – Jack Day

Plan: involves one final review of a company's documentation (see attached). By May 1, 2013, if a company does not have a compliant MCP, their 8.10 acceptance test will be affected up to and including failure of the conveyance to be used by the public.

New Business Notes:

Purpose and scope on subcommittee for Part B Permits

Means to allow companies to participate in a program that allows block permits for minor alterations and selected new installation permits

Future Business Notes:

Residential maintenance Licensing

Only properly licensed individuals performing maintenance and testing on residential installations.

LULA

Permit-able applications: define where they can be installed.

WAC 296-96-02590: (1) LULAs may be permitted in churches, private clubs, and buildings listed on the historical register that are not required to comply with accessibility requirements. (2) Installation of LULAs in existing buildings that are not required to comply with accessibility requirements will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the department.

The department is seeking advice and instruction of (2). We want to remove at the discretion of the department and put in its place defined acceptable applications greater than those found in (1).

Any discussion regarding building occupancies, building type, use and rise limitations?

Licensing criteria

Combining categories: Cat 02, 06, 07 combined and remove commercial dumbwaiters (cat 1) Combine cat 03 and 04 under industrial, Combine cat 08 with 01, and Incorporating only NEIP, CAT, CET for all categories except material lift, and remove: WAC 0906 The applicant must provide acceptable proof to the department that shows the necessary combination of documented experience and education credits in the applicable license category (see WAC 296-96-00910) of not less than three years' work experience in the elevator industry performing conveyance work as verified by current and previous employers ~~licensed to do business in this state or as an employee of a public agency;~~