## **Capitol Pacific Reporting**

**Court Reporters Since 1978** 

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 800.407.0148

## ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS July 25, 2024



**Production:** 

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling:

scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website:

www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

1	
2	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	
5	
6	
7	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	July 25, 2024
10	Vancouver, Washington
11	Pages 1 through 113
12	
13	
14	CERTIFIED
15	TRANSCRIPT
16	Reported by:
17	Andrea L. Clevenger, CCR, RPR Washington Certified Stenographic Court Reporter #3041
18	for Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.
19	(800) 407-0148
20	<pre>www.capitolpacificreporting.com admin@capitolpacificreporting.com</pre>
21	
22	
23	
2 <b>4</b>	
25	



## ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024

1	AGENDA	
2		PAGE NO.
3	Safety Message	3
4	Approve Transcript From April 25, 2024 Electrical Board Meeting	6
5	Appeals	7
6		
7	Kugel Construction; EZINS02418, EZINS02419, EZINS02420	7
8	Mr. Rooter of Portland and Brian Buelt; ESICO00762 and ESICO00763	53
9	Discussion and signature for Order issued. Mastec	82
10	Network Solutions; EREIF01172, EREIF01173, EREIF01174, EREIF01175, EREIF01176, EREIF01177,	02
11	EREIF01178	
12	Certification/CEU Quarterly Report	83
13	Secretary's Report	94
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 2



1	BE IT REMEMBERED that an Electrical Board
2	meeting was held on Thursday, July 25, 2024, at 301 West
3	6th Street, Vancouver, Washington, at 9:01 a.m., before
4	CHAIRPERSON JASON JENKINS, BOARD MEMBERS BOBBY GRAY,
5	KERRY COX, IVAN ISAACSON, MIKE NORD, DYLAN CUNNINGHAM,
6	DON BAKER, and SECRETARY/CHIEF ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR WAYNE
7	MOLESWORTH. Also present was ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
8	BEN BLOHOWIAK, representing the Board;
9	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings
10	were had, to wit:
11	
12	<<<< >>>>>
13	
14	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So I want
15	to welcome everyone here to the Washington State
16	Electrical Board meeting on July 25th. It is
17	approximately 9:02 a.m. I would like to call the meeting
18	to order.
19	The first item on our agenda here is the safety
20	message, and I've kind of passed the buck again to our
21	secretary, Wayne Molesworth.
22	
23	Safety Message
24	
25	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Good morning.



So one of the things that we run into this time of year is dealing with heat. This year is no exception, and it's probably worse than we've had in a long, long time, even on this side of the mountains.

When we're working in those types of situations, we have to be aware of a couple of different things: heat stress and heatstroke; right?

And so heatstroke or heat exhaustion are -- is a milder version, if you can call it milder. It's from overextending your work time in temperatures over 80 degrees and lack of hydration and lack of taking some breaks and getting into a cool place.

If you start to see symptoms of heat exhaustion such as profuse sweating, dry, cold, clammy skin, you need to take that person and get them into a cool place and start to cool them down a little bit, get them a break, get them hydrated.

Because if it turns and it turns into heatstroke, now you stop sweating. You're going to enter into confusion, experience a little confusion. You'll experience balance problems.

And you -- if you drop into heatstroke, that's a medical emergency, and you need to be transported to a medical facility and be checked out by a doctor. It can be fatal.



And so the key is to prevent all that is just stay hydrated, take some breaks to cool down, and -- and keep an eye on your buddy. Right? Because sometimes you don't know it's happening to yourself.

You need to keep an eye on that person next to you that you're working with. They'll be able to tell you that you're having a problem. You'll be able to tell them that they're having a problem, but just be very aware at this time of year with the type of heat we have.

Also very important to me because I've almost lost all my hearing, so I don't want to lose my sight too, but this time of year, with the sun as bright as it is and we're outside working on reflective materials such as metal, sheetrock, white -- white floors -- even a plywood floor on a new house can reflect and burn your eyes.

And so make sure to wear your sunglasses. Make sure they're polarized so they give you the best protection possible.

Okay. And that is my safety topic for the day, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

I'm going to add one more thing to that. If you do have someone with heat exhaustion, make sure that they're drinking plenty of liquids, rest in cool shades.

If they start feeling the ill effect and if someone



1	goes into heatstroke, you need to cool their body
2	temperature down as soon as possible.
3	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I did
4	forget that the best way to do that is with damp rags,
5	towels, wrap them in them, and transport them. Right?
6	Get them covered with water. That will cool them down
7	quick.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. That's all
9	my safety training.
10	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Thank you for
11	the reminder. May the board put this on the record? I'm
12	assigning safety topic to the chair for next no. I'm
13	just kidding. He had some good points.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you
15	very much.
16	I want to make sure I brought this up. Looks like
17	we have some guests here today from Perry Tech and their
18	class, so I will say welcome. Thanks for coming out and
19	hope you have a good meeting with us. Thank you.
20	
21	Approve Transcript From April 25, 2024 Electrical Board
22	Meeting
23	
24	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So we're
25	on Item No. 2, and the chair would entertain a motion to



1	approve the transcripts from April 25th, 2024.
2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord,
3	motion.
4	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion.
5	Do we have a second?
6	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Second. Board
7	Member Gray.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion, and
9	we have a second.
10	Any discussion?
11	Hearing none, all in favor, say aye.
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	CHAIR JENKINS: Any opposed?
14	Motion passes.
15	Appeals
16	Kugel Construction; EZINS02418, EZINS02419, EZINS02420
17	
18	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So moving
19	on to our next item, looks like we're on to appeals and
20	looks like we have correct me if I say it wrong but
21	Kugel.
22	MR. KNOLL: Kugel is correct.
23	CHAIR JENKINS: And we have the
24	John Barnes; is that correct?
25	MR. BARNES: Correct.



1 CHAIR JENKINS: Can I get your name, 2 please, sir? 3 MR. KNOLL: First name Thomas. Last name Knoll, spelled K-n-o-l-l, Jr. 4 5 CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So the 6 matter here before us today is an appeal in the matter of 7 Kugel Construction Docket Nos. 06-2023-LI-01889. The hearing is being held and pursuant and due to proper 9 notice to all interested parties in Vancouver, Washington, on July 25th, 2024, at approximately 10 11 9:07 a.m. 12 This is an appeal from the original order issued by 13 the Office of Administrative Hearings on November 28th, 14 It is my understanding the decision was affirmed 15 citation notices EZINS02418 and EZINS02419 and dismissed 16 citation notice EZINS02420, all issued by the Department 17 of Labor and Industries on January 24th, 2023. 18 It is further my understanding that Kugel 19 Construction has timely appealed that decision to the 20 electrical board. 21 And I see we have both our parties here today. 22 the electric board is legal [sic] authorized by the 23 legislature not only to advise the Department regarding 24 electrical program, but to hear appeals when the 25 Department issues citations or takes some other adverse



2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

action regarding electrical license certifications compliance.

The electrical board is a completely separate entity from the Department and, as such, will independently review actions taken by the Department.

When the Department issues penalties, the hearing is assigned to the Office of Administrative Hearings to conduct the hearing pursuant to Administrative Procedures Act.

The ALJ who conducted this hearing then issues a proposed decision and order. If either party appeals, that decision is subject to review by the electrical board.

Please keep in mind that, while our review is de novo, we sit in the same position as the administrative law judge and review the entire record regardless of whether the piece of evidence is referenced by the ALJ.

We are bound by the evidence in the record, and no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing. Each party will be given 15 minutes today to argue the merits of the case.

Any board member may ask questions, and the time may be extended at the discretion of the board. At the conclusion of the hearing, the board will determine if



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 1 the findings and conclusions reached by the ALJ are 2 supported by the facts and the rules pertaining to the 3 electrical installations. 4 Are there any questions? 5 MR. BARNES: No. 6 CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. As the 7 appealing party, you have the burden to prove the established proposed decision is incorrect. we'll hear from you first. 9 10 MR. KNOLL: All right. Thank you very 11 much for your time. My name is Thomas Knoll. 12 represent Kugel Construction in this matter, and we are 13 appealing the two citations that were affirmed by Judge 14 Derifield, ALJ, specifically the -- and I won't give the 15 number, but I will outline the topic. 16 So one of the citations is from RCW 19.28.041, and 17 that's where it was alleged that Kugel Construction 18 engaged in electrical work without a license. 19 was affirmed.

> And then WAC 296-46B-901, which requires the contractor to post an electrical permit before work is to be done at the worksite, that citation was also affirmed.

There was a third citation that you heard that was dismissed. That one comes from RCW 19.28.271, and that RCW states that an employer cannot hire an individual to

Page 10



20

21

22

23

24

25

Therefore,

do electrical work who does not have a license. That citation was dismissed.

Now, the basis of our appeal is basically surrounding the judge's conclusion that Kugel Construction is liable for these citations based on a legal theory of imputed liability.

Now, before today's hearing, I submitted -- I don't know if you've had time to read my motion in support of our appeal. Some of you are shaking your head yes.

And I think it's important, and I want to highlight for you what is necessary in order to prove imputed liability.

First of all, we believe that that standard is not applicable to this type of situation. When I -- when I researched this, imputed liability is quite commonly found in situations where there is a sexual harassment claim or hostile work claim.

And in those situations, an employer can be liable through imputed liability, but in order to do so, if that is, in fact, the standard that you consider to be accurate, in order to make that conclusion, the evidence has to show that the employer knew or should have known about the conduct.

And I'm referencing the sexual harassment, knowing full well that's not the basis of this case, but the



employer knew or should have known about conduct and failed to act to prevent that conduct from going forward.

What the evidence in this case demonstrates -- and the judge even says so in her order -- that there is no dispute that it was Mr. Kugel's employee who did electrical work and that Mr. Kugel and his project manager did not know that that work actually was done by the employee.

That's the facts of the case. There's no dispute about that. And so when you -- when you take that information, which was established through testimony, and apply it to imputed liability, L&I did not meet its burden because, if Kugel Construction did not know that its employee was doing something prohibited by law, it didn't have knowledge of the act and, therefore, could not act on that knowledge to prevent further violations.

So just in quick summary, though, to provide you the relevant facts of this case, Kugel Construction had a construction superintendent by the name of Mike Meyers, and he was assigned to be a superintendent for a worksite in Puyallup, Washington.

And during the course of his assignment at that worksite, a portable generator arrived at the worksite early on when they were doing dirt work, and Mr. Meyers hooked up/hardwired that portable generator to a trailer.



And -- and sometime after doing that, an investigator from L&I comes to the worksite in Puyallup to check for electrical compliance. He sees the generator. He sees it's been connected, and he begins his work. He contacts Mr. Meyers and has a discussion with him about the generator and who hooked it up.

Mr. Meyers initially at that meeting said he didn't

Mr. Meyers initially at that meeting said he didn't know. He gives a reason for it in the record why he didn't know, is because he initially hooked it up the first time, but the generator and trailer had moved on the lot, and so he believed that someone had disconnected what he had initially connected and reconnected it. And so when he answered the investigator's question, he was answering it based on what he thought had happened.

But, anyway, what the investigator found is that he didn't see a permit for electrical work. He received from Mr. Meyers that a Kugel Construction employee hooked up the unit and that no Kugel Construction worker was an electrician.

Right after that interview, he calls the superintendent for Kugel Construction to try and get a little bit more details about this generator that was hooked up, and he contacts Geoffrey Wieland. He's the project manager right under the owner, Adam Kugel.

A conversation occurs with Mr. Wieland. Mr. Wieland



could not confirm who hooked the generator up, did not give a name, knew a generator had been delivered to the worksite, and he also testified that he had not been to the worksite since the generator had arrived and been hooked up.

With that brief interview by the inspector with Mr. Wieland, he issues those three citations against Kugel Construction. And when the president, Adam Kugel, received the citations through the mail, that was the first time that he knew of anything regarding a problem at the Puyallup worksite.

That's a general synopsis of the facts relevant to this case.

Now, I've already told you what I believe the standard is for imputed liability and that it's not met in this case because the owner, through his testimony, and the project manager said they didn't know anything about the hookup, and even Mr. Meyers said himself that he did it on his own.

He didn't tell his employer, didn't think anything was wrong about doing such work because, when he worked in Alaska, he could do things like that and had done generator hookups.

But I want to get to Judge Derifield's decision because this case should have been dismissed against Adam



Kugel, and the only case that should have been brought should have been one against Mike Meyers because, in her opinion -- I'm reading from the packet Page 12; and that's in Judge Derifield's decision about the middle of the page -- it says, "In this case, the undisputed evidence establishes that the appellant's employee, Mr. Meyers, installed a hardwired generator without electrical contractor licensure. He did so in violation of 19.28.041 Paragraph 1."

Right there, the judge, through the evidence she heard, found that it was Mr. Meyers who was the one at fault, and that's important to remember because I want to read specifically this RCW.

And let's just go to this RCW. What does the RCW say? It says, "It is unlawful for any person" -- that would be Mr. Meyers in this case -- "or a corporation" -- Mr. Kugel was cited; so that would include him; he's a corporation -- "to advertise, offer to do work, submit a bid, engage in conduct, or carry on business of installing and maintaining wires without having an unexpired electrical contractor license."

Our position is that -- and this was not news to L&I because they were told of this before we had the hearing -- that the only individual at fault is Mr. Meyers, not Kugel Construction.



He's the innocent party in this. He took no part in encouraging, directing, or having any knowledge that something like this had happened.

And the proper charge to have been brought, if the investigator would have done a more thorough investigation, would have been to charge Mr. Meyers.

Clearly, the judge found very easily that he was the one who violated the statute, and -- and there is no evidence that Mr. Kugel engaged in any type of activity that involved electrical work.

Yes, his employee did, but he was a superintendent, and you'll see in the exhibit that they filed -- our exhibit at the hearing was Exhibit B. It was the position description for Mr. Meyers.

Nothing in that position description supports

Mr. Meyers doing electrical work. He was to manage
subcontractors who were doing different jobs, one of
which would be electrical work, but he was not to
actively to do that work.

And so Mr. Kugel is the innocent party. Mr. Meyers is the one the charge should have been found against because that's what the statute calls for.

And something else that's -- words mean things, especially when you're talking about the law. When it gives the list of individuals or corporations that can be



charged, it says, "Any person, firm, partnership, corporation, or other entity."

It's not the employee and the corporation. L&I has to choose. Are we going after the corporation or are we going after the individual? It's not and/or. That's not what is written there. It's one -- it's only one. You have to choose.

In this case, L&I chose to go after the corporation, knowing full well that our evidence would be that the corporation did not specifically participate in this activity. Mr. Meyers did it on his own.

When you look at the judge's opinion, the initial order, it's troubling how she -- she twists the evidence in order to come to the conclusion almost in an effort to save L&I's claim, realizing that it would have probably been better to have just charged Mr. Meyers from the get-go, but she's -- she's going after this imputed liability theory and how is it the corporation could be liable.

Well, when you look at what she says, still on Page 12 of the packet, it says, "The fact remains that Mr. Meyers" -- it's talking about what he did -- "did so in the course of his employment with the appellant and the -- and for the appellant's benefit."

There are two incorrect assumptions. Number one,



what Mr. Meyers did was not in the course of his employment. The position description says very clearly what his limitations are. He was acting on his own, not in the course of his employment.

And number -- number two, she says, Well, he did it for Mr. Kugel's benefit. No. Breaking the law is not for Mr. Kugel's benefit. It was actually counter to his benefit.

In fact, when you look at the record, you can see there was an electrical contract with a contractor licensed to do that work that was obtained prior to this job getting started.

And it would be inconsistent for Mr. Meyers to do the work of a subcontractor who is already contracted to do that work and, in fact, testified at the hearing they had been paid to do the temporary power hookup. It had been paid.

Mr. Meyers did it, but the subcontractor was actually paid by Kugel Construction for that, and Kugel Construction had contracted, in part, for that specific job to be done.

So her assumption that, well, this was done in the course of his employment and for Kugel's benefit is completely wrong. It's not. In fact, Mr. Kugel said that what his employee did was not within the course and



scope of his employment. It was outside because the position description clearly says what is allowed for.

Finally -- I know I'm almost out of time -- what's really troubling in this case is, L&I went after only the corporation, and in their closing at hearing, Mr. Barnes said this: "Meyers had a lot of authority and power as the superintendent, and so it's not unreasonable to believe that the actions that he took would then -- would be then attributable back to the company for which he works."

That's another way of saying, "Judge, Kugel Construction needs to be responsible under a theory of imputed liability," but there is some incorrect assumptions here.

Yes, the superintendent had authority and power, but did he have authority and power to do electrical work?

He didn't get that from Kugel Construction. That position description narrows his authority right there.

Clearly, even though this is being argued, looking at the facts, the authority and power of Mr. Meyers stops short of what he actually did that day on the worksite by hooking up the generator. That is not substantiated by the evidence. If there's any fault at all, it belongs to Mr. Meyers.

And the last thing I will say is, having argued to



1	the judge that, even though L&I had an option, we they
2	could have gone after the person, Mr. Meyers, if they
3	chose the corporation. Even though they said, "No, it's
4	the corporation's fault, not Mr. Meyers'. It's the
5	corporation's," subsequent to this hearing and without
6	notice to me, without notice to the judge, L&I personally
7	went against Mr. Meyers, citing him for the very same
8	conduct that they tried
9	CHAIR JENKINS: One second. Was is
10	that in the record?
11	MR. KNOLL: It is in the record.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay.
13	MR. KNOLL: I will tell you it's
14	Page just a second here. It's Page 21 of the packet.
15	I mean, the citation.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: One second.
17	MR. KNOLL: Is that I'm pretty sure
18	it's Page 21. I'm looking at Page 21 down at the bottom.
19	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Continue.
20	MR. KNOLL: Okay. And that is
21	relevant because, pursuant to court rules, Civil
22	Rule 60(b)3 and 4, newly discovered evidence is relevant
23	to these proceedings.
24	And and it really what what really I
25	think, for the citizens of this state, what is harmful is



in the one case, you have L&I going after a corporation, blaming only the corporation for this, but then kind of behind everyone's back, you have a subsequent action taking a different position, which is, no, it's actually Mr. Meyers' fault. We're going after him, but they have already told the court, no, it's only the corporation.

And I think that sends the wrong message to the public and those that seek to follow the rules. And I know the director of this agency very well, Joel Sacks, and I know for a fact that that is not the way Mr. Sacks operates. He is always aboveboard.

And I think that that fact, along with the other arguments that I have made, should result -- and I'm not asking for equity. This last piece I'm arguing shows that L&I is conceding that the true party at fault really was Mr. Meyers, just as the judge had actually found, but then used this -- went through gymnastics to come up with this imputed liability theory.

I think all of what I've argued plus the fact that a subsequent action occurred that was inconsistent was what had been argued at the hearing deserves or should result in a dismissal of the remaining two citations.

And, quite frankly, when the judge dismissed the one citation that says, "Mr. Kugel, you hired someone to do work -- electrical work who didn't have a license. That



one was suspended," if that's suspended, why does Kugel
Construction then, in the end, become liable for someone
who's doing electrical work when, again, Mr. Meyers was
not hired for that job?
That that should have been dismissed out of hand

That -- that should have been dismissed out of hand right there.

With regard to the posted citation -- posted permit,

South Gate Electric has the authority to get that. Kugel

Construction doesn't get that on their own.

And with that, that's my argument. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you.

Department?

MR. BARNES: Thank you. John Barnes, for the Department.

Think about for a minute what Kugel is arguing.

They're arguing that, in order to insulate them from any liability for any acts of their employees, all they have to do is say that, "You will obey all rules, regulations, and ordinances," and then if they violate one of those things, Kugel Construction, under their theory, says that's outside the scope of his -- you know, employment, and therefore, you can't charge the corporation for anything of its employees if they have this -- you know, part of their job description is they won't violate any





rules.

But think about it for a minute. A corporation signs no contracts. A corporation hires no subcontractors. A corporation acts through its board of directors, its officers, and its key employees.

And I submit to you that one of those key employees is the construction superintendent at the job site, and he's the one -- well, look at what his description is.

The job summary, which is Page 23 of 263, the job summary provides he's to provide on-site coordination for all phases of construction projects, including coordinating subcontractors, material and equipment, ensuring that specifications are being strictly followed, and work is proceeding on schedule and within budget.

Superintendents shall be responsible for scheduling, inspections, quality control, and job site safety.

That's a significant amount of authority and responsibility, for one, and if a superintendent cannot bind a corporation for their wrongful acts, I don't know who can.

Now, Mr. Meyers, in his testimony, he said, "My primary responsibility is to oversee the job, maintain schedules, and interact with the different subcontractors to get the job done."

And his explanation was, "I was just trying to be



We needed it done. There was no electricians 1 expedient. 2 around. I did not know that I needed anything to do that." 3 That suggests a training issue to me, that he doesn't know that he can't perform electrical work, 5 6 but -- so -- and the reason why Mr. Meyers was not cited 7 initially is because, when the inspector approached him, he said he didn't know who did it. 8 MR. KNOLL: You know, I have to object 9 10 to that because that's not in the record. The 11 explanation as to why he wasn't charged is not in the 12 record. 13 What do you mean? MR. BARNES: The 14 one I just read? 15 MR. KNOLL: The one you just said. 16 MR. BARNES: Was --17 MR. KNOLL: You were explaining why 18 the investigator didn't charge Mr. Meyers, and I read the 19 transcript. I did not see that. 20 MR. BARNES: The reason -- well, I'm 21 just saying that Mr. Meyers denied ever hooking it up, 22 and only later, when come to hearing, he says, "Okay." Ι 23 did it, but I assumed that somebody else had unhooked it 24 and hooked it back up when the trailer was moved." 25 He's the superintendent. He should have known that



it wasn't. He was more likely on-site when it happened.

And there's nothing in any statute that I'm aware that says you can't charge the perpetrator or, in this case, Mr. Meyers for performing the wrongful act and the corporation. There's nothing that says you can't do both. And, in fact, it happens all the time.

And there is -- as far as Mr. Meyers being cited, if we really want to get at it, it's not in the record.

It's in the post -- I believe there was a brief done that that was included in after the decision was made. So it is really not in the record other than through counsel's post-hearing briefing.

Now -- and you also have to look at the fact what was happening here. This was in November/December of 2022. It's cold, more likely rainy, and they needed the power to -- temporary power to hook up the trailer so they could, you know, have light, get out of the elements, maybe hook up their coffee pot, whatever.

But it was certainly done for the benefit of the corporation. They needed that temporary power hooked up to the trailer in order to use their computers, their printers, you know, their lights, and everything.

So to say that it was not done at the benefit -- for the benefit of the corporation is not correct. It certainly was done for the benefit of them.



And part of his job -- Mr. Meyers' job was to have that power hooked up. He should have done it through an electrical contractor or subcontractor, but he did it himself. That was part of what his responsibilities were, is to make sure there was temporary power.

He, unfortunately, thought that he could do it

He, unfortunately, thought that he could do it himself or that he didn't need any permit or didn't need to be a licensed electrician, which was wrong, but to say that you can't impute that to the corporation is —that's how corporations operate, through their key employees and their officers and directors.

Now, there was no permit on-site at the time, and there had been a previous -- or there had been an electrical contractor that was a subcontractor that was supposed to hook up the temporary power, and they had obtained a permit prior to any of this going down.

That permit did not include temporary power hookup. That permit was amended after the Department inspector came on-site, and then later that day, they amended the permit to include the temporary power, and eventually they did come out and inspect it.

But there was no permit. They had a permit, but it did not include the hookup of the temporary power at the time, and that's the reason why that citation was issued.

But there was a lot of talk about imputed liability,





and there was some examples given of sexual harassment, but most of these imputed liability cases that are cited -- for example, let's say Mr. Meyers was driving back to the -- let's say -- or driving out to the site but while he was on his phone and he got into a crash.

Well, the third party -- you know, the victim of the car crash, there's a question of whether or not the corporation will be liable for that -- to that third party for the accident, you know, with Mr. Meyers.

And there's a good argument to be made that -- that because he was on his phone -- or let's say he was speeding or something of that nature, that that was outside the scope of his employment and that it shouldn't be imputed.

That's not what we have here. We have no third party. We're not imputing a third-party, you know, liability to the corporation because here there is no third party.

It is the corporation acting through Mr. Meyers that was cited, and to my knowledge, I know of nothing that prevents a citation to Mr. Meyers, as well as a citation to the corporation for which he works.

You know, it's not an either-or. They both have liability for that action, one for Mr. Meyers simply for hardwiring the generator without being an electrical



contractor or electrician.

And also that you can be imputed to the corporation because essentially what they're arguing is that you can never cite the corporation because, like I said, all they simply got to do is say, you got to follow all rules and regulations, and if they go outside of it, oh, they're outside the scope of their employment.

Well, I don't think that's what the law says, and that's -- I think that in this case that Kugel Construction was properly cited for their actions of their construction superintendent.

So thank you very much.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Questions, comments from the board?

BOARD MEMBER NORD: I have a couple questions.

CHAIR JENKINS: Yes. Go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: This is for the counsel of Kugel Construction.

MR. KNOLL: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: If I understand your argument correctly, Mr. Meyers performed the connections of the generator to the trailer, yet South Gate Electric billed for that work and was paid for that work?



1	MR. KNOLL: No. He didn't have them
2	billed prior.
3	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Did he ultimately
4	bill for that work and get paid for that work?
5	MR. KNOLL: South Gate actually it
6	was in the contract. Maybe I misspoke before.
7	Mr. Meyers' position description is Exhibit A in our
8	pleadings. The contract for the electrical work is
9	Exhibit B. And in Exhibit B, South Gate Electric put in
10	the contract that they would do a temporary power hookup.
11	And so Kugel Construction expected, per the
12	contract, that they will do that work, and so Kugel paid
13	them for that, but they didn't actually do it when this
14	whole case arose. It was Mr. Meyers that did it.
15	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So South Gate
16	Electric never performed that work?
17	MR. KNOLL: Well, they came out and
18	they inspected.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Can you show me
20	where in the testimony this is said?
21	MR. KNOLL: Where this is said?
22	BOARD MEMBER NORD: That they
23	inspected it but they didn't install it.
24	MR. KNOLL: Just a second here. I
25	wrote down the transcript page numbers, not the PO packet
	Page 29



1	page numbers. I noticed that this morning.
2	MR. BARNES: I think that is a correct
3	statement, though.
4	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. You both
5	agree it's a correct statement. I'll accept that.
6	MR. KNOLL: Yeah. It's between I
7	would say it's between Page 53 and 54
8	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay.
9	MR. KNOLL: in the transcript. In
10	the bottom right-hand corner of the transcript, there's
11	pages and that's where you'd find it.
12	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. Question
13	for Department's counsel: From your testimony, it's my
14	understanding that the Department their position is,
15	Mr. Meyers is the on-site representative of Kugel
16	Construction, and as a result of that responsibility and
17	his job being paid by Kugel Construction, he's
18	responsible for all activities on the job site?
19	MR. BARNES: That is correct.
20	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. So
21	Mr. Kugel is an agent of the corporation in respect to
22	his general foremen, general contractors representative,
23	and responsible for everything that happens on that site?
24	MR. BARNES: Mr. Meyers, not
25	Mr. Kugel.



1	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah. Mr. Meyers.
2	MR. BARNES: Correct.
3	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Thank you.
4	MR. KNOLL: Can I comment on that?
5	CHAIR JENKINS: Did you have any
6	questions concerning that for
7	BOARD MEMBER NORD: No. I just I
8	had my clarification.
9	CHAIR JENKINS: Any other questions
10	from the board?
11	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Could I make a
12	comment? Add a little bit of context to the law.
13	So to start with, the laws, as they are written, are
14	designed to change behavior of all parties involved. I
15	take a little exception to your comment about Mr. Sacks.
16	I know Mr. Sacks as well, and he's a very big
17	supporter of compliance that protects the citizens of
18	Washington and the legal electrical contractors.
19	And so my comment or my question is going to be: Is
20	a corporation who does the work for the corporation?
21	MR. KNOLL: The employees of the
22	corporation do the work. That's true, but but not
23	but because employees do the work for the corporation,
24	not every employee's action speaks for the corporation.
25	What I'm saying is, not every action an employee
	Page 31



1	does for a corporation binds the corporation to
2	liability. That's where you get to that imputed
3	liability piece, where the corporation has to be put on
4	notice that there's something that is going wrong and
5	they failed to act. You just not everyone is
6	automatically liable.
7	When I worked at the attorney general's office and a
8	State employee was sued, there was a form that was
9	signed, the request for defense. And in that form, the
10	AG, attorney general, had to determine that the employee
11	who was being sued was acting in the scope and course of
12	employment. If they were not, they were on their own.
13	They were not represented.
14	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Okay. So in
15	the course of employment, are you telling me that when
16	when Mr. Meyers connected that generator, that he didn't
17	get paid for those two or three hours that he used to
18	connect that generator?
19	MR. KNOLL: He was he's salary.
20	CHAIR JENKINS: Yeah.
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: What's that?
22	CHAIR JENKINS: It's not in the record
23	whether he was paid or not.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: As an employee
25	and from his argument



1	CHAIR JENKINS: As far as salary goes,
2	but, yeah, the question is correct. Just can't answer
3	that kind of question.
4	MR. KNOLL: He wasn't specifically
5	paid for that. There was no knowledge of what he had
6	done. He was paid to be on the premises and to manage
7	the subcontractors.
8	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Exactly. Thank
9	you. And so he was in his course of employment when he
10	actually performed those that action and connected
11	that generator?
12	MR. KNOLL: He was not. And Mr. Kugel
13	stated specifically that, when he moved on to that piece
14	of work, that is not what he was hired to do. That was
15	outside his his scope of employment.
16	And according to Mr. Kugel and if you want to
17	look at the exact page, Page 98 of the transcript,
18	Mr. Kugel says that he could not think of any way that he
19	could have predicted that Mr. Meyers would have done that
20	work on that day because he had only placed him there to
21	be a superintendent only and what the position
22	description says, not to do electrical work.
23	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I worked in
24	the industry for 50 years. A superintendent has all the
25	authority to make decisions on that job site that so



he's making that decision, which is a low-level decision really. Right?

He's making that decision on behalf of that company.

He's connecting that job trailer that the employees of

Kugel Construction actually use. For whatever reason,

they still use that. That's a benefit.

What I'm --

MR. KNOLL: He believes he is, but he is not -- he's not doing what he's told. He may believe. He wrongfully believed he was doing what the corporation wanted him to do, but the position description limits his authority and power.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, and he thought that because they hadn't done the proper training and actually gave him those responsibilities completely.

He needs to have that training to know, "I can't do electrical work." Negligence is -- this guy has been in the industry a long time, it sounds like. Negligence is not a defense in these cases because he is expected, as somebody that's been engaged in the construction industry, to know what he can and cannot do.

So it's very hard for me to think that this person entered that and did that work, knowing -- or not knowing that that was something an electrical contractor should do.



1	Also he's engaged in that business that day, working
2	for that company, and so what the agency would do is, we
3	would cite Mr. Meyers for not having an electrical
4	certification. We would also cite the company for not
5	being a licensed electrical contractor because they're
6	both responsible for that work.
7	MR. KNOLL: But if you look at the
8	statute that I read
9	CHAIR JENKINS: Sir? Sir?
10	MR. KNOLL: it's
11	CHAIR JENKINS: It's not an argument.
12	Please respond. Thank you.
13	MR. KNOLL: It wasn't a question
14	either. It was more of testimony for L&I.
15	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It was setting
16	a basis for the law, the way
17	MR. KNOLL: I've stated the law. The
18	law says very clearly the remedy L&I has is to go after
19	the corporation or the individual, and in this case, they
20	went after both, basically double dipping.
21	If you want to be fair about it, it is double
22	dipping on the same conduct. But for him hooking up the
23	generator, the corporation wouldn't have been cited, but
24	because he hooked up the generator, both same conduct,
25	they collect against Mr. Meyers and they collect against



1	the corporation.
2	I strongly disagree. That is I'm stating the law
3	to you.
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. And so
5	I just want to make this clear, that the way that law is
6	written is, if we're approached by an individual that's
7	under nobody else's employment, we would cite that
8	individual. If we were approaching somebody that was
9	employed by a company, we would cite both, right, because
10	they're both responsible. That's where that part of the
11	law comes from.
12	MR. KNOLL: I can't speak to
13	hypotheticals. I'm talking about this case.
14	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.
15	MR. KNOLL: I'm talking about this
16	case, and the facts demonstrate that he acted on his own,
17	and he fell on his own sword and admitted his wrongdoing,
18	not Kugel Construction.
19	I mean, Kugel Construction cannot be held liable for
20	everything that happens, only those things that he knows
21	about and he gave permission to do and expected from a
22	particular employee.
23	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So putting that
24	in the context of construction, let's say that that
25	general decided to leave out a bunch of bolts on a steel



1	beam, and he made that decision. The building collapses.
2	MR. KNOLL: Are we talking about
3	Meyers?
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.
5	MR. KNOLL: Okay.
6	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: The
7	superintendent on a job.
8	MR. KNOLL: Okay.
9	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Decided that,
10	"No. I don't think it needs six bolts. We're only going
11	to use two."
12	Who is liable when that building collapses? Is it
13	Meyers? Yeah. Is it the company? Yeah. Because they
14	had control over Meyers. They had control over what he
15	actually did, as a company. That's why we cite them in
16	that matter.
17	MR. KNOLL: If that was an expectation
18	of the corporation for what he was to oversee, yes, but
19	he's overseeing in your hypothetical.
20	In this case, he was actively doing work of another
21	subcontractor who was already contracted to do it and
22	eventually paid for based on the contract, and he just
23	went there and saw that it was fine.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So if I
25	understood counsel correctly, they didn't add the



1	temporary power on to the permit until after the
2	citations had been issued?
3	MR. BARNES: After the inspector had
4	made the visit. I don't know if the citations might
5	have been a couple days later, but the day of the
6	inspection or the day that the inspector was out there is
7	when they put that temporary power on the permit.
8	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.
9	MR. KNOLL: And "they" being the
10	electrical company.
11	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Electrical
12	contractor.
13	BOARD MEMBER COX: Mr. Chairman, I
14	have a point of order or point of clarification for the
15	board's counsel regarding the secretary of the board.
16	As I'm hearing the conversation, I'm hearing our
17	secretary speak on behalf of Labor and Industries as the
18	chief. Is that appropriate or is his position in the
19	meeting to be the secretary?
20	I'm just hearing the word "we" and why we cite this
21	and these things. I just want the clarification of
22	for the purposes of this board meeting for this appeal,
23	is it appropriate for the secretary to take the position
24	of Labor and Industries in addressing the appellant's
25	counsel?



1 MR. BLOHOWIAK: The chief isn't a 2 member of the board as a secretary, and as far as I 3 understand it, is entitled to participate. I didn't stop him, you know, because Mr. Molesworth, the chief, is, you 4 know -- he has a lot of experience. 5 6 BOARD MEMBER COX: Yes. He's an 7 expert. That's why he's the chief. I just want to know what's appropriate for --8 9 He can ask questions, MR. BLOHOWIAK: 10 and he can engage. Obviously he doesn't vote on, you

MR. BLOHOWIAK: He can ask questions, and he can engage. Obviously he doesn't vote on, you know, the outcomes of these cases, and it's always hard to determine, you know, if it's -- if it's asking a pointed question or is it advocacy.

And I'm not -- didn't feel like it was appropriate to stop his line of inquiry yet, but I was listening carefully because there is -- there is division there, but also, you know, he is placed on the board and is a member, so I believe he gets to participate.

And, like I said, I'm listening carefully, making sure. And, you know, language isn't always as precise as we want it to be when we're speaking extemporaneously. And so when we hear "we," you know, it's just -- he's speaking impassioned and he's asking questions, so I think -- anyway, the way I understood, he's asking questions, but -- anyway, I --





11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	BOARD MEMBER COX: I'm just trying to
2	find the demarcation there of where speaking on behalf of
3	L&I is coming into the conversation as opposed to a
4	participant of the electrical board.
5	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Should not be
6	advocating for, you know, a particular outcome.
7	Certainly asking questions as an expert to help, but
8	ultimately also he is not going to vote on the outcome of
9	this case.
10	So, you know, you, as the voting members
11	ultimately what happens with this is with you, and I
12	trust your judgment and your experience and time on the
13	board to, you know, hear everything that you've heard, to
14	digest it and, you know, to to fairly apply the, you
15	know, facts to the law that's in front of you.
16	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Counsel.
17	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Mr. Chair, I have
18	one more question for counsel for Kugel.
19	Can you show me the testimony anywhere, where
20	Mr. Meyers was ordered by his employer or instructed by
21	his employer not to perform electrical work or any work
22	requiring a license?
23	MR. KNOLL: So, first of all, the
24	employer didn't know that that happened until after the
25	citations came in the mail.



1 And Mr. Meyers' testimony I wrote down again -- just 2 to let you be clear about this, I'm giving you page 3 numbers off the page of the transcript, not the bottom center bold numbering that says "Electrical Board Packet 4 5 Page" whatever. I'm not referring to that. 6 Mr. Meyers --7 CHAIR JENKINS: That page number is? 8 MR. KNOLL: I'm going to -- I'm 9 looking here at my notes. 10 CHAIR JENKINS: Oh. 11 MR. KNOLL: So Page 72, Mr. Meyers 12 said, The project manager, Geoffrey Wieland, did not tell 13 him to hook up the generator. 14 Same page, 72, Adam Kugel, the president, he says, 15 did not tell him to hook up the generator. 16 And then Page 72 to 73 -- so it's going to be the 17 top of Page 73, Mr. Meyers says, Wieland and -- these are 18 paraphrases, but the pages are where you can see the 19 quote -- Wieland and Kugel were not advised of the 20 hookup. 21 So the president and the project manager didn't know 22 it was done, didn't know he was doing that, and were not 23 advised thereafter. They only found out, Mr. Kugel 24 testified, when he got the citations in the mail.

BOARD MEMBER NORD:



25

Page 41

So nowhere in the

and all of that.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

L	testimony do we have any understanding of what the
2	expectation was of Mr. Kugel of his on-site foreman
3	Mr. Meyers?
1	MR. KNOLL: Well, we do. We do have
5	Mr. Kugel that he appointed Mr. Meyers as the
s	construction superintendent and to do the duties

consistent with our Exhibit A, which is the position

description, which is to oversee the subcontractors and

make sure they're doing what they're supposed to be doing

You can see in Exhibit A -- I mean, that's what

Mr. Kugel expected the superintendent of that site to do.

There was no expectation that electrical work would be done by him because that's not his role.

I don't know -- you're looking at me as though maybe
I didn't answer your question.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, I have over 50 years of experience in construction, and it's been my experience that the general foreman, general contractor's representative, is the God of the site, and he acts under the direct responsibility given to him by the owner of the company, and he always, always understands what their responsibilities are.

So I'm having a hard time grasping that this man, knowing what his position is on a job site, went rogue.



MR. KNOLL: Well, I can appreciate your 50 years of experience, but in this particular case, looking at the facts that were in evidence, Mr. Meyers' authority was limited by Mr. Kugel pursuant to position description.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: But we don't know that in the testimony. I don't see anywhere in the testimony that says that the owner of Kugel Construction or his immediate second instructed Mr. Meyers as to what the limitations of his job was.

MR. KNOLL: Well, on Page 67 of the transcript, Mr. Meyers is testifying, and he's answering my question, and he says his main function was to oversee work being done at the job site and interact with the subs.

I mean, the whole problem with this is, there's no exception on the part of Mr. Kugel that someone other than the electrical contractor he contracted with would do the work that he's paying to have done, paying the electrical contractor.

That's the problem with this. That's why Kugel has no notice to call up Meyers and say, "What are you doing with that portable generator?"

I mean, I've given you as many pages as I can.

Meyers says, "This is what my role is," and he didn't



1 tell his immediate supervisor or the president what he 2 did after he did it. 3 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, it appears to me that, after the fact, Mr. Wieland and Mr. Kugel are 4 5 trying to build a wall between them and Mr. Meyers as a 6 result of these citations. MR. KNOLL: Well, that's -- that can 7 8 be your inference, but the fact of the matter is, they 9 testified -- both men testified under oath that they 10 didn't instruct him to do that work. They didn't know he 11 had done that work. 12 In fact, there were questions asked of Mr. Wieland, 13 "When did you come to the job site?" And it wasn't until 14 2023, after that citation came out, that Mr. Wieland said 15 he had come to the job site. He knew there was a 16 generator there. 17 But Mr. Kugel -- I mean, I hope -- I mean, it sounds 18 like you're insinuating that they are -- by making this 19 wall, that they are making up their testimony. 20 BOARD MEMBER NORD: No. That's not 21 what I'm saying at all. What I'm saying is, you --22 you're having me to -- you're wanting me to believe that 23 they never gave instructions -- that they didn't know 24 that this happened.

MR. KNOLL: Correct.



25

1	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I don't know if
2	there's any instructions that he was ever given not to do
3	it.
4	MR. KNOLL: Well, because there's no
5	expectation to do it from the first place. It wasn't his
6	role.
7	And Mr. Kugel had hired the electrical contractor to
8	do that, and it says in the contract to do temporary
9	power hookup.
10	So Mr. Kugel's expectation is, South Gate Electric
11	is doing that work.
12	BOARD MEMBER NORD: But from what I
13	understand, based upon what Mr. Barnes has told us, that
14	was not included as part of the permit until the citation
15	was issued; is that correct?
16	MR. KNOLL: The South Gate Electric
17	fell short of fulfilling its contractual
18	responsibilities. The contract says very clearly what
19	the plan is, came into play September 1 of 2022. This is
20	what we will do. And temporary power is in the contract.
21	The generator gets delivered. Meyers, from his
22	Alaska experience he testified to this hooked it
23	up. Didn't think anything wrong about it. And he
24	testified there's instructions on the panel what wires to
25	put in. It's simple. It's simple wire hookup.



He did it, and he was used to doing it from being in
Alaska and never gave notice to his immediate supervisor
or the president of what he had done.

And then when the inspector arrived and discovered,
well, where is the electrician that did this, and it's
determined that an electrician did not do it, then Kugel

Construction gets put on notice what happened.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: So is there in the testimony that the trailer was delivered, the generator was delivered, and Mr. Meyers failed to call the electrical contractor to hook it up?

MR. KNOLL: I don't remember if that was -- it was -- there wasn't -- nobody could remember the exact time when the generator was delivered. The trailer appears. I don't think there's any testimony about when the trailer appeared. It was just testified to it was there on-site.

Then the generator comes, and I don't remember anyone -- I may have asked Mr. Meyers, "Well, why didn't you call South Gate Electric?"

I don't remember if I did or not, but there was -- I know Mr. Meyers did acknowledge at some point during his testimony that there was an electrical subcontract in play. I know that, but I don't -- I don't remember specific testimony about, "Well, when the generator



1 showed up, I forgot to call." 2 I don't remember anything of that. It was just he 3 did it automatically because he thought it was okay from 4 Alaska. 5 CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Baker. 6 MR. KNOLL: And I hope I'm not 7 overstepping my bounds. I'm trying to answer your 8 question as fully as I can. 9 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Go ahead, Don. 10 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'm going to make 11 a motion here in a moment, but I want to make a few 12 comments. I don't need any rebuttal from counsel. Thank 13 You've made a very good argument. I think you made 14 the best argument you can with the information you have, 15 so thank you for that. 16 A simple three-wire connection -- all evidence to 17 the contrary, this was not a simple three-wire 18 connection, clearly. 19 I don't have 50 years in the trade. I've only got 20 47. I'm a little shy. So forgive me of that. But if 21 you count the time I spent with my father out in the 22 field, I probably got about 60. So we can have coffee 23 afterwards, you want to talk about it. 24 But I'm wondering if, when Mike Meyers -- and I do 25 know an electrician named Mike Meyers. Got to be a



1	different Mike Meyers.
2	I'm wondering if, when he made that simple
3	three-wire connection, if he grounded that trailer
4	properly. I'm guessing he probably didn't, and he
5	probably put people in harm's way when he did that to get
6	the coffee pot running or the microwave or the heater,
7	what it was.
8	I don't care about South Gate and what their permit
9	said. I don't care that his his job description
10	didn't include doing electrical work. He did electrical
11	work. He did it.
12	And when the Department by the way, to imply that
13	the Department acted without integrity or acted
14	inconsistent with how they work, they have been very
15	consistent with this of they've worked in the past.
16	I've been on this board for many years, and to imply
17	that Joel Sacks' level of integrity is in contrary to how
18	the Department is acting here, that
19	MR. KNOLL: Hey, that's not what I
20	said.
21	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: That's what I
22	heard. That's what I heard.
23	MR. KNOLL: I know Joel very well.
24	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I got the name
25	dropping. I got it. I got it.



1 The Department acted consistently with this -- with 2 these citations. When they say -- I'm on Page 1 of the 3 packet at the very bottom, bold letter, Board Packet, Page 1, where it says, "Perform electrical work. 4 RCW 19.28.041 performing electrical work without a 5 6 license," you can add the word "contractor's license." 7 They performed -- the contractor performed work 8 without a contractor's license. That is a correct 9 citation. And then consequently there is no permit. 10 They didn't have a mechanism to pull a permit. 11 So typically, we see citations like this all the time, where somebody does work and you see that they 12 13 didn't have a permit. They didn't request an inspection 14 There could be three or four citations all

The situation with citing Mike Meyers is, that's a sidebar. That's a rabbit trail we don't need to go down. We're talking about those today. We're talking about the citations to the contractor, which I believe the Department appropriately cited.

tagged around that one thing. That's very consistent

with how the Department cites these types of situations.

My motion is that we uphold the law judge's decision. It's that simple. The contractor engaged -- I think you properly read the RCW -- engaged in conduct. They did. When Mike Meyers went out there and did that

Page 49



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	work and employed an agent of that contractor, that
2	contractor now engaged in conduct electrical work.
3	And the Department correctly identified that and has
4	correctly cited them. I believe the Department probably
5	could have put more citations on this, but my motion is
6	that we uphold the law judge's decision, and I'll leave
7	that to the board.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: Motion to uphold the
9	ALJ's decisions.
10	Do we have a second?
11	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board member Nord,
12	second.
13	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a second.
14	Any discussion?
15	BOARD MEMBER COX: Just one discussion
16	question.
17	Do you does anyone see this as a rogue employee?
18	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Don't care.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah. Don't care.
20	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. That's my
21	clarification.
22	BOARD MEMBER NORD: It's immaterial to
23	the question.
24	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Well,
25	there's mechanisms to deal with a rogue employee. So you Page 50



pay the fine and you fire the guy, or you train him
properly and say, "You can't do electrical work ever
again. I have a subcontractor. Here is his phone
number."
There's a mechanism to deal with this internally,
and if it happens again, you know, you -BOARD MEMBER NORD: That's strictly an

BOARD MEMBER COX: And I ask that because I had a very similar issue happen to me where an employee installed fiberoptic backbone on a job site, and I got a call from him that said there was an inspector here wanting to know about permits.

internal matter between the employee and the employer.

And I had no -- it wasn't even one of my clients. He went rogue on me.

So, anyway, that's the only reason I was asking. We didn't -- we didn't get cited. That particular employee did because the inspector understood that he was doing, you know, night work, you know, to make some extra dollars, and he didn't understand the laws, rules, and regulations.

And the inspector didn't cite my company. Even though the young man was an employee of mine, he was working not under my direction. So that's the only reason I ask that clarification question.



1	But thank you, gentlemen.
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Any other discussion?
3	Hearing none, all in favor of the motion all in
4	favor, say aye?
5	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Any opposed?
7	Hearing none, motion passes. Thank you very much.
8	MR. KNOLL: When will I get the
9	written decision or
10	CHAIR JENKINS: So
11	MR. KNOLL: when does that happen?
12	MR. BLOHOWIAK: So, Counsel, do you
13	have an order prepared today?
14	MR. BARNES: I don't today. I will
15	draft one up, though.
16	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Okay. So if the
17	parties would please work together to draft an order
18	reflecting the board's decision here today and you can
19	either present that via email for the chair's signature
20	between now and the next meeting, or if we do not get it
21	before then, we will set this onto the calendar for the
22	October meeting for presentment of that if there's no
23	agreed order of the competing orders
24	MR. BARNES: Okay.
25	MR. BLOHOWIAK: for the board to



1	make a decision at the time.
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Based on what the
3	decision of the board was today.
4	All right. Thank you very much.
5	All right. So I guess we'll move on to the next
6	case on our list. Give you a couple minutes to get set
7	up.
8	
9	Mr. Rooter of Portland and Brian Buelt; ESICO00762 and
10	ESICO00763
11	
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Moving on to
13	Mr. Rooter of Portland and Brian Buelt. Am I saying that
14	right?
15	MR. BUELT: Buelt. Thank you.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So the
17	matter before us today is an appeal of Mr. Rooter of
18	Portland and Brian Buelt, Docket 10-2023-LI-01961. This
19	hearing is being held in pursuant and due pursuant to
20	due and proper notices to all interested parties in
21	Vancouver, Washington, on July 25th, 2024, at
22	approximately 10:10 a.m.
23	This is an appeal from the initial order issued by
24	the Office of Administrative Hearings on April 9th, 2024.
25	It is my understanding the decision dismissed citation



1	notices ESICO0074, ESICO0073, and ESICO0072 issued by the
2	Department of Labor and Industries on March 21st, 2023.
3	It is further my understanding, the Department has
4	timely appealed the decision of the electrical to the
5	electrical board, and I'm seeing there's a representation
6	of Mr. Rooter?
7	MR. JOHNS: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: Can I get your name
9	and spell it for the court reporter, please?
10	MR. JOHNS: My name is Doug Johns.
11	It's D-o-u-g, J-o-h-n-s.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: And I'm assuming
13	you're also representing
14	MR. BUELT: Yep. Brian, B-r-i-a-n,
15	last name Buelt, B-u-e-l-t.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. For the
17	Department?
4.0	
18	MR. BARNES: John Barnes.
18 19	MR. BARNES: John Barnes.  CHAIR JENKINS: John Barnes. Did you
19	CHAIR JENKINS: John Barnes. Did you
19 20	CHAIR JENKINS: John Barnes. Did you hear and understand the instructions I previously read
19 20 21	CHAIR JENKINS: John Barnes. Did you hear and understand the instructions I previously read for the last case?
19 20 21 22	CHAIR JENKINS: John Barnes. Did you hear and understand the instructions I previously read for the last case?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, we did.



1 MR. BUELT: No.

CHAIR JENKINS: Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today to argue the merits of the case. Any board member may ask questions, and the time may be extended to the discretion of the board.

At the end of this -- the conclusion of the hearing, the board will determine the findings and conclusions reached by the ALJ are supported by the findings, facts, and rules pertaining to electrical installations.

And since the board -- the Department is the appealing party, you have -- you may begin.

MR. BARNES: Thank you, Your Honor.

John Barnes, for the Department of Labor and Industries.

This was a strange decision because the result of this decision, under this erroneous ruling, an electrical trainee cannot be supervised remotely while performing electrical work but a plumbing trainee performing electrical work can be supervised remotely. That's not what the statute and what the rules say.

And this judge, as I'll get into, made a fairly huge error in this.

But the facts of this case is that the Electrical Inspector Simshauser was -- he's a member of the ECORE and was just on a routine inspection, and he noticed a Mr. Rooter of Portland truck in the driveway of a



Vancouver residence.

So he stopped by, and he contacted Mr. Buelt, who was an electrical trainee in the state of Washington.

Now, he was a certified electrician in Oregon, but in Washington he had just gotten his trainee certificate.

And when Mr. Simshauser approached him, he noticed that the water heater had been disconnected from, you know, the electrical and placed on the side, and a new water heater was there in place.

Now, there was some discrepancy about whether or not it was actually -- the new one was actually hooked up or not, but that's really irrelevant because the electrical work being cited was when they disconnected the water heater and took it out.

Now, as I said, Mr. Buelt is just a -- I'm sorry.

He is a plumbing trainee. If I misspoke, I'm sorry.

He's not an electrical trainee. Mr. Buelt is a plumbing trainee.

Now, there is a couple -- this was a -- I was looking at a number of rules and -- and statutes. Now, there is, in the plumbing statute, in RCW 18.106.070(3)(a), it allows for a plumbing trainee to be supervised remotely by a certified plumber no more than 40 miles away. It doesn't mention anything about them performing electrical work.



So if you send your plumber out and they have a requisite number of hours, the trainee, and they go in and they replace the toilet, pure plumbing work, they can be supervised remotely.

But when it comes to performing electrical work, they cannot. How do we know that? There's a plumbing rule that says that. The plumbing rule is under WAC 296-400A-010. And it says, "A plumber trainee performing electrical work must be supervised by a certified plumber or a certified residential plumber."

Then it goes on -- this is the plumbing rule -- "In accordance with RCW 19.28.161" -- referring to the electrical code -- "the trainee performing electrical must be under the direct supervision of a PLO1 or PLO2 certified plumber who is on the same job site as the trainee" -- and the last line says -- "and remote supervision or supervision by a residential service plumbee -- or plumber is not permitted."

So you've got a statute -- a plumbing statute that says that plumbing trainees can be supervised remotely, but later in the rule, it says, but if they're performing electrical work, they cannot be.

Now, you ask yourself, well, that seems pretty straightforward. How did we come to this decision?

Well, I'll refer you to the decision, and in the



conclusion of law 5.7 -- this is the judge -- after he says, "Now to be sure, WAC 296-400A-0107 precludes the remote supervision when the plumber trainee is performing electrical work."

So he recognizes that they have to be -- they cannot be supervised remotely.

And then he goes on to say, "Disconnecting electrical equipment from electrical power constitutes electrical work." So disconnecting the water heater confirms the electrical work.

And then for some profound reason, he then says,
However, neither the electrical statute nor the plumbing
statute reference this WAC that talks about, you know,
plumbing trainees performing electrical work or any other
authority.

So he says, "Accordingly, I hold that the" -- he's not going to apply the rule essentially.

But think about it for a second. His reason for not enforcing the rule was because it's not mentioned in the statute. Well, that makes no sense. Rules are made after the legislature passes the statute. So unless the legislature is clairvoyant and knows that there's going to be a rule out there that says this, it's not going to be in the statute.

The statute was passed first. The rules interpret



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 1 And that's why it makes no sense why he said that 2 because, if that's the case, any rule that's not referenced in a statute would be subject to this same treatment. So there would be a whole host of rules that would not be enforced because, well, the statute doesn't 5 6 mention it. But he's not correct there because in both the 7 electrical statute and the plumbing statute, there are 8 9 provisions for rulemaking. So it's anticipated that 10 there will be a rulemaking in this. 11

And, you know, he could have just simply cited to that. He said, well, it's true that the rule does not -- or the statute does not cite the rule because the rules weren't in existence at the time the statute was passed.

So for that reason, the judge already made the decision that that remote supervision of plumbing trainees doing electrical work is not allowed and also that removing the hot water tank is considered electrical work.

So from those two, it's just logical to say that they violated the statute and that these citations should be affirmed. Thank you.

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you very much.

Doug or Brian?



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll kick off. 1 MR. JOHNS: Good 2 morning. We're both here because one of the citations is for Brian and two are for my company. We won't each take 5 15 minutes, I assure you. I'd like to just start with introductions sitting 7 here and how it applies to the case, so --MR. BUELT: Okay. My name is Brian 8 9 I'm a licensed journeyman plumber in the state of 10 Washington. I also am a licensed journeyman plumber in 11 the state of Oregon. 12 MR. JOHNS: And on the day of the 13 job --14 MR. BUELT: And on the day of the job, 15 I had received 4,000 hours from L&I. They had qualified 16 me, after a long debate, to go ahead and take the 17 journeyman plumber's exam, and so I was waiting on an 18 exam date at that point when I proceeded with the work 19 and met Inspector Simshauser. 20 MR. JOHNS: My name is Doug Johns. My 21 wife and I are the owners of Mr. Rooter Plumbing, and 22 Mr. Rooter Plumbing has been in operation since 1995 in 23 Portland and 2000 in Vancouver, and we have approximately 24 50 people licensed in both states. And our scope of work

is residential service plumbing, so fixtures, water



25

heaters, pipes, and a lot of sewer work.

And we've been -- my wife and I have been the owners for three years. The previous owner of 27 years retired, and we took over from there.

So with that, let me please open by just affirming once again that, on this job that's in question, my business improperly supervised the plumbing trainee, and that is my responsibility. I wasn't there. But it's my responsibility. Everything that happened in this company is my responsibility.

And I've affirmed that since the very first day that I got the citation and went back and reread WAC 296-400A-010, Paragraph 7, which I agree with the counsel, says that a remotely supervised plumbing trainee, which Brian was at the time, even despite his 20 years of experience -- in the state of Washington, he's still a trainee -- is not allowed to be remotely supervised for electrical.

And I missed that, but it doesn't matter because it's still true. So we were in violation and -- of that statute.

I should not have sent -- my company should not have sent Brian to replace the water heater under remote supervision. Now, if he had shown up at the house and it was a gas water heater, we would have been great because



we pull the permit. We're a licensed company. He was under all the proper remote supervisions. Gas is dangerous. He knows how to do it. But that's considered plumbing.

Unfortunately, it was an electric water heater, and so the moment that he disconnected it from the existing wiring -- we didn't change any wiring or do anything dramatic, but the moment we disconnected it, that was a violation of the plumbing code requirement for direct supervision of the trainee.

So if I acknowledge that my company did not supervise Brian as a trainee correctly, then why didn't I just pay the fines, correct my process, and move on?

Well, I would have if the citations had been for improper supervision of the plumbing trainee. I would have been disappointed that, given our long clean record, there was no warning, but there would have been a very reasonable argument for a plumbing supervision citation, which I could not have argued against.

But instead of just paying the fine and moving on, I appealed, for two reasons. One, there were three electrical citations. The electrical licensing citations, which were selected for this situation, are inappropriately applied to what was a plumbing supervision violation. It's the equivalent of a reckless



driving ticket for a five miles per hour speed limit violation.

Two, if those citations for unlicensed contractor were left to stand, it would be on my record for seven years with no context or explanation for potential customers to read as they research my company.

The wording of the citation is the exact same as the words that we apply to a rogue contractor replacing electrical panel without a license. They're damning, and they could hurt my business and Brian's career. So we chose to appeal.

And that brings us to the core point of debate in this case, and the debate is not whether Brian was allowed to do that work under remote supervision. That's not the debate.

The debate is this: Does a mistake in supervision by a licensed plumbing contractor over a certified plumbing trainee erase all the privileges of the contractor and the trainee's plumbing licenses and subject them to the full weight of the electrical code as if they were rogue unlicensed handymen?

That's the debate. After reviewing all of the evidence and the arguments in the appeal hearing, Judge Terry Schuh answered this question with a definitive no. That's on Page 13. And Counsel and I are both going to



quote the judge.

The judge says, "The citations at issue rely on the lack of respective licenses. Perhaps Mr. Rooter and/or Mr. Buelt violated the regulation requirement requiring direct supervision.

"However, the citations at issue here do not rely upon that regulation of supervision. Therefore, the citations issued here and the corresponding penalties should be set aside and dismissed."

He didn't say we didn't do the wrong thing. He said the citations were given were wrong.

The judge ruled that the licensing citations were inappropriately applied for violation of supervision status.

So let me share two examples, further illustrating why these three electrical licensing citations are illogically applied to the scenario of a plumbing contractor installing a water heater.

First, imagine a scenario of a licensed plumber -and this is happening in my company right now, as we
speak -- a licensed plumber working for a licensed
plumbing contractor going to do an electric water heater.
Under that scenario, which is clearly covered by the
exemption for plumbers in RCW 19.28, we're allowed to do
like and kind if we don't change anything but the



electrical.

Under that scenario, no electrical license is required for a water heater replacement. However, the language of these three citations is still technically true regarding that plumbing contractor's lack of an electrical license.

Every time that a plumbing contractor installs an electric water heater, they do, as the citation would say, without having a valid contractor license. We never have a license.

This is the second scenario. Imagine a remotely supervised plumbing trainee as Brian was who happens to work for one of the very large multi-trade companies that does electrical plumbing and HVAC, and that company holds an electrical license because they have an electrical division that that trainee is not in.

If the trainee -- the plumbing trainee disconnected an electrical water heater while under remote supervision like Brian, the same problem with supervision would exist, but the citations that we were given couldn't be levied because the employer has an electrical license.

Surely the inspector would not walk away and say,
"Well, you're lucky. You work for a multi-trade company
and not Mr. Rooter. Have a nice day."

The inspector should put some kind of a warning or



violation on that scenario. There has to be something even though the contractor holds an electrical license.

So what would that be? Well, the appropriate warning or citation in that situation and in ours is one specific to supervision, not licensing.

And we see examples of this in both of our trades. The electrical and the plumbing codes, as well as the citations that have been issued by L&I for electrical and plumbing inspectors, clearly demonstrate there's a distinction between supervision violations and licensing violations.

So WAC 296-46B-915 is the civil penalty schedule for electrical violations, and in your trade, there's exact language of what citations the inspector is supposed to choose from.

No. 5 is failing to provide proper supervision to an electrical trainee as required by 19.28 RCW, supervision for the contractor.

No. 6 -- and these are, by what I found, almost always applied together, and they should be. No. 6, working as an electrical trainee without proper supervision as required by Chapter 19.28 RCW.

So that's on the electrical side, which doesn't apply to us but does demonstrate that supervision violations exist.



Now, the plumbing code -- unfortunately, our trade doesn't list out the language in the RCW of what citation should be, but the plumbing code -- it only lists out monetary penalty for violations.

However, in preparing for the appeal, I, for the first time in my life, used my taxpayer rights and did a request for information from the government, and I -- in Page 166 of your packet, I got every plumbing citation for almost a two-year period and then created a summary of those citations.

And just as in the electrical code, I found there are two violations consistently levied for supervision: one for the contractor and one for the trainee.

9 percent of all Washington plumbing citations during that period of time were for this language:
"Contractor failed to provide proper supervision."
That's the contractor version. And the second one,
"Improper supervision while in the trade of plumbing."
That's the trainee. So electrical and plumbing do the same thing.

So these plumbing citations or warnings are what should have been applied in our situation and likely would have been if a plumbing inspector had come to the job site instead of an electrical inspector. And we're plumbers. We pull the plumbing permit. On the plumbing





code, we should have been hit with that.

So wrapping up, as a plumbing business owner, I have a responsibility to know and follow the code. I take the responsibility seriously. Embarrassingly, on this job, I fell short.

By dispatching Brian under remote supervision and not taking into account the special requirement of direct supervision for the portion of the job of disconnecting the heater, I caused both him and my company to violate the supervision standard required for the job.

But unlike these three citations incorrectly claim,

I do not direct my employees to perform work for which
they are not trained or certified. My business stays
squarely within the bounds of the plumbing trade and does
not advertise or perform unlicensed electrical work.

My business does not employ anyone for the purpose of electrical work beyond the very narrow scope afforded to us by the plumbers exclusion in RCW 19.28.

Thank you for listening to our arguments. I hope that I've helped illustrate the logic behind Judge Schuh's ruling, that these electrical citations were incorrectly applied to the plumbing supervision error, and therefore, he set them aside and dismissed them, and I would ask that you uphold his logic and keep that the same way.



1	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you
2	very much.
3	Questions from the board? Comments from the board?
4	BOARD MEMBER NORD: A comment. I have
5	to say, in all the years that I've been on this board,
6	I've heard many different citations. This is the first
7	time I've heard this citation the way it's been applied.
8	And it appears somehow there is a loophole between
9	the two departments, being electrical and plumbing, and
10	how the codes are written and how your expectations are
11	of how you're supposed to follow them.
12	So my question for the chief, have you seen this one
13	before?
14	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I've not
15	experienced this situation before. This particular one,
16	you know, I'm aware of because it's been appealed, but
17	no.
18	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So you and I are
19	basically on the same page of this?
20	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right.
21	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Is perhaps here,
22	there's an opportunity for the Department to reassess
23	this position and maybe try to correct this in the
24	future?
25	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Oh, well, we'll
	Page 69



1 always take a look at this, and I've got some questions 2 written down here for my staff. What I would like to -- the statement I would like to make about the application of those citations is that we're -- where the situation went a little sideways, I 5 6 think, is because -- and let me first ask: Are you an 7 electrical trainee or a plumbing trainee? MR. BUELT: I am a plumbing trainee. 9 I'm actually a licensed plumber in the state of 10 Washington. At the time of this infraction, I was a 11 trainee. 12 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You were a 13 plumbing trainee? 14 MR. MUTCH: Yep. 15 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So when the 16 inspector came on-site and you had an uncertified 17 electrical trainee but you had a certified plumbing 18 trainee but he wasn't being supervised correctly, he 19 probably then went to assume that, okay, because he's not 20 being done correctly, now we're doing electrical work, 21 and then they applied it that way. 22 That's -- that's the assumption that I have to make 23 when I look at the application of this. 24 MR. JOHNS: For clarification, sir, 25 there was only one person on the job site.



1	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep. Right.
2	That's what I'm saying. The trainee, yeah.
3	CHAIR JENKINS: Yes, Board Member Don
4	Baker?
5	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So really good
6	articulation and communication on all that. This is the
7	first I've seen something like this as well. I'm
8	inclined to support the law judge's decision.
9	However, what I'm hearing from you is, "Hey, I'll
10	own this. We made a mistake. You should have cited me
11	correctly."
12	That's where I think the opportunity is for the
13	Department is walk away from this and go back and clean
14	this up and cite them properly.
15	MR. JOHNS: Well, I'm not asking for
16	double jeopardy.
17	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: No.
18	MR. JOHNS: I'm not a lawyer, but I
19	did take high school government class. I don't want to
20	get hit a second time.
21	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I hear you. I
22	hear you, but based on what I'm hearing and what I see,
23	I'm inclined to uphold the law judge's decision, which is
24	not what L&I wants to hear, but I think you cited him
25	improperly.



1	BOARD MEMBER NORD: You recognize the
2	issue, and you recognize your responsibility. So you
3	recognize, as an administrator of a business, what your
4	responsibilities truly are, which is rare for us as a
5	board to hear. I have to agree with Don.
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Can I put my opinion
7	out?
8	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Absolutely.
9	CHAIR JENKINS: Well, first of all, I
10	want to say that's extremely refreshing to finally hear a
11	contractor say, "I'm responsible for everything I do."
12	That is like, holy smokes, that
13	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Never heard that.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you so much for
15	saying that because we always tell you they are.
16	The unfortunate part I'm looking at is, he was in
17	violation. So we all understand that. And since he was
18	not an electrician, he was a plumbing trainee and that
19	was outside considering the situation, outside the
20	scope, you I should have to sit back and say, anybody
21	doing this work, if they don't have a proper
22	certification in one direction, then it falls as the
23	inspector did, fell under electrical.
24	And as we've had multiple discussions about, are
25	they in violation of the law?



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 1 And I'm happy to -- and I sympathize for the cost, 2 any type of a negative repercussions from this because it 3 sounds like it was a simple mistake, but it's a very costly simple mistake, in my opinion, because it was a 4 violation of the law. 5 6 The law that was laid down is true. It was not 7 electrical -- electrician doing the work. He was not a 8 trainee doing the work. Yet the work was done. And 9 since they can't apply it to the exception, it's a very, 10 very tiny exception allowed, it's electrical work. 11 It's done by non-electrician, and there was no other 12 rules that allow him to do this work legally, so I -- I'm 13 on the idea that, yeah, should it be this strong as far 14 as a penalty for it? Should it be all that? 15 I -- I don't think we have a choice. It was illegal 16 and did fall under those rules. 17 MR. JOHNS: We are legal as a business 18 to do it. 19 CHAIR JENKINS: No. I absolutely 20

CHAIR JENKINS: No. I absolutely understand that, and I totally get that. It's just the work that was done was illegal. And you owned up to it. I get it. I appreciate it by all rights.

But here is the issue now: You're understanding this and you do this. What happens to the next contractor that comes by and says, "Well, I didn't mean

Page 73



21

22

23

24

25

1	to do that. That wasn't my intention," but it was?
2	MR. JOHNS: What I'm owning up to is
3	improper supervision. I'm not owning up to the citations
4	as written. My business is allowed to do this work.
5	He's allowed do this work, but
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Stop there. He wasn't
7	allowed to do that work because he did it outside of the
8	electrical scope, so it was in violation of electrical
9	law. And if you can argue that it's not in violation,
10	please bring it up, but it's a violation, and we're
11	supposed to uphold what the law is.
12	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: This is a lot
13	like the last case we just talked about; right? Right?
14	There's an employee doing work he's not licensed
15	for. Did the Department cite the employee as well?
16	Because it's the exact same citations that we saw in
17	the last case would have applied to this case if the
18	Department was acting consistently.
19	But this is this is different. I think this is
20	different. I see it different, you know. So and it's
21	not electrical work until he starts making connection.
22	So he can disconnect that wiring. He can go flip a
23	breaker off and remove the hot water tank. It's when he
24	reconnects it that he's starting to do electrical
25	inspection.



1	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Don
2	Baker, I disagree with that, but
3	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Go ahead. Duly
4	noted.
5	MR. BUELT: I do have a question to
6	your point. That's the way I always understood it. In
7	22 years of being a part of the plumbing trade, that's
8	the way I've always understood it.
9	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: It's not an
10	electrical installation until you're doing an electrical
11	installation.
12	MR. BUELT: Yes. The disconnection.
13	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: That's correct.
14	MR. BUELT: This is why we allow
15	restoration companies to go in, flip breakers, do the
16	water damage, kill the power, check the power, cut walls,
17	do what they do. If they're not installing, they're just
18	disconnecting. It's clearly stated in here.
19	MR. JOHNS: And the record shows that
20	Brian did not install. I sent the journeyman plumber to
21	do it.
22	MR. BUELT: John, yep. And he came
23	and did the installation.
24	BOARD MEMBER NORD: The other problem
25	I see with this citation, once again, based on my years



1 in the sport, I've never seen two plumbers come in before 2 being charged with an electrical violation. 3 And reading the transcript and hearing you two today, yes, you were there doing plumbing work. a licensed plumber. You were a licensed trainee in 5 6 Washington at the time, a journeyman in Oregon. 7 I would assume, because I do not know the Oregon 8 journeyman laws for plumbing, it's probably at least a 9 4,000-hour --10 MR. BUELT: Mm-hm. 11 BOARD MEMBER NORD: -- certification. 12 So you're probably -- you're obviously probably qualified 13 to do plumbing work. You came in like a restoration 14 company in this case. You removed a hot water tank. But 15 as I read the transcript and I think, as it's been 16 brought up, you never made electrical connections to put 17 the new one in. 18 MR. BUELT: That's correct. And, in 19 fact, Simshauser -- excuse me -- Electrical Inspector 20 Simshauser took photos of the job site with the 21 electrical not connected. 22 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. So for the 23 chief --24 CHAIR JENKINS: Well, let's finish 25 this comment first.



1	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah. For the
2	chief, taking these two out of the equation, in this
3	scenario, do we allow anyone, whether it's a restoration
4	company, a plumbing company, a carpenter, to disconnect
5	that equipment without fear of citation to remove it?
6	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: No. Because
7	part of the electrical work is a disconnection. Because
8	parts might still be energized, how do we make it safe
9	for the installation? Do they ever get reconnected?
10	There's a lot to that.
11	BOARD MEMBER NORD: And I agree with
12	that.
13	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I can
14	I I tried to make a point a little bit ago and
15	probably didn't state it as well as I should have, but
16	what we're talking about here is an exception for
17	plumbers to do this work; right?
18	If the standards of that exception are not met, then
19	that exception doesn't exist. All right. So since he
20	wasn't supervised the way he should have been, doing that
21	electrical work, that violated the standard of the
22	exception.
23	That's when we went to it being an electrical
24	contractor. That's that's where that came in, so
25	MR. JOHNS: I see the logic. And I



1	question why the supervision penalties are out there.
2	Certainly a debate which direction to go.
3	CHAIR JENKINS: Any questions/comments
4	from the electrical board?
5	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'd just float a
6	motion out there. I'll make a motion to uphold the law
7	judge's decision.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion.
9	Do we have a second?
10	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Second.
11	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Second.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Second.
13	Any discussion?
14	I'm going to say again, that if this was a non
15	this is a contractor a non-plumbing contractor out
16	there doing this work, would they be cited the same?
17	So you got a general contractor sends out an
18	employee, "I want you to disconnect that water heater out
19	there." Is that in violation of the code?
20	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yes.
21	CHAIR JENKINS: That's effectively
22	what happened. Okay. Because it wasn't supervised,
23	didn't fall under the exceptions. That's what happened.
24	Now, I really appreciate your upfront honesty, and
25	I yes. I get it. But that's the situation that
	Page 78



happened.

So now, when we have another person come up and they say, "Hey, we had this employee that did this," are we going to give them the same allowance because it -- we don't think it's -- it's against the law? Sorry.

BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: I think there are similarities between this particular appeal and the previous. Right? We applied electrical laws to a general contractor to take responsibility for his employee doing work they're not qualified for and certified for, but in this particular case, these guys are covered by the plumbing laws, but they got outside of their supervision requirements. And so now to somehow, you know --

BOARD MEMBER NORD: The two are in conflict with each other.

They're in conflict. Now we have this metamorphosis where a plumbing contractor is now turned into an electrical contractor because an individual isn't allowed because of the -- you know, because of the remote supervision to do this one specific task, which they never actually did hook up the heater. Right?

BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM:

So seems to me that we're not talking -- these are not apples to apples, these two appeals this morning. We

Page 79



Yeah.

1	have a significant difference between they're covered by
2	the plumbing statute. I think the ECORE guys may be
3	going a little too far.
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So if I
5	could
6	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Call for vote.
7	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: address that
8	comment really quickly?
9	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Call for a vote.
10	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Pardon me?
11	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: We've got a
12	motion and a second.
13	CHAIR JENKINS: We're still in
14	discussion, though.
15	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I just want to
16	make it clear that we made an exception to the electrical
17	laws with the understanding that, if you do not follow
18	that exception to the letter, now you're contracting
19	without a license because if they don't follow it to the
20	letter, now we're putting people at risk. There's a
21	reason for 100 percent supervision.
22	And they didn't do that. That was a stipulation to
23	that exception. Right? That's an exception to the
24	plumbing law that we allow them to change those water

That's an exception.



heaters.

25

1	That's that doesn't fall under plumbing law.
2	That's if they don't follow the standard of that or
3	the parts of that exception to the letter, they're in
4	violation, and then it reverts back to the electrical law
5	because they're outside of of what that exception
6	actually allowed.
7	Does that make sense any more sense? And that's
8	why he's cited, and I think he cited it appropriately. I
9	think we need to have some discussions, you know, in the
10	future about this. Possibly. It's always good
11	discussion.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Any more discussion?
13	Hearing none, all in favor of the motion?
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	CHAIR JENKINS: All in opposition?
16	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I have to be
17	opposed based upon the fact that we have to uphold what
18	the law is, no matter how we see it.
19	CHAIR JENKINS: So let me do a
20	recount. All in favor, all raise your hand. One, two,
21	three, four, five.
22	Opposed, one.
23	Motion carries.
24	All right. I'm assuming you don't have
25	MR. BARNES: I don't have an order. I



1	will prepare one, though.
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Given that, if
3	it doesn't get sent to us before the next meeting, we'll
4	be set up for next meeting to explain your present for
5	the next meeting. Otherwise, you can send it to us and
6	we'll get signed.
7	MR. JOHNS: Thank you.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. I think it
9	is a good time for a break. So let's get back here in
10	say 15-ish minutes, and we'll be in recess.
11	(Recess from 10:46 a.m. to
12	11:14 a.m.)
13	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. It is now
14	11:14. I'd like to bring the Washington State Electrical
15	Board meeting back to order.
16	
17	Discussion and signature for Order issued. Mastec
18	Network Solutions; EREIF01172, EREIF01173, EREIF01174,
19	EREIF01175, EREIF01176, EREIF01177, EREIF01178
20	
21	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So we are
22	on to the discussion for the Mastec solutions Network
23	Solutions. I received an order for that, so I'll be
24	signing it. It's been resolved. So we can move on from
25	that one.



1	
2	Certification/CEU Quarterly Report
3	
4	CHAIR JENKINS: The next here we have
5	certification/CEU quarterly training with Larry Vance.
6	Are you available?
7	MR. VANCE: Yes.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: There he is. Give you
9	a minute. Come on up.
10	MR. VANCE: I'll be right there.
11	Well, good morning, Chairman Jenkins, members of the
12	board. My name is Larry Vance. I'm a technical
13	specialist for the Department of Labor and Industries. I
14	work for Chief Electrical Inspector Wayne Molesworth.
15	Today I'd like to I have several things for you.
16	Last board meeting we had questions about on exam
17	results, what zero meant, zero attempts. What does zero
18	attempts mean?
19	Zero attempts what the reply back from PSI is, is
20	that a zero attempt is when somebody when somebody
21	registers for an exam but chooses not to take it. That
22	counts as a zero attempt.
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
24	MR. VANCE: So it doesn't make that
25	much sense, but that's what it is. So it's a it's



1	counted as an attempt, but it's a zero attempt.
2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So they paid money
3	to take the opportunity but failed to take the
4	opportunity?
5	MR. VANCE: Yes. Or they or they,
6	say, walk out of the exam, meaning that they've taken a
7	section the a particular section of the exam, say
8	the laws and rules section, but they don't take the coded
9	theory section, for instance. So that would come in as a
10	zero attempt.
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Because when I look
12	at your reports, I see how many passed on attempt one,
13	attempt two, attempt three, and then I notice there's how
14	many did on attempt zero, and that was my question.
15	MR. VANCE: Right.
16	BOARD MEMBER COX: So attempt zero is,
17	they didn't complete is that how I'm understanding?
18	MR. VANCE: They didn't even start.
19	BOARD MEMBER COX: Didn't even start.
20	Okay.
21	MR. VANCE: That's what I understood
22	PSI, so
23	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So if PSI, for
24	example, had in Spokane scheduled ten people to take an
25	O1 exam and because of weather or something out of their Page 84



1	control, the exam facility was closed, would that still
2	be a zero attempt or would it be rescheduled?
3	MR. VANCE: I believe that would be
4	rescheduled. It's when a person
5	BOARD MEMBER NORD: on their own
6	opportunity failed to show up?
7	MR. VANCE: Failed to show up or
8	walked out. Essentially opted not to participate, so to
9	speak.
10	BOARD MEMBER COX: So if I can bring
11	notice to the current report that's before us, an 01
12	administrator general exam, it says, "Attempt: Zero.
13	Number of passed: Two."
14	So that am I to assume by your statement, two
15	people registered for it but didn't take the 01
16	administrator
17	MR. VANCE: They didn't complete all
18	sections of the exam. They left before completing all
19	sections.
20	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: They passed
21	because they got enough of what they did answer correctly
22	that they passed anyway.
23	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
24	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Is that
25	true?



1	MR. VANCE: So two passed. Number of
2	failed
3	BOARD MEMBER COX: Oh, yeah. Two
4	passed on attempt zero.
5	MR. VANCE: Yes. Two passed, ten
6	failed. Total 12 attempted it. And I believe that
7	under under all 12 of those, what they I
8	BOARD MEMBER COX: See, I'm trying to
9	understand that. How did two pass if the reply back from
10	PSI said they didn't complete it or didn't even start it
11	when two of them passed according to this report?
12	MR. VANCE: Their example they gave me
13	was an administrator candidate I believe let me I
14	can open it. I actually have their email.
15	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Could it be
16	there was four people that attempted, two of them walked
17	out, two of them actually passed?
18	MR. VANCE: Their response says, "Zero
19	appears if the candidate doesn't take a portion of
20	doesn't take a portion but the system counts it as a
21	fail."
22	Okay. So when I let's say I register for the
23	administrator's exam with PSI. It's a three it's a
24	three-section exam. I decide after two sections I've had
25	enough and I walk out. That is a zero.



1	I do not know how you can pass and I'll have to
2	come back with this question. I do not know how you can
3	pass a zero attempt. Right?
4	BOARD MEMBER COX: Or in this case,
5	two persons.
6	MR. VANCE: Two persons passed with
7	zero attempt. So yes.
8	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thus my need for
9	clarification.
10	MR. VANCE: I understand completely,
11	and I will go back to them and delve more into this.
12	This zero thing has not always been there. And it's
13	it's great that we're focusing on it because we
14	BOARD MEMBER COX: I want the report
15	to mean something to me.
16	MR. VANCE: Yeah. I do too. And it's
17	something that needs to get figured out because it
18	doesn't their response does to a point make sense, but
19	then how do you pass a if it's a how do you
20	yeah. Yes.
21	So looking at the pass rates, there's no real
22	substantial change in pass rates. Nothing is alarming
23	there.
24	We have had some instances in the past where there's

been some data issues with candidates. We've talked



25

about that at previous quarter meetings, and it's been -- we haven't experienced that of late.

That was kind of a period of -- there was something going on between -- and I'm speculating -- just based on the -- on everything I've learned about it, but it was actually the exam result lived on the server at the location where the exam was delivered and wasn't promoted to PSI. In other words, PSI didn't know anything about -- you know, I mean, it just -- they didn't have the scores. They didn't have the information.

And when the candidate goes back to the testing location where they tested and inquires about it, all of a sudden it's resolved. In other words, we need to promote these -- something happened in a handshake here. We need to move this up.

So thankfully that -- that has been worked out.

That was quite -- quite an inconvenience for our test candidates, and it was kind of a reputational thing for us.

What's going on here? You know, I took the exam. I passed the exam. Here, I've got a score sheet, and it says I passed the exam, but PSI says I didn't pass the exam. So frustrating, but -- but I believe that we're on the right track.

Any questions about the exam reports?



1 We're still, you know, testing on a national level. 2 We've got a lot of participation at PSI testing locations 3 around the United States, which is a great thing. And we're -- we appear to be in a -- in a pretty 4 5 good situation right now from that -- from that 6 standpoint. In other words, we don't have -- we don't 7 have any outstanding issues right now. We'll put it that 8 Things are -- things are -- other than the fact way. 9 than, how do you pass on a zero. Right? We'll find that 10 out. 11

CHAIR JENKINS: So I guess one question I had is: Are we still on schedule towards the end of the year for our testing to be updated to the new code?

MR. VANCE: Well, currently for capacity reason, we don't have anybody currently working on that. So, you know, generally, it's about at least a year after adoption.

And -- and the testing is -- is -- any modifications to the exam are rather minor because the impact of code changes are rather minor generally, so we -- yeah. We're working in that general direction, though. It's on -- it's -- it's moving to -- that task is moving to the top of the pile, so yes.

CHAIR JENKINS: So sounds like it is

Page 89



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 on schedule then. It's just a little -- we haven't 2 gotten any updates for it yet. 3 MR. VANCE: That's aggressive right 4 now. 5 The other thing that has to happen is that -- so we 6 develop -- we go through and go through all of our exam 7 questions, laws, rules, and code. Then there's a period of time where PSI has to integrate that question database 9 into their system. So it's our time plus their time, and 10 then we're ready to roll. So we're working on it. 11 CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Board Member 12 Bobby Gray? 13 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, 14 Mr. Chair. 15 This is a question I've asked before, but just to 16 reiterate, why -- is there any thought about perhaps 17 putting that effort out for contract for someone to come 18 in and do that for you since you're limited on resources 19 for that, someone that's an expertise in that area to go 20 update that for you? 21 MR. VANCE: The way the database is 22 arranged is that we have all of our -- all of our 23 certification/license types, and then you have the 24 question database, and then you have how each question 25 applies to each specialty. In other words, there's some



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 1 2 3 4 5 6 be --7 8 justification to somebody that has that expertise? 9 MR. VANCE: 10 11 12 13 far as a bigger lift.

specialties that don't get some questions.

It's complex from that side of things, knowing what the specialty scope of work is and whether or not a question should apply or not to it. So to farm that out would be -- and find subject matter expertise, it would

> BOARD MEMBER GRAY: It's a sole source

You know, there are some, you know, former L&I staff members that would be very qualified to do that, but at this point we're at a point where it's kind of the next item on the list for us, as

We just got through adopting code, got through updating our correction database. That's a big lift as well.

So we have all of the corrections that are in our agency on software, and that's actually a bigger lift because of all the -- there -- it's not whether or not, you know, something can or can't be done necessarily.

It's the fact that we have to change all the code references when things move around. So it gets to be a That's a bigger task than the exam update, actually.

So -- and there's -- let's see. We're pushing 4,000

Page 91



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

corrections somewhere in there that we have in that 1 2 database that we have to go through, so our correction 3 database is based on only things that are prohibited. They're not -- the code has a lot of things that -where it's telling you what's allowed. So that's --5 that's outside of -- we don't write corrections for 6 what's allowed. We write corrections for what's not 7 8 allowed or what's prohibited. So it's -- it's been a lot of work, been a lot of 9 10 work. So rulemaking has calmed down. We're not actively 11 involved in any rule making right now, and we've gotten 12 through the code cycle. Next is the exam. 13 So there we are. 14 CHAIR JENKINS: Fair enough. 15 enough. Any other questions/comments from the board? 16 Anything else? 17 MR. VANCE: Thank you all. No. 18 Thank you very much CHAIR JENKINS: 19 for your time. Appreciate it. 20 MR. VANCE: Yes. Thank you. 21 CHAIR JENKINS: Before we get the next 22 item, which is our secretary's report, I just wanted to 23 make sure I get this read into the minutes. This is the 24 letter that we sent to the chief. It was written up by 25 our attorney, Ben Blohowiak. Did I say that right?



1 MR. BLOHOWIAK: No.

CHAIR JENKINS: Hope I am.

"Dear Mr. Molesworth, I am writing on behalf of the Washington State Electrical Board. At the board's April 2025 -- April 25th, 2024, meeting, a vote was held to formally recommend and advise electrical program that an increase in ECORE staffing is needed.

"As you are aware the ECORE staff plays a crucial role in the sharing compliance with electrical regulation and addressing the growing issues -- issue of unlicensed electrical work which undermines both public safety and the efforts of licensed contractors.

"From its advisory position, electrical program the board is well suited -- situated" -- can't read today -- "to view the industry from both compliance and contractor perspective.

"It is from that position that the board reviews and an increase -- views an increase of ECORE staff will enhance the electrical program's ability to conduct thorough inspections, enforcement compliance, and provide support for -- to legitimate contractors.

"This will not only ensure legitimate contractors are not undermined by the underground economy, but will also foster safer electrical infrastructure in the state of Washington.



"The board appreciates your time and consideration for its recommendation and looks forward to working with you to address these concerns. Any questions, do not hesitate to contact me."

I want to make sure I got that into the minutes.

And from here, it looks like we're up to your presentation for the secretary's report.

Secretary's Report

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Board, for supplying us with
a letter that outlines the need for more compliance
staff. We appreciate that.

So secretary's report to the electrical board,
July 25th, 2024, the budget. This budget report includes
preliminary data for fiscal year 2024, from July 1st
to -- 2023 through June 30th, 2024. Due to the end of
fiscal year accounting, June 2024 data is incomplete.

As bills continue to be processed, we expect an additional 200,000 to 300,000 in expenditures for June 2024 that is not reflected in this report and will reduce the electrical fund balance reported below by that amount. More accurate balance will be reported at the October meeting.



The electrical fund balance on June 30th, 2024, was \$13,857,367, which is about 4.7 times that average monthly operating expenditures. The average monthly operating expenditures for fourth quarter or fiscal year 2024 were \$2,881,802 compared to \$2,568,628 for the same period last year, which is an increase of about 12 percent.

Average monthly revenue for the fourth quarter of that fiscal year 2024 was \$2,836,416 compared to \$2,834,328 for the same period last year, an increase of about .007 percent -- 0007 percent. Very small.

June 2024, customer service: 46,630 permits were sold last quarter. 98.4 percent or 45,873 were processed online, which is an increase of .1 percent from last quarter.

99.7 percent of contractor permits are sold online, which is an increase .1 percent from the previous quarter. Homeowners online sales for this quarter is 83.7 percent, which is a .6 percent decrease from the previous quarter.

Online inspection requests is 74.6 percent, which is a 1.8 percent decrease from last quarter. During this quarter, customers made 90.3 -- 90.3 percent of all electrical license renewals were done online, which is a .4 percent decrease from last quarter.



Key performance measures, first one is percent of inspections performed within 24 hours of request. The goal is normally 86 percent. In fiscal year 2023, we did 77 percent this time -- at this time frame. In fiscal year 2024, it was 78 percent.

Percent of inspections performed within 48 hours of request: 89 percent in 2023 and 90 percent in 2024.

Total inspections performed: In 2023 there was 264,723. In fiscal year 2024, there was 263,058.

Virtual electrical inspections, VEI, performed 43,350 inspections in fiscal year 2023, and in 2024, they did 47,828.

Number of focus citations and warnings, contractor licensing, worker certification, no permit, failing to supervise trainees: Anticipated total number is 4,136. In fiscal year 2023, the field did 1,128. ECORE audit did 5,609, for a total of 6,816 citations issued.

In fiscal year 2024, the field did 2,647 compliance stops or citations. ECORE audit did 11,619. A total of 14,266. I think it's important that we noted here for ECORE's numbers that there was a couple of very sizable group citations that were matrixed and added to that large number for out-of-state contractors.

No. 8 -- or 7, serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnection. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm going



to go back to Line 6.

Inspection stops per inspector per day. Workload indicator only. It was 11.4. In fiscal year 2024, it was 11.3.

Serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnect: 37,532 in fiscal year 2023, and in fiscal year 2024, it was 38,275.

Turnaround time for average plan sets reviewed by plan review: In 2023, it was two days, and it continues in this year to be two days.

Plan pages reviewed: In 2023, it was 3,958. In this current time frame, it was 4,678.

Percent of warnings by focused violation type:
Licensing had 2 percent; certification was 28 percent;
permits, 61 percent; trainee supervision, 9 percent. All
focus citations at 7 percent.

Electrical licensing citations and amusement right appeals, this is some data -- some comments about our licensing staff or citation staff. As of July 10th, 2024, there are approximately 1,950 items waiting to be processed by the licensing team. The oldest item is dated 5/9/2024. About 1,100 of these items are affidavits needing to be processed. This backlog has continued to grow since April's board meeting.

In addition to processing documents, licensing staff



are responsible for answering all incoming electrical licensing phone calls for the time frame between April 1st, 2024, to June 30th, 2024. They receive 5,483 calls through the licensing phone line. This does not include inbound calls to their direct lines or outbound calls made to the customers.

Both previously vacant positions within the licensing team have now been filled. These staff are currently being trained before they will be able to effectively assist with the workload.

Licensing supervisor position has now been filled internally by the staff member from our electrical appeals position. The work of the appeals position will be absorbed by the team for now.

The citations and amusement rides team is currently with their work -- or current with their workload with the exception of outstanding debt needing to be sent to the external collections agency. We are working to get an additional resource to assist with that work.

I think, from this particular part of the report, we take away that we've got an influx of applications, affidavits, because of some of it having to do with the apprenticeship rules that were put in place, and we had existing staff, and we were carrying some vacancies.

So those have now been filled, and we're hopeful in



putting some things in place where we're training staff -- the new staff currently on just how to process specific documents so they can get it and bring us up to date, and we'll continue their training to a larger scope of work after we do that.

Testing labs, I don't think -- don't see any new testing labs, so we didn't approve any other testing labs.

Passed legislation. We're entering into a new legislative session coming up.

Other program updates, just wanted to make you guys aware that we are actually engaged in talks with the cities -- Association of Washington Cities.

As you remember last session, we had the language for engineering qualifications for electrical inspectors -- we had that removed, and part of removing that language, the legislature asked us to meet with the Association of Washington Cities to determine if there were other pathways that we could go down in order to qualify people to be electrical inspectors.

So we're actively engaged in that. Doesn't mean we have to make decisions, but we have to supply the leg with a report on what we did, what our findings were, what our recommendations might be. So just a heads-up on that.



1	So any questions from the board?
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Cox?
3	BOARD MEMBER COX: Question on your
4	secretary's report: Your key performance measures, Line
5	No. 6, "Inspection stops per inspector per day," two
6	questions. Number one, is that just field inspectors or
7	does that include ECORE inspectors?
8	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: That's only
9	inspections done for the only stops made for
10	electrical inspections.
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: For electrical
12	inspection.
13	Do you have any indicator that shows or simply
14	general knowledge what the average inspection time is for
15	your inspectors?
16	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I could begin
17	to guess, but they range probably between ten and fifteen
18	minutes when we look at the different types of
19	inspections, but that's going to be an average, and it
20	might be a little lower than that. Might be anywhere
21	from five to fifteen in that in that range because it
22	takes very little time to inspect a temporary power pole.
23	It takes quite a bit more time to inspect a temporary
24	system on a big job. Right?
25	And so it might say ten, but it might take an hour



1 and a half, depending on the scope. So it's very 2 subjective whom we talk about those numbers of -- you 3 know, what does the average time look like. 4 BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you. 5 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. 6 Mr. Baker? 7 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'm on the key 8 performance measures as well. Pretty much the same 9 between '23 and '24, everything seems pretty consistent 10 until you get to Line 5, and you got this bomb right in 11 the middle there. Can you elaborate on why there's so many more 12 13 citations? I know you said ECORE did some out-of-state 14 contractors, but even the field is more than double. 15 What's going on? 16 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So -- so we've 17 engaged the field in -- in requiring that they meet their 18 compliance expectations. So they have stepped it up. 19 They've moved into that -- working in that and doing 20 compliance that they should be doing during their 21 inspections, driving to an inspection. 22 You know, that's kind of where the underground 23 economy lives, is in those areas where we're doing new 24 inspections or remodels or something like that.

they've engaged in that now and have really been making a



25

big difference.

One of the things that we see in some of our extended data is that inspectors are actually doing more work -- more inspections than they are having requests come in. So what that tells you is, there's a little time left during the day probably to do other compliance activity.

And so you see some of that occurring. We see some of that occurring, which is the -- the intent we had for addressing the regions and asking them to really engage in the compliance activity.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So did I just hear you say that, when inspectors have extra time in their day, they're being proactive and stopping on job sites or following --

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely.

And it doesn't even mean they got extra time in their day. If I'm driving by, I see something, they're actually stopping. They're getting engaged and ensuring the right people are there.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I wasn't implying they have a light workload. Yeah. That's significant -- more than double in the amount of citations.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It is. Yeah.

It's interesting. The other thing to note with the data



do we need that?

is that we've been carrying -- I'm trying to pull a
number out, but it's right around 17 vacancies for
electrical inspectors.

And so we're going to start looking at hiring again
and putting inspectors back in the field, but we're
trying to be very careful about that because, if we're
doing more than is coming in, our compliance is going up,

And in these days where you want to make sure our fund is going to maintain healthy because we've -- we've gone down \$2 million since last November in our fund.

Right?

And so in order to maintain that, we have to carry some vacancies, and -- if we need to, and right now it doesn't show that we have the workload to really hire all 17. We'll probably hire a few, and we'll kind of stage them in, depending on the workload.

But that's what's happened with the compliance is, they've got time. They're doing the compliance. They're still getting their inspections done, and we can't say enough about how -- how good the staff are responding to our requests out there. They're really working hard at it, so --

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Are the -- it's not tracked here in this performance measures chart



1	you've given us, but are the individual inspectors being
2	tracked how many citations they're writing?
3	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So
4	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Quota they've got
5	to meet?
6	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.
7	Citations are part of their work description, right,
8	their PDF we call them, and they are required to do six
9	focus citations a quarter. You know, so that's one or
10	two a quarter.
11	And I think that that's why they're getting a little
12	bit, too, is because they're starting to actually say,
13	you know, "This is in your PDF. This is part of your
14	job. We need to get some training, focus on those
15	things, move forward, make sure the right people are
16	installing the work right."
17	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Last question:
18	Is there any risk that your stakeholders are going to
19	come back to you and say, you're trying to generate
20	revenue here?
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Because we've
22	had the fee increase?
23	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Well, because
24	you've increased so many citations.
25	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Oh, I I



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 1 doubt that, because when you look at citations and 2 citation money, we actually don't make a lot of money. A 3 lot of it goes into legal expenses. It goes into this. We pay for this. 4 5 And so -- and a lot of the funds have not been 6 collected. We're working with the AAGs right now to 7 limit a little bit their authority on how they reduce 8 penalties because, when they do settlement agreements, 9 they can reduce a penalty and also payment plans. 10 And I say, you've got to make sure that this changes 11 behavior, and so we're trying to get the payments upfront because what happens is, people will make their minimum 12 13 25 percent upfront, and then they'll go defunct and we 14 don't collect the rest. We have several million dollars 15 in uncollected citations. Right? 16 A lot of this, we'll collect 25 percent and that's 17 it. And so that's -- that's -- that's a hard pill to

swallow.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: That's a good explanation. Thank you.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I think we can defend it, I quess, is what I should have probably have just said and make it easy.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: What are we going do when they start pointing their finger at us. Right?

Page 105



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Thank you.
2	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep.
3	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I got a question,
4	Wayne.
5	With the increased number of citations, are we
6	seeing an increased number of appeals?
7	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You know, I
8	don't think we're seeing a number you know, that would
9	be a good question to ask John. I haven't asked him
10	that.
11	We're seeing more settlements, right, not
12	necessarily appeals that are going to the ALJ or coming
13	to the board, but we're seeing a lot of I see a lot of
14	settlements come through.
15	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Good.
16	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So that's a
17	good question. I'll see if we've got some numbers on
18	that, see what that looks like.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, settlement
20	on the Department's part is much better than an appeal.
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: What's that?
22	BOARD MEMBER NORD: A settlement on
23	the Department's part is much better than the appeal.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. It's
25	part of the appeal process. Right? They appeal. Then  Page 106



1 they negotiate with the AAGs, and then if they settle, 2 it's great. And you're right because most of the time people take responsibility for it. They change their behavior, 5 which is what citations are for, and we don't see them 6 again. 7 And so -- so that's good, you know -- and -although we should not be afraid to take them to court 8 9 either because we should be -- we should be writing them 10 correctly, justly. 11 BOARD MEMBER NORD: If they need to 12 go, they need to go. 13 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. 14 Exactly. 15 CHAIR JENKINS: I was going to ask, 16 how is the VEI currently going? I know we had a 17 replacement there and --18 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. 19 Unfortunately, you know, our VEI supervisor Brian Simpson 20 passed away. We replaced him now. It's hard to replace 21 It's almost impossible, but we've hired a 22 supervisor named Shawn Johnson who used to be a VEI 23 inspector, went to become a supervisor in Kennewick, and 24 now he's come back to VEI and is our supervisor for VEI



now.

25

1 We're looking at part of the positions we're 2 holding. One of my recommendations will be that we move 3 some of those into VEI and possibly start a section of VEI or at least have the capacity to do some of the smaller floatable tank systems, which would take a lot of 5 6 risk and a lot of work away from the field so that they 7 lighten up their workload to do other things. But most of those are on rooftop where inspectors 9 are not allowed to go, and we can get those easily 10 with -- you know, with less risk to the individuals. 11 CHAIR JENKINS: I just want to point 12 out that -- maybe board members may have a different 13 opinion, but I've always been of the opinion the VEI is

kind of a, "You've been doing good. Therefore, we'll allow you to use this other product."

> SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.

And I've kind of --CHAIR JENKINS: over some time, I've kind of altered my opinion on that, and that is sometimes there might be a reason that we want to enforce a VEI system in maybe a particular portion of our industry to help curb some things that might be happening otherwise.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. CHAIR JENKINS: So I just want to shake out that this should be a benefit, but there are

Page 108



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	some cases we can use it as more of a tool to battle an		
2	underground economy or something that's happening that		
3	might solve for us. So we use it for benefit but also as		
4	a tool.		
5	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Right. We see		
6	it as a tool to enforce, for example, supervision because		
7	it takes a certified individual to hold the camera. We		
8	check licenses as soon as they come online, and they have		
9	to show us their license, show us their permit. They		
10	have to show us the location they're at. And that way,		
11	we can verify there's a license guy actually on the site.		
12	And so it does help.		
13	Now, does that mean he was there the whole time? We		
14	can't do that, but at least there's been somebody there		
15	that's put eyes on it and is very familiar with the job		
16	site, and we can tell that. And a VEI does a		
17	considerable amount of compliance for how it's how		
18	it's built right, so		
19	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. Any other		
20	questions? Comments?		
21	All right. Well, thank you very much.		
22	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You're very		
23	welcome.		
24	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So we are		
25	done with that. Looks like we're all the way up to our		
	Page 109		



1 last item, which is public comment regarding items not on 2 the agenda. 3 Do we have anybody signed in? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. 5 CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Well, does 6 anybody -- board members -- anything they want to bring 7 up before we close the meeting? 8 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I just like to 9 thank the instructor and the gentlemen from Perry Tech 10 for -- and the ladies for coming and participating and 11 seeing what the actual board looks like. 12 This is the future of the industry back here, and 13 there's so much, you know, for you to do in this 14 industry. 15 I always like to tell people that are just coming 16 in, you're an important part of society as electricians 17 and people that work in the electrical industry. Stop 18 and take a look around you, and let me know what you find 19 that you can actually do without electricity. 20 I had a guy tell me, "I can pave a road." No, you 21 can't because it takes electricity to build the paver. 22 All right. How do you run a welder? Electricity. How 23 do you make the carpet sewing machines? 24 There's nothing in this world that you can't -- that 25

you can do without electricity. There's a lot of



Page 110

1	opportunity here for you, and I commend you for taking
2	the time and the travel to come down here and see the
3	board. So thank you.
4	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chair. Just a reminder, the 2026 NEC is in progress
6	right now and the deadline for submitting public comments
7	is coming up. I think it's August 8th, but it's in
8	August sometime, so encourage everybody to go out there
9	and take a look. A lot of changes in format, building a
10	lot of new articles.
11	So that's going to have an impact on implementing
12	those code changes. So encourage everybody to go out and
13	get involved in that.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: And this is the one
15	they're doing a major overhaul?
16	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: This is the next
17	to the this is an interim step where we've gone
18	through and taken out a lot of the parts that are related
19	to medium voltage and to limited power, gave them
20	separate articles, but in 2029, the plan is to completely
21	overhaul the entire code.
22	So everything you knew about the code prior to 2029
23	you can forget and start all over again.
24	CHAIR JENKINS: I would say thank you
25	for that. I don't know if I



Page 111

1	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: That's			
2	depressing news.			
3	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Any more			
4	comments from the board?			
5	Hearing none, the chair entertains a motion to close			
6	the meeting?			
7	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord,			
8	motion.			
9	CHAIR JENKINS: Motion.			
10	Do we have a second?			
11	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Board Member			
12	Isaacson, second.			
13	CHAIR JENKINS: Any discussion?			
14	Hearing none, all in favor of the closing the			
15	meeting?			
16	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.			
17	CHAIR JENKINS: Opposed?			
18	Motion passes.			
19	Thank you very much.			
20	(Meeting concluded at			
21	11:55 a.m.)			
22				
23				
24				
25				
	Page 112			



1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, ANDREA L. CLEVENGER, a Certified Stenographic
3	Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing
4	at Olympia, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations
5	pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, do hereby certify;
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
7	stenographically before me and thereafter reduced to a typed
8	format under my direction; that the transcript is a full,
9	true and complete transcript of said proceedings consisting
10	of Pages 1 through 113;
11	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or
12	counsel of any party to this action, or relative or employee
13	of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially
14	interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;
15	That upon completion of signature, if required,
16	the original transcript will be securely sealed and the same
17	served upon the appropriate party.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 6th day of August, 2024.
20	
21	andrea Z Clevenger
22	Andrea L. Clevenger, CCR No. 3041
23	(Certified Stenographic Court Reporter)
24	
25	



	— <b>15-ish</b> 82:10	<b>27</b> 61:3
<b>\$</b>	<b> 166</b> 67:8	<b>28</b> 97:14
<b>\$13,857,367</b> 95:2	<b>17</b> 103:2,16	<b>28th</b> 8:13
<b>\$2</b> 103:11	<b>18.106.070(3)(a)</b> 56:22	<b>296-400A-010</b> 57:8 61:13
<b>\$2,568,628</b> 95:5	<b>19.28</b> 64:24 66:17,22 68:18	<b>296-400A-0107</b> 58:2
<b>\$2,834,328</b> 95:10	<b>19.28.041</b> 10:16 15:9 49:5	<b>296-46B-901</b> 10:20
<b>\$2,836,416</b> 95:9	<b>19.28.161</b> 57:12	<b>296-46B-915</b> 66:12
<b>\$2,881,802</b> 95:5	<b>19.28.271</b> 10:24	
	<b>1995</b> 60:22	3
0	<b>1st</b> 94:17 98:3	<b>3,958</b> 97:11
<b>0007</b> 95:11		<b>300,000</b> 94:21
<b>007</b> 95:11		<b>301</b> 3:2
<b>01</b> 85:11,15	<b>2</b> 6:25 97:14	<b>30th</b> 94:18 95:1 98:3
<b>06-2023-LI-01889</b> 8:7	<b>2,647</b> 96:18	<b>37,532</b> 97:6
	<b>20</b> 61:15	<b>38,275</b> 97:7
1	<b>200,000</b> 94:21	
<b>1</b> 15:9 45:19 49:2,4 95:14,17	<b>2000</b> 60:23	4
<b>1,100</b> 97:22	<b>2022</b> 25:15 45:19	<b>4</b> 20:22 95:25
<b>1,128</b> 96:16	<b>2023</b> 8:14,17 44:14 54:2 94:18 96:3,	<b>4,000</b> 60:15 91:25
<b>1,950</b> 97:20	7,8,11,16 97:6,9,11	<b>4,000-hour</b> 76:9
<b>1.8</b> 95:22	<b>2024</b> 3:2 6:21 7:1 8:10 53:21,24 93:5 94:16,17,18,19,22 95:1,5,9,12 96:5,	<b>4,136</b> 96:15
<b>10-2023-LI-01961</b> 53:18	7,9,11,18 97:3,7,20 98:3	<b>4,678</b> 97:12
<b>100</b> 80:21	<b>2025</b> 93:5	<b>4.7</b> 95:2
<b>10:10</b> 53:22	<b>2026</b> 111:5	<b>40</b> 56:24
<b>10:46</b> 82:11	<b>2029</b> 111:20,22	<b>43,350</b> 96:11
<b>10th</b> 97:19	<b>21</b> 20:14,18	<b>45,873</b> 95:13
<b>11,619</b> 96:19	<b>21st</b> 54:2	<b>46,630</b> 95:12
<b>11.3</b> 97:4	<b>22</b> 75:7	<b>47</b> 47:20
<b>11.4</b> 97:3	<b>23</b> 23:9 101:9	<b>47,828</b> 96:12
<b>11:14</b> 82:12,14	<b>24</b> 96:2 101:9	<b>48</b> 96:6
<b>11:55</b> 112:21	<b>24th</b> 8:17	
<b>12</b> 15:3 17:21 86:6,7 95:7	<b>25</b> 3:2 6:21 105:13,16	5
<b>13</b> 63:25	<b>25th</b> 3:16 7:1 8:10 53:21 93:5 94:16	<b>5</b> 66:16 101:10
<b>14,266</b> 96:20	<b>263</b> 23:9	<b>5,483</b> 98:3
<b>15</b> 9:21 55:3 60:5	<b>263,058</b> 96:9	<b>5,609</b> 96:17
	<b>264,723</b> 96:9	•



Index: \$13,857,367..5,609

<b>E 7</b> 50.4	00 00.47	addad 00:00
<b>5.7</b> 58:1 <b>5/9/2024</b> 97:22	<b>98</b> 33:17 <b>98.4</b> 95:13	added 96:22 addition 97:25
<b>50</b> 33:24 42:18 43:2 47:19 60:24	<b>99.7</b> 95:16	additional 94:21 98:19
<b>53</b> 30:7	<b>9:01</b> 3:3	address 80:7 94:3
<b>54</b> 30:7	<b>9:02</b> 3:17	addressing 38:24 93:10 102:10
6	<b>9:07</b> 8:11	administrative 8:13 9:7,8,16 53:24
	_ <b>9th</b> 53:24	administrator 72:3 85:12,16 86:13
<b>6</b> 66:19,20 95:19 97:1 100:5	Α	administrator's 86:23
<b>6,816</b> 96:17	<del></del>	admitted 36:17
<b>60</b> 47:22	<b>a.m.</b> 3:3,17 8:11 53:22 82:11,12 112:21	adopting 91:14
<b>60(b)3</b> 20:22	<b>AAGS</b> 105:6 107:1	adoption 89:18
<b>61</b> 97:15	ability 93:19	adverse 8:25
<b>67</b> 43:11	aboveboard 21:11	<b>advertise</b> 15:18 68:15
<b>6th</b> 3:3		<b>advise</b> 8:23 93:6
7	absolutely 72:8 73:19 102:16 absorbed 98:14	<b>advised</b> 41:19,23
	_	advisory 93:13
<b>7</b> 61:13 96:24 97:16	accept 30:5	advocacy 39:13
<b>72</b> 41:11,14,16	accident 27:9	advocating 40:6
<b>73</b> 41:16,17	accordance 57:12	<b>affidavits</b> 97:23 98:22
<b>74.6</b> 95:21	account 68:7	<b>affirmed</b> 8:14 10:13,19,22 59:22 61:11
<b>77</b> 96:4	accounting 94:19	
<b>78</b> 96:5	<b>accurate</b> 11:21 94:24	affirming 61:5
	acknowledge 46:22 62:11	afforded 68:17
8	act 9:9 12:2,15,16 25:4 32:5	afraid 107:8
<b>8</b> 96:24	acted 36:16 48:13 49:1	<b>AG</b> 32:10
<b>80</b> 4:11	acting 18:3 27:19 32:11 48:18 74:18	agency 21:9 35:2 91:18 98:18
<b>83.7</b> 95:19	<b>action</b> 9:1 21:3,20 27:24 31:24,25 33:10	agenda 3:19 110:2
<b>86</b> 96:3	actions 9:5 19:8 28:10	agent 30:21 50:1
<b>89</b> 96:7	actively 16:19 37:20 92:10 99:21	aggressive 90:3
8th 111:7	activities 30:18	<b>agree</b> 30:5 61:13 72:5 77:11
<b>Oth</b> 111.7		agreed 52:23
9	- activity 16:9 17:11 102:7,11	agreements 105:8
0.07.44.07.45	acts 22:18 23:4,19 42:20	<b>ahead</b> 28:17 47:9 60:16 75:3
<b>9</b> 67:14 97:15	actual 110:11	alarming 87:22
<b>90</b> 96:7	Adam 13:24 14:8,25 41:14	<b>Alaska</b> 14:22 45:22 46:2 47:4
<b>90.3</b> 95:23	<b>add</b> 5:22 31:12 37:25 49:6	<b>ALJ</b> 9:10,18 10:1,14 55:8 106:12

**ALJ's** 50:9 alleged 10:17 allowance 79:4 allowed 19:2 59:17 61:17 63:14 64:24 73:10 74:4,5,7 79:20 81:6 92:5.7.8 108:9 **altered** 108:18 amended 26:18.19 amount 23:17 94:24 102:23 109:17 amusement 97:17 98:15 and/or 17:5 64:3 answering 13:14 43:12 98:1 anticipated 59:9 96:15 appeal 8:6,12 11:3,9 38:22 53:17,23 63:11,23 67:5 79:7 106:20,23,25 appealed 8:19 54:4 62:21 69:16 appealing 10:7,13 55:11 **appeals** 7:15,19 8:24 9:11 79:25 97:18 98:13 106:6,12 appeared 46:16 appears 44:3 46:15 69:8 86:19 appellant 17:23

appellant's 15:6 17:24 38:24 **apples** 79:25 applicable 11:14 application 70:4,23 applications 98:21 applied 62:24 64:13,17 66:20 67:22 68:22 69:7 70:21 74:17 79:8 applies 60:7 90:25 apply 12:12 40:14 58:17 63:8 66:24

73:9 91:4 appointed 42:5 appreciates 94:1 apprenticeship 98:23 approached 24:7 36:6 56:6 approaching 36:8 appropriately 49:21 81:8

approve 6:21 7:1 99:7 approximately 3:17 8:10 53:22 55:3 60:23 97:20 **April** 6:21 7:1 53:24 93:4,5 98:3 April's 97:24 area 90:19 areas 101:23 argue 9:21 55:3 74:9 argued 19:19,25 21:19,21 62:19 arguing 21:14 22:16,17 28:3 argument 22:10 27:10 28:22 32:25 35:11 47:13,14 62:18 arguments 21:13 63:23 68:19 arose 29:14 arranged 90:22 arrived 12:23 14:4 46:4 articles 111:10.20 articulation 71:6 **assigned** 9:7 12:20 assigning 6:12 assignment 12:22 assist 98:10,19 **ASSISTANT** 3:7 Association 99:13,18 assume 70:19 76:7 85:14 assumed 24:23 assuming 54:12 81:24 **assumption** 18:22 70:22 assumptions 17:25 19:14

assure 60:5 **attempt** 83:20,22 84:1,10,12,13,14, 16 85:2,12 86:4 87:3,7 attempted 86:6,16 attempts 83:17,18,19 attorney 3:7 32:7,10 92:25 attributable 19:9 audit 96:16,19

**August** 111:7,8 authority 19:6,15,16,18,20 22:8 23:17 33:25 34:12 43:4 58:15 105:7 authorized 8:22 automatically 32:6 47:3 average 95:2,3,8 97:8 100:14,19 101:3 **aware** 4:6 5:9 25:2 69:16 93:8 99:12 **aye** 7:11,12 52:4,5 81:14 112:16

Index: Alj's..believes

В

**B-R-I-A-N** 54:14 **B-U-E-L-T** 54:15 **back** 19:9 21:3 24:24 27:4 61:12 71:13 72:20 81:4 82:9,15 83:19 86:9 87:2,11 88:11 97:1 103:5 104:19 107:24 110:12 backbone 51:11 backlog 97:23 **Baker** 3:6 47:5,10 48:21,24 50:18 71:4,5,17,21 74:12 75:2,3,9,13 78:5

80:6,9,11 101:6,7 102:12,21 103:24 104:4,17,23 105:19,24 balance 4:21 94:23,24 95:1 Barnes 7:24,25 10:5 19:5 22:14

24:13.16.20 30:2.19.24 31:2 38:3 45:13 52:14,24 54:18,19 55:12,13 81:25

based 11:5 13:14 37:22 45:13 53:2 71:22 75:25 81:17 88:4 92:3

basically 11:3 35:20 69:19

basis 11:3,25 35:16

**battle** 109:1 beam 37:1

begin 55:11 100:16

begins 13:4

behalf 34:3 38:17 40:2 93:3 behavior 31:14 105:11 107:4 believed 13:11 34:10

believes 34:8

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.

**belongs** 19:23 **Ben** 3:8 92:25

**benefit** 17:24 18:6,7,8,23 25:19,23, 24,25 34:6 108:25 109:3

**bid** 15:19

big 31:16 91:15 100:24 102:1

bigger 91:13,18,23

**bill** 29:4

billed 28:24 29:2

bills 94:20 bind 23:19 binds 32:1

**bit** 4:16 13:22 31:12 77:14 100:23 104:12 105:7

blaming 21:2

**Blohowiak** 3:8 39:1,9 40:5 52:12, 16,25 92:25 93:1

**board** 3:1,4,8,16 6:11,21 7:2,6,12 8:20,22 9:3,13,23,24,25 23:4 28:14, 15,18,21 29:3,15,19,22 30:4,8,12,20 31:1,3,7,10 38:13,15,22 39:2,6,17 40:1,4,13,16,17 41:4,25 42:17 43:6 44:3,20 45:1,12 46:8 47:5,9,10 48:16,21,24 49:3 50:7,11,15,18,19, 20,22,24 51:7,9 52:5,25 53:3 54:5 55:4,5,7,10 69:3,4,5,18,21 71:3,5, 17,21 72:1,5,8,13 74:12 75:1,3,9,13, 24 76:11,22 77:1,11 78:4,5,10,11 79:6,15,17 80:6,9,11 81:14,16 82:15 83:12,16,23 84:2,11,16,19,23 85:5, 10,20,23,24 86:3,8 87:4,8,14 90:11, 13 91:7 92:15 93:4,14,17 94:1,12,15 97:24 100:1.2.3.11 101:4.7 102:12. 21 103:24 104:4,17,23 105:19,24 106:3,13,15,19,22 107:11 108:12 110:6,11 111:3,4,16 112:4,7,11,16

board's 38:15 52:18 93:4

**Bobby** 3:4 90:12

**body** 6:1

**bold** 41:4 49:3

**bolts** 36:25 37:10

**bomb** 101:10

**bottom** 20:18 30:10 41:3 49:3

**bound** 9:19

bounds 47:7 68:14

break 4:16 82:9

breaker 74:23

breakers 75:15

**Breaking** 18:6

breaks 4:12 5:2

**Brian** 53:9,13,18 54:14 59:25 60:4,8 61:15,23 62:12 63:13 65:12,19 68:6

75:20 107:19,21

**Brian's** 63:10

briefing 25:12

bright 5:12

bring 74:10 82:14 85:10 99:3 110:6

**brings** 63:12

brought 6:16 15:1 16:4 76:16

**buck** 3:20 **buddy** 5:3

budget 23:14 94:16

**Buelt** 53:9,13,15,18 54:14,15 55:1 56:2,15,17 60:8,9,14 64:4 70:8 75:5, 12,14,22 76:10,18

**build** 44:5 110:21

building 37:1,12 111:9

**built** 109:18

**bunch** 36:25

burden 10:7 12:13

**burn** 5:15

**business** 15:19 35:1 61:7 63:10 68:2,13,16 72:3 73:17 74:4

C

calendar 52:21

**call** 3:17 4:9 43:22 46:10,20 47:1 51:12 80:6,9 104:8

calls 13:20 16:22 98:2,4,5,6

calmed 92:10

camera 109:7

candidate 86:13,19 88:11

Index: belongs..chair

candidates 87:25 88:18

capacity 89:16 108:4

car 27:7

care 48:8,9 50:18,19

**career** 63:10

careful 103:6

carefully 39:16,19

carpenter 77:4

**carpet** 110:23

**carries** 81:23

carry 15:19 103:13

carrying 98:24 103:1

**case** 9:22 11:25 12:3,9,18 14:13,16, 25 15:1,5,16 17:8 19:4 21:1 25:4 28:9 29:14 35:19 36:13,16 37:20 40:9 43:2 53:6 54:21 55:4,22 59:2 60:7 63:13 74:13,17 76:14 79:11 87:4

cases 27:2 34:19 39:11 109:1

caused 68:9

center 41:4

certificate 56:5

**certification** 35:4 72:22 76:11 96:14 97:14

certification/ceu 83:2.5

certification/license 90:23

certifications 9:1

**certified** 56:4,23 57:10,15 63:17 68:13 70:17 79:11 109:7

**chair** 3:14 5:21 6:8,12,14,24,25 7:4, 8,13,18,23 8:1,5 10:6 20:9,12,16,19 22:12 28:13,17 31:5,9 32:20,22 33:1 35:9,11 40:17 41:7,10 47:5 50:8,13 52:2,6,10 53:2,12,16 54:8,12,16,19, 23 55:2 59:23 69:1 71:3 72:6,9,14 73:19 74:6 75:1 76:24 78:3,8,12,21 80:13 81:12,15,19 82:2,8,13,21 83:4,8 89:11,25 90:11,14 92:14,18, 21 93:2 100:2 107:15 108:11,17,24 109:19,24 110:5 111:5,14,24 112:3, 5,9,13,17



Index: chair's..contacted

**chair's** 52:19

Chairman 5:20 38:13 83:11 94:12

**CHAIRPERSON** 3:4

**change** 31:14 62:7 64:25 80:24

87:22 91:21 107:4

Chapter 66:22

**charge** 16:4,6,21 22:23 24:18 25:3

charged 17:1,16 24:11 76:2

**chart** 103:25

check 13:3 75:16 109:8

checked 4:24

**chief** 38:18 39:1,4,7 69:12 76:23

77:2 83:14 92:24

**choice** 73:15

choose 17:4,7 66:15

**chooses** 83:21

chose 17:8 20:3 63:11

**citation** 8:15,16 10:22,23 11:2 20:15 21:24 22:7 26:24 27:21 44:14 45:14 49:9 53:25 61:12 62:18 63:7 65:8 66:4 67:2.8 69:7 75:25 77:5 97:19

105:2

**citations** 8:25 10:13,16 11:5 14:7,9 21:22 38:2,4 40:25 41:24 44:6 49:2, 11,14,20 50:5 59:21 60:3 62:14,22,

23 63:3 64:2,6,8,11,12,16 65:4,20 66:8,14 67:10,14,21 68:11,21 69:6 70:4 74:3,16 96:13,17,19,22 97:16,

17 98:15 101:13 102:23 104:2.7.9.

24 105:1,15 106:5 107:5

**cite** 28:4 35:3,4 36:7,9 37:15 38:20 51:22 59:13 71:14 74:15

**cited** 15:17 24:6 25:7 27:3,20 28:10 35:23 49:21 50:4 51:17 56:13 59:11

71:10,24 78:16 81:8

cites 49:16

cities 99:13,18

**citing** 20:7 49:17

citizens 20:25 31:17

civil 20:21 66:12

claim 11:17 17:15 68:11

clairvoyant 58:22

clammy 4:14

clarification 31:8 38:14,21 50:21

51:25 70:24 87:9

**class** 6:18 71:19

clean 62:16 71:13

clear 36:5 41:2 80:16

clients 51:14

close 110:7 112:5

closed 85:1

closing 19:5 112:14

**code** 57:13 62:9 63:20 67:1,3,11

68:1,3 78:19 89:14,20 90:7 91:14,21

92:4,12 111:12,21,22

**coded** 84:8

codes 66:7 69:10

coffee 25:18 47:22 48:6

cold 4:14 25:15

**collapses** 37:1,12

collect 35:25 105:14,16

collected 105:6

collections 98:18

commend 111:1

comment 31:4,12,15,19 69:4 76:25

80:8 110:1

**comments** 28:14 47:12 69:3 97:18

109:20 111:6 112:4

commonly 11:15

communication 71:6

companies 65:13 75:15

**company** 19:9 34:3 35:2,4 36:9

37:13,15 38:10 42:22 51:22 60:4

61:9,22 62:1,11 63:6 64:20 65:14,23

68:9 76:14 77:4

compared 95:5,9

competing 52:23

complete 84:17 85:17 86:10

completely 9:3 18:24 34:15 87:10

111:20

completing 85:18

complex 91:2

**compliance** 9:2 13:3 31:17 93:9,15,

20 94:13 96:18 101:18,20 102:6,11

103:7,18,19 109:17

computers 25:21

conceding 21:15

concerns 94:3

concluded 112:20

conclusion 9:25 11:4,21 17:14 55:6

58:1

conclusions 10:1 55:7

**conduct** 9:8 11:23 12:1,2 15:19

20:8 35:22,24 49:24 50:2 93:19

conducted 9:10

confirm 14:1

confirms 58:10

conflict 79:16,18

confusion 4:20

connect 32:18

connected 13:4,12 32:16 33:10

76:21

connecting 34:4

connection 47:16,18 48:3 74:21

connections 28:23 76:16

considerable 109:17

consideration 94:1

considered 59:18 62:3

consistent 42:7 48:15 49:15 101:9

consistently 49:1 67:12 74:18

constitutes 58:8

**construction** 7:16 8:7,19 10:12,17 11:5 12:13,18,19 13:17,18,21 14:8 15:25 18:19,20 19:12,17 22:2,9,21

23:7,11 28:10,11,19 29:11 30:16,17

34:5,20 36:18,19,24 42:6,18 43:8 46:7

40.7

contact 94:4

contacted 56:2



Index: contacts..Department

**contacts** 13:5,23

context 31:12 36:24 63:5

continue 20:19 94:20 99:4

continued 97:24 continues 97:9

**contract** 18:10 29:6,8,10,12 37:22 45:8,18,20 90:17

contracted 18:14,20 37:21 43:18

contracting 80:18

**contractor** 10:21 15:8,21 18:10 26:3,14 28:1 34:24 35:5 38:12 43:18,20 45:7 46:11 49:7,20,23 50:1,2 63:3,8,17,19 64:18,22 65:7,9 66:2,18 67:13,16,17 72:11 73:25 77:24 78:15,17 79:9,19,20 93:15 95:16 96:13

contractor's 42:19 49:6,8 65:5

**contractors** 30:22 31:18 93:12,21, 22 96:23 101:14

contracts 23:3

contractual 45:17

contrary 47:17 48:17

control 23:16 37:14 85:1

conversation 13:25 38:16 40:3

**cool** 4:12,15,16 5:2,24 6:1,6

coordinating 23:12 coordination 23:10

**core** 63:12 **corner** 30:10

**corporation** 15:16,18 17:2,3,4,8,10, 18 19:5 20:3 21:1,2,6 22:23 23:2,3, 4,19 25:5,20,24 26:9 27:8,17,19,22 28:2,4 30:21 31:20,22,23,24 32:1,3 34:10 35:19,23 36:1 37:18

corporation's 20:4,5

**corporations** 16:25 26:10

**correct** 7:20,22,24,25 25:24 30:2,5, 19 31:2 33:2 44:25 45:15 49:8 59:7 62:13 69:23 75:13 76:18

**correction** 91:15 92:2

corrections 91:17 92:1,6,7 96:24

97:5

**correctly** 28:22 37:25 50:3,4 62:12 70:18,20 71:11 85:21 107:10

cost 73:1

costly 73:4

**counsel** 28:19 30:13 37:25 38:15,25 40:16.18 47:12 52:12 61:14 63:25

counsel's 25:11

**count** 47:21

counted 84:1

counter 18:7

counts 83:22 86:20

**couple** 4:6 28:15 38:5 53:6 56:19

96:21

court 20:21 21:6 54:9 107:8

covered 6:6 64:23 79:12 80:1

**Cox** 3:5 38:13 39:6 40:1,16 50:15,20 51:9 83:23 84:11,16,19 85:10,23 86:3,8 87:4,8,14 100:2,3,11 101:4

crash 27:5,7

created 67:9

crucial 93:8

**CUNNINGHAM** 3:5 50:24 78:10

79:6,17 85:20,24

**curb** 108:21

current 85:11 97:12 98:16

customer 95:12

**customers** 63:6 95:23 98:6

**cut** 75:16 **cycle** 92:12

D

**D-O-U-G** 54:11

damage 75:16

damning 63:9

**damp** 6:4

dangerous 62:3

data 87:25 94:17,19 97:18 102:3,25

**database** 90:8,21,24 91:15 92:2,3

date 60:18 99:4

dated 97:22

**day** 5:19 19:21 26:19 33:20 35:1 38:5,6 60:12,14 61:11 65:24 97:2 100:5 102:6.14.18

days 38:5 97:9,10 103:9

**de** 9:15

deadline 111:6

deal 50:25 51:5

dealing 4:2

**Dear** 93:3

**debate** 60:16 63:12,13,15,16,22

78:2

debt 98:17

**decide** 86:24

decided 36:25 37:9

**decision** 8:14,19 9:11,12 10:8 14:24 15:4 25:10 34:1,3 37:1 49:23 50:6 52:9,18 53:1,3,25 54:4 55:14,15 57:24.25 59:16 71:8.23 78:7

decisions 33:25 50:9 99:22

decrease 95:19,22,25

**defend** 105:22

defense 32:9 34:19

definitive 63:24

**defunct** 105:13

degrees 4:11

**delivered** 14:2 45:21 46:9,10,14

88:7

**delve** 87:11

demarcation 40:2

demonstrate 36:16 66:9,24

demonstrates 12:3

denied 24:21

**Department** 8:16,23,25 9:4,5,6 22:13,15 26:18 30:14 48:12,13,18 49:1,16,21 50:3,4 54:2,3,17 55:10, 13 69:22 71:13 74:15,18 83:13



Index: Department's..employee's

**Department's** 30:13 106:20,23

departments 69:9

depending 101:1 103:17

depressing 112:2

Derifield 10:14

**Derifield's** 14:24 15:4

**description** 16:14,15 18:2 19:2,18 22:25 23:8 29:7 33:22 34:11 42:8

43:5 48:9 104:7

deserves 21:21 designed 31:14

details 13:22

determine 9:25 32:10 39:12 55:7

99:18

determined 46:6

develop 90:6

difference 80:1 102:1

digest 40:14

dipping 35:20,22

direct 42:21 57:14 62:9 64:5 68:7,12

98:5

directing 16:2

direction 51:24 72:22 78:2 89:22

director 21:9

directors 23:5 26:11

dirt 12:24

disagree 36:2 75:2

disappointed 62:16

disconnect 74:22 77:4 78:18 97:6

disconnected 13:11 56:7,13 62:6,8

65:17

disconnecting 58:7,9 68:8 75:18

**disconnection** 75:12 77:7 96:25

discovered 20:22 46:4

discrepancy 56:10

**discretion** 9:24 55:5

**discussion** 7:10 13:5 50:14,15 52:2 78:13 80:14 81:11,12 82:17,22

112:13

discussions 72:24 81:9

dismissal 21:22

**dismissed** 8:15 10:24 11:2 14:25 21:23 22:5 53:25 64:9 68:23

dispatching 68:6

**dispute** 12:5,9

distinction 66:10

division 39:16 65:16

**Docket** 8:7 53:18

doctor 4:24

documents 97:25 99:3

dollars 51:20 105:14

**Don** 3:6 47:9 71:3 72:5 75:1

double 35:20,21 71:16 101:14

102:23

doubt 105:1

**Doug** 54:10 59:25 60:20

draft 52:15,17

dramatic 62:8

drinking 5:24

driveway 55:25

driving 27:3,4 63:1 101:21 102:18

**drop** 4:22

dropping 48:25

dry 4:14

**due** 8:8 53:19,20 94:18

**Duly** 75:3

**duties** 42:6

**DYLAN** 3:5

Ε

early 12:24

easily 16:7 108:9

easy 105:23

economy 93:23 101:23 109:2

**ECORE** 55:23 80:2 93:7,8,18 96:16,

19 100:7 101:13

**ECORE's** 96:21

effect 5:25

effectively 78:21 98:10

effort 17:14 90:17

efforts 93:12

either-or 27:23

elaborate 101:12

**electric** 8:22 22:8 28:24 29:9,16 45:10,16 46:20 62:5 64:22 65:8

electrical 3:1,6,16 6:21 8:20,24 9:1, 3,12 10:3,18,21 11:1 12:6 13:3,16 15:8,21 16:10,16,18 18:10 19:16 21:25 22:3 24:5 26:3,14 27:25 29:8 31:18 33:22 34:17,24 35:3,5 38:10, 11 40:4,21 41:4 42:13 43:18,20 45:7 46:11,23 48:10 49:4,5 50:2 51:2 54:4,5 55:9,15,17,18,22 56:3,8,12, 17,25 57:5,9,13,22 58:4,8,9,10,12, 14 59:8,17,18 61:18 62:22 63:9,20 64:16 65:1,2,6,14,15,18,21 66:2,7,8, 13,17,21,23 67:11,19,24 68:15,17, 21 69:9 70:7,17,20 72:23 73:7,10 74:8,21,24 75:10 76:2,16,19,21

77:7,21,23 78:4 79:8,20 80:16 81:4 82:14 83:14 93:4,6,9,11,13,19,24 94:15,23 95:1,24 96:10,24 97:5,17 98:1,12 99:15,20 100:10,11 103:3

110:17

**electrician** 13:19 26:8 28:1 46:5,6 47:25 56:4 72:18 73:7

**electricians** 24:1 110:16

electricity 110:19,21,22,25

elements 25:18

**else's** 36:7

email 52:19 86:14

**Embarrassingly** 68:4

emergency 4:23

**employ** 68:16

employed 36:9 50:1

**employee** 12:5,8,14 13:17 15:6 16:11 17:3 18:25 31:25 32:8,10,24 36:22 50:17,25 51:8,11,17,23 74:14, 15 78:18 79:3,10

,

employee's 31:24



**employees** 22:18,24 23:5,6 26:11 31:21,23 34:4 68:12

**employer** 10:25 11:18,22 12:1 14:20 40:20,21,24 51:8 65:21

**employment** 17:23 18:2,4,23 19:1 22:22 27:13 28:7 32:12,15 33:9,15

36:7

encourage 111:8,12

encouraging 16:2

end 22:2 55:6 89:13 94:18

energized 77:8

enforce 108:20 109:6

enforced 59:5

enforcement 93:20

enforcing 58:19

engage 15:19 39:10 102:10

**engaged** 10:18 16:9 34:20 35:1 49:23,24 50:2 99:12,21 101:17,25

102:19

engineering 99:15

enhance 93:19

**ensure** 93:22

**ensuring** 23:13 102:19

**enter** 4:19

entered 34:23

entering 99:9

entertain 6:25

entertains 112:5

entire 9:16 111:21

entitled 39:3

entity 9:3 17:2

equation 77:2

equipment 23:12 58:8 77:5

**equity** 21:14

equivalent 62:25

erase 63:18

EREIF01172 82:18

EREIF01173 82:18

**EREIF01174** 82:18

EREIF01175 82:19

EREIF01176 82:19

EREIF01177 82:19

EREIF01178 82:19

erroneous 55:15

error 55:21 68:22

ESICO0073 54:1

ESICO0074 54:1

ESICO00762 53:9

ESICO00763 53:10

ESIO0072 54:1

essentially 28:3 58:17 85:8

established 10:8 12:11

establishes 15:6

eventually 26:20 37:22

everyone's 21:3

**evidence** 9:17,19,20 11:21 12:3 15:6,10 16:9 17:9,13 19:23 20:22

43:3 47:16 63:23

**exact** 33:17 46:14 63:7 66:13 74:16

**exam** 60:17,18 83:16,21 84:6,7,25 85:1,12,18 86:23,24 88:6,7,20,21, 22,23,25 89:20 90:6 91:23 92:12

examples 27:1 64:15 66:6

**exception** 4:2 31:15 43:17 73:9,10 77:16,18,19,22 80:16,18,23,25 81:3,

5 98:17

exceptions 78:23

exclusion 68:18

**excuse** 76:19

exemption 64:24

**exhaustion** 4:8,13 5:23

exhibit 16:12,13 29:7,9 42:7,11

exist 65:20 66:25 77:19

existence 59:14

existing 62:6 98:24

**expect** 94:20

**expectation** 37:17 42:2,13 45:5,10

**expectations** 69:10 101:18

**expected** 29:11 34:19 36:21 42:12

expedient 24:1

expenditures 94:21 95:3,4

expenses 105:3

**experience** 4:20,21 39:5 40:12 42:18,19 43:2 45:22 61:16

**experienced** 69:15 88:2

expert 39:7 40:7

expertise 90:19 91:5,8

explain 82:4

explaining 24:17

**explanation** 23:25 24:11 63:5

105:20

extemporaneously 39:21

**extended** 9:24 55:5 102:3

external 98:18

extra 51:19 102:13,17

extremely 72:10

**eye** 5:3,5

**eyes** 5:15 109:15

EZINS02418 7:16 8:15

EZINS02419 7:16 8:15

EZINS02420 7:16 8:16

F

facility 4:24 85:1

**fact** 11:20 17:21 18:9,15,24 21:10, 12,19 25:6,13 44:4,8,12 76:19 81:17 89:8 91:21

**facts** 10:2 12:9,18 14:12 19:20 36:16 40:15 43:3 55:8,22

fail 86:21

**failed** 12:2 32:5 46:10 67:16 84:3 85:6.7 86:2.6

failing 66:16 96:14

fair 35:21 92:14

Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 Index: fairly..half **floor** 5:15 **fairly** 40:14 55:20 111:19 fall 73:16 78:23 81:1 **floors** 5:14 general 3:7 14:12 30:22 32:10 36:25 42:19 78:17 79:9 85:12 89:22 falls 72:22 focus 96:13 97:16 104:9,14 100:14 familiar 109:15 focused 97:13 general's 32:7 farm 91:4 focusing 87:13 generally 89:17,21 follow 21:8 28:5 68:3 69:11 80:17, **fatal** 4:25 generate 104:19 19 81:2 father 47:21 generator 12:23,25 13:4,6,10,22 foreman 42:2,19 fault 15:12,24 19:23 20:4 21:5,15 14:1,2,4,23 15:7 19:22 27:25 28:23 foremen 30:22 32:16,18 33:11 35:23,24 41:13,15 favor 7:11 52:3,4 81:13,20 112:14 43:23 44:16 45:21 46:9,14,18,25 forget 6:4 111:23 fear 77:5 gentlemen 52:1 110:9 forgive 47:20 fee 104:22 Geoffrey 13:23 41:12 forgot 47:1 feel 39:14 get-go 17:17 form 32:8,9 feeling 5:25 give 5:17 10:14 14:2 53:6 79:4 83:8 formally 93:6 fell 36:17 45:17 68:5 72:23 giving 41:2 **format** 111:9 fiberoptic 51:11 goal 96:3 forward 12:2 94:2 104:15 field 47:22 96:16,18 100:6 101:14,17 God 42:20 foster 93:24 103:5 108:6 **good** 3:25 6:13,19 27:10 47:13 60:1 fifteen 100:17,21 **found** 11:16 13:15 15:11 16:7,21 71:5 81:10 82:9 83:11 89:5 103:21 21:16 41:23 66:19 67:11 105:19 106:9,15,17 107:7 108:14 figured 87:17 fourth 95:4,8 government 67:7 71:19 **filed** 16:12 frame 96:4 97:12 98:2 grasping 42:24 filled 98:8,11,25 frankly 21:23 **Gray** 3:4 7:6,7 78:11 90:12,13 91:7 finally 19:3 72:10 111:4,16 front 40:15 **find** 30:11 40:2 89:9 91:5 110:18 great 61:25 87:13 89:3 107:2 frustrating 88:23 findings 10:1 55:7,8 99:23 grounded 48:3 fulfilling 45:17 fine 37:23 51:1 62:20 full 11:25 17:9 63:20 **group** 96:22 fines 62:13 grow 97:24 **fully** 47:8 finger 105:25 growing 93:10 function 43:13 finish 76:24 guess 53:5 89:11 100:17 105:22 **fund** 94:23 95:1 103:10,11 fire 51:1 **funds** 105:5 guessing 48:4 firm 17:1 future 69:24 81:10 110:12 guests 6:17 **fiscal** 94:17,19 95:4,9 96:3,4,9,11, guy 34:17 51:1 109:11 110:20 16,18 97:3,6

G

gas 61:25 62:2

Gate 22:8 28:24 29:5,9,15 45:10,16

46:20 48:8

**gave** 34:15 36:21 44:23 46:2 86:12

Н

guys 79:11 80:2 99:11 gymnastics 21:17

half 101:1



fixtures 60:25

flip 74:22 75:15

floatable 108:5

**float** 78:5

ı

hand 22:5 81:20			
handshake 88:14			
handymen 63:21			
happen 51:10 52:11 90:5			
happened 13:14 16:3 25:1 40:24			
11.01 16.7 61.0 78.00 03 70.1 88.1			

44:24 46:7 61:9 78:22,23 79:1 88:14 103:18

happening 5:4 25:14 64:20 108:22 109:2

**happy** 73:1

harassment 11:16,24 27:1 hard 34:22 39:11 42:24 103:22 105:17 107:20

hardwired 15:7 hardwiring 27:25

harm's 48:5 harmful 20:25 **head** 11:9

heads-up 99:24 **healthy** 103:10

**hear** 8:24 10:9 39:22 40:13 54:20 71:21,22,24 72:5,10 102:13

**heard** 10:23 15:11 40:13 48:22 69:6, 7 72:13

hearing 5:11 7:11 8:8 9:6,8,10,20, 25 11:7 15:24 16:13 18:15 19:5 20:5 21:21 24:22 38:16.20 52:3.7 53:19 55:6 63:23 71:9,22 76:3 81:13 112:5,14

**Hearings** 8:13 9:7 53:24

heat 4:2,6,8,13 5:9,23

**heater** 48:6 56:7,9,14 58:9 61:23,25 62:5 64:18,22 65:3,8,18 68:9 78:18 79:23

heaters 61:1 80:25

heatstroke 4:7,8,18,22 6:1 held 3:2 8:8 36:19 53:19 93:5

**helped** 68:20 hesitate 94:4

**Hey** 48:19 71:9 79:3

**high** 71:19 highlight 11:10

hire 10:25 103:15,16

hired 21:24 22:4 33:14 45:7 107:21

hires 23:3 **hiring** 103:4 hit 68:1 71:20 hold 58:16 109:7 holding 108:2

holds 65:14 66:2 **holy** 72:12

Homeowners 95:18

honesty 78:24 **Honor** 55:12

hook 25:16,18 26:15 41:13,15 46:11 79:23

hooked 12:25 13:6.9.17.23 14:1.5 24:24 25:20 26:2 35:24 45:22 56:11

**hooking** 19:22 24:21 35:22

hookup 14:18 18:16 26:17,23 29:10 41:20 45:9,25

**hookups** 14:23

hope 6:19 44:17 47:6 68:19 93:2

hopeful 98:25 host 59:4 hostile 11:17

**hot** 59:18 74:23 76:14

hour 63:1 100:25

hours 32:17 57:2 60:15 96:2,6

house 5:15 61:24 huge 55:20

**hurt** 63:10 HVAC 65:14

hydrated 4:17 5:2 hydration 4:11

hypothetical 37:19

hypotheticals 36:13

**idea** 73:13

identified 50:3

ill 5:25

illegal 73:15,21 illogically 64:17 illustrate 68:20 illustrating 64:15

imagine 64:19 65:11 immaterial 50:22

impact 89:20 111:11 impassioned 39:23 implementing 111:11

imply 48:12,16 implying 102:21

**important** 5:10 11:10 15:12 96:20

110:16

impossible 107:21

improper 62:15 67:18 74:3

**improperly** 61:7 71:25

impute 26:9

imputed 11:6,11,15,19 12:12 14:15 17:17 19:13 21:18 26:25 27:2,14 28:2 32:2

imputing 27:16

inappropriately 62:24 64:13

inbound 98:5 inclined 71:8,23

include 15:17 26:17,20,23 48:10

98:5 100:7

included 25:10 45:14

includes 94:16 including 23:11 incoming 98:1 incomplete 94:19

inconsistent 18:13 21:20 48:14



inconvenience 88:17

incorrect 10:8 17:25 19:13

incorrectly 68:11,22

 $\textbf{increase} \ \ 93:7,18 \ 95:6,10,14,17$ 

104:22

increased 104:24 106:5.6

independently 9:4

indicator 97:3 100:13

individual 10:25 15:24 17:5 35:19 36:6,8 79:20 104:1 109:7

individuals 16:25 108:10

**Industries** 8:17 38:17,24 54:2 55:13

83:13

industry 33:24 34:18,21 93:15

108:21 110:12,14,17

inference 44:8

influx 98:21

information 12:11 47:14 67:7 88:10

infraction 70:10

infrastructure 93:24

initial 17:12 53:23

initially 13:7,9,12 24:7

innocent 16:1,20

inquires 88:12

**inquiry** 39:15

insinuating 44:18

inspect 26:21 100:22,23

inspected 29:18,23

inspection 38:6 49:13 55:24 74:25

95:21 97:2 100:5,12,14 101:21

**inspections** 23:16 93:20 96:2,6,8, 10,11 100:9,10,19 101:21,24 102:4

103:20

**inspector** 3:6 14:6 24:7 26:18 38:3, 6 46:4 51:12,18,22 55:23 60:19 65:22,25 66:14 67:23,24 70:16 72:23 76:19 83:14 97:2 100:5

107:23

**inspectors** 66:9 99:16,20 100:6,7, 15 102:3.13 103:3.5 104:1 108:8

install 29:23 75:20

installation 75:10.11,23 77:9

installations 10:3 55:9

installed 15:7 51:11

installing 15:20 64:18 75:17 104:16

installs 65:7

instance 84:9

instances 87:24

instruct 44:10

instructed 40:20 43:9

instructions 44:23 45:2,24 54:20

instructor 110:9

insulate 22:17

integrate 90:8

**integrity** 48:13,17

**intent** 102:9

intention 74:1

interact 23:23 43:14

**interested** 8:9 53:20

interesting 102:25

**interim** 111:17

internal 51:8

internally 51:5 98:12

interpret 58:25

interview 13:20 14:6

introductions 60:6

investigation 16:6

investigator 13:2,15 16:5 24:18

investigator's 13:13

involved 16:10 31:14 92:11 111:13

irrelevant 56:12

**Isaacson** 3:5 112:11.12

issue 24:4 51:10 64:2,6 72:2 73:23

93:10

**issued** 8:12,16 26:24 38:2 45:15 53:23 54:1 64:8 66:8 82:17 96:17

**issues** 8:25 9:6,10 14:7 87:25 89:7 93:10

item 3:19 6:25 7:19 91:12 92:22

97:21 110:1

items 97:20,22 110:1

**IVAN** 3:5

J

J-O-H-N-S 54:11

**January** 8:17

JASON 3:4

Jenkins 3:4,14 5:21 6:8,14,24 7:4,8, 13,18,23 8:1,5 10:6 20:9,12,16,19 22:12 28:13,17 31:5,9 32:20,22 33:1 35:9,11 41:7,10 47:5 50:8,13 52:2,6, 10 53:2,12,16 54:8,12,16,19,23 55:2 59:23 69:1 71:3 72:6,9,14 73:19 74:6 75:1 76:24 78:3,8,12,21 80:13 81:12,15,19 82:2,8,13,21 83:4,8,11 89:11,25 90:11 92:14,18,21 93:2 100:2 107:15 108:11,17,24 109:19, 24 110:5 111:14,24 112:3,9,13,17

jeopardy 71:16

**job** 18:12,21 22:4,25 23:7,9,16,22,24 26:1 30:17,18 33:25 34:4 37:7 42:25 43:10,14 44:13,15 48:9 51:11 57:15 60:13,14 61:6 67:24 68:4,8,10 70:25 76:20 100:24 102:14 104:14 109:15

iobs 16:17

Joel 21:9 48:17,23

**John** 7:24 22:14 54:18,19 55:13

75:22 106:9

**Johns** 54:7,10,22,25 60:1,12,20 70:24 71:15,18 73:17 74:2 75:19 77:25 82:7

Johnson 107:22

**journeyman** 60:9,10,17 75:20 76:6,

Jr 8:4

**judge** 9:16 10:13 12:4 14:24 15:4,10 16:7 19:11 20:1,6 21:16,23 55:20 58:1 59:15 63:23 64:1,2,12 68:20

**judge's** 11:4 17:12 49:22 50:6 71:8, 23 78:7



judgment 40:12

**July** 3:2,16 8:10 53:21 94:16,17 97:19

**June** 94:18,19,22 95:1,12 98:3

justification 91:8

justly 107:10

Κ

K-N-O-L-L 8:4

Kennewick 107:23

KERRY 3:5

**key** 5:1 23:5,6 26:10 96:1 100:4 101:7

kick 60:1

kidding 6:13

kill 75:16

**kind** 3:20 21:2 33:3 64:25 65:25 88:3,18 91:12 101:22 103:16 108:14,17,18

**knew** 11:22 12:1 14:2,10 44:15 111:22

**Knoll** 7:22 8:3,4 10:10,11 20:11,13, 17,20 24:9,15,17 28:20 29:1,5,17, 21,24 30:6,9 31:4,21 32:19 33:4,12 34:8 35:7,10,13,17 36:12,15 37:2,5, 8,17 38:9 40:23 41:8,11 42:4 43:1, 11 44:7,25 45:4,16 46:12 47:6 48:19,23 52:8,11

**knowing** 11:24 17:9 34:23 42:25 91:2

**knowledge** 12:15,16 16:2 27:20 33:5 100:14

**Kugel** 7:16,21,22 8:7,18 10:12,17 11:4 12:6,13,18 13:17,18,21,24 14:8 15:1,17,25 16:9,20 18:19,24 19:11, 17 21:24 22:1,8,16,21 28:9,19 29:11,12 30:15,17,21,25 33:12,16, 18 34:5 36:18,19 40:18 41:14,19,23 42:2,5,12 43:4,8,17,21 44:4,17 45:7 46:6

Kugel's 12:5 18:6,7,23 45:10

L

**L&i** 12:12 13:2 15:22 17:3,8 19:4 20:1,6 21:1,15 35:14,18 40:3 60:15 66:8 71:24 91:10

**L&i's** 17:15

**Labor** 8:17 38:17,24 54:2 55:13 83:13

labs 99:6,7,8

lack 4:11 64:3 65:5

ladies 110:10

laid 73:6

**language** 39:20 65:4 66:14 67:2,15 99:14.17

large 65:13 96:23

larger 99:4

**Larry** 83:5,12

late 88:2

law 9:16 12:14 16:24 18:6 28:8 31:12 35:16,17,18 36:2,5,11 40:15 49:22 50:6 58:1 71:8,23 72:25 73:5, 6 74:9,11 78:6 79:5 80:24 81:1,4,18

**laws** 31:13 51:20 76:8 79:8,12 80:17 84:8 90:7

lawyer 71:18

learned 88:5

leave 36:25 50:6

left 63:4 85:18 102:6

leg 99:22

legal 8:22 11:6 31:18 73:17 105:3

**legally** 73:12

legislation 99:9

legislative 99:10

legislature 8:23 58:21,22 99:17

**legitimate** 93:21,22

**letter** 49:3 80:18,20 81:3 92:24 94:13

lavral 40.47

level 48:17 89:1

levied 65:21 67:12

**liability** 11:6,12,15,19 12:12 14:15 17:18 19:13 21:18 22:18 26:25 27:2, 17.24 32:2.3

Index: judgment..lost

**liable** 11:5,18 17:19 22:2 27:8 32:6 36:19 37:12

**license** 9:1 10:18 11:1 15:21 21:25 40:22 49:6,8 63:9 65:2,6,9,10,15,21 66:2 80:19 95:24 109:9,11

**licensed** 18:11 26:8 35:5 60:9,10,24 62:1 63:17 64:19,21 70:9 74:14 76:5 93:12

licenses 63:19 64:3 109:8

**licensing** 62:22 64:12,16 66:5,10 96:14 97:14,17,19,21,25 98:2,4,8,11

licensure 15:8

life 67:6

lift 91:13.15.18

light 25:17 102:22

lighten 108:7

lights 25:22

limit 63:1 105:7

**limitations** 18:3 43:10

limited 43:4 90:18 111:19

**limits** 34:11

lines 98:5

liquids 5:24

list 16:25 53:6 67:2 91:12

listening 39:15,19 68:19

lists 67:3

lived 88:6

lives 101:23

location 88:7,12 109:10

locations 89:2

logic 68:20,24 77:25

logical 59:20

long 4:3 34:18 60:16 62:16

loophole 69:8

lose 5:11

lost 5:10



Index: lot..moved

**lot** 13:11 19:6 26:25 39:5 61:1 74:12 77:10 89:2 91:23 92:4,9 105:2,3,5, 16 106:13 108:5,6 110:25 111:9,10, 18

low-level 34:1 lower 100:20 lucky 65:23

## М

machines 110:23

**made** 21:13 25:10 27:10 37:1 38:4 47:13 48:2 55:20 58:20 59:15 71:10 76:16 80:16 95:23 98:6 100:9

mail 14:9 40:25 41:24

**main** 43:13

maintain 23:22 103:10,13

maintaining 15:20

**major** 111:15

**make** 5:16,23 6:16 11:21 26:5 31:11 33:25 36:5 42:9 47:10,11 51:19 53:1 70:4,22 77:8,14 78:6 80:16 81:7 83:24 87:18 92:23 94:5 99:11,22 103:9 104:15 105:2,10,12,23 110:23

makes 58:20 59:1

**making** 34:1,3 39:19 44:18,19 74:21 92:11 101:25

man 42:24 51:23

manage 16:16 33:6

manager 12:7 13:24 14:17 41:12,21

March 54:2

Mastec 82:17,22

material 23:12

materials 5:13

matrixed 96:22

**matter** 8:6 10:12 37:16 44:8 51:8 53:17 61:19 81:18 91:5

meaning 84:6

**meant** 83:17

measures 96:1 100:4 101:8 103:25

mechanism 49:10 51:5

mechanisms 50:25

medical 4:23,24

medium 111:19

meet 12:12 99:17 101:17 104:5

meeting 3:2,16,17 6:19,22 13:7 38:19,22 52:20,22 82:3,4,5,15 83:16 93:5 94:25 97:24 110:7 112:6,15,20

meetings 88:1

member 7:2,6,7 9:23 28:15,18,21 29:3,15,19,22 30:4,8,12,20 31:1,3,7 38:13 39:2,6,18 40:1,16,17 41:25 42:17 43:6 44:3,20 45:1,12 46:8 47:5,9,10 48:21,24 50:11,15,18,19, 20,22,24 51:7,9 55:4,23 69:4,18,21 71:3,5,17,21 72:1,8,13 74:12 75:1,3, 9,13,24 76:11,22 77:1,11 78:5,10,11 79:6,15,17 80:6,9,11 81:16 83:23 84:2,11,16,19,23 85:5,10,20,23,24 86:3,8 87:4,8,14 90:11,13 91:7 98:12 100:2,3,11 101:4,7 102:12,21 103:24 104:4,17,23 105:19,24 106:3,15,19,22 107:11 111:4,16 112:7,11

**members** 3:4 7:12 40:10 52:5 81:14 83:11 91:10 108:12 110:6 112:16

men 44:9

mention 56:24 59:6

mentioned 58:19

merits 9:21 55:3

message 3:20,23 21:7

met 14:15 60:19 77:18

metal 5:14

metamorphosis 79:18

Meyers 12:19,24 13:5,7,17 14:18 15:2,7,11,16,25 16:6,14,16,20 17:11,16,22 18:1,13,18 19:6,20,24 20:2,7 21:16 22:3 23:21 24:6,18,21 25:4,7 27:3,9,19,21,24 28:22 29:14 30:15,24 31:1 32:16 33:19 35:3,25 37:3,13,14 40:20 41:6,11,17 42:3,5 43:9,12,22,25 44:5 45:21 46:10,19, 22 47:24,25 48:1 49:17,25

**Meyers'** 20:4 21:5 26:1 29:7 41:1 43:3

microwave 48:6

middle 15:4 101:11

**Mike** 3:5 12:19 15:2 47:24,25 48:1 49:17,25

milder 4:9

miles 56:24 63:1

million 103:11 105:14

mind 9:14

mine 51:23

**minimum** 105:12

minor 89:20,21

minute 22:16 23:2 83:9

**minutes** 9:21 53:6 55:3 60:5 82:10 92:23 94:5 100:18

**missed** 61:19

misspoke 29:6 56:16

mistake 63:16 71:10 73:3,4

Mm-hm 76:10

modifications 89:19

Molesworth 3:7,21,25 6:3,10 31:11 32:14,21,24 33:8,23 34:13 35:15 36:4,14,23 37:4,6,9,24 38:8,11 39:4 69:14,20,25 70:12,15 71:1 77:6,13 78:20 80:4,7,10,15 83:14 86:15 93:3 94:11 100:8,16 101:5,16 102:16,24 104:3,6,21,25 105:21 106:2,7,16,21, 24 107:13,18 108:16,23 109:5,22 110:8 112:1

moment 47:11 62:6.8

monetary 67:4

money 84:2 105:2

monthly 95:3,8

morning 3:25 30:1 60:2 79:25 83:11

**motion** 6:25 7:3,4,8,14 11:8 47:11 49:22 50:5,8 52:3,7 78:6,8 80:12 81:13,23 112:5,8,9,18

mountains 4:4

**move** 53:5 62:13 82:24 88:15 91:22 104:15 108:2

**moved** 13:10 24:24 33:13 101:19



moving 7:18 53:12 62:20 89:23

multi-trade 65:13,23

**multiple** 72:24 **MUTCH** 70:14

Ν

named 47:25 107:22

**narrow** 68:17

narrows 19:18

national 89:1

**nature** 27:12

**NEC** 111:5

necessarily 91:20 106:12

needed 24:1,2 25:15,20 93:7

needing 97:23 98:17

negative 73:2

Negligence 34:17,18

negotiate 107:1

**Network** 82:18,22

**newly** 20:22

**news** 15:22 112:2

nice 65:24

**night** 51:19

non-electrician 73:11

non-plumbing 78:15

Nord 3:5 7:2 28:15,18,21 29:3,15, 19,22 30:4,8,12,20 31:1,3,7 40:17 41:25 42:17 43:6 44:3,20 45:1,12 46:8 47:9 50:11,19,22 51:7 69:4,18, 21 72:1,8,13 75:24 76:11,22 77:1,11 79:15 81:16 84:2,23 85:5 106:3,15,

19,22 107:11 112:7

**Nos** 8:7

note 102:25

noted 75:4 96:20

**notes** 41:9

**notice** 8:9,16 20:6 32:4 43:22 46:2,7

84:13 85:11

noticed 30:1 55:24 56:6

notices 8:15 53:20 54:1

November 8:13 103:11

November/december 25:14

**novo** 9:15

**number** 10:15 17:25 18:5 41:7 51:4 56:20 57:2 85:13 86:1 96:13,15,23

100:6 103:2 106:5,6,8

numbering 41:4

numbers 29:25 30:1 41:3 96:21

101:2 106:17

0

**O1** 84:25

oath 44:9

**obey** 22:19

object 24:9

obtained 18:11 26:16

occurred 21:20

occurring 102:8,9

occurs 13:25

October 52:22 94:25

offer 15:18

office 8:13 9:7 32:7 53:24

officers 23:5 26:11

oldest 97:21

**on-site** 23:10 25:1 26:12,19 30:15

42:2 46:17 70:16

**online** 95:14,16,18,21,24 109:8

**open** 61:5 86:14

operate 26:10

operates 21:11

operating 95:3,4

operation 60:22

**opinion** 15:3 17:12 72:6 73:4

108:13,18

opportunity 69:22 71:12 84:3,4

85:6 111:1

**opposed** 7:13 40:3 52:6 81:17,22

Index: moving..paid

112:17

opposition 81:15

**opted** 85:8

option 20:1

**order** 3:18 8:12 9:11 11:11,19,21 12:4 17:13,14 22:17 25:21 38:14 52:13,17,23 53:23 81:25 82:15,17,

23 99:19 103:13

ordered 40:20

orders 52:23

ordinances 22:20

Oregon 56:4 60:11 76:6,7

original 8:12

out-of-state 96:23 101:13

outbound 98:5

outcome 40:6.8

outcomes 39:11

outline 10:15

outlines 94:13

**outstanding** 89:7 98:17

overextending 4:10

overhaul 111:15,21

**oversee** 23:22 37:18 42:8 43:13

overseeina 37:19

overstepping 47:7

owned 73:21

owner 13:24 14:16 42:21 43:8 61:3

68:2

owners 60:21 61:2

owning 74:2,3

Ρ

**packet** 15:3 17:21 20:14 29:25 41:4 49:3 67:8

pages 30:11 41:18 43:24 97:11

**paid** 18:16,17,19 28:24 29:4,12

30:17 32:17,23 33:5,6 37:22 84:2

panel 45:24 63:9

**Paragraph** 15:9 61:13

paraphrases 41:18

Pardon 80:10

**part** 16:1 18:20 22:25 26:1,4 36:10 43:17 45:14 72:16 75:7 77:7 98:20 99:16 104:7,13 106:20,23,25 108:1 110:16

participant 40:4

participate 17:10 39:3,18 85:8

participating 110:10

participation 89:2

parties 8:9,21 31:14 52:17 53:20

partnership 17:1

parts 77:8 81:3 111:18

**party** 9:11,21 10:7 16:1,20 21:15 27:6,9,16,18 55:2,11

**pass** 86:9 87:1,3,19,21,22 88:22 89:9

**passed** 3:20 58:25 59:14 84:12 85:13,20,22 86:1,4,5,11,17 87:6 88:21,22 99:9 107:20

passes 7:14 52:7 58:21 112:18

past 48:15 87:24

pathways 99:19

pave 110:20

paver 110:21

pay 51:1 62:13 105:4

paying 43:19 62:20

payment 105:9

payments 105:11

**PDF** 104:8,13

penalties 9:6 64:8 78:1 105:8

penalty 66:12 67:4 73:14 105:9

**people** 48:5 60:24 80:20 84:24 85:15 86:16 99:20 102:20 104:15 105:12 107:3 110:15,17

**percent** 67:14 80:21 95:7,11,13,14, 16,17,19,21,22,23,25 96:1,3,4,5,6,7 97:13,14,15,16 105:13,16

perform 24:5 40:21 49:4 68:12,15

**performance** 96:1 100:4 101:8 103:25

**performed** 28:22 29:16 33:10 49:7 96:2,6,8,10

**performing** 25:4 49:5 55:16,17 56:25 57:5,9,13,21 58:3,14

**period** 67:9,15 88:3 90:7 95:6,10

permission 36:21

**permit** 10:21 13:16 22:7 26:7,12,16, 17,18,20,22 38:1,7 45:14 48:8 49:9, 10,13 62:1 67:25 96:14 109:9

**permits** 51:13 95:12,16 97:15

permitted 57:18

perpetrator 25:3

**Perry** 6:17 110:9

**person** 4:15 5:5 15:15 17:1 20:2

34:22 70:25 79:2 85:4

personally 20:6

persons 87:5,6

perspective 93:16

pertaining 10:2 55:9

**phases** 23:11

phone 27:5,11 51:3 98:2,4

**photos** 76:20

piece 9:17 21:14 32:3 33:13

**pile** 89:24

pill 105:17

**pipes** 61:1

place 4:12,15 45:5 56:9 98:23 99:1

plan 45:19 97:8,9,11 111:20

**plans** 105:9

play 45:19 46:24

**plays** 93:8

pleadings 29:8

plenty 5:24

**PLO1** 57:14

**PLO2** 57:14

plumbee 57:18

**plumber** 56:23 57:1,8,10,15,18 58:3 60:9,10 64:19,21 70:9 75:20 76:5

plumber's 60:17

**plumbers** 64:24 67:25 68:18 76:1

77:17

**plumbing** 55:17 56:16,17,21,22 57:3,6,7,11,19,20 58:12,14 59:8,16 60:21,22,25 61:7,14 62:4,9,15,18,24 63:17,18,19 64:17,22 65:5,7,12,14, 17 66:7,9 67:1,3,8,14,18,19,21,23, 25 68:2,14,22 69:9 70:7,8,13,17 72:18 75:7 76:4,8,13 77:4 79:12,19 80:2,24 81:1

plywood 5:14

**PO** 29:25

point 38:14 46:22 60:18 63:12 75:6

77:14 87:18 91:11 108:11

pointed 39:13

pointing 105:25

points 6:13

polarized 5:17

**pole** 100:22

portable 12:23,25 43:23

portion 68:8 86:19,20 108:21

**Portland** 53:9,13,18 55:25 60:23

**position** 9:15 15:22 16:14,15 18:2 19:2,18 21:4 29:7 30:14 33:21 34:11 38:18,23 42:7,25 43:4 69:23 93:13,

17 98:11,13

**positions** 98:7 108:1

possibly 81:10 108:3

post 10:21 25:9

post-hearing 25:12

posted 22:7

pot 25:18 48:6

potential 63:5

**power** 18:16 19:6,15,16,20 25:16,20 26:2,5,15,17,20,23 29:10 34:12 38:1,7 45:9,20 58:8 75:16 100:22

111:19



precise 39:20
precludes 58:2
predicted 33:19
preliminary 94:17
premises 33:6
prepare 82:1
prepared 52:13
preparing 67:5
present 3:7 52:19 82:4
presentation 94:7
presentment 52:22
president 14:8 41:14,21 44:1 46:3
pretty 20:17 57:23 89:4 101:8,9

**prevent** 5:1 12:2,16 **prevents** 27:21

**previous** 26:13 61:3 79:8 88:1 95:17,20

**previously** 54:20 98:7

primary 23:22
printers 25:22

**prior** 18:11 26:16 29:2 111:22

privileges 63:18 proactive 102:14

**problem** 5:7,8 14:10 43:16,21 65:19 75:24

problems 4:21
Procedures 9:8
proceeded 60:18

proceeding 23:14

**proceedings** 3:9 20:23

process 62:13 99:2 106:25

processed 94:20 95:13 97:21,23

processing 97:25 product 108:15 profound 58:11 profuse 4:14 program 8:24 93:6,13 99:11

program's 93:19 progress 111:5

prohibited 12:14 92:3,8

project 12:6 13:24 14:17 41:12,21

projects 23:11
promote 88:14
promoted 88:7

**proper** 8:8 16:4 34:14 53:20 62:2 66:16,21 67:16 72:21

**properly** 28:10 48:4 49:24 51:2 71:14

proposed 9:11 10:8 protection 5:17 protects 31:17

**prove** 10:7 11:11

**provide** 12:17 23:10 66:16 67:16 93:20

provisions 59:9

**PSI** 83:19 84:22,23 86:10,23 88:8,22 89:2 90:8

public 21:8 93:11 110:1 111:6
pull 49:10 62:1 67:25 103:1

**pure** 57:3

purpose 68:16

purposes 38:22

pursuant 8:8 9:8 20:21 43:4 53:19

pushing 91:25

put 6:11 29:9 32:3 38:7 45:25 46:7 48:5 50:5 65:25 72:6 76:16 89:7 98:23 109:15

**putting** 36:23 80:20 90:17 99:1 103:5

Puyallup 12:21 13:2 14:11

Q

qualifications 99:15

qualified 60:15 76:12 79:10 91:11

qualify 99:20

quality 23:16

**quarter** 88:1 95:4,8,13,15,18,20,22, 23,25 104:9,10

quarterly 83:2,5

**question** 13:13 27:7 30:12 31:19 33:2,3 35:13 39:13 40:18 42:16 43:13 47:8 50:16,23 51:25 61:6 63:24 69:12 75:5 78:1 84:14 87:2 89:12 90:8,15,24 91:4 100:3 104:17 106:3,9,17

**questions** 9:23 10:4 28:14,16 31:6, 9 39:9,23,25 40:7 44:12 55:4 69:3 70:1 83:16 88:25 90:7 91:1 94:3 100:1,6 109:20

questions/comments 78:3 92:15

quick 6:7 12:17 quickly 80:8 Quota 104:4 quote 41:19 64:1

R

rabbit 49:18

rags 6:4

rainy 25:15

raise 81:20

range 100:17,21

rare 72:4

rates 87:21,22

**RCW** 10:16,24,25 15:13,14 49:5,24 56:22 57:12 64:24 66:17,22 67:2 68:18

reached 10:1 55:8

**read** 11:8 15:13 24:14,18 35:8 49:24 54:20 63:6 76:15 92:23 93:14

reading 15:3 76:3

ready 90:10

real 87:21

realizing 17:15

reason 13:8 24:6,20 26:24 34:5



Index: reasonable..results

51:16,25 58:11,18 59:15 80:21

89:16 108:19

reasonable 62:18

reasons 62:21

reassess 69:22

rebuttal 47:12

receive 98:3

received 13:16 14:9 60:15 82:23

recess 82:10,11

reckless 62:25

**recognize** 72:1,2,3

recognizes 58:5

recommend 93:6

recommendation 94:2

recommendations 99:24 108:2

reconnected 13:12 77:9

reconnects 74:24

**record** 6:11 9:16,19 13:8 18:9 20:10,11 24:10,12 25:8,11 32:22

62:16 63:4 75:19

recount 81:20

reduce 94:23 105:7,9

**refer** 57:25

reference 58:13

referenced 9:17 59:3

references 91:22

referencing 11:24

referring 41:5 57:12

reflect 5:15

reflected 94:22

reflecting 52:18

reflective 5:13

refreshing 72:10

regard 22:7

**regions** 102:10

register 86:22

registered 85:15

registers 83:21

regulation 64:4,7 93:9

regulations 22:19 28:6 51:21

reiterate 90:16

**related** 111:18

relevant 12:18 14:12 20:21,22

rely 64:2,6

remaining 21:22

**remains** 17:21

**remedy** 35:18

remember 15:12 46:12,13,18,21,24

47:2 99:14

**REMEMBERED** 3:1

reminder 6:11 111:5

remodels 101:24

**remote** 57:16 58:3 59:16 61:23 62:2

63:14 65:18 68:6 79:21

remotely 55:16,18 56:23 57:4,20

58:6 61:14,17 65:11

remove 74:23 77:5

removed 76:14 99:16

removing 59:18 99:16

renewals 95:24

repeat 54:24

repercussions 73:2

replace 57:3 61:23 107:20

replaced 107:20

replacement 65:3 107:17

replacing 63:8

reply 83:19 86:9

report 83:2 85:11 86:11 87:14 92:22

94:7,9,15,16,22 98:20 99:23 100:4

reported 94:23,24

reporter 54:9

reports 84:12 88:25

represent 10:12

representation 54:5

representative 30:15,22 42:20

represented 32:13

representing 3:8 54:13

reputational 88:18

request 32:9 49:13 67:7 96:2,7

requests 95:21 102:4 103:22

required 65:3 66:17,22 68:10 104:8

requirement 62:9 64:4 68:7

requirements 79:13

requires 10:20

requiring 40:22 64:4 101:17

requisite 57:2

**reread** 61:12

rescheduled 85:2.4

research 63:6

researched 11:15

residence 56:1

residential 57:10.17 60:25

resolved 82:24 88:13

resource 98:19

resources 90:18

respect 30:21

respective 64:3

respond 35:12

responding 103:21

response 86:18 87:18

responsibilities 26:4 34:15 42:23

45:18 72:4

**responsibility** 23:18,22 30:16

42:21 61:8,9,10 68:3,4 72:2 79:9

107:4

responsible 19:12 23:15 30:18,23

35:6 36:10 72:11 98:1

rest 5:24 105:14

restoration 75:15 76:13 77:3

result 21:13.21 30:16 44:6 55:14

88:6 96:25 97:5

results 83:17



Transcript of Proceedings - July 25, 2024 retired 61:3 **salary** 32:19 33:1 revenue 95:8 104:20 sales 95:18

**save** 17:15

**review** 9:5,12,14,16 97:9 scenario 64:17,19,23 65:2,11 66:1 **reviewed** 97:8,11 schedule 23:14 66:12 89:12 90:1 reviewing 63:22 scheduled 84:24

reviews 93:17 schedules 23:23 **rides** 98:15 scheduling 23:15 right-hand 30:10 **school** 71:19 **rights** 67:6 73:22 **Schuh** 63:24

risk 80:20 104:18 108:6.10 Schuh's 68:21 road 110:20

**scope** 19:1 22:22 27:13 28:7 32:11 rogue 42:25 50:17,25 51:15 63:8,21 33:15 60:24 68:17 72:20 74:8 91:3 99:4 101:1

**scores** 88:10

**secretary** 3:21,25 6:3,10 31:11

32:14,21,24 33:8,23 34:13 35:15

71:1 77:6,13 78:20 80:4,7,10,15

86:15 94:11 100:8,16 101:5,16

23 109:5,22 110:8 112:1

**SECRETARY/CHIEF** 3:6

section 84:7,8,9 108:3

seek 21:8

sections 85:18.19 86:24

102:16,24 104:3,6,21,25 105:21

36:4,14,23 37:4,6,9,24 38:8,11,15,

17,19,23 39:2 69:14,20,25 70:12,15

106:2,7,16,21,24 107:13,18 108:16,

**secretary's** 92:22 94:7,9,15 100:4

role 42:14 43:25 45:6 93:9 roll 90:10 score 88:21

rooftop 108:8

**Rooter** 53:9,13,17 54:6 55:25 60:21. 22 64:3 65:24

routine 55:24

reverts 81:4

rule 20:22 57:7,11,21 58:17,19,23 59:2,12,13 92:11

**ruled** 64:12

rulemaking 59:9,10 92:10

rules 10:2 20:21 21:8 22:19 23:1 28:5 51:20 55:9.19 56:20 58:20.25 59:4,13 73:12,16 84:8 90:7 98:23

ruling 55:15 68:21 run 4:1 110:22 running 48:6

S

Sacks 21:9,10 31:15,16

Sacks' 48:17 **safe** 77:8 **safer** 93:24

**safety** 3:19,23 5:19 6:9,12 23:16 93:11

sees 13:3,4 selected 62:23 send 57:1 82:5 sends 21:7 78:17 sense 58:20 59:1 81:7 83:25 87:18

separate 9:3 111:20 September 45:19 server 88:6

**service** 57:17 60:25 95:12

session 99:10,14

set 52:21 53:6 64:9 68:23 82:4

Index: retired..similar

sets 97:8 **setting** 35:15 **settle** 107:1

settlement 105:8 106:19,22 settlements 106:11.14

**sewer** 61:1 sewing 110:23 sexual 11:16,24 27:1

shades 5:24 **shake** 108:25 shaking 11:9 **share** 64:15

sharing 93:9 **Shawn** 107:22 **sheet** 88:21 sheetrock 5:14

**short** 19:21 45:17 68:5

**show** 11:22 29:19 40:19 85:6,7 103:15 109:9,10

showed 47:1 **shown** 61:24

**shows** 21:14 75:19 100:13

**shy** 47:20 sic 8:22

side 4:4 56:8 66:23 91:2

sidebar 49:18 sideways 70:5 **sight** 5:11

**signature** 52:19 82:17 signed 32:9 82:6 110:3

significant 23:17 80:1 102:22

signing 82:24 **signs** 23:3 similar 51:10



similarities 79:7

**simple** 45:25 47:16,17 48:2 49:23 73:3.4

simply 27:24 28:5 59:11 100:13

**Simpson** 107:19

**Simshauser** 55:23 56:6 60:19 76:19.20

sir 8:2 35:9 54:7 70:24

sit 9:15 72:20

**site** 23:7,16 27:4 30:18,23 33:25 42:12,20,25 43:14 44:13,15 51:11 57:15 67:24 70:25 76:20 109:11,16

**sites** 102:15 **sitting** 60:6

situated 93:14

**situation** 11:14 49:17 62:23 66:4 67:22 69:15 70:5 72:19 78:25 89:5

situations 4:5 11:16,18 49:16

sizable 96:21 skin 4:14

**small** 95:11 **smaller** 108:5

**smokes** 72:12

**society** 110:16

software 91:18

sold 95:13.16

**sole** 91:7

**solutions** 82:18,22,23

**solve** 109:3

**sounds** 34:18 44:17 73:3 89:25

source 91:7

**South** 22:8 28:23 29:5,9,15 45:10, 16 46:20 48:8

16 46:20 48:8

**speak** 36:12 38:17 64:21 85:9

SPEAKER 110:4

speaking 39:21,23 40:2

speaks 31:24 special 68:7 specialist 83:13

specialties 91:1

**specialty** 90:25 91:3

**specific** 18:20 46:25 66:5 79:22

99:3

**specifically** 10:14 15:13 17:10

33:4,13

specifications 23:13

speculating 88:4

**speed** 63:1

speeding 27:12

**spell** 54:9

spelled 8:4

**spent** 47:21

Spokane 84:24

**sport** 76:1

squarely 68:14

**staff** 70:2 91:10 93:8,18 94:14 97:19,25 98:8,12,24 99:2 103:21

staffing 93:7 stage 103:16

stakeholders 104:18

**stand** 63:4

standard 11:13,20 14:15 68:10

77:21 81:2

standards 77:18

standpoint 89:6

**start** 4:13,15 5:25 31:13 60:6 84:18, 19 86:10 103:4 105:25 108:3 111:23

started 18:12

starting 74:24 104:12

**starts** 74:21

**state** 3:15 20:25 32:8 56:3 60:9,11 61:16 70:9 77:15 82:14 93:4,24

stated 33:13 35:17 75:18

**statement** 30:3,5 70:3 85:14

states 10:25 60:24 89:3

stating 36:2

**status** 64:14

**statute** 16:8,22 25:2 35:8 55:19 56:21 57:19 58:12,13,20,21,24,25

59:3,5,8,13,14,21 61:21 80:2

**statutes** 56:20

**stav** 5:1

**stays** 68:13

**steel** 36:25

step 111:17

**stepped** 101:18

stipulation 80:22

**stop** 4:19 39:3,15 74:6 110:17

stopped 56:2

stopping 102:14,19

**stops** 19:20 96:19 97:2 100:5,9

straightforward 57:24

strange 55:14

Street 3:3

stress 4:7

**strictly** 23:13 51:7

**strong** 73:13

strongly 36:2

subcontract 46:23

**subcontractor** 18:14,18 26:3,14

37:21 51:3

**subcontractors** 16:17 23:4,12,23

33:7 42:8

**subject** 9:12 59:3 63:20 91:5

subjective 101:2

submit 15:18 23:6

**submitted** 9:20 11:7

submitting 111:6

**subs** 43:15

**subsequent** 20:5 21:3,20

substantial 87:22

substantiated 19:22

**sudden** 88:13



Index: sued..trade

**sued** 32:8,11

suggests 24:4

**suited** 93:14

summary 12:17 23:9,10 67:9

sun 5:12

sunglasses 5:16

**superintendent** 12:19,20 13:21 16:11 19:7,15 23:7,18 24:25 28:11 33:21,24 37:7 42:6,12

Superintendents 23:15

**supervise** 62:12 96:15

**supervised** 55:16,18 56:23 57:4,9, 20 58:6 61:7,14,18 65:12 70:18 77:20 78:22

**supervision** 57:14,17 58:3 59:16 61:24 62:10,15,18,25 63:14,16 64:5, 7,13 65:18,19 66:5,10,16,17,22,24 67:12,16,18 68:6,8,10,22 74:3 78:1 79:13,22 80:21 97:15 109:6

supervisions 62:2

**supervisor** 44:1 46:2 98:11 107:19, 22,23,24

**supply** 99:22

supplying 94:12

support 11:8 71:8 93:21

**supported** 10:2 55:8

supporter 31:17

supports 16:15

**supposed** 26:15 42:9 66:14 69:11

74:11

**Surely** 65:22

surrounding 11:4

suspended 22:1

**swallow** 105:18

**sweating** 4:14,19

sword 36:17

sympathize 73:1

symptoms 4:13

synopsis 14:12

**system** 86:20 90:9 100:24 108:20

**systems** 108:5

Т

tagged 49:15

takes 8:25 100:22,23 109:7 110:21

taking 4:11 21:4 68:7 77:2 111:1

talk 26:25 47:23 101:2

talked 74:13 87:25

**talking** 16:24 17:22 36:13,15 37:2 49:19 77:16 79:24

talks 58:13 99:12

tank 59:18 74:23 76:14 108:5

task 79:22 89:23 91:23

taxpayer 67:6

team 97:21 98:8,14,15

**Tech** 6:17 110:9

technical 83:12

technically 65:4

telling 32:15 92:5

**tells** 102:5

temperature 6:2

temperatures 4:10

**temporary** 18:16 25:16,20 26:5,15, 17,20,23 29:10 38:1,7 45:8,20

100:22,23

ten 84:24 86:5 100:17,25

**Terry** 63:24

test 88:17

tested 88:12

testified 14:3 18:15 41:24 44:9

45:22,24 46:16

testifying 43:12

**testimony** 12:11 14:16 23:21 29:20 30:13 35:14 40:19 41:1 42:1 43:7,8

44:19 46:9,15,23,25

testing 88:11 89:1,2,13,19 99:6,7

thankfully 88:16

**theory** 11:6 17:18 19:12 21:18 22:21 84:9

**thing** 5:22 19:25 49:15 64:10 67:20 87:12 88:18 89:3 90:5 102:25

**things** 4:1,6 14:22 16:23 22:21 36:20 38:21 83:15 89:8 91:2,22 92:3,4 99:1 102:2 104:15 108:7,21

third-party 27:16

**Thomas** 8:3 10:11

thought 13:14 26:6 34:14 47:3

90:16

three-section 86:24

three-wire 47:16,17 48:3

Thursday 3:2

ticket 63:1

time 4:1,3,10 5:9,12 9:23 10:11 11:8 13:10 14:10 19:3 22:11 25:6 26:12, 24 34:18 40:12 42:24 46:14 47:21 49:12,14 53:1 55:5 59:14 61:15 65:7 67:6,15 69:7 70:10 71:20 76:6 82:9 90:8,9 92:19 94:1 96:4 97:8,12 98:2 100:14,22,23 101:3 102:6,13,17 103:19 107:3 108:18 109:13 111:2

timely 8:19 54:4

times 95:2

tiny 73:10

**today** 6:17 8:6,21 9:21 49:19 52:13, 14,18 53:3,17 55:3 76:4 83:15 93:14

today's 11:7

toilet 57:3

**told** 14:14 15:23 21:6 34:9 45:13

**tool** 109:1,4,6

top 41:17 89:23

topic 5:19 6:12 10:15

total 86:6 96:8,15,17,19

**totally** 73:20

towels 6:5

track 88:24

tracked 103:25 104:2

**trade** 47:19 66:13 67:1,18 68:14

75:7



trades 66:6

trail 49:18

**trailer** 12:25 13:10 24:24 25:16,21 28:23 34:4 46:9,15,16 48:3

train 51:1

trained 68:13 98:9

**trainee** 55:16,17 56:3,5,16,17,18,22 57:2,8,13,16 58:3 61:7,15,17 62:10, 12,15 63:18 65:12,16,17 66:17,21 67:13,19 70:7,8,11,13,17,18 71:2 72:18 73:8 76:5 97:15

**trainee's** 63:19

trainees 57:20 58:14 59:17 96:15

**training** 6:9 24:4 34:14,16 83:5 99:1,4 104:14

**transcript** 6:21 24:19 29:25 30:9,10 33:17 41:3 43:12 76:3.15

transcripts 7:1 transport 6:5

transported 4:23

travel 111:2 treatment 59:4

troubling 17:13 19:4

truck 55:25

**true** 21:15 31:22 59:12 61:20 65:5 73:6 85:25

trust 40:12

**Turnaround** 97:8

**turned** 79:19 **turns** 4:18

twists 17:13

two-year 67:9

**type** 5:9 11:14 16:9 73:2 97:13

types 4:5 49:16 90:23 100:18

typically 49:11

U

ultimately 29:3 40:8,11

uncertified 70:16

uncollected 105:15

underground 93:23 101:22 109:2

undermined 93:23

undermines 93:11

**understand** 28:21 39:3 45:13 51:20 54:20 72:17 73:20 86:9 87:10

**understanding** 8:14,18 30:14 42:1 53:25 54:3 73:23 80:17 84:17

understands 42:22

**understood** 37:25 39:24 51:18

75:6,8 84:21

undisputed 15:5

unexpired 15:21

unfortunate 72:16

unhooked 24:23

**UNIDENTIFIED** 110:4

**unit** 13:18

United 89:3

unlawful 15:15

unlicensed 63:3,21 68:15 93:10

unlike 68:11

unreasonable 19:7

up/hardwired 12:25

**update** 90:20 91:23

updated 89:13

**updates** 90:2 99:11

updating 91:15

**upfront** 78:24 105:11,13

**uphold** 49:22 50:6,8 68:24 71:23

74:11 78:6 81:17

V

vacancies 98:24 103:2,14

vacant 98:7

valid 65:9

**Vance** 83:5,7,10,12,24 84:5,15,18, 21 85:3,7,17 86:1,5,12,18 87:6,10,

16 89:15 90:3,21 91:9 92:17,20

Index: trades..Washington

Vancouver 3:3 8:9 53:21 56:1

60:23

**VEI** 96:10 107:16,19,22,24 108:3,4,

13,20 109:16

verify 109:11

version 4:9 67:17

victim 27:6

view 93:15

views 93:18

violate 22:20,25 68:9

violated 16:8 59:21 64:4 77:21

violation 15:8 61:20 62:9,25 63:2

64:13 66:1 72:17,25 73:5 74:8,9,10

76:2 78:19 81:4 97:13

**violations** 12:16 66:10,11,13,25

67:4,12

Virtual 96:10

visit 38:4

voltage 111:19

vote 39:10 40:8 80:6,9 93:5

voting 40:10

W

**WAC** 10:20 57:8 58:2,13 61:13 66:12

waiting 60:17 97:20

walk 65:22 71:13 84:6 86:25

walked 85:8 86:16

wall 44:5,19

walls 75:16

wanted 34:11 92:22 99:11

wanting 44:22 51:13

warning 62:17 65:25 66:4

warnings 67:21 96:13 97:13

**Washington** 3:3,15 8:10 12:21 31:18 53:21 56:3,5 60:10 61:16 67:14 70:10 76:6 82:14 93:4,25

99:13,18



**water** 6:6 56:7,9,13 58:9 59:18 60:25 61:23,25 62:5 64:18,22 65:3, 8,18 74:23 75:16 76:14 78:18 80:24

Wayne 3:6,21 83:14 106:4

wear 5:16

weather 84:25

weight 63:20

welder 110:22

**West** 3:2

**white** 5:14

Wieland 13:23,25 14:7 41:12,17,19

44:4,12,14

wife 60:21 61:2

wire 45:25

wires 15:20 45:24

wiring 62:7 74:22

wit 3:10

wondering 47:24 48:2

word 38:20 49:6

wording 63:7

words 16:23 63:8 88:8,13 89:6

90:25

work 4:10 10:18,21 11:1,17 12:6,7, 24 13:5,16 14:21 15:18 16:10,16,18, 19 18:11,14,15 19:16 21:25 22:3 23:14 24:5 28:24,25 29:4,8,12,16 31:20,22,23 33:14,20,22 34:17,23 35:6 37:20 40:21 42:13 43:14,19 44:10,11 45:11 48:10,11,14 49:4,5, 7,12 50:1,2 51:2,19 52:17 55:17,18 56:13,25 57:3,5,9,22 58:4,9,10,14 59:17,19 60:18,24 61:1 63:14 65:13, 23 68:12,15,17 70:20 72:21 73:7,8, 10,12,21 74:4,5,7,14,21 76:4,13 77:7,17,21 78:16 79:10 83:14 91:3 92:9,10 93:11 98:13,16,19 99:5 102:4 104:7,16 108:6 110:17

**worked** 14:21 32:7 33:23 48:15 88:16

worker 13:18 96:14

**working** 4:5 5:6,13 35:1 51:24 64:21 66:21 89:16,22 90:10 94:2 98:18 101:19 103:22 105:6

**workload** 97:2 98:10,16 102:22 103:15,17 108:7

works 19:10 27:22

worksite 10:22 12:20,23 13:2 14:3,

4,11 19:21

world 110:24

worse 4:3

**wrap** 6:5

wrapping 68:2

write 92:6,7

writing 93:3 104:2 107:9

written 17:6 31:13 36:6 52:9 69:10

70:2 74:4 92:24

wrong 7:20 14:21 18:24 21:7 26:8

32:4 45:23 64:10,11

wrongdoing 36:17

wrongful 23:19 25:4

wrongfully 34:10

wrote 29:25 41:1

## Υ

**year** 4:1,2 5:9,12 89:13,18 94:17,19 95:4,6,9,10 96:3,5,9,11,16,18 97:3, 6,7.10

**years** 33:24 42:18 43:2 47:19 48:16 61:3,16 63:5 69:5 75:7,25

young 51:23

