Capitol Pacific Reporting

Court Reporters Since 1978

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 360.352.2054

ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS April 28, 2022



Production:

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling:

scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website:

www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

| 1 | DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES |
|----|--|
| 2 | STATE OF WASHINGTON |
| 3 | ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING |
| 4 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (Via Microsoft Teams) |
| 5 | April 28, 2022 |
| 6 | Page 1 through Page 56 |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | CERTIFIED |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Taken Before: |
| 16 | Janette Curley, CCR Certified Court Reporter #2030 |
| 17 | of Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. |
| 18 | 705 South 9th Street, Suite 303 Tacoma, Washington 98405 |
| 19 | Tel (253) 564-8494 Fax (253) 564-8483 |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Olympia, WA Seattle, WA Aberdeen, WA (360) 352-2054 (206) 622-9919 (360) 532-7445 |
| 22 | Chehalis, WA Bremerton, WA |
| 23 | (360) 330-0262 (360) 373-9032 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | <pre>www.capitolpacificreporting.com e-mail: admin@capitolpacificreporting.com</pre> |
| | |



| 1 | INDEX | Page 2 |
|---------|--|-----------|
| 2 | Item No. 1 - Safety Message | PAGE 5 |
| 3 | Item No. 2 - Approve transcript from 1/27/21 meeting | 7 |
| 4 | Item No. 3 - Appeals | 8 |
| 5 | | 8 |
| | b) Advance Drilling, LLC, and Robert Laymon | |
| 6 | c) Mr. Electric of Clark County | 16 |
| 7 | Item No. 4 - Departmental/Legislative update | 34 |
| 8 | Item No. 5 - Temporary allowances for basic | 35 |
| 9 | trainee classes | |
| 10 | Item No. 6 - Secretary's Report | 36 |
| 11 | Item No. 7 - Certification/CEU quarterly report | 51 |
| 12 | Item No. 8 - Public comments re items not on agenda | 53 |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| <i></i> | | |

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Thursday, April 28, 2022, via Microsoft Teams, at 9:00 a.m. appeared CHAIRPERSON JASON JENKINS, BOARD MEMBERS ERIK LEE, JAMES TUMELSON, KERRY COX, MIKE NORD, DYLAN CUNNINGHAM, BOBBY GRAY, DON BAKER, JACK KNOTTINGHAM; TECHNICAL SPECIALIST LATHROP; TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE; and SECRETARY MOLESWORTH. Also present was Assistant Attorney General Ben Blohowiak, representing the Board, and Bethany Rivera, Board Assistant.

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had, to wit:

12 *****

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: This is Jason Jenkins, Chair of the Washington Electrical Board. It's April 28th at approximately 9:00 a.m. I'd like to call the Washington State Electrical Board to order.

I want to thank everybody for attending.

As a reminder, anyone using this platform for the first time, please mute your mics unless you're speaking. It's pound 6 for anyone using your phone.

Be aware that the chat feature is enabled. It's not an official form of communication during this meeting.

And please speak your name and position before speaking so the court reporter can make a good record.

1 At this point here, if I can have the board members 2 please unmute your mics so we can do a roll call. Starting out with Vice Chair Alice Phillips, she's been 3 4 excused. Board Member Ivan Isaacson contacted me. He'll be 5 6 late if he could make it. 7 Board Member Erick Lee, are you here? BOARD MEMBER LEE: 8 Yep. Present. 9 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member James 10 Tumelson, are you here? 11 BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Present. Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: 13 Board Member Kerry Cox, are you here? 14 BOARD MEMBER COX: I am present. 15 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Mike Nord? 16 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Present. 17 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Dylan Cunningham? 18 19 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Present. 20 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Bobby Gray? 21 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Present. 22 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Don Baker? 23 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Here. CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Board Member Dominic 24 25 Burke?



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 5

1 (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay

Board Member Jack Knottingham?

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Here.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And with us today we have Ben Blohowiak, our AAG, and Wayne Molesworth, our secretary.

Is there anybody I missed?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And for the record, we do have a quorum.

Once again, welcome everybody.

And we will start off with our first item on our agenda today and our safety message. And I spoke with Wayne Molesworth, and he (indiscernible) Lorin Lathrop will be giving that to us.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 1

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST LATHROP: Thank you,
Chair Jenkins and members of the Board. I am Lorin
Lathrop, a technical specialist working for Wayne in
the Department. Today I'd like to talk to you just
briefly about it's spring, and we are having time to
get things done, and we are going out and doing a lot
of work in our yards. That means we're going to be
using equipment and tools that need electricity and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extension cords. Extension cords can be a great asset because we can get where we need to go, but they also have some issues that can be very relevant to their They've been sitting in our garages all winter, haven't been used, or maybe they were out in the ice and the rain and the snow over the winter. we need to check them to make sure that they are still in working shape, that their installation is still in place, that their ends are nice and tight, and that we are -- that they are safe for us to use. With it being still spring and being nice and wet outside, we need to also be sure that we're using ground fault protection devices (audio distortion) part of the cord or in the receptacle that we plug it into, making sure that our ground connectors are all there, and being aware of our pets, our children, our grandchildren, that they are aware, and that they are away from our area while we're using those extension cords. So go out, enjoy the sunshine like it is here in Eastern Washington today, and get your yards cleaned up. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. I appreciate that. It's always good to have a safety message that kind of -- that's kind of a personable meaning. So thank you very much.

//



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1 AGENDA ITEM NO. | 2 |
|-------------------|---|
|-------------------|---|

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So moving on to Item No. 2, approval of transcript of last meeting. I'd like to have -- the Chair would entertain a motion to approve the Washington State Electrical Board minutes of January 27, 2022.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord, motion.

Do we have a second?

BOARD MEMBER LEE: Board Member Erik Lee, second.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion and a second.

Any discussion?

Hearing none, all in favor by saying aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any opposed? Hearing none, the motion passes.

Okay. Moving on to Item No. 3, Appeals.

Actually, before we get there, I want to mention also, considering our July meeting, I'm pleased to announce that we will tentatively be in a face-to-face meeting in Tumwater on July 28, 2022. I don't know if it makes everybody else happy, but I'm looking forward to that. I'm looking forward to getting back to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1 | Page somewhat normal. |
|---|--|
| 2 | AGENDA ITEM NO. 3 |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Anyway, moving to the |
| 4 | appeals, do note on your most current update version, |
| 5 | we have Appeals A and B have both been continued on to |
| 6 | the July meeting. |
| 7 | And so we're down to two matters before the Board |
| 8 | today, the Advanced Drilling and Mr. Electric of Clark |

atters before the Board today, the Advanced Drilling and Mr. Electric of Clark County.

ADVANCED DRILLING, LLC AND ROBERT LAYMON:

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So our first presentation of order is Advanced Drilling, LLC, and Robert Laymon, Citation Nos. ESIMZ00384 and ESIMZ00385. Is Mr. Laymon present?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hearing none, is there any objection to the Department's proposed order? MS. RIVERA: Jason, this is Beth Rivera.

> CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes.

MS. RIVERA: We did receive an email from Robert Laymon passed to us from Pam Laymon, and I forwarded that on to you and the Board AAG and Wayne. I'm not sure if --

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I just received that prior to this meeting starting. And it looks like

1 that's outside of our scope as far as (indiscernible). 2 It was more to see if there's anybody who has a dispute (indiscernible) proposed order from the State. 3 Thank you very much, though, appreciate that. 4 Does anybody have an objection to the Department's 5 6 proposed order? Anybody here to make any statements? 7 Not hearing anything (indiscernible). All right. At this point I've reviewed and will be signing the 8 9 Department's proposed order as presented. I think it 10 best reflects the position of the Board. 11 Are you there? MR. LAYMON: 12 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Oh, there we go. Is 13 this Robert Laymon? 14 MR. LAYMON: Yeah, this is Rob Laymon. For 15 some reason I can't talk to you. 16 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Well, we can hear you 17 now. 18 MR. LAYMON: Okay. Yeah, I object to this, 19 and I would prefer that you read the letter I sent you 20 before you acted. 21 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. I did get a 22 chance to read through most of it, and the -- it is not 23 a proposed order; it is a statement from you concerning

MR. LAYMON: I can't hear you. Say it again,



the actual statement.

24

25

1 please.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: What you sent to us was not an order; it was a rebuttal to the facts and documents that we've already gone over in our last meeting that we had presented before the board.

So I'm assuming you disagree with the proposed order from the State, then.

MR. LAYMON: Yeah, it's definitely flawed in a big way.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Well, I'll give you a couple of minutes if you'd like to make a statement on that for the record.

MR. LAYMON: I didn't get your information until about a week and a half ago. And I didn't have time to work on my order to get it to you in a timely fashion.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.

MR. LAYMON: So I would like this put off so I can get you the order that you're requesting. This was put off once before. And I requested this information back in the fall, and I finally get it a week and a half ago. I don't have time to comprehend -- I'm not a lawyer, so I don't have time to comprehend this stuff. I would like to put off until the next meeting so I can get you the proper order.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Ben, can we have -- get your input?

AAG BLOHOWIAK: Well, Chairman Jenkins, to continue this out is within your discretion. But I would note for you that Mr. Laymon has been a part of this process for months. He was at the last board meeting as well, and he's been aware of what's been going on. So those are facts you may want to consider in your decision here today. But certainly, from a legal standpoint, if you'd like to set this out and put the presentment at the July meeting, that is certainly within your discretion.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.

So, Mr. Layman, I -- we have reviewed the Department's proposed order, and they do reflect the position of the board's last decision.

MR. LAYMON: Say that again. Please speak up. I have a hard time hearing.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So the Department puts together a proposal, and the proposal does reflect the decisions that was determined by the Electrical Board.

Are you disagreeing that the Department's order is in difference from what the Electrical Board decided?

MR. LAYMON: I wasn't at that meeting. You wouldn't let me go to that meeting. That was a private



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting. So there's no way for me to know what the -what the Board decided. You left me out of that. canceled me off that one. You guys all left, and I was stuck sitting on the phone for an hour. So I don't know what you decided. And I asked for the paperwork so I could see what was said and done, and I never got I got some paperwork, the order, here a week and a And I asked last time to put the meeting off half ago. so I could get that paperwork, and you still didn't send me that paperwork until a week and a half ago. So I don't know if this is what you guys decided. do know that I will send you an order and let you decide on the proper answer. And I would really appreciate knowing what was said at the meeting and done, but you guys did it in private without me.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes, we held an executive session; that is correct.

AAG BLOHOWIAK: Chairman Jenkins, if I could -if I could jump in for a moment. While the -- the
Board did have an executive session during that
meeting, the Board's findings and rulings were put on
the record in the open portion of that meeting for
everybody to hear. And also, I'd just like to bring to
your attention, I see Ms. Nancy Kellogg from -representative for the Department of Labor and -- for

the Department has raised her hand in this meeting, and you may want to also -- you need to also address the Department in this if they have any objections to continuing this, or if the Board should sign the order today.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. So what I'm going to do here is -- is there anything else you would like to add, Mr. Laymon, to your comments to objection on the record?

MR. LAYMON: Yeah. I would like to add that there's no way for me to know what the Board directed me to do without me knowing. I got an order sent to me. But I don't know what it means and how you got to that. I don't know if I was supposed to send you a counter-order with the correct facts on it of if -- there's no way for me to know. And then she sent it to me a week and a half ago and says here's an order. It's like what the hell. Excuse me. How in the world am I supposed to know what to do if I don't even know what we're doing.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. What I'm going to do is I'm going to give some time now to Ms. Nancy Kellogg and let her express her opinion on this particular order.

Nancy Kellogg, are you available? Nancy, either



you're on mute or -- you're still on mute, and you're trying to speak right now.

AAG BLOHOWIAK: Chairman Jenkins, this is Ben Blohowiak again. She's still attending the meeting. So I'm not sure if she's having any technical issues.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Looks like she popped out and popped back in, and she's back in again. So I'm going to give her just a moment here to hopefully speak up. Looks like she's gone.

Ms. Nancy Kellogg, is there a way you can phone in so we can hear what you have to say?

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Chairman Jenkins, this is Board Member Baker. Could I make a comment?

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes, please.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Maybe just a suggestion. I don't recall the facts around this case. But in order to move this meeting along, I would just encourage you to consider extending this out to the next Board meeting, and make sure that everyone understands in this meeting this will be the sunset time for this case, and give everybody a chance to gather data and make proper responses.

MS. KELLOGG: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Oh, there we go.

Thank you very much.



So go ahead, Nancy Kellogg. The floor is yours.

MS. KELLOGG: I just wanted to let you know that this order was sent to the Laymons in January. And that there has been sufficient time for him to request a transcript from the Department, though apparently he has not done so. A transcript is also on the website. So this has already been moved over a number of times, and it seems excessive to continue it again.

MR. LAYMON: It wasn't sent in January.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much,

Nancy Kellogg.

Given the information I have there, and just as a courtesy from (indiscernible) of access to information in the past, I think I'm going to do is side with our board member there, Don Baker, we will move this decision, I guess, or order, to our July meeting, just for the sake of making sure that everyone has access to proper information. But this will be the final motion as far as moving it further out.

Thank you very much for your time. I appreciate it, Mr. Laymon and Ms. Nancy Kellogg, but I think I still need to delay this one more time to July.

MR. LAYMON: Appreciate the delay, but -- MS. KELLOGG: Thank you.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LAYMON: -- I still need to know what we're doing. Thank you.

MR. ELECTRIC OF CLARK COUNTY:

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Moving to the next matter before us today is the matter of Mr. Electric of Clark County Citation Nos. ECHBO00579, ECHBO00581, ECHBO00583, ECHBO00584, and ECHBO00585. This hearing is being held pursuant to proper and -due to proper notice to all interested parties. being held remotely due to an ongoing COVID-19 pandemic on April 28, 2022, at approximately 9:19 a.m. matter has been stayed before the Board since April of This hearing is an opportunity for the parties 2021. to argue whether or not the stay should remain in place. Each side will have 15 minutes, or the party my defer to the other if they're in agreement. And to make a good record, would you please speak your name and spell it for the reporter.

Is a representative for Mr. Electric here today?

MS. GOLDFARB: Yes, sir. This is Rachel

Goldfarb, an attorney in the state of Washington

representing Advanced Electrical Concepts, who does

business as Mr. Electric of Clark County and James

Kleiser.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. You may go



1 forward.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GOLDFARB: Thank you. I appreciate being heard today.

As the briefing submitted to the Board makes clear, it is Mr. Electric's position that a stay in this case is proper. This is more a procedural argument than anything else. But as the Board can imagine, you know, right now we have a case where we have a federal lawsuit, which has been appealed by both parties to the action. Both Mr. Electric and the Department have appealed the federal court's ruling. I think it's important for the Board to recognize that nothing decided by the federal district court, nor on appeal, is going to alter a significant portion of the administrative law judge's ruling because the State v. Faford case dictated that ruling. That's a Washington Supreme Court case, and that was not on appeal before the federal court. So there is a decent portion that has no -- you know, that the findings of the court of appeals will have no bearing on.

To that end, lifting the stay could create a procedural nightmare wherein reversal of the administrative law judge's initial order by the Board could certainly be impacted by the court of appeals ruling. So to the extent that the court of appeals

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reverses on the federal district court's ruling, and then this Board has made a decision based on a ruling under appeal, then we end up in a place where the Board has wasted a lot of time and energy, as well as the parties' time and money, in reaching a ruling that isn't tenable because the basis, at least in part, was changed on Appeal.

So, you know, again, we end up in a place where Mr. Electric -- let's go back a step. The options here essentially are for the Board to hear the case in July and make a ruling consistent with a federal decision that's current on appeal, in part, or to hear the case at this -- the time of July, in which case the outcome of that would be appealed to the extent Mr. Electric didn't prevail would be appealed to the superior court. Then we get the federal court of appeals ruling finding in Mr. Electric's favor, and the matter then gets returned to the Board for reversal of the Board's decision, which reversed the lower decision. mess. And that's the best way to describe it. can't necessarily understand why we would move in that direction rather than, you know, leaving the outcome the way it is now pending the outcome from the federal court.

If the Board does choose to discontinue the stay



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and hear the case in its entirety come July, which is certainly its right to do, I think there's been a suggestion somehow that the Board could just boot this back to the Office of Administrative Hearings, and the ALJ therein, to essentially reconsider its ruling based on the -- in part based on the outcome of the Federal District Court's decision. We are aware of no statute, no rule, no law that permits that to be the case. In fact, to the contrary, I believe that that creates a pretty significant violation of Mr. Electric's Fourteenth Amendment right to due process. Never does a judge or a ruling body reconsider its own decision without a decision from the board above it or the body above it, in which case the law has set this Board as the body that sits above the Office of Administrative Hearings in this appellant sort of situation. the Board chooses not to continue the stay, it is our contention that the board is going to have to hear -do a full hearing on the issues of law that have been decided by the Office of Administrative Hearings and rule thereupon already.

And I wanted to just address one additional thing with the time I have -- or a portion of the time I have remaining. I think there's been a suggestion in correspondence with the assistant attorney general, who



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I see on the line here today -- and, Ben, I don't want to ruin your last name so I'm going to call you "Ben" if that's okay. Apologies. And I think there was some suggestion made that the administrative law judge granted Mr. Electric's motion based on the elimination of evidence, which is in part being considered by the court of appeals, and from there summary judgment followed. And I think it would be very important and incumbent on the Board to look at the record and to recognize that that is not at all what happened, that those steps were sort of presented out of order, and that while no evidentiary hearing was held in the Office of Administrative Appeals, Mr. Electric's motion for summary judgment in 2019 was granted on two totally separate and distinct bases, one of which has nothing to do with anything that's going on on appeal right The administrative law judge granted now. Mr. Electric's summary judgment on almost half of the citations issued based on grounds that wouldn't be reconsidered here, and there would be no reason to reconsider here. So, you know, I think that it makes the most sense from a practical perspective that the stay be held in place on the citation appeal until everything finalizes in the federal courts to avoid creating this mess of -- you know, a tangled web,

essentially, of reversals and decisions that may be, you know, challenging, if not impossible, to untangle on the back end. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much. I appreciate your input on this.

Do we have someone from the Department to add information to (audio distortion).

Mr. John Barnes, are you the --

AAG BARNES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Spell and state your name for the court reporter, and then you may continue.

AAG BARNES: My name is John Barnes. I'm assistant attorney general, and I represent the Department of Labor and Industries in this matter.

There are a couple of things that I disagreed with in that presentation. But for the most part, the Department requests that this matter be sent back to the ALJ Bryant in light of the district court decision. There are numerous reasons for that. The most obvious one is that there has been no evidentiary hearing on any of the violations that are still pending in this matter. So what this Board would be reviewing would be purely legal argument, and a legal order from the ALJ.

But now there are a number of reasons why it should be sent back. The first one is that that judge is in a

lot better position than this Board to review the district court decision and -- which conflicts with his own, and to sort that out on whether -- which part goes forward and which does not. Also, if that ALJ does find that there was no violation of the Privacy Act or of the Fourth Amendment of search and seizure, as the district court did, they can then schedule hearings. You have to remember this has been years now that this has been pending. And memories are fading. Witnesses are disappearing. Inspectors are retiring or transferring. So the longer this goes, the harder it is to present an evidentiary hearing on these violations of the electrical code.

Now, I find it ironic because the Board has the authority to send it back. In fact, it is clearly spelled out in RCW 34.05.464(7), which has been cited throughout Mr. Electric's brief. But for some reason they do not recognize that in Subsection 7, the reviewing officer, which is the Board here, shall either enter a final order disposing of the matter or remand the matter for further proceedings with instructions to the presiding officer who entered the initial order. So the Board has the ability, the authority to send this back down with instructions to say, Hey, look, this district court decision conflicts

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with your decision. You know, please review this and, you know, proceed from there. If they do find that there was no violation, then the hearing takes place, which is what the Department is looking for. These citations need to be presented and an evidentiary hearing held.

The other thing, and they keep pointing out that the district court decision affected the Department of Labor and Industries and some of its individuals but has no effect on a portion of the proceeding down But I disagree because, well, for one, they cite to Faford, and they say that the district court decision did not affect the privacy violation of the two ex-employees. But the criteria that the district court used in their analysis applies back to those individuals because the one thing they accused the individuals of, or the judge down below found was, that they violated the Privacy Act, the Washington Privacy And for that they cite Faford. But in the Faford decision the real clear distinguishing factor is there Mr. Faford, he eavesdropped on his neighbor's phone conversations. So while they were ongoing, he was listening to them, and then he reported back what he heard back to the police. The police got a warrant and went in and seized a bunch of narcotics. And the court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said that they couldn't use any of that evidence because it was based on Mr. Faford's illegal interception of that phone conversation.

Now, the district court, when they were addressing the Privacy Act as to the Department, they cited to another supreme court case called State v. Roden. in that case -- it's in the -- in their opinion if you want to go back and look at it. But it defines the term intercept in the Privacy Act to mean to stop before arrival or interrupt the progress or course of that transition. So it's -- it's, you know, eavesdropping on -- it's either stopping or recording, let's say, a phone conversation. But that's not what happened here. The only thing the ex-employees did is they copied previous recordings of those phone conversations. So they did not intercept the conversation coming in. But Mr. Electric records all their phone conversations, and so the ex-employees copied those recordings. And that's not a violation of the Privacy Act. That's why the judge below should take a look at that as well. And, you know, if there is no Privacy Act violation, which is the Department's position, there's nothing to stop the ALJ from going forward with this hearing.

Now, a stay, on the other hand, that just equals



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

delay. For whatever reason Mr. Electric does not want an evidentiary hearing on these citations. And the Department, of course, wants just the contrary; they want that evidentiary hearing to take place before people's memories fade or it's impossible to locate witnesses anymore. So there is a -- time is of the essence to get this hearing to take place. And we think that the best way to do that is to send the matter -- which you have the authority to -- back to the initial trial judge and have them consider their rulings, which precluded the Department from presenting, you know, the bulk of their evidence, whether or not, in light of the district court decision, the Privacy Act and the Fourth Amendment search and seizure provision really do apply here.

Now, if he does decide that the district court decision had no effect, then we're right back up here again. But I imagine that if it gets sent back down to the initial trial judge that there's going to be numerous motions from the Department and from Mr. Electric in regards to how that district court decision affects his. And again, those are legal analyses, and it's probably best decided, you know, by the judge below rather than the Board. And until such time as we do get an evidentiary hearing and a record

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

made on these citations, it might be premature for the Board to review these decisions. Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Ms. Goldfarb, do you have a statement?

MS. GOLDFARB: Indeed.

And thank you, Mr. Barnes, for your argument.

There were a lot of mischaracterizations that were just put on the record before this board, including that Mr. Electric has, you know, a reticence or some concern over reaching an evidentiary hearing, which is absolutely not the case. What Mr. Electric has a concern with is the waste of time, money, and energy in reaching something that, A, may be procedurally improper, and, B, you know, is conducted in the midst of an appeal whereas -- you know, this wasn't addressed by Mr. Barnes, but to the extent that the court of appeals, every decision that forms the Fourth Amendment in the United States, as a matter of black letter law, it comes as a matter of the appeal, either through the court of appeals or the Supreme Court. And so none of the decisions were made in the federal district court, and so we don't see this one as happening any differently. But the point there is that the court of appeals did not consider the State v. Faford situation

involving the government informants in this case as individuals. They never spoke to that.

So our point is asking that -- this Board does not have the right to go back to the administrative law judge and say reconsider what you've already decided, which is not on appeal in the -- in the court of appeals. And more importantly, we do believe that the RCW that was recited to you does require this Board to make findings that then get submitted to the OAH, and he has to reconsider consistent with the findings of this Board. I do not believe that this Board has the ability under the Fourteenth Amendment to be able to say go back and reconsider what you already decided, which is not under appeal or was never considered by the court. So I would disagree.

The other thing I would disagree is although this Board, who probably doesn't that the -- you know, the legal background to consider juris prudence coming out of -- out of the appellate courts, the case that was presented just now and on appeal, which of course is something that, you know, was raised by Mr. Electric, ignores the fact that there were actually interceptions here, which the ALJ did recognize. There were actual interceptions of conversations that were in the present live time being intercepted and provided to the

government. And that is exactly what the ALJ found under State v. Faford. So those decisions or the facts presented to this Board today are not accurate. They are mischaracterizations of the findings in the lower proceeding.

But for us it comes back to we're not afraid to try this case. It's what we do for a living. And we've got the records, you know, and the means to be able to prove it. What we're concerned with is the epic waste of time and money in this Board not making findings, which it's required to do, sending it back to the OAH, which is probably -- or our contention is a violation of Mr. Electric's Fourteenth Amendment rights, and then making it through a process that is then overturned or wasteful, essentially, if the court of appeals overrules the district court's original decision and finds differently that there was an interception, and therefore the privacy rights were impacted, and then of course the Fourth Amendment rights.

So, you know, I can see no good reason to move forward. We're years past already. Everything that's to be said or done is already documented in deposition testimony and documentary evidence, so there's nothing that memories need to provide where the records speak for themselves. And more importantly, you know,

creating a web of overturned decisions and useless rulings doesn't seem to make sense in the economy of, you know, justice. And that would be Mr. Electric's position.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. Thank you very much.

In light of the information presented here, what I'm going to do is take a ten-minute recess. I want to confer with counsel. And we will reconvene in ten minutes, which will make that ten till 10. Thank you very much.

(Brief recess was taken from 9:38 a.m. until 9:50 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I would like to resume the April 28th Electrical Board meeting. It is now 9:50.

I want to say thank you everyone for your patience. Me not being a lawyer by profession, I appreciate the time to consult with counsel to make sure we have the proper information here.

So before I make a decision on this, can I -- I need to get a couple of answers from counsel, please.

Ms. Goldfarb, would you mind answering a couple of questions for me?

MS. GOLDFARB: I'm here. Yes, sir.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: First of all, what is your -- what is your expected time frame before you think that this could actually be seen? What time frame are you looking for?

MS. GOLDFARB: I don't think it would be my time frame. We have already submitted our briefing materials to the court of appeals. So now it's on the State, who has requested extensions to file their briefing, and then it's for the court to decide. So I don't know that I would have the answer. I mean, I think our preference, for all the reasons I've already given, would be pending outcome of the court of appeals To the extent the court of appeals affirms ruling. what the district court decided, then I think it would be proper for this Board to make its findings and then remand, if it so chooses, to the OAH with its findings as to the issues to be reconsidered. To the extent that the court of appeals overturns the district court's decision, then we're in totally different territory, of course, because we're back where we started where what the -- you know, what Mr. Electric argued is correct, or may be correct, or not at all, depending on what the court of appeals decides. remind that neither did the district court hear this case yet. It was decided on an early dispositive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

motion. So that could lead to a trial there.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. The other thing I had a question about is the location or where you received your information concerning our requirement for -- supply a finding of fact and order to remand this back to AL -- to the ALJ.

MS. GOLDFARB: Sure. Well, this is a basic principle of constitutional law. Under the Fourteenth Amendment, you know, there can't be a reconsideration of something by the same person twice. That's not how appellate works right -- appellant's rights work. Clearly I need more coffee today. So to the extent that was intended by the RCW guiding this process, either this board can dispose of the matter, which would basically be agreeing with the OAH, or it can make its own findings and then remand, just like an appellate court would, back to a lower court with specific ideas of what it was that they had to reconsider. But just saying, you know, our client doesn't -- or the Department doesn't like your decision, reconsider, or something happened in the federal court which may have some bearing, which is our position that it doesn't, obviously.

I mean, our position is that what happened -- the basis upon which the appellate -- or the OAH decided

the citation appeal is separate and apart from what was decided in the upper court, which was the lower OAH was deciding on issues involving the government's informants, and the federal court of appeals deciding on issues a step above that.

But anyway, putting all the facts aside, as a matter of appellate law and fourteenth -- interpretation of the Fourteenth Amendment it would be a procedural misstep just say back to the OAH, hey, figure out what you did wrong and fix it. That's not how appellate law works. And so it would be our position that an injunction would be necessary if that's the decision the Board makes to determine whether Mr. Electric's constitutional rights under the Fourteenth Amendment are being, you know, impacted by a remand without specific findings for what the OAH is meant to reconsider.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you very much.

Mr. John Barnes, are you available?

MR. BARNES: Yes, I am.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: I just have a real quick question for you. I think you answered this already, but if you would reiterate or add anything of -- what kind of harm would this cause by leaving the stay in



place for a period of time? What kind of harm would this create to the State?

MR. BARNES: Well, the harm that's created is, again, that memories fade and that people leave.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Memory. We have record.

MR. BARNES: Excuse me?

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Excuse me. This is

Mr. Barnes' time to speak. Whoever is speaking, please
mute your mic.

MR. BARNES: Yes. It's just that the longer it takes, the more -- you know, like memories fade, people leave the office, people take new jobs. It's been quite a long time. And these are somewhat serious violations that should be decided on that.

And I just do just have one thing. On the statute that I cited earlier that allowed the Board to remand back to the initial presiding officer, that statute does indicate that if you are to remand it back, you must give instructions to the presiding officer, which makes sense, which would simply be to consider the district court decision and how it affects your prior decisions. It may not affect it at all he may decide. Or he may decide that it does. But, yeah, you will have to give some instructions. We're not asking you simply to remand it back there. Otherwise, we would

have asked it to be, you know, stayed at that level rather than here. So you will have to give some. But the longer it goes, the harder it is going to be to prove these violations.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very much for your time on that.

Given the information that's been presented, I believe that a proper mode would be to -- through counsel, to extend this out six months, max. And so we will be revisiting this in the next six months to decide whether or not we move forward or make some type of decision on this. So once again, the -- not the July, but the September -- October meeting -- sorry -- October meeting we will have some type of motion to be made. And I'm looking forward to getting this case in motion one way or the other. I don't think we'll be going any further than that.

MS. GOLDFARB: Thank you, Mr. Jenkins.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So that will conclude our comments -- our case with Mr. Electric vs. Clark County -- Mr. Electric of Clark County vs. State.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And so moving on to our next piece of our agenda, we're looking at number 4,



the Department Legislative update with Lorin Lathrop.

Are you available and online?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST LATHROP: I am, Chair Jenkins.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. The floor is yours.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST LATHROP: Thank you,
Chair Jenkins, members of the Board. Quick update for
you is that the revisions to the WAC for appeals to the
Board have been brought up to speed. They are now part
of the WAC and have been updated on the legislative
website. And it is in the process of being updated on
the L&I website as well.

And that's all I have for you unless you have questions for me.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: No. I'm really happy to see 995 is actually complete. And -- and it will be nice to get a hard copy of that shortly. So thank you very much. Appreciate that. And I'm sure our previous chair and previous AAG are probably very happy with getting this completed also because they were the pushing parties for that. So awesome. Thank you. So we're on to -- thank you very much again.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We're now on to Item No.



5, which is temporary allowances for basic trainee classes with Wayne Molesworth, please.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Hello, Board Members, Mr. Chair. I think this is a part of the agenda that's probably been pushed out. We don't have any allowances anymore for temporary classes. They're back to in-person classes in order to receive CEUs for trainees. So I think it was a mistake that we didn't take that off the agenda. Probably my fault. We covered this last -- last meeting, I think.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Yes, I thought this was a little bit interesting since I think we took all the way -- away all those temporary allowances. But I thought okay, well, let's see what we got going on.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Given that, I guess we're on to our next step, once again with you on your secretary's report, please.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Okay. So cover the budget first. The electrical fund balance on March 31, 2022, was \$13,241,988, which is about six times the average monthly operating expenditures. The average monthly operating expenditures for the third quarter of the fiscal year of 2022 were \$2,222,765 compared to \$2,337,832 for the same period last year,

which is a decrease of about 6.1 percent. Average monthly revenue for fiscal year 2022 was \$2,642,862 compared to \$2,250,097 for the same period last year, an increase of about 17,500.

March 2022 customer service. 440 -- I'm sorry -44,381 permits were sold last quarter, 99.5 percent or
\$44,173 were -- or 473 permits were processed online,
which is about a .2 percent decrease from last quarter.
99.9 percent of contractor permits were sold online,
which is consistent with the previous quarter.
Homeowners online sales for this quarter is 95,000 1.1,
which is a 2.2 percent decrease from the previous
quarter. Online inspection requests is 80.8 percent,
which is a .5 percent decrease from last quarter. And
during this quarter customers made 95.9 percent of all
electrical license renewals online, which is a .5
percent increase from last quarter. Needless to say
our online services are getting a lot of attention and
being used very well.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Wayne, can I interrupt you for just a quick second? Board Member Baker. Can I encourage you to go back and just read the comparison to the 2.6 million and 2.2 million? I think you misspoke and said a dollar figure instead of a percentage. Just for the court reporter for the

1 record.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: So was that in the budget or in the --

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: It's in the budget. It's the last -- the last sentence of the budget, yeah.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: The last sentence of the budget. Okay. Sorry about that.

Average monthly revenue for fiscal year '22 was 2,642,862 compared to \$2,250,097 for the same period last year, an increase of about 17.5.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Sorry about that. I guess I need more coffee also. We ran out today.

Okay. So key performance measures. Percent of inspections performed within 24 hours of request, the goal is normally 86 percent. That was set quite some time ago. In fiscal year 2021 we did 81 percent. And in fiscal year 2022 we did 76 percent.

Percent of inspections performed within 48 hours of request. In 2021 it was 92 percent. And in 2022 it was 89 percent. What I want to point out here, too, is that the total -- well, the total inspections performed were 63,283 last year at this time frame, and 6,870 -- I'm sorry -- 61,770 during this time frame for this

year. What I really want to point out, just so we realize this, is that this gets a lot of attention sometimes, and specifically our mandate is within 48 hours. But what these numbers tell us is that the majority of our inspections are getting done within 24 hours of request. And so the only other thing that comes into play here -- and we're looking at how to report that to the board and others -- is that we do have some backlog. And that backlog actually qualifies for VEI inspections, and so we're working on how to -- how to get that in there. But -- but the majority of our prioritized inspections are doing done within 24 hours.

so moving on, number 4 is the virtual electrical inspections, VEI, performed. We had 2,841 last year this time, and 6,870 this year. I want to point out that only this last month was there an increase in staffing for that period of time, and that they're becoming very more efficient, and they're actually doing some very good outreach with customers and doing these inspections more and more efficiently to more and more each day. We're currently doing -- with VEI currently doing 12 percent of the total inspections. And we're doing it with only 7 percent of inspector FTEs. So it's turning out to be a very good usable

process.

Number 5, number of focused citations and warnings, contractor licensing, worker certification, no permits, failing to supervise trainees, anticipated total number is 4,136. In the field last year at this time, they did 296. ECORE did 1,320, for a total of 1,616. That same time frame this year the field did 516, and ECORE did 1,255, for a total of 1,771. I know the concern last meeting was about a little bit of the compliance numbers. And as you can see we're starting to make some rebounds with our compliance in the field as well.

Number 6, inspection stops per inspector per day.

This is field inspectors. Last year we were doing

10.2, and this year we're doing 11.4, an increase of a

little over one inspection, which equates to quite a

large number when you multiply it out.

Serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnection. Last year at this time it was 9,985, and this year we were looking at 8,775.

Turnaround time for average plans for plan set reviewed, goal is 1.6 weeks, which is, I think, a drop from what it used to be. And now last year at this time it was eight days. And right now during this time frame this year two days. Plan review has made an enormous jump with electronic plan review. We're 100

percent electronic plan, with maybe some stragglers we have to push, but they're still doing the electronic plan review. It's working out very well. Really speeding up to process so people can get on with their jobs.

Plan pages reviewed all electrically. Last year at this time it was 1,342. This year it was 1,048.

Percent of warnings by focused violation type. So licensing, 1 percent, certification was 37 percent, permits, 25 percent, and trainee supervision 36 percent, for a total of all focused of 14 percent.

Licensing citations, amusement rides, appeals, and auditors. Continuous improvement is still our top priority. We've collected data to determine the time it takes to process each task in our workload and overall team knowledge doing this through time studies that allow us to actually start to set better and more clear goals for our staff. This information will help us set team expectation, improve training, and ensure we utilize team members in their full potential.

The licensing team continues to meet customer needs by being available by phone calls and managing workload. The implementation of the call centers focused work schedule has been paramount in helping the team manage and maintain workload, focus work time, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

improved training reduces errors and ensures accountability to fix mistakes in a timely manner.

I want to add in here a little bit that we actually hired a project manager for the program. And she's done a wonderful job of organizing and pulling the staff together. The staff has actually done a lot of root-cause analysis processes and developed different processes such as protected time for processing Before, they would answer the phone, documents. process document, and mix it all up. Right? There was no -- no specific task being done. Through their -through their work they've gotten to the point where they've reduced their backlog of documents being processed from about 1,300 down to -- last time I checked it was about 300. And so the process works. They've done a great job of doing that. I think they deserve a lot of credit for really looking hard at what they're doing.

To continue, service locations are starting to open. We're improving our communication with teams statewide to help ease duplication of work, streamline processes, ensure timely turnaround with accuracy, and meet agency records retention policies. As of 4/25/2022 the licensing backlog is at -- oh, here we go -- 368 -- so I wasn't too far off -- with the oldest

1 date of 3/23/2022 in March. There were times when 2 those documents were stretched back for over three And so the emphasis was put on this because we 3 months. 4 understand that people need to be licensed, certified, they need their documents processed so they can go to 5 6 This affects families. 7 Testing lab report. No new testing labs to report Questions from board members and programs updates? 8 9 Any questions before I get into the program 10 updates? 11 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hearing none --TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: 12 I'm waiting 13 for Don to ask me a question, but . . . 14 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Are you prompting me, 15 Wayne? 16 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: No. 17 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. This is Board 18 Member Baker. 19 Pretty impressive you got plan review down to two 20 Congratulations to the team for that. That's a days. 21 big deal, yeah. 22 Yeah, still concerning to see the number of focused

citations with anticipated goal of 4,000 and we're

around 1,500 to 2,000. That's still alarming.

those really aren't questions.



23

24

25

I guess

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: But I understand your concerns, and it emphasizes that we need to pay more attention to that.

We're actually doing a little bit of that same root-cause analysis on a lot of these different processes to see what -- what is it that could be affecting those numbers -- right? -- and so that we can tell, you know, where we need to put our resources.

With that, I want you guys to know that -- that we've -- and I might have mentioned it in previous meetings. We've developed a compliance agreement with the City of Seattle. We're working in conjunction with their compliance officer. And we actually process the citations and -- and prosecute them, and they go out and collect the evidence packages. And it's working out really really well. It gives us a better emphasis inside of Seattle. And this was something, you know, that they've been asked to do by a lot of their stakeholders. Not work with us, but that they develop a compliance program. And so we worked together to develop this process, and so far it's been working like gangbusters. We're actually looking at adding another inspector in that area because we've been unsuccessful to hire ECORE inspectors in the Seattle area. just -- it's a pay thing again. Right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so with that said, I know we were interested in the last meeting about the pay, and what we're doing for inspectors. And I wanted to give you guys a little I can't get too into it because it's bit of an update. still in process, and we may have represented members in the Board meeting, so we want to make sure that we're not violating any -- any laws for Labor Standards But we are submitting some things to State HR that hopefully we'll be successful with and be able to at least build a bridge for wages until we can actually put together a class and comp package to submit to State HR for wage increases. We've actually pulled together all the data for comparison of wages with other municipalities that have jurisdictions, and also the union and private sector labor out there that are making considerably more or 29 percent more, and in some cases up to 40 percent more, than our inspectors do. It's depressing in some instances to think that our inspectors are actually making apprentice wages compared to some of the locals. So with that, and some of the other information we put through, we're fairly confident we can have a very good successful class and comp package when we get that opportunity this next session.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Again, Board Member



Baker. I appreciate your comments about the City of Seattle and compliance, because as you were going through your report earlier I was wondering, you know, if there's any way of tracking within the city jurisdictions how much compliance we're seeing because our inspectors just aren't there. And maybe that's a focus for the ECORE folks, you know, hitting the cities versus the rural areas. But good to hear you're working hand in hand with the Seattle. You know, King, Snohomish, Pierce Counties are, you know, pretty large counties for a lot of activity, so yeah. And if there's anything I can do --

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: This is a model --

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Go ahead.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Oh, I was going to say this is a model that we'd like to expand to other jurisdictions as well. You know, we have to create those relationships. Some of them are a little strained sometimes. But really want to -- to see if this will work in some of the bigger counties, some of the bigger jurisdictions.

I know when I was supervisor in Spokane the City of Spokane would refer to us quite often. We didn't have that same where their inspectors would collect evidence



package, but they were doing some great referrals. We don't see that very often. But we're going to (audio distortion) of that a little bit more as we go because I think this has really turned out to be a good model for us to use.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Last thing I was going to say was if there's anything I can do personally to help you guys with your class and comp package, my appeal last week to the -- our last session to the governor didn't appear to yield any fruit, and I'm still on the Board. So, I don't know, maybe he's just getting around to getting back with me. But I still think there's an opportunity to declare a state of emergency. I mean, if we can eradicate the European green crab, maybe we can do something to support the L&I inspectors.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: I hope so.

There's a lot of special consideration going into this by our director. Joel Sacks is having some conversations that he's (audio distortion) in the past. And I think that's what we see from the situations we've been in the last couple of years is it creates an opportunity to think a little bit more outside of the box and see what we can -- you know, what we can come up with. So hopefully by next meeting we'll be able to

really elaborate on what we were successful at getting.

I'm looking forward to that meeting. And I'll prompt
you again, Mr. Baker.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Feel free. It doesn't take much for me.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you, Board Member Don Baker.

This is Chair Jason Jenkins. I had a quick question for you, Wayne. What is our current qualifications for inspectors? Are we -- where do we stand with that today? And, you know, because I know we were having issues with maintaining them and looking to, you know, recruit more. What are qualifications to become an inspector today?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Right. So the current qualifications are actually an RCW, and it's a range of different knowledge, skills and abilities, and experience. The main one that we see mostly is that they have to be a journeyman in the state of Washington for four years -- right? -- which by definition in the RCW a journey-level worker is somebody who has a Washington certification.

I know we are working on some things to adjust that a little bit. I don't know if I'm ready to share that just yet, just because we have to get some higher-level



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agreement from administration. But we are looking for different way, and -- and, really, we'd like the advice from the Board, too, if you've got suggestions on what that might look like.

But one of the things that I'm doing that's not in the RCW, but within our power, is we're changing our pdf for our position description form to list in the required qualifications when we hire that they must have been engaged in the electric construction industry in the previous two consecutive years. And the reason that I'm doing that is because what we're seeing right now in our applicant pool is mostly maintenance electricians, and they're -- even though there's nothing wrong with maintenance electricians that hold a journeyman certificate, what we're finding is that the people that are in our pool are not able to answer and communicate with terminology that we would normally use in the construction industry. For example, when we asked the question "describe the difference between service and a feeder" they're unable to do it. that's a fairly simple task for me. And when we -when we look at that, we have to describe code violations to our customers every day. And if we're not able to understand or use the correct terminology, and have the experience to know where that terminology

applies, it doesn't work out really well. And so I think that it may yield better -- a better pool when we list it that way. They can actually communicate on the construction sites and be able to describe what's going on.

The other factor there for us is that a lot of people that are engaged in the maintenance are not understanding time -- time frames and schedules for construction projects. And we need to be able to understand those things to make sure that those projects move smoothly and that we can -- how we can best help with that.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: An inspection just isn't an inspection. You have to look at it, you know, in a prioritization.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Anyway, I just wanted to update you on that a little bit.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Great. Thank you, again.

If there's any more questions from the Board for Wayne Molesworth concerning his secretary's report?

All right. Hearing none, thank you very much.

appreciate your time, Wayne.



Page 51

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MOLESWORTH: Thank you.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So moving on to our Item No. 7, looks like. We're up to certification/CEU quarterly report with Larry Vance.

Larry Vance, are you online and available?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: I am.

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Okay. The floor is yours if you'd like to continue on.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you, Chairman Jenkins.

I'm Larry Vance. I'm a technical specialist for the Department of Labor and Industries. Today I'm going to just give a little information about our exam results. Last -- last -- from today prior one year, so a year's worth of data here shows us that we have 991 candidates that attempted the 01 exam the first time. The pass rate is about 48 percent, which is in -- which is not out of -- not out of the parameters of normal. An interesting thing is that those folks that attempted the exam the first time, it's a mix of folks. We don't know quite who they are. We know who they would be. They would be apprenticeship graduates, electrical OJT trainees, and electricians from out of state.

So there are questions with the -- with the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

implementation of -- of Substitute Senate Bill 6126 as to how many apprentices there's going to be come, let's say, about 2024. The bill comes into effect in 2023. And a simple exercise if -- if all these were apprentices that were headed at that exam for the first attempt and all apprenticeships programs were four years -- currently some are five. But just for simple math, if you have four years, four-year apprenticeships, it would be about 1,000 apprentices in each class. That would put 1,000 apprentices at the exam every year for the first attempt. So it's just some numbers to kind of -- just kind of dwell on a little bit about, you know, if you're -- if you're hearing questions about how many apprentices there's going to be and apprenticeship capacity and those sorts of things.

The economy probably supports more than 900 people taking the exam because purportedly there is some -you know, there's a shortage of certified electricians in the state. But then the economic factors come into to play as to is -- how attractive are the jobs here in Washington. So just a little bit of information there.

Our -- with COVID and everything we're not -- we're not hearing any -- any problems with our exam centers.

They're up and running, and we're -- we're in pretty



1 good shape. 2 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Thank you very much. 3 Is there any questions from the Board concerning 4 the certification or CEU quarterly report? 5 6 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Just a comment I'd like 7 to make personally is this is going to be -- eventually 8 9 a historical record of what's happened in the past 10 compared to what happens in -- after the apprenticeship 11 bill takes effect. So I'm really kind of excited to 12 see the difference and see -- see what kind of benefit 13 or how much benefit will be created by this. 14 Thank you very much for -- Technical Specialist 15 Larry Vance. I appreciate your time on this. Thank 16 you, again. TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: 17 Thank you. Thank 18 you, Chairman Jenkins. 19 PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. It looks like we're all the way down to our last item here, No. 8, which is our public comment regarding items not on the agenda.

Do we have anybody here that would like to speak to



20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 54 1 the Board via the public comments? 2 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: So there's once. 3 Once again, if you would like to speak to the 4 Board, please unmute your mic. And once again, is 5 6 there anybody here online that would like to speak to the Board concerning public comment regarding items not 7 on the agenda? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: There's twice. 11 And lastly, once again, is there anybody that would like to speak to the Board during public comments? 12 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: All right. Well, 15 hearing none, as I said, just to remind everyone once 16 again, next -- next meeting will be a face-to-face. I mentioned before, it will be in Tumwater at the Labor 17 18 and Industries headquarters auditorium. It's been 19 tentatively scheduled there, assuming nothing goes 20 sideways from here until then. 21 And given that, the Chair would entertain a motion 22 to end the April 28th Washington State Electrical Board 23 meeting. 24 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord, motion. 25 CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: We have a motion.





CERTIFICATE

I, JANETTE CURLEY, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing at Kingston, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were reported by me and thereafter reduced to a typed format under my direction; that the transcript consisting of pages 1 through 56 is a full, true and complete transcript of said proceedings;

That as a CCR in this state, I am bound by the Rules of Conduct as Codified in WAC 308-14-130; that court reporting arrangements and fees in this case are offered to all parties on equal terms; that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action, or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;

That upon completion, the original transcript will be securely sealed and served upon the appropriate party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 8th day of May, 2022.

Country Curly

Janette Curley, CCR No. 2030



| | 2,841 39:15 | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| \$ | 2.2 37:12,23 | 5 |
| \$13,241,988 36:21 | 2.6 37:23 | 5 35:24 36:1 37:14,16 40:2 |
| \$2,222,765 36:24 | 2019 20:14 | 516 40:7 |
| \$2,250,097 37:3 38:9 | 2021 16:13 38:18,21 | |
| \$2,337,832 36:25 | 2022 3:2 7:6,23 16:11 36:21,24 37:2, | 6 |
| \$2,642,862 37:2 | 5 38:19,21 | 6 3:20 36:15 40:12 |
| \$44,173 37:7 | 22 38:8 | 6,870 38:24 39:16 |
| | 24 38:16 39:5,12 | 6.1 37:1 |
| 1 | 25 41:10 | 61,770 38:25 |
| 1 5:17 41:9 | 27 7:6 | 63,283 38:24 |
| 1,048 41:7 | 28 3:1 7:23 16:11 | |
| 1,255 40:8 | 28th 3:15 29:15 | 7 |
| 1,300 42:14 | 29 45:16 | 7 22:18 39:24 |
| 1,320 40:6 | 296 40:6 | 76 38:19 |
| 1,342 41:7 | 3 | 70 30.19 |
| 1,500 43:24 | | 8 |
| 1,616 40:6 | 3 7:19 8:2 | |
| 1,771 40:8 | 3/23/2022 43:1 | 8,775 40:19 |
| 1.1 37:11 | 300 42:15 | 80.8 37:13 |
| 1.6 40:21 | 31 36:21 | 81 38:18 |
| 10 29:10 | 34.05.464(7) 22:16 | 86 38:17 |
| 10.2 40:14 | 36 41:10 | 89 38:22 |
| 100 40:25 | 368 42:25 | 9 |
| 11.4 40:14 | 37 41:9 | |
| 12 39:23 | | 9,985 40:18 |
| 14 41:11 | 4 | 92 38:21 |
| 15 16:15 | 4 34:23,25 39:14 | 95,000 37:11 |
| 17,500 37:4 | 4,000 43:23 | 95.9 37:15 |
| 17.5 38:10 | 4,136 40:5 | 99.5 37:6 |
| 17.3 30.10 | 4/25/2022 42:24 | 99.9 37:9 |
| 2 | 40 45:17 | 995 35:17 |
| • | 44,381 37:6 | 9:00 3:2,15 |
| 2 7:1,3 37:8 | 440 37:5 | 9:19 16:11 |
| 2,000 43:24 | 473 37:7 | 9:38 29:13 |
| 2,642,862 38:9 | 48 38:20 39:3 | 9:50 29:13,16 |



Index: \$13,241,988..9:50

Α

a.m. 3:2,15 16:11 29:13

AAG 5:6 8:22 11:3 12:18 14:3 21:9,

12 35:20

abilities 48:18

ability 22:23 27:12

absolutely 26:12

access 15:14,18

accountability 42:2

accuracy 42:22

accurate 28:3

accused 23:16

Act 22:5 23:18,19 24:5,9,20,22

25:14 45:8

acted 9:20

action 17:10

activity 46:11

actual 9:24 27:23

add 13:8,10 21:6 32:24 42:3

adding 44:22

additional 19:22

address 13:2 19:22

addressed 26:16

addressing 24:4

adjust 48:23

administration 49:1

administrative 17:15,23 19:4,15,20

20:4,13,17 27:4

Advanced 8:8,10,12 16:22

advice 49:2

affect 23:13 33:22

affected 23:8

affecting 44:7

affects 25:22 33:21 43:6

affirms 30:13

afraid 28:6

agency 42:23

agenda 5:14,17 7:1 8:2 34:23,25

35:24 36:5,9,15

agreeing 31:15

agreement 16:16 44:11 49:1

ahead 15:1 46:15

alarming 43:24

Alice 4:3

ALJ 19:5 21:18,23 22:4 24:23 27:23

28:1 31:6

allowances 36:1,6,13

allowed 33:16

alter 17:14

Amendment 19:11 22:6 25:14 26:18 27:12 28:13.19 31:9 32:8.15

amusement 41:12

analyses 25:23

analysis 23:15 42:7 44:5

announce 7:22

answering 29:23

answers 29:22

anticipated 40:4 43:23

anymore 25:6 36:6

Apologies 20:3

apparently 15:6

appeal 17:13,17 18:3,7,12 20:16,23

26:16,20 27:6,14,20 32:1 47:8

appealed 17:9,11 18:14,15

appeals 7:19 8:4,5 17:20,24,25 18:16 20:7,13 26:18,21,25 27:7

28:15 30:7,12,13,18,23 32:4 35:9

41:12

appeared 3:2

appellant 19:16

appellant's 31:11

appellate 27:19 31:11,17,25 32:7,

11

applicant 49:12

applies 23:15

apply 25:15

apprentice 45:19

approval 7:3

approve 7:4

approximately 3:15 16:11

April 3:1,14 16:11,12 29:15

area 6:17 44:23.24

areas 46:8

argue 16:14

argued 30:22

argument 17:6 21:23 26:7

arrival 24:10

asset 6:1

assistant 3:7,9 19:25 21:13

assuming 10:6

attending 3:17 14:4

attention 12:24 37:18 39:2 44:3

attorney 3:8 16:21 19:25 21:13

audio 6:13 21:7 47:2,20

auditors 41:13

authority 22:15,24 25:9

average 36:22,23 37:1 38:8 40:20

avoid 20:24

aware 3:21 6:15,17 11:7 19:7

awesome 35:22

aye 7:15

ayes 7:16

В

back 7:25 10:21 14:7 18:9 19:4 21:3, 17,25 22:15,24 23:15,23,24 24:8 25:9,17,18 27:4,13 28:6,11 30:20 31:6,17 32:9 33:17,18,25 36:7 37:22

43:2 47:12

background 27:18

backlog 39:9 42:13,24

Baker 3:5 4:22,23 14:12,13,15 15:16 37:20,21 38:4,11 43:14,17,18 45:25



46:1,15 47:6 48:3,4,7

balance 36:20

Barnes 21:8,9,12 26:7,17 32:20,21 33:3,6,10 34:19

Barnes' 33:8

based 18:2 19:5,6 20:5,19 24:2

bases 20:15basic 31:7 36:1basically 31:15basis 18:6 31:25

bearing 17:20 31:22

Ben 3:8 5:6 11:1 14:3 20:1,2

Beth 8:18
Bethany 3:9
big 10:9 43:21

bigger 46:21,22

bit 36:12 40:9 42:3 44:4 45:4 47:3,23 48:24

black 26:19

Blohowiak 3:8 5:6 11:3 12:18 14:3,

board 3:3,8,9,14,16 4:1,5,7,8,9,11, 13,14,15,16,17,19,20,21,22,23,24 5:3,4,19 7:5,7,10 8:7,22 9:10 10:5 11:6,21,23 12:2,20 13:4,11 14:12, 13,15,19 15:16 16:12 17:4,7,12,23 18:2,3,10,18,25 19:3,13,14,17,18 20:9 21:22 22:1,14,19,23 25:24 26:2,9 27:3,8,11,17 28:3,10 29:15 30:15 31:14 32:13 33:16 35:8,10 36:3 37:20,21 38:4,11 39:8 43:8,14, 17 45:6,25 46:15 47:6,11 48:4,6 49:3

board's 11:16 12:21 18:18

Bobby 3:5 4:20 **body** 19:12,13,15

boot 19:3 **box** 47:24

bridge 45:10

briefing 17:4 30:6,9

briefly 5:22

bring 12:23

brought 35:10

Bryant 21:18

budget 36:20 38:3,4,5,7

build 45:10 bulk 25:12 bunch 23:25 Burke 4:25 business 16:23

С

call 3:15 4:2 20:2 41:23

called 24:6 **calls** 41:22

canceled 12:3

case 14:16,21 17:5,8,16,17 18:10, 12,13 19:1,8,14 24:6,7 26:12 27:1, 19 28:7 30:25 34:15,21

cases 45:17 **centers** 41:23

certificate 49:15

certification 40:3 41:9 48:22

certified 43:4 CEUS 36:7

chair 3:14 4:3 5:19 7:4 35:3,8,20 36:4 48:8

Chairman 11:3 12:18 14:3,12

CHAIRPERSON 3:3,13 4:9,12,15, 17,20,22,24 5:2,5,10 6:21 7:2,8,12, 17 8:3,11,16,19,24 9:12,16,21 10:2, 10,17 11:1,13,19 12:16 13:6,21 14:6,14,24 15:11 16:4,25 21:4,10 26:4 29:5,14 30:1 31:2 32:18,22 33:7 34:5,20,24 35:5,16,25 36:11,16 43:11 48:6

challenging 21:2 chance 9:22 14:21 changed 18:7 changing 49:6 **chat** 3:21

check 6:7

checked 42:15

children 6:16

choose 18:25

chooses 19:17 30:16

chorus 7:16

citation 8:13 16:6 20:23 32:1

citations 20:19 23:5 25:2 26:1 40:2

41:12 43:23 44:14

cite 23:12,19

cited 22:16 24:5 33:16

cities 46:7

city 44:12 46:1,4,23

Clark 8:8 16:3,6,23 34:21,22

class 45:11,22 47:8 **classes** 36:2,6,7

cleaned 6:20

clear 17:4 23:20 41:18

client 31:19

code 22:13 49:22

coffee 31:12 38:13

collect 44:15 46:25

collected 41:14

comment 14:13

comments 13:8 34:21 46:1

communicate 49:17

communication 3:22 42:20

comp 45:11,23 47:8

compared 36:25 37:3 38:9 45:20

comparison 37:22 45:13

complete 35:17 completed 35:21

compliance 40:9,11 44:11,13,20

46:2,5

comprehend 10:22,23

Transcript of Proceedings - April 28, 2022 Index: Concepts..devices Concepts 16:22 **cords** 6:1,18 day 39:22 40:12 49:23 concern 26:11,13 40:8 correct 12:17 13:15 30:22 49:24 days 40:23,24 43:20 concerned 28:9 corrections 40:17 deal 43:21 concerns 44:2 correspondence 19:25 **decent** 17:18 conclude 34:20 counsel 29:9,19,22 34:9 decide 12:13 25:16 30:9 33:22,23 34:11 conducted 26:15 counter-order 13:15 **decided** 11:23 12:2,5,11 17:13 confer 29:9 counties 46:10.11.21 19:20 25:23 27:5,13 30:14,25 31:25 confident 45:22 County 8:9 16:3,6,23 34:22 32:2 33:14 conflicts 22:2,25 decides 30:23 **couple** 10:11 21:15 29:22,23 47:22 deciding 32:3,4 Congratulations 43:20 **court** 3:25 17:13,17,18,19,24,25 18:15,16,24 20:7 21:11,18 22:2,7,25 decision 11:9,16 15:17 18:2,11,19 conjunction 44:12 23:8,12,15,25 24:4,6 25:13,16,21 19:7,12,13 21:18 22:2,25 23:1,8,13, connectors 6:15 26:17,21,22,24 27:6,15 28:15 30:7, 20 25:14.17.22 26:18 28:16 29:21 9,12,13,14,18,23,24 31:17,22 32:2,4 30:19 31:21 32:13 33:21 34:12 consecutive 49:10 33:21 37:25 considerably 45:16 decisions 11:21 21:1 26:2,22 28:2 court's 17:11 18:1 19:7 28:16 30:19 29:1 33:22 consideration 47:18 courtesy 15:14 declare 47:13 considered 20:6 27:14 courts 20:24 27:19 decrease 37:1,8,12,14 consistent 18:11 27:10 37:10 cover 36:20 **defer** 16:16 constitutional 31:8 32:14 covered 36:10 defines 24:8 construction 49:9,18 **COVID-19** 16:10 definition 48:21 consult 29:19 **Cox** 3:4 4:13,14 delay 15:23,24 25:1 contacted 4:5 **crab** 47:14 **Department** 5:21 11:19 12:25 13:1, contention 19:18 28:12 create 17:21 33:2 46:19 3 15:5 17:10 21:6,14,17 23:4,8 24:5 25:3,11,20 31:20 35:1 continue 11:4 15:8 19:17 21:11 created 33:3 42:19 **Department's** 8:17 9:5,9 11:15,22 creates 19:9 47:22 24:22 continued 8:5 creating 20:25 29:1 continues 41:21 depending 30:23 **credit** 42:17 deposition 28:22 continuing 13:4 criteria 23:14 depressing 45:18 Continuous 41:13 **Cunningham** 3:4 4:18,19 describe 18:20 49:19,22 **contractor** 37:9 40:3 current 8:4 18:12 48:9,16

contrary 19:9 25:3 conversation 24:3,13,17

conversations 23:22 24:16,18 27:24 47:20

copied 24:15,19

copy 35:18 cord 6:13

D

customers 37:15 39:20 49:23

data 14:22 41:14 45:13

customer 37:5 41:21

date 43:1

description 49:7 deserve 42:17 determine 32:13 41:14 determined 11:21 develop 44:19,21 developed 42:7 44:11 devices 6:13



dictated 17:16 **encourage** 14:18 37:22 Ε difference 11:23 49:19 end 17:21 18:3,8 21:3 differently 26:24 28:17 **ends** 6:9 earlier 33:16 46:3 directed 13:11 energy 18:4 26:13 early 30:25 direction 18:22 engaged 49:9 ease 42:21 director 47:19 **enjoy** 6:18 Eastern 6:19 disagree 10:6 23:11 27:15,16 enormous 40:25 eavesdropped 23:21 disagreed 21:15 ensure 41:19 42:22 eavesdropping 24:12 disagreeing 11:22 ensures 42:1 ECHBO00579 16:6 disappearing 22:10 enter 22:20 ECHBO00581 16:7 disconnection 40:18 entered 22:22 **ECHBO00583** 16:7 discontinue 18:25 entertain 7:4 ECHBO00584 16:7 discretion 11:4,12 entirety 19:1 ECHBO00585 16:7 discussion 7:14 epic 28:9 economy 29:2 dispose 31:14 **equals** 24:25 **ECORE** 40:6.7 44:24 46:7 disposing 22:20 **equates** 40:15 effect 23:10 25:17 dispositive 30:25 equipment 5:25 efficient 39:19 dispute 9:2 eradicate 47:14 efficiently 39:21 distinct 20:15 Erick 4:7 elaborate 48:1 distinguishing 23:20 Erik 3:37:10 **electric** 8:8 16:3,6,19,23 17:10 18:9, 14 24:17 25:1,21 26:10,12 27:21 distortion 6:13 21:7 47:3,20 errors 42:1 30:21 34:21,22 49:9 **ESIMZ00384** 8:13 **district** 17:13 18:1 19:7 21:18 22:2, **Electric's** 17:5 18:17 19:10 20:5.13. 7,25 23:8,12,14 24:4 25:13,16,21 **ESIMZ00385** 8:13 18 22:17 28:13 29:3 32:14 26:22 28:16 30:14,18,24 33:21 essence 25:7 **electrical** 3:14,16 7:5 11:21,23 document 42:10 16:22 22:13 29:15 36:20 37:16 essentially 18:10 19:5 21:1 28:15 documentary 28:23 39:14 40:17 European 47:14 documented 28:22 electrically 41:6 evidence 20:6 24:1 25:12 28:23 documents 10:4 42:9,13 43:2,5 electricians 49:13,14 44:15 46:25 dollar 37:24 electricity 5:25 evidentiary 20:12 21:20 22:12 23:5 Dominic 4:24 25:2,4,25 26:11 **electronic** 40:25 41:1,2 **Don** 3:5 4:22 15:16 43:13 48:7 elimination 20:5 **ex-employees** 23:14 24:14,18 **Drilling** 8:8,10,12 excessive 15:8 **email** 8:20 drop 40:21 Excuse 13:18 33:6,7 emergency 47:13 due 16:9,10 19:11 **emphasis** 43:3 44:16 excused 4:4 **executive** 12:17,20 duplication 42:21 emphasizes 44:2 **Dylan** 3:4 4:17 **expand** 46:17 enabled 3:21

Index: dictated..expand

Index: expectation..hearing

expectation 41:19

expected 30:2

expenditures 36:22,23

experience 48:18 49:25

express 13:23

extend 34:9

extending 14:18

extension 6:1,18

extensions 30:8

extent 17:25 18:14 26:17 30:13,17

31:12

F

face-to-face 7:22

fact 19:9 22:15 27:22 31:5

factor 23:20

facts 10:3 11:8 13:15 14:16 28:2

32:6

fade 25:5 33:4,11

fading 22:9

Faford 17:16 23:12,19,21 26:25

28:2

Faford's 24:2

failing 40:4

fairly 45:21 49:21

fall 10:21

families 43:6

fashion 10:16

fault 6:12 36:9

favor 7:15 18:17

feature 3:21

federal 17:8,11,13,18 18:1,11,16,23

19:6 20:24 26:22 31:22 32:4

feeder 49:20

Feel 48:4

field 40:5,7,11,13

figure 32:10 37:24

file 30:8

final 15:19 22:20

finalizes 20:24

finally 10:21

find 22:5,14 23:2

finding 18:16 31:5 49:15

findings 12:21 17:19 27:9,10 28:4,

10 30:15,16 31:16 32:16

finds 28:17

fiscal 36:24 37:2 38:8,18,19

fix 32:10 42:2

flawed 10:8

floor 15:1 35:5

focus 41:25 46:7

focused 40:2 41:8,11,24 43:22

folks 46:7

form 3:22 49:7

forms 26:18

forward 7:24,25 17:1 22:4 24:24

28:21 34:11,15 48:2

forwarded 8:22

found 23:17 28:1

fourteenth 19:11 27:12 28:13 31:8

32:7,8,15

Fourth 22:6 25:14 26:18 28:19

frame 30:2,4,6 38:24,25 40:7,24

free 48:4

fruit 47:10

FTES 39:25

full 19:19 41:20

fund 36:20

G

gangbusters 44:22

garages 6:4

gather 14:22

general 3:8 19:25 21:13

give 10:10 13:22 14:8,21 33:19,24

34:2 45:3

giving 5:16

goal 38:17 40:21 43:23

goals 41:18

Goldfarb 16:20,21 17:2 26:5,6

29:23,25 30:5 31:7 34:18

good 3:25 6:22 16:17 28:20 39:20,

25 45:22 46:8 47:4

government 27:1 28:1

government's 32:3

qovernor 47:9

grandchildren 6:16

granted 20:5,14,17

Gray 3:5 4:20,21

great 6:1 42:16 47:1

green 47:14

ground 6:12,15

grounds 20:19

guess 15:17 36:17 38:13 43:24

guiding 31:13

guys 12:3,11,15 44:9 45:3 47:8

Н

half 10:14,22 12:8,10 13:17 20:18

hand 13:1 24:25 46:9

happened 20:10 24:14 31:21,24

happening 26:23

happy 7:24 35:16,20

hard 11:18 35:18 42:17

harder 22:11 34:3

harm 32:25 33:1,3

He'll 4:5

hear 9:16,25 12:23 14:11,23 18:10,

12 19:1,18 30:24 46:8

heard 17:3 23:24

hearing 7:15,18 8:16 9:7 11:18

16:8,13 19:19 20:12 21:20 22:12

Index: hearings..Kellogg

23:3,6 24:24 25:2,4,7,25 26:11 43:11

hearings 19:4,16,20 22:7

held 12:16 16:8,10 20:12,23 23:6

hell 13:18

helping 41:24

hey 22:25 32:9

higher-level 48:25

hire 44:24 49:8

hired 42:4

hitting 46:7

hold 49:14

Homeowners 37:11

Honor 34:19

hope 47:17 hour 12:4

hours 38:16,20 39:4,6,13

HR 45:8,12

ı

ice 6:6

ideas 31:18

ignores 27:22

illegal 24:2

imagine 17:7 25:18

impacted 17:24 28:18 32:15

implementation 41:23

important 17:12 20:8

importantly 27:7 28:25

impossible 21:2 25:5

impressive 43:19

improper 26:15

improve 41:19

improved 42:1

improvement 41:13

improving 42:20

in-person 36:7

including 26:9

increase 37:4,17 38:10 39:17 40:14

increases 45:12 incumbent 20:9

indiscernible 5:15 9:1,3,7 15:14

individuals 23:9.16.17 27:2

Industries 21:14 23:9

industry 49:9,18

informants 27:1 32:4

information 10:13,20 15:13,14,19 21:7 29:7,20 31:4 34:7 41:18 45:21

initial 17:23 22:23 25:10,19 33:17

injunction 32:12

input 11:2 21:5

inside 44:17

inspection 37:13 40:12,15

inspections 38:16,20,23 39:5,10,

12,15,21,23

inspector 39:24 40:12 44:23 48:14

inspectors 22:10 40:13 44:24 45:3, 17,19 46:6,25 47:16 48:10

installation 6:8

instances 45:18

instructions 22:22,24 33:19,24

intended 31:13

intercept 24:9,16

intercepted 27:25

interception 24:3 28:17

interceptions 27:22,24

interested 16:9 45:1

interesting 36:12

interpretation 32:8

interrupt 24:10 37:20

involving 27:1 32:3

ironic 22:14

Isaacson 4:5

issued 20:19

issues 6:3 14:5 19:19 30:17 32:3,5

48:12

item 5:13,17 7:1,2,19 8:2 34:23

35:24,25 36:15

Ivan 4:5

J

Jack 3:5 5:3

James 3:4 4:9 16:23

January 7:6 15:3,10

Jason 3:3,13 8:18 48:8

Jenkins 3:3,13 4:9,12,15,17,20,22, 24 5:2,5,10,19 6:21 7:2,8,12,17 8:3, 11,16,19,24 9:12,16,21 10:2,10,17 11:1,3,13,19 12:16,18 13:6,21 14:3, 6,12,14,24 15:11 16:4,25 21:4,10 26:4 29:5,14 30:1 31:2 32:18,22 33:7 34:5,18,20,24 35:4,5,8,16,25 36:11,16 43:11 48:6,8

job 42:5,16

jobs 33:12 41:5

Joel 47:19

John 21:8,12 32:20

journey-level 48:21

journeyman 48:19 49:15

judge 19:12 20:4,17 21:25 23:17

24:20 25:10,19,24 27:5

judge's 17:15,23

judgment 20:7,14,18

July 7:21,23 8:6 11:11 15:17,23

18:10,13 19:1 34:13

jump 12:19 40:25

juris 27:18

jurisdictions 45:14 46:5,18,22

justice 29:3

Κ

Kellogg 12:24 13:23,25 14:10,23 15:1,2,12,22,25

Index: Kerry..minutes

Kerry 3:4 4:13

key 38:15

kind 6:23 32:25 33:1

King 46:9

Kleiser 16:24

Knottingham 3:5 5:3,4

knowing 12:14 13:12

knowledge 41:16 48:17

L

L&i 35:13 47:15

lab 43:7

labor 12:25 21:14 23:9 45:7,15

labs 43:7

large 40:16 46:10

late 4:6

Lathrop 3:6 5:15,18,20 35:1,3,7

law 17:15,23 19:8,14,19 20:4,17

26:19 27:4 31:8 32:7,11

laws 45:7

lawsuit 17:9

lawyer 10:23 29:18

Layman 11:14

Laymon 8:10,13,14,21 9:11,13,14, 18,25 10:8,13,18 11:5,17,24 13:8,10

15:10,22,24 16:1

Laymons 15:3

lead 31:1

leave 33:4,12

leaving 18:22 32:25

Lee 3:3 4:7,8 7:10

left 12:2,3

legal 11:10 21:23 25:22 27:18

legislative 35:1,11

letter 9:19 26:19

level 34:1

license 37:16

licensed 43:4

licensing 40:3 41:9,12,21 42:24

lifting 17:21

light 21:18 25:13 29:7

list 49:7

listening 23:23

live 27:25

living 28:7

LLC 8:10,12

locals 45:20

locate 25:5

location 31:3

locations 42:19

long 33:13

longer 22:11 33:10 34:3

Lorin 5:15,19 35:1

lot 5:23 18:4 22:1 26:8 37:18 39:2

42:6,17 44:5,18 46:11 47:18

lower 18:19 28:4 31:17 32:2

М

made 18:2 20:4 26:1,22 34:15 37:15

40:24

main 48:18

maintain 41:25

maintaining 48:12

maintenance 49:12,14

majority 39:5,11

make 3:25 4:6 6:7 9:6 10:11 14:13, 19,22 16:17 18:11 27:9 29:2,10,19,

21 30:15 31:16 34:11 40:10 45:6

makes 7:24 17:4 20:21 32:13 33:20

making 6:14 15:18 28:10,14 45:16,

19

manage 41:25

manager 42:4

managing 41:22

mandate 39:3

manner 42:2

March 36:21 37:5 43:1

materials 30:7

matter 16:5,12 18:17 21:14,17,22

22:20,21 25:9 26:19,20 31:14 32:7

matters 8:7

max 34:9

meaning 6:24

means 5:24 13:13 28:8

meant 32:17

measures 38:15

meet 41:21 42:23

meeting 3:23 7:3,21,23 8:6,25 10:5, 24 11:7,11,24,25 12:1,8,14,21,22

13:1 14:4,17,19,20 15:17 29:15

34:13,14 36:10 40:9 45:2,6 47:25

48:2

meetings 44:11

member 4:5,7,8,9,11,13,14,15,16, 17,19,20,21,22,23,24 5:3,4 7:7,10

14:12,13,15 15:16 37:20,21 38:4,11 43:14,17,18 45:25 46:15 47:6 48:4,6

members 3:3 4:1 5:19 35:8 36:4

41:20 43:8 45:5

memories 22:9 25:5 28:24 33:4,11

Memory 33:5

mention 7:20

mentioned 44:10

mess 18:20 20:25

message 5:14 6:23

mic 33:9

Microsoft 3:2

mics 3:19 4:2

midst 26:15

Mike 3:4 4:15

million 37:23

mind 29:23

minutes 7:5 10:11 16:15 29:10

mischaracterizations 26:8 28:4

missed 5:8

misspoke 37:24

misstep 32:9

mistake 36:8

mistakes 42:2

mix 42:10

mode 34:8

model 46:14,17 47:4

Molesworth 3:7 5:6,15 36:2,3,19 38:2,6,12 43:12,16 44:1 46:13,16

47:17 48:15

moment 12:19 14:8

money 18:5 26:13 28:10

month 39:17

monthly 36:22,23 37:2 38:8

months 11:6 34:9,10 43:3

motion 7:4,7,8,12,18 15:19 20:5,13

31:1 34:14,16

motions 25:20

move 14:17 15:16 18:21 28:20

34:11

moved 15:7

moving 7:2,19 8:3 15:20 16:4 34:24

39:14

multiply 40:16

municipalities 45:14

mute 3:19 14:1 33:9

Ν

Nancy 12:24 13:22,25 14:10 15:1,

12,22

narcotics 23:25

necessarily 18:21

Needless 37:17

neighbor's 23:21

nice 6:9,11 35:18

nightmare 17:22

Nord 3:4 4:15,16 7:7

normal 8:1

Nos 8:13 16:6

note 8:4 11:5

notice 16:9

number 15:8 21:24 34:25 39:14

40:2,4,12,16 43:22

numbers 39:4 40:10 44:7

numerous 21:19 25:20

0

OAH 27:9 28:11 30:16 31:15,25

32:2,9,16

object 9:18

objection 8:17 9:5 13:9

objections 13:3

obvious 21:19

October 34:13,14

office 19:4,15,20 20:13 33:12

officer 22:19,22 33:17,19 44:13

official 3:22

oldest 42:25

ongoing 16:10 23:22

online 35:2 37:7,9,11,13,16,18

open 12:22 42:20

operating 36:22,23

opinion 13:23 24:7

opportunity 16:13 45:23 47:13,23

opposed 7:17

options 18:9

order 3:16 8:12,17 9:3,6,9,23 10:3,7, 15,19,25 11:15,22 12:7,12 13:4,12,

17,24 14:17 15:3,17 17:23 20:11

21:23 22:20,23 31:5 36:7

organizing 42:5

original 28:16

outcome 18:13,22,23 19:6 30:12

outreach 39:20

overrules 28:16

overturned 28:14 29:1

overturns 30:18

Ρ

package 45:11,23 47:1,8

packages 44:15

pages 41:6

Pam 8:21

pandemic 16:10

paperwork 12:5,7,9,10

paramount 41:24

part 6:13 11:5 18:6,12 19:6 20:6

21:16 22:3 35:10 36:4

parties 16:9,13 17:9 35:22

parties' 18:5

party 16:15

passed 8:21

passes 7:18

past 15:15 28:21 47:20

patience 29:17

pay 44:3,25 45:2

pdf 49:7

pending 18:23 21:21 22:9 30:12

people 33:4,12 41:4 43:4 49:16

people's 25:5

percent 37:1,6,8,9,12,13,14,15,17

38:15,17,18,19,20,21,22 39:23,24 41:1,8,9,10,11 45:16,17

41.1,0,9,10,11 45.10,17

percentage 37:25

performance 38:15

performed 38:16,20,23 39:15

period 33:1 36:25 37:3 38:9 39:18

permits 19:8 37:6,7,9 40:3 41:10

person 31:10

personable 6:23



personally 47:7 27:20 28:3 29:7 34:7 prosecute 44:14 perspective 20:22 presenting 25:12 protected 42:8 pets 6:16 presentment 11:11 protection 6:12 Phillips 4:3 presiding 22:22 33:17,19 prove 28:9 34:4 **phone** 3:20 12:4 14:10 23:21 24:3, pretty 19:10 43:19 46:10 provide 28:24 13,15,18 41:22 42:9 provided 27:25 prevail 18:15 **piece** 34:25 previous 24:15 35:19,20 37:10,12 provision 25:15 **Pierce** 46:10 44:10 49:10 prudence 27:18 place 6:9 16:15 18:3,8 20:23 23:3 principle 31:8 **pulled** 45:12 25:4,7 33:1 prior 8:25 33:21 pulling 42:5 plan 40:20,24,25 41:1,3,6 43:19 prioritized 39:12 **purely** 21:23 plans 40:20 priority 41:14 pursuant 16:8 platform 3:18 **privacy** 22:5 23:13,18 24:5,9,20,22 **push** 41:2 play 39:7 25:14 28:18 pushed 36:5 pleased 7:21 private 11:25 12:15 45:15 pushing 35:22 plug 6:14 procedural 17:6,22 32:9 put 10:18,20,24 11:10 12:8,21 26:9 procedurally 26:14 point 4:1 9:8 26:24 27:3 38:22 39:1, 43:3 44:8 45:11,21 16 42:12 proceed 23:2 **puts** 11:19 pointing 23:7 proceeding 23:10 28:5 putting 32:6 **police** 23:24 proceedings 3:10 22:21 policies 42:23 Q process 11:6 19:11 28:14 31:13 35:12 40:1 41:4,15 42:10,15 44:13, **pool** 49:12,16 21 45:5 qualifications 48:10,13,16 49:8 popped 14:6,7 processed 37:7 42:14 43:5 qualifies 39:9 portion 12:22 17:14,18 19:23 23:10 processes 42:7,8,22 44:6 quarter 36:24 37:6,8,10,11,13,14, position 3:24 9:10 11:16 17:5 22:1 15,17 24:23 29:4 31:23,24 32:12 49:7 processing 42:8 question 31:3 32:23 43:13 48:9 potential 41:20 profession 29:18 49:19 **pound** 3:20 program 42:4 43:9 44:20 questions 29:24 35:15 43:8,9,25 **power** 49:6 programs 43:8 quick 32:22 35:8 37:21 48:8 practical 20:22 progress 24:10 quorum 5:11 precluded 25:11 project 42:4 prefer 9:19 prompt 48:2 R preference 30:11 prompting 43:14 **Rachel** 16:20 premature 26:1 proper 10:25 12:13 14:22 15:19 rain 6:6 16:8,9 17:6 29:20 30:15 34:8 present 3:7 4:8,11,14,16,19,21 8:14 raised 13:1 27:21 22:12 27:24 proposal 11:20 presentation 8:12 21:16 proposed 8:17 9:3,6,9,23 10:6 ran 38:13 11:15 range 48:17 presented 9:9 10:5 20:11 23:5



Transcript of Proceedings - April 28, 2022 Index: RCW..secretary

RCW 22:16 27:8 31:13 48:16,21 49:6

reaching 18:5 26:11,14

read 9:19,22 37:22

ready 48:24

real 23:20 32:22

realize 39:2

reason 9:15 20:20 22:17 25:1 28:20

49:10

reasons 21:19,24 30:11

rebounds 40:11

rebuttal 10:3

recall 14:16

receive 8:20 36:7

received 8:24 31:4

receptacle 6:14

recess 29:8,12

recited 27:8

recognize 17:12 20:10 22:18 27:23

reconsider 19:5,12 20:21 27:5,10,

13 31:19,21 32:17

reconsideration 31:9

reconsidered 20:20 30:17

reconvene 29:9

record 3:25 5:10 10:12 12:22 13:9 16:17 20:9 25:25 26:9 33:5 38:1

recording 24:12

recordings 24:15,19

records 24:17 28:8,24 42:23

recruit 48:13

reduced 42:13

reduces 42:1

refer 46:24

referrals 47:1

reflect 11:15,20

reflects 9:10

reiterate 32:24

relationships 46:19

relevant 6:3

remain 16:14

remaining 19:24

remand 22:21 30:16 31:5,16 32:16

33:16,18,25

remember 22:8

REMEMBERED 3:1

remind 30:24

reminder 3:18

remotely 16:10

renewals 37:16

report 36:18 39:8 43:7 46:3

reported 23:23

reporter 3:25 16:18 21:11 37:25

represent 21:13

representative 12:25 16:19

represented 45:5

representing 3:8 16:22

request 15:5 38:16,21 39:6

requested 10:20 30:8

requesting 10:19

requests 21:17 37:13

require 27:8

required 28:11 49:8

requirement 31:4

resources 44:8

response 5:1,9 8:15

responses 14:22

result 40:17

resume 29:14

retention 42:23

reticence 26:10

retiring 22:10

returned 18:18

revenue 37:2 38:8

reversal 17:22 18:18

reversals 21:1

reversed 18:19

reverses 18:1

review 22:1 23:1 26:2 40:24,25 41:3

reviewed 9:8 11:14 40:21 41:6

reviewing 21:22 22:19

revisions 35:9

revisiting 34:10

rides 41:12

rights 28:13,18,19 31:11 32:14

Rivera 3:9 8:18,20

Rob 9:14

Robert 8:10,13,21 9:13

Roden 24:6

roll 4:2

root-cause 42:7 44:5

ruin 20:2

rule 19:8,21

ruling 17:11,15,16,25 18:1,2,5,11,16

19:5,12 30:13

rulings 12:21 25:11 29:2

rural 46:8

S

Sacks 47:19

safe 6:4,10

safety 5:14 6:22

sake 15:18

sales 37:11

schedule 22:7 41:24

scope 9:1

search 22:6 25:15

Seattle 44:12,17,24 46:2,9

secretary 3:75:7

Index: secretary's..teams

secretary's 36:18 sector 45:15

seized 23:25

seizure 22:6 25:15

send 12:10,12 13:14 22:15,24 25:8

sending 28:11

sense 20:22 29:2 33:20

sentence 38:5,7 separate 20:15 32:1 September 34:13

service 37:5 42:19 49:20

services 37:18

session 12:17,20 45:24 47:9

set 11:10 19:14 38:17 40:20 41:17,

19

shape 6:8 **share** 48:24

shortly 35:18

side 15:15 16:15

sign 13:4

significant 17:14 19:10

signing 9:8 simple 49:21 simply 33:20,25

sir 16:20 29:25

sits 19:15

sitting 6:4 12:4

situation 19:16 26:25

situations 47:21 skills 48:17

Snohomish 46:10

snow 6:6 **sold** 37:6,9

sort 19:16 20:11 22:3

speak 3:24 11:17 14:2,9 16:17

28:24 33:8

SPEAKER 33:5

speaking 3:20,25 33:8

special 47:18

specialist 3:6 5:18,20 35:3,7 36:3, 19 38:2,6,12 43:12,16 44:1 46:13,16

47:17 48:15

specific 31:18 32:16 42:11

specifically 39:3 speed 35:10 speeding 41:4 spell 16:18 21:10 spelled 22:16

spoke 5:14 27:2 **spring** 5:22 6:11 **staff** 41:18 42:6

Spokane 46:23,24

staffing 39:18

stakeholders 44:19

stand 48:11 Standards 45:7 standpoint 11:10 start 5:13 41:17 started 30:21

starting 4:3 8:25 40:10 42:19

state 3:16 7:5 9:3 10:7 16:21 17:15 21:10 24:6 26:25 28:2 30:8 33:2 34:22 45:8,12 47:13 48:20

statement 9:23,24 10:12 26:5

States 26:19 **statewide** 42:21 **statute** 19:7 33:15,17

statements 9:6

stay 16:14 17:5,21 18:25 19:17 20:23 24:25 32:25

stayed 16:12 34:1 **step** 18:9 32:5 36:17

steps 20:11 **stop** 24:9,23

stopping 24:12

stops 40:12

stragglers 41:1

strained 46:20

streamline 42:21

stretched 43:2

stuck 12:4

studies 41:16

stuff 10:23

submit 45:11

submitted 17:4 27:9 30:6

submitting 45:8

Subsection 22:18

successful 45:9,22 48:1

sufficient 15:4

suggestion 14:15 19:3,24 20:4

suggestions 49:3 summary 20:7,14,18

sunset 14:20 sunshine 6:19 superior 18:15 supervise 40:4 supervision 41:10

supervisor 46:23

supply 31:5 support 47:15

supposed 13:14,19

supreme 17:17 24:6 26:21

Т

takes 23:3 33:11 41:15

talk 5:21 9:15 tangled 20:25

task 41:15 42:11 49:21

team 41:16,19,20,21,25 43:20

teams 3:2 42:20

technical 3:5,6 5:18,20 14:5 35:3,7 36:3,19 38:2,6,12 43:12,16 44:1

46:13,16 47:17 48:15

temporary 36:1,6,13

ten 29:9,10

ten-minute 29:8

tenable 18:6

tentatively 7:22

term 24:9

terminology 49:17,24,25

territory 30:20

testimony 28:23

testing 43:7

thing 19:22 23:7,16 24:14 27:16 31:2 33:15 39:6 44:25 47:6

things 5:23 21:15 45:8 48:23 49:5

thought 36:11,14

Thursday 3:1

tight 6:9

till 29:10

time 3:19 5:22 10:15,22,23 11:18 12:8 13:22 14:21 15:4,21,23 18:4,5, 13 19:23 25:6,25 26:13 27:25 28:10 29:19 30:2,3,6 33:1,8,13 34:6 38:18, 24,25 39:16,18 40:5,7,18,20,23 41:7,14,16,25 42:8,14

timely 10:15 42:2,22

times 15:8 36:22 43:1

today 5:5,14,21 6:19 8:8 11:9 13:5 16:5,19 17:3 20:1 28:3 31:12 38:14 48:11,14

tools 5:25

top 41:13

total 38:23 39:23 40:4,6,8 41:11

totally 20:14 30:19

tracking 46:4

trainee 36:1 41:10

trainees 36:8 40:4

training 41:19 42:1

transcript 7:3 15:5,6

transferring 22:11

transition 24:11

trial 25:10,19 31:1

Tumelson 3:4 4:10,11

Tumwater 7:23

turnaround 40:20 42:22

turned 47:4

turning 39:25

type 34:11,14 41:8

U

unable 49:20

understand 18:21 43:4 44:2 49:24

understands 14:20

union 45:15

United 26:19

UNKNOWN 33:5

unmute 4:2

unsuccessful 44:23

untangle 21:2

update 8:4 35:1,8 45:4

updated 35:11,12

updates 43:8,10

upper 32:2

usable 39:25

useless 29:1

utilize 41:20

٧

VANCE 3:6

VEI 39:10,15,22

version 8:4

versus 46:8

Vice 4:3

violated 23:18

violating 45:7

violation 19:10 22:5 23:3,13 24:19,

Index: technical..working

22 28:12 41:8

violations 21:21 22:13 33:14 34:4

49:23

virtual 39:14

W

WAC 35:9,11

wage 45:12

wages 45:10,13,19

waiting 43:12

wanted 15:2 19:22 45:3

warnings 40:2 41:8

warrant 23:24

Washington 3:14,16 6:19 7:5 16:21

17:16 23:18 48:20,22

waste 26:13 28:9

wasted 18:4

wasteful 28:15

Wayne 5:6,15,20 8:22 36:2 37:20

43:15 48:9

web 20:25 29:1

website 15:7 35:12,13

week 10:14,21 12:7,10 13:17 47:9

weeks 40:21

wet 6:11

winter 6:5,6

wit 3:11

witnesses 22:9 25:6

wonderful 42:5

wondering 46:3

work 5:24 10:15 31:11 41:24,25 42:12,21 43:6 44:19 46:21

worked 44:20

worker 40:3 48:21

working 5:20 6:8 39:10 41:3 44:12,

15,21 46:9 48:23

ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING Transcript of Proceedings April 28, 2021

Transcript of Proceedings - April 28, 2022 Index: workload..yield

workload 41:15,23,25 works 31:11 32:11 42:15

world 13:18

wrong 32:10 49:14

Υ

yards 5:24 6:20

year 36:24,25 37:2,3 38:8,10,18,19, 24 39:1,15,16 40:5,7,13,14,18,19, 22,24 41:6,7

years 22:8 28:21 47:22 48:20 49:10

yield 47:10

