## **Capitol Pacific Reporting**

**Court Reporters Since 1978** 

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 800.407.0148

## ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS July 31, 2025



**Production:** 

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling:

scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website

www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

1	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON
3	
4	
5	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	July 31, 2025
8	Via Videoconference
9	Pages 1 through 99
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	CERTIFIED
15	TRANSCRIPT
16	Taken Before:
17	Rebecca S. Lindauer, RPR, CCR Registered Professional Reporter
18	for Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.
19	800.407.0148
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	www.capitolpacificreporting.com
25	email: admin@capitolpacificreporting.com



1	AGENDA	
2		PAGE
3	Safety Message	4
4	Roll Call	6
5	Approve Transcript from April 24, 2025, Electrical Board meeting	7
6	Appeals	
7	a) Green Hammer Homes: ESICO01061 Proposed Order b) Employer Solutions Staffing Group Inc: EROJE03683	8 9
9	<pre>and EROJE03684 - Continued to October 30, 2025, meeting c) Joe Hall Construction: Proposed Order d) Toledo Telephone Co. Inc: EALCG00377, EALCG00378,     EALCG00379, and EALCG00391</pre>	9 9
10	Certification/CEU quarterly report, Larry Vance	58
11 12	Exam provider update, Larry Vance - Request for Proposal K5606 for Electrical and Telecommunications Examinations - RCW 19.28.051, 19.28.201, and 19.28.440	62
13 14 15 16 17 18	Rulemaking Update a) WAC 296-46B-906, WAC 296-46B-909, WAC 296-46B-911, fee increase b) WAC 296-46B-010, establish a date to adopt 2026 NEC c) WAC 296-46B-334, modify temperature restriction for Type NM and NMC cable WAC 296-46B-942, clarify deadline for reporting hours of experience for trainees, CR-101 preproposal statement of inquiry, draft rule language - version 1 c) Future rulemaking proposals related to examinations, implement unique identification numbers, modify duration of examination approval	72
20	Secretary's Report - Wayne Molesworth	75
21	Public Comment regarding items not on the agenda	94
22		
23		
24		
25		Page 2



BE IT REMEMBERED that an Electrical Board meeting was held on Thursday, July 31, 2025, via Zoom videoconference at 9:02 a.m., before CHAIRPERSON JASON JENKINS, SECRETARY/CHIEF ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR WAYNE MOLESWORTH, MIKE NORD, BOBBY GRAY, BYRON ALLEN, GREGORY JOHNSON, ERICK LEE, KERRY COX, JAMES TUMELSON, JACK KNOTTINGHAM, STEPHEN ENTREKIN, KEVIN DAVIS, IVAN ISAACSON. Also present was ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL BEN BLOHOWIAK, representing the Board.

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had, to wit:

13 <<<<<< >>>>>>>

CHAIR JENKINS: Welcome. We'll call the meeting to order. It's Thursday, July 31, 2025, and at approximately 9:02 a.m. I want to thank everybody for joining us here on the Zoom and all the effort it takes to get here. Much appreciated. This meeting is taking place over the Zoom platform due to the recent governor executive order on spending.

If you are not part of the Electrical Board, I'm going to ask you to please turn off your cameras and mute your mics, unless you're going to be speaking.

And for the good of the court reporter, I'm going to



ask that one person speak at a time. Please identify yourself prior to speaking so the court reporter can properly attribute your comments to the record.

So I spoke with our secretary, and it looks like

So I spoke with our secretary, and it looks like we have -- Item No. 1 on our list here is a safety message. Hopefully we have Lorin Lathrop here available.

MR. LATHROP: I am here, Chair Jenkins.

CHAIR JENKINS: Awesome. Can you enlighten us on some safety messages this morning.

## SAFETY MESSAGE

MR. LATHROP: Sure. My name is Lorin Lathrop. I am an electrical technical specialist for the Department working for Chief Molesworth, and I have been thinking about something that I've noticed around my own home. I have the honor and privilege of having my father live on the property here with my family. My dad is a very active man. He's been active his whole life. He's an electrician for over 50 years. And he's always muttering and puttering and doing something around the house, but I've noticed something over the last year, year and a half is that he's slowing down. He's not as active as he once was.

He is -- he's picking what jobs he does, and it's really starting to show what we all deal with is that it



**ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING** Transcript of Proceedings - July 31, 2025 1 2 3 work and how we recreate. 5 6 7 8 9 10 between. 11 12 13 14 15 16

is -- excuse me for a second -- that we have limitation as we get older, and those limitations can really affect how we

Our general fitness has a big impact on what we can do. Your overall fitness level, your cardiovascular health, your strength, your endurance impacts your ability to perform physical tasks and to withstand environmental stressors. And here in the Pacific Northwest we've got highs and lows. We've got hot summers, cold winters, and everything in

Do we have any medical conditions that limit what we can do? Medications we're taking, things of that nature that can severely impact our ability to accomplish things as simple as climbing up and down a ladder all day or maybe getting out and playing a game of basketball with your kids.

So my -- I would encourage the community, the Board, and everyone listening that we need to prioritize our physical lifestyle, our physical health, and give ourselves an opportunity to enjoy those things by recognizing our limitations and doing everything we can to be successful and overcoming them. Have a great meeting.

Thank you, Chair Jenkins.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much. Appreciate that.

///

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25





1	ROLL CALL
2	
3	CHAIR JENKINS: Moving on, what I would like to do is
4	have the Electrical Board unmute your mics, go through a
5	roll call so we can see who is here, make sure we have a
6	quorum, so give just a second here.
7	We'll start with Secretary of the Board, Wayne
8	Molesworth.
9	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Present.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Kerry Cox?
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Present.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Erick Lee?
13	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Present.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Jack Knottingham?
15	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Present.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Mike Nord?
17	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Present.
18	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Ivan Isaacson?
19	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Here.
20	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Don Baker?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIR JENKINS: As expected.
23	Board Member Kevin Davis?
24	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Present.
25	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Bobby Gray?
	Page 6



1	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Present.
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Our counsel, Ben Blohowiak?
3	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Present.
4	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Gregory Johnson.
5	BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Present.
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member James Tumelson?
7	BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Here.
8	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Stephen Entrekin?
9	BOARD MEMBER ENTREKIN: Here.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: And Board Member Byron Allen?
11	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: Present.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Wow. We did really good today.
13	So, for the record, we do have quorum.
14	
15	APPROVE TRANSCRIPT FROM THE APRIL 24, 2025,
16	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
17	
18	CHAIR JENKINS: Moving on to our next item on our
19	agenda is the yeah. The Chair would entertain a motion
20	to approve the transcript of the last meeting of April 24,
21	2025.
22	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord, motion.
23	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion.
24	Do we have a second?
25	BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: Board Member Allen, second.
	Page 7



1	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Allen, we have a
2	second.
3	Any discussion?
4	Hearing none, all in favor please indicating by saying
5	"aye."
6	(Chorus of ayes.)
7	CHAIR JENKINS: Any opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIR JENKINS: Hearing none, motion passes.
LO	
l1	APPEALS
L2	
L3	CHAIR JENKINS: So we're going to change the order
L 4	up just a little bit for the sake of trying to expedite the
L5	meeting.
L6	
L7	GREEN HAMMER HOMES: ESICO01061
L8	
L9	CHAIR JENKINS: We're going to we have a
20	proposed order here from Green Hammer Homes, Incorporated.
21	Is there anybody here representing Green Hammer Homes on the
22	meeting?
23	And hearing none, we have a proposed order sent to us
24	to reflect the opinion made by the order made by the
25	Board in our last meeting so I'll be signing that one as it
	Page 8



	1	stands from the Department.
	2	
	3	EMPLOYER SOLUTIONS STAFFING GROUP INC: EROJE03683
	4	AND EROJE03684
	5	
	6	CHAIR JENKINS: The next one we have here is
	7	Employer Solutions Staffing Group, Incorporated, is going to
	8	be continued to the October 30th meeting.
	9	
	10	JOE HALL CONSTRUCTION
	11	
	12	CHAIR JENKINS: And the next item here is Joe Hall
	13	Construction. Do we have somebody here from Joe Hall
	14	Construction?
	15	Hearing none, we also have a proposed order for the
	16	Department for Joe Hall Construction, and hearing no
	17	argument against it, I'll be signing that one here also to
	18	complete that final order.
	19	
	20	TOLEDO TELEPHONE CO. INC: EALCG00377, EALCG00378,
7 MD	21 EALCG00391	EALCG00379,
AND	22	
	23	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. So moving on quite
	24	quickly, actually, to the Toledo Telephone Company, is there
	25	someone here that's representing the Department or for the
		Page 9

1	Toledo Telephone Company?
2	MS. ROBERTS: So this Natalie Roberts. I'm the
3	Assistant AAG representing the Department of Labor &
4	Industries.
5	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. Can you spell your
6	name for the court reporter, please.
7	MS. ROBERTS: Yes. N-a-t, as in Tom, -a-l-i-e, as
8	in elephant. R-o-b, as in boy, -e-r-t, as in Tom, -s, as in
9	Sam.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.
11	Is there representation from the Toledo Telephone here
12	today?
13	MR. ENDSLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Ryan Endsley on
14	behalf of ToledoTel.
15	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. Spell your name for
16	the court reporter, please.
17	MR. ENDSLEY: That is R-y-a-n, Endsley, E-n-d, as
18	in dog, -s-l-e-y.
19	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.
20	This matter is before us today in the appeal of the
21	matter of the Toledo Telephone Company, Incorporated, Docket
22	No. 102024LI02263. This hearing is being held in
23	pursuant and due to due all and proper notice to all
24	interested parties and proceeding via Zoom on July 31, 2025,
25	at approximately 9:10 a.m.



This is an appeal from initial order issued by the

Office of Administrative Hearings on March 21, 2025. It is

my understanding that the decision is affirmed, citation

numbers EALCG00377, EALCG00378, EALCG00379, and EALCG00391

issued by the Department -- Department of Labor & Industries

on June 18, 2024.

It is further my understanding the firm has timely

appealed the decision to the Electrical Board. The

Electrical Board is a legal body authorized by the

appealed the decision to the Electrical Board. The Electrical Board is a legal body authorized by the legislature not only to advise the Department of -- regarding the electrical program but to hear appeals when a Department issues citations or takes some other adverse action regarding an electrical license certification and/or compliance. The Electrical Board is a completely separate entity from the Department and will independently review the action taken by the Department.

When the Department issues penalties, the hearing is assigned to the Office of Administrative Hearings to conduct the hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act.

The ALJ who conducts the hearing then issues a proposed decision and order. If either party appeals, that decision is subjected to review by the Electrical Board.

Please keep in mind that while our review is de novo -in other words, we sit in the same position as an
administrative law judge and will review the entire record



1	regardless whether a certain piece of evidence is referenced
2	by the ALJ. We are bound by the evidence in the record, and
3	no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing.
4	Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today
5	to argue their merits of the case. Any board member may ask
6	questions at the time and may extend and it may be
7	extended at the discretion of the Board.
8	At the conclusion of the hearing, the Board will
9	determine if the finding and conclusions reached by the ALJ
10	are supported by the finding by the facts and the rules
11	pertaining to electrical installations.
12	Are there any questions before we begin?
13	Hearing none, as the appealing party, you have the
14	burden of proof to establish the proposed decision is
15	incorrect; therefore, we'll hear from you first. That will
16	be the Department.
17	MR. ENDSLEY: Sorry?
18	CHAIR JENKINS: The AAG has the floor to explain
19	why this my bad. Is that right?
20	MR. ENDSLEY: The ALJ affirmed the citations.
21	CHAIR JENKINS: I'm sorry. It would be your
22	you have the floor.
23	MR. ENDSLEY: Okay. Thank you very much. So the
24	matter before the Board today isn't really a question of
25	facts or evidence. Frankly, in this matter, the evidence is



pretty undisputed and the facts are really relatively agreed among the parties. There was a hearing. Testimony took place at that hearing.

What is relevant here and what the Board needs to reach a decision on is the interpretation of a couple of different statutes as well as some of the administrative codes. I'm going to first address citation EALCG00377, and that citation alleges a violation of RCW 19.28.420. Now, RCW 19.28.420 has to do with the question of whether or not ToledoTel has violated by acting outside the scope of the telecommunications license, and, frankly, the statutory language in this provision is extremely clear. What this is intended to cover is individuals and companies who perform telecommunications work without either a telecommunications license, a valid 06 limited energy license, or, of course, an electrical general 01 license.

So, for instance, if somebody had a pumps and irrigation 03 license and performed telecommunications work, this statute would apply to them.

What doesn't appear in this statute is the phrase "outside the scope," and that's the phrase that the Department has been relying on. And I think it's particularly impactful that the Department's argument in the brief on this literally misquotes the statute.

They make up language that is not in the statute. They



quote it as offering, bidding, advertising, or performing electrical installations, alterations, or maintenance outside the scope of the firm's specialty telecommunications contractor license and cites the RCW 19.28.420. That whole provision is in quotes. That language is not in the statute. If this was a normal civil proceeding, we would be moving for sanctions on that basis. That is a misquote of the statute.

What the statute, in fact, states is it is unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, corporation, or other entity to advertise, offer to do work, submit a bid, engage in, conduct, or carry on the business of installing or maintaining telecommunications systems without having a telecommunications contractor license. The Department has gotten this one exactly backwards. This is a statute intended to prohibit and punish performance of telecommunications specialty work without a telecommunications specialty license.

It is undisputed in the matter that ToledoTel has a valid telecommunications license, but instead any violations that ToledoTel may have approved by acting outside the scope of their telecommunications license are really punishable by other provisions of statute. There are certainly provisions in the electrical statutes that prohibit someone from performing general electrical work without a general



electrician's license. If ToledoTel did that, that is something that can be prohibited. It's just not part of this statute, and this citation has to fall apart.

No other argument has really been raised by the Department as to why outside the scope applies because the language isn't in the statute. It is simply not what this statute's intended to prohibit, and this citation really needs to be dismissed.

With respect to all of the citations, the central issue here really hinges on the question of whether or not ToledoTel acted within the scope of its telecommunications license. That definition is provided by WAC 294-46B-920 and particularly at issue here is whether or not it falls under part (m) (i) (h) and that has to do with the installation of cameras.

Because what happened here is ToledoTel installed two cameras in a park. It is undisputed in the record that these cameras were not remote controllable. They did not have any ability to pan, to scope. They did not follow individuals. They could not be adjusted in any way. In the event that the camera was dislodged, it can't be moved remotely back to its place. These are simply ordinary static security cameras that were installed, but the question has to do with the definition in that WAC.

And the relevant portion here that's been debated is



that as part of a telecommunications specialty license, they're entitled to install closed circuit video monitoring systems. However, there are some exceptions to that, and particularly there's an exception for remote controlled cameras and equipment, which are considered intrusion security systems. So the question is what that means.

The Department and the ALJ have advanced the position that remote controlled means remote accessible, and it is undisputed in this matter that these cameras are remote accessible. The way that these cameras function is that the cameras runs to a router which then broadcasts that signal to a closed off closed circuit access, but it can be accessed by password onto a phone.

I want to address the question of closed circuit, and this is a closed circuit. We had testimony about this. We went over this with the inspector, and he acknowledged that they have no evidence that this is an open circuit system. And a closed circuit system really is differentiated from an open circuit system. This is not -- for instance, you're probably familiar with, like, trail cameras which are simply cameras that go to an online website and anyone in the world can access them and view whatever that camera happens to be viewing at the particular moment. That's an open circuit system. A lot of our systems are open circuit.

This is a closed circuit system. It is limited in



access to individuals who have a password who can access this system. It is true that they can do it from off-site. That does not make it not a closed circuit system. There's no definition of that term, and really nothing's ever been provided by the Department or by the ALJ that suggests that this would be an open circuit.

So the real question here is remote control. The Department and the ALJ have both taken the position that remote controlled means remote accessible. That's stated explicitly. That is not a definition that makes sense. I should note that in the WACs that "remote controlled" is not a defined term. We're really down to working off of ordinary definitions. What is a remote controlled camera?

We had testimony about the nature of the cameras. We had testimony establishing that they do not pan, track, zoom, scope in on anyone, follow them around. We also had testimony that they've established they are remotely accessible.

But the Department doesn't offer, first of all, any reason why that's relevant. The statute could say remote accessible. It doesn't. It says remote control. And simply because you have access to something does not mean you have control over it. If I said you had a remote controlled car and sold that to you and it turned out that all you could do is look at the car remotely, you wouldn't





be very happy. They're not the same term.

Additionally, the intrusion security language makes this point even clearer. There is nothing more intrusive about the fact that the camera can be -- the camera's feed can being accessed off-site. In fact, someone who is off-site being able to view it is less able to act and interfere in the immediate area than someone who is literally posted up in a hut to which the video feed goes.

By contrast, a camera which can track an individual, whether that's by simple, you know, motion or sound tracking or whether that's something that can be actually controlled directly by an individual, that is intrusive. It can follow a person. It can follow them around the park. These aren't those sorts of cameras. These are cameras that are static. They broadcast a single image of a single location, and nothing can be done short of physically adjusting the camera at the site to actually allow you to control it.

I think from a public safety perspective it is also apparent that what is in consideration here is about the camera and not about how it's accessed. The Department's definition of remote access ability is particularly odd because the same sort of system would be completely allowed to be installed if, let's say, the same system works the same way. A camera is set up, a camera feed goes to a router, that router broadcasts to a specific computer. If



that computer is on-site suddenly this is allowed. If that computer is off-site, suddenly this is an intrusion security system. That doesn't make a lot of sense.

It is also the case if that -- same situation. Camera, and it's just now laid wire, a traditional old school circuit system that runs a wire to a computer feed and you can view the camera feed from that computer, if that camera runs to a computer and then that computer allows for accessibility elsewhere, does that suddenly make this an intrusion security system? Does that make this remote controlled because now the image is viewable at another location? It's remotely accessible because the computer uploads its feed to the internet, and none of that is a coherent way to define this terms. The Department's interpretation also seems to allow for genuinely intrusive security system, such as ones which can track an individual as they behave in the park.

Ultimately, this question governs the whole case on every single citation that flows with this. Obviously, if this was a valid telecommunications act, it was not outside the scope, and ELCYG00377 can't possibly apply. If this was a valid telecommunication act, ToledoTel was not hiring unlicensed individuals to perform electrical work. If this was a valid telecommunications act -- and this one's a little more complicated -- a permit was not necessary. And



the reason for that is that pursuant to WAC 296-46B-910(8), a permit in a telecommunications installation is only required when there are more than 10 telecommunications outlets.

It's undisputed and in the record -- and both the inspector and ToledoTel agree about this -- there were two telecommunications installations. There were two cameras installed, only two outlets. If this is a telecommunications work, it is just crystal clear that a permit was not required, and ToledoTel was not in violation for failing to bring one in.

I'm going to reserve any remaining time for rebuttal.

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thanks very much.

AAG Natalie Roberts, the floor is yours.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. As I said, I'm Natalie Roberts, and I'm the Assistant Attorney General representing the Department of Labor & Industries, so good morning, y'all. Thank you for being here.

As Toledo's counsel has already established, our facts are pretty well known. The transcript is before you, so we're going to get right down to the issue. So the Department did find that Toledo worked outside its telecommunications license when it installed the bullet camera system at Kemp Park. We do know that Toledo is a certified telecommunications contractor, and they are



certified to perform work within their very specific scope under that RCW 19.28.420, which limits their ability to -- and this is where the outside comes from -- outside that scope. They have to follow those very clear guidelines.

They are licensed to install some security systems, but they, again, as counsel has mentioned, they have to be closed circuit. A closed circuit system would be a system that is not remote controlled, which is, again, where we're going to come down to the argument of remote controlled involved versus remotely accessible. Again, yes, this would be an intrusion system if it was not remotely controlled.

Again, here Inspector Allen found that the security system that Toledo installed was not closed circuit because it could be remotely controlled as it was remotely accessible. Accessible -- it was accessible from a smart phone app, which was generally opened up at city hall, which is a distance from the Kemp location. The recordings were also held off-site, so that means the data that this security system could collect was not held on-site.

The Wi-Fi router was also installed to a larger structure, which was outside the closed circuit of the system. Control isn't just about being able to move the camera or turn it on and off. Again, control is the ability to access the data or the recordings in this situation and the system itself. Because the bullet cameras are remote



controlled, it does not qualify as a closed circuit system and does not fall under the telecommunications license.

This means that even though Toledo was a certified telecommunications contractor, its certification did not cover installing these open circuit cameras. This means that Toledo was required to not only have certified individuals install the system but also would have required a permit to be purchased.

Therefore, the Department does ask that the Board affirm the ALJ's initial order along with the citation and penalties therein.

Does anyone have questions or concerns or rebuttal?

CHAIR JENKINS: At this time, we're going to open the questions up to the Electrical Board and then, as they have questions, I'll refer back to both you and Ryan Endsley.

So, yes, Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah. This is meant, first off, for Ben, a clarification. What's part of the evidence record? So statute and WACs are part of the -- we can reference those. What about the National Electrical Code, which is adopted by reference in both RCWs and the WAC? Can we rely on content in the National Electrical Code?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You mean if they're incorporated by reference in the WAC? I don't see any



problem. It's not new facts or evidence. It's the law, so that's just fine.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: The reason why I'm asking, I looked through the WAC and the RCWs for a definition, and there was -- in WAC 296-46B-901 it says definitions of telecommunication technical terms will come from this chapter, 19.28 RCW, this chapter or TIA/EIA standards and the NECs, the National Electrical Code. I did not find any definitions in the WAC or the RCWs, but in the National Electrical Code I did find the definition.

The National Electrical Code says "remote controlled circuit." That is the closest definition, and they define it as any electrical circuit that controls any other circuit through a relay or any equivalent device. So that was the closest definition that I found for the word "remote controlled circuit." That's more of a question of -- I mean, with that, is there any comments from either of the attorneys on that?

MR. ENDSLEY: Here is my comment really. I don't think there was really evidence taken to that effect one way or the other. You know, I -- from hearing that definition, I do not believe these cameras qualify. I don't think there's anything in the record that establishes that they would lead to that definition for remote control, but I have to acknowledge that that wasn't a point that was raised at



the initial hearing, so I think the evidence record on that is pretty slim.

MS. ROBERTS: Yeah, this the AAG. Again, I'm not an electrician so I wouldn't know all the bits and bobs that would go into that, and I would have relied on my inspector for that account.

CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins. I'm going to first address what the law says because that ultimately is what the Washington Administrative Code is doing is its helping to clarify what the law -- what the code says. And in 19.28.400(12)(a), the law states, "Telecommunications systems include but are not limited to all forms of information generation, processing, and transporting of signals conveyed electrically or optically within or between buildings including voice, data, video, and audio."

The devices that Toledo Telephone Company installed by that codified definition, they are telecommunications devices taking the light images from the park onto their CCD chip, converting that, generating information, processing it, and transporting that data signal between buildings through another telecommunications device, the Wi-Fi router, to another telecommunications device at city hall or wherever the NVR -- in fact, in the record, because



as I understand from reading the record, the transcript was created from a recording. The court reporter wrote NBR, B as in boy, rather than NVR, as in video. It is a network video recorder. That's where the information landed.

This is by the -- by the record provided to us and the submittals of evidence, the photographs that are part of the evidence show that these are fixed bullet cameras. I'm going to now refer to the Washington administrative code, which will help clarify, and this is the one that seems to me that this case is based on is the WAC. And as referenced before, I'm looking at WAC 296-46B-920(h), referring to closed circuit video monitoring systems and these are -- in fact, let me go to (m). Let me back up.

WAC 296-46B-920 (m), which is defining telecommunications scope, which is what this case is about, the scope of "telecommunications, which is an 09 certification, is limited" -- we all understand that -- "limited to the installation, maintenance, and testing of telecommunications equipment" -- excuse me, "testing of telecommunications systems, equipment, and associated hardware." And that was what I just read in the law was what constituted a telecommunications system equipment and associated hardware.

And it goes on to talk about what the specialty includes. And here we keep talking about what they can't





do, what they can do. It says it includes -- here in WAC 296-46B-920(h), there are -- is a short phrase that I believe is the crux of this case and it seems to me that the Department has either missed it or is purposeful in ignoring it, but here's what it says, "Closed circuit video monitoring systems" -- this is what is included in the scope. "Closed circuit video monitoring systems if" -- and we missed that -- "if there is no integration of line or low-voltage controls for cameras and equipment."

It is clear from the record, from the testimony from both parties, that there is no integration of line or low-voltage controls for the cameras or equipment. And then it goes on to specify, "Remote controlled cameras," which we just previously defined as having integrated line or low-voltage controls.

For those of us who have been in the industry long enough -- I have been in this industry for 45 years -- I remember the days of old closed circuit TVs, and you had a coax that would transmit the broad- -- or the base band video. And there were low -- line and/or low-voltage controls that would control an onboard motor that would turn the camera left to right to pan it, that would tilt the camera up and down, so tilting and panning, and there would also be stepper motors attached to a motorized lens so that they could zoom in and zoom out by remote control using line





or low-voltage controls.

The telecommunications devices that were installed by

Toledo Telecom -- or Telephone, as shown in the record, are
a fixed device that takes the light images, converts them,
processes them, transmits them as data packets and
ultimately it lands on another telecommunications device,
the network video recorder. The access that is happening in
one of these systems is the viewing -- the remote access is
happening at the NVR, at the video recorder, not directly at
a bullet camera.

The bullet camera is simply transporting the telecommunications information that it has generated to another telecommunications device, which is storing it on some type of storage -- long-term storage medium so those who need to access it can.

According to the WAC and the RCW, the law -- and I know it because I was on the team of people that wrote it and I was on the original team of people who brought telecommunications under the purview of the electrical program so I understand what the intention of -- is of the WAC as well, why there was this provision in there that a telecommunications contractor using uncertified workers, which they are allowed to do under the telecommunications 09 license, that they were not installing line or low-voltage controls.



1 So these devices by law and by WAC are 2 telecommunications endpoints. They simply plug into 3 telecommunications cabling. They go to a telecommunications router and are being transported using telecommunications Specifically, in this case, it would be TCP/IP 5 protocols. protocols. These are the telecommunications endpoints, 7 plain and simple. 8 Those are my comments. Thank you. 9 CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much. Any more board members that would like to speak on 10 11 this? 12 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yes, I would like to. This is 13 Board Member Nord. 14 CHAIR JENKINS: Yes. 15 BOARD MEMBER NORD: I concur with Kerry Cox 16 totally. He just gave my argument I was going to give. 17 Toledo Telephone Company is a regulated telephone company. 18 They were acting within the scope of a regulated telephone 19 company for all the reasons Kerry just gave, and their 20 employees are regulated telephone company employees. 21 have a regulated telephone company administrator for the 09 22 They were doing exactly what they can do, as Kerry license. 23 discussed and explained. 24 CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Board Member -- sorry. 25 Secretary Wayne Molesworth? You are muted.



SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Sorry about that. I think we're getting a little bit off of the actual subject that is in question. There's no question about a lot of the testimony that the board members have given so far that there's telecommunications aspects of what was done. What we are really defining is — and there's no objection to that. What we're defining is "remote" and "remote controlled." Remote controlled and remote, you know, by definition is that if that signal is transmitted publicly, that can be considered part of remote control.

And that's what we're doing here is we're transmitting

And that's what we're doing here is we're transmitting that signal off-site. It's accessible to an app on a phone. And so even though it's a small portion of remote control and other things are also considered remote control, but this is part of remote control. We can view it off-site.

And so because of that, that's what remote -- that's what the exception is under (h), and I would beg to differ with Mr. Cox. (h) has to do with closed circuit video monitoring systems if there is no integration of lower line voltage controls. What it's talking about is you can install -- you can install closed circuit video if that closed circuit video doesn't have interconnection with wires and so forth.

Then there's a period -- and it goes remote controlled cameras and equipment are considered intrusion, and we've



already talked about that these are intrusion cameras used for security systems and must be installed by appropriate licensed electrical contractors and certified electricians. The reason for these exceptions in these small requirements is because we're also protecting the work of another licensed contractor. And so other licensed contractors are allowed to do that work if it falls under that remote control. That's why we enforce this particular law.

I just wanted to be clear that there are a lot of -lot more than just panning of a camera to make it remote
controlled. And the first part of (h) only has to do with
closed circuit, which is usually reserved for specific
monitoring devices and recording devices. That was all.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you for your input. Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah. I just wanted to bring us back to the NEC definition where it says, "Relay or similar device." So what Kerry had described with the pan, tilt, zoom camera with a motor, usually you would have an integrated relay inside that camera to cause the motor to operate. And so my reading of the closest definition we have, the NEC -- because it's not defined anywhere else -- is for remote controlled circuit, which is what these would be, if it would be -- it would have to have a relay or equivalent device.





	Transcript of Froceedings - July 31, 2023
1	And so I have no evidence that there's a relay inside
2	those cameras that were that Toledo installed. If they
3	were pan, tilt, zoom, then by inference you can say there's
4	probably a relay to control the motor, but with what was
5	presented in the record, I don't see any evidence I mean,
6	I have to base it on what's in statute and adopted by
7	reference and that's a has to have a relay or similar
8	device. So I don't see that here and I don't I see these
9	as remotely accessible, not remotely controlled.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.
11	I saw that Board Member Kerry Cox had your hand up.
12	Did you purposely take it back down again?
13	BOARD MEMBER COX: I purposely removed it. Thank
14	you, Chair Jenkins.

CHAIR JENKINS: Not a problem.

Secretary Wayne Molesworth? Secretary Wayne Molesworth?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Sorry. I thought somebody else's hand was actually up prior to mine.

I think, Mr. Johnson, we're applying that definition to -- we're misapplying it. It's not in relationship to telecommunication cameras. This is a remote control for motors, electrical equipment. The NEC talks very little about telecommunications, and it's more about, let's say, a remote start-stop for a motor that goes through a PLC system

Page 31



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and closes a relay that -- closes the relay for the motor starter. So those are remote controlled systems that the NEC is talking about, not necessarily applying it to this.

I don't think we can take the closest definition we have because that definition also is not specific to the application we have here. So that would be my suggestion is that we don't look at the definition from the NEC, unless we can get really specific with it and have an actual application for it.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Board Member Steve Entrekin.

BOARD MEMBER ENTREKIN: Board Member Steve

Entrekin. I just want to thank Kerry. I had a lot of notes on this, being a representative of the telecom on this board. I had a lot of notes, and, Kerry, you just explained it out pretty darn well. I 100 percent agree with what Kerry spelled out and back up Mike with his agreement also.

So I think we've talked about as a Board on previous cases something very similar and agreed to very similar of what Kerry spelled out there too. So I just wanted to kind of have us Board members recall some of the previous cases in the short term that I've been on the Board that some of these subjects were brought up. So that's all I have to add to this discussion.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much. I was going



the company.

	Transcript of Proceedings - July 51, 2025		
1	to input something, and maybe this is going to show my		
2	misunderstanding about the 09 licenses, but I'm		
3	understanding that the assuming what Kerry Cox has		
4	mentioned and other and Board Member Mike Nord brought		
5	up, assuming this is actually telecommunication work, they		
6	actually have an 09 supervision license. Do the installers,		
7	don't they have to have 09 installer license? That would be		
8	my question for you.		
9	BOARD MEMBER COX: They do not.		
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Kerry Cox, go ahead.		
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Yeah, Board Member Kerry Cox.		
12	An 09 telecommunications contractor requires only a		
13	telecommunications administrator for the company assigned to		

CHAIR JENKINS: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Telecommunications workers do not have any type of certification. Now, if the company hires an employee who may have a certification in 06 or 01 or other certifications, they can still perform the work, but as far as the contractor, the company does not -- the installers have no -- there is no 09 certification.

CHAIR JENKINS: That shows my naiveness about telecommunications 09 workers.

Board member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah. I appreciated your

Page 33



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	

25

remarks, Wayne, but I'm trying to find is there any reference to -- either you know, Wayne, or maybe Natalie knows -- that has the word "remote access" in either the Board packet or in the NEC or the WAC or in the RCWs?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So not that I am readily aware of that it says "remote viewing" or anything such as that. However, while we were discussing this, I looked up online for remote control cameras, and off-site viewing was considered part of remote control on a definition online.

Now you can take that for what it's worth. Right?

So, again, I don't think there is that particular wording. If there is, I'm not totally aware of it. As everything else, there's a lot of things that are a little bit subjective as in this case. Right?

But I also wanted to mention the reason that -Mr. Chair, the reason that they were saying they were
unlicensed and needed a certification was because the
inspector didn't consider this 09 work. It was a different
specialty, and they weren't certified in that specialty,
which does require a specialty in order to do remote
intrusion camera work. Right? I just want to make that
clear.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Board Member Mike Nord.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: We've talked several times



about remote access, and remote access is done by an IP address and the public data network, which is telecommunications. An IP address is the digital equivalent of the telephone number. The telephone companies carry this traffic for these devices. So if I put an IP address in, I could put an IP address in for any device in the world as long as I know what the IP address is and I have clearance to go to that level to look at it.

So, by definition, this is all telecommunications. The access points are by telecommunications. The addressing is by telecommunications. You're not dialing a telephone number. You're dialing the digital equivalent of it, which then goes to the telecommunication companies, is routed by the selective signaling system No. 7, and that routes it through what is called 3B220 super computers, which sets up the routing through the DNS servers to get from Point A to Point B. That's all ran by the telephone companies.

For example, the company that I used to work for,
Lumen, controls approximately 65 percent of the world's
internet traffic, and they control most of the world's
undersea cables this traffic travels on going from data
center to data center. Data center to data center is like a
CO to a CO, what we used to call the old 40 network, the
long-haul network. Those long-haul networks, you take that
IP address, and they will ship it down to a local network





office, which will then ship it out to a customer. It's all done through the communications networks. It's not done through the power networks.

It's all maintained by 09 licensed people working for the certified regulated telephone companies or, in some cases, vendors that are associated with those companies. So I still believe that these two individuals working for a regulated telephone company performed a telecommunications installation well within the scope of work, which I used to do every day for the company I worked for, Lumen.

And, for example, this router that we're talking about is most likely property of that regulated telephone company. What they did, which is permitted by FCC regulations for a telephone company, they extended the network access to that dumb camera with an RJ45, either a jack or a plug, most likely a plug that plugged into the camera. There's also waterproof RJ45s we used to install, and you can plug a little patch cable in, 6 inches, 8 inches, whatever from the camera to the jack. That work was all telecommunications. We extended the network access point, the demarc point to the camera. And as a telephone company, as long as we were putting in less than 10 of these, there was no permit required. There was no inspection required, and usually we only installed two or three of them.

I have installed them for office buildings to plug a





1 keypad into for a card reader. It's all RJ45. 2 RJ45 plug there per FCC Part 68 rules, which I'm allowed to The customer or somebody else plugged the cord into it. 3 do. That gave access of that device to the telecommunications 5 network to be addressed by an IP address, which is, once again, equivalent to a telephone number to be dialed up from anywhere in the world to be accessed. So I still believe 7 8 we're within the scope of telecommunications. 9 CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much. 10 BOARD MEMBER NORD: I'm sure we have people that 11 are disagreeing with me. 12 CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Thank you. 13

Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins.

To Wayne's -- to Secretary Molesworth's comment about we're being somewhat subjective on some of these things, there's no reason for subjectivity. We had a lot subjectivity many years ago and that's why a team of us got together with the Department, with organized labor, with telecommunications companies, and got rid of subjectivity. We tried to be specific in codifying what was telecommunications and what was not. So I don't think -- I don't think we need to be subjective in this. I believe the law is clear, and I believe the WAC helps clarify the law as it stands that these devices are telecommunications end

Page 37



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

points. Thank you.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you again.

Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah, I'll just -- so I agree with what Mike and Kerry are saying. I mean, I keep brining us back to what's in statute merely because we're bound by what's in the record, and we can't make up new definitions that aren't in there. And I see no words wherever saying "remote" -- that define remote control as remote access. So until that is changed in the WAC or the RCW, I don't see how we could redefine that here. I mean, if there's a desire to do that, I mean, would be rulemaking. But that as of today, remote control, as I'm seeing, is the closest definition is a relay. And even if you threw that out, if you were to -- common knowledge, well, that would assume probably pan, tilt, zoom or either an auxiliary contact to control something but not -- I mean, it wouldn't necessarily be observing or receiving a signal.

And I would even go as far as configuration's not considered control because all com- -- all TCP/IP devices have configuration built in so -- even though that's not incorporated. So until the WAC or the RCWs change, I think we have to rely on what's there and not what's absent.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Secretary Wayne Molesworth.



SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I think Jack Knottingham's was up before mine. Wasn't it?

CHAIR JENKINS: You know what? He did have it up and put it back down. But you know what? Let's do that so we have an another opinion here. So Board Member Jack Knottingham.

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I appreciate the discussion. I go back to closed circuit. Is this a closed circuit or not? You know, there's no doubt technology has changed drastically since the RCW included telecom, and maybe that definition does need to be updated, but I think by definition this is not closed circuit. It can be accessed remotely. It's not closed circuit, and I think all the other arguments fall -- fall. I mean, that's my opinion. Like I said, technology has really changed. When this came out, you couldn't access these systems via cell phone and now you can, but I don't think it meets the definition of closed circuit. Thank you.

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you.

So back to you, Chief Wayne Molesworth.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I want to agree with Greg and some of the others that have talked about, we've given a lot of testimony here as a Board and explained different things that are very broad. And really what it comes down to is the ALJ affirmed it and -- by definition



and accepted the definitions of the Department as remote access being a part of remote control. Viewing it off-site, like I said, the definition I found was that remote control security cameras, which this is. It's an intrusion camera and is done by a different specialty. Intrusion cameras are wired by -- by a separate specialty. Even though it might, in some cases, be telecommunications equipment, in this case it may not have been used in that fashion, and so that's what I would say.

I'm back to where if it's -- I mean, what the defense was was what's the definition of that remote control?

Right? It wasn't about whether or not the equipment was telecom or whatever else. And like Mr. Knottingham said and what I've seen in definitions is if it's remoted publicly -- or if it's broadcast publicly, in which case it is, and it's a security system, no -- I'm not arguing with the fact at all that a lot of this stuff can be used in different applications as telecommunications equipment. But in this particular instance, it was an intrusion camera that can be viewed off-site and publicly transmitted to a telephone -- right? -- for that matter.

I do agree that I think that some of this -- these rules need to be upgraded, and we'll get started on that so we have a very clear definition of what this looks like because that's what the Board is asking us to do.



And so just wanted to say that, and that's all I'm going to say at this point.

CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Board Member Kerry Cox?

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chief Jenkins -Chair Jenkins.

To Secretary Molesworth's comments, I'll make a couple comments on that. Referring back to WAC 296-46B-920(h), again, these monitoring systems are included in the scope if there is no integration of line or low-voltage controls for the camera. That's what everyone seems to want to skip over.

And to Board Member Johnson's comment where he said unless there's a relay or something in the camera to control something, those things can be done. Many of these cameras can be installed. I don't know if these do it. It's not in the record. Many of the cameras that might be used for a doorway entrance will have a remote controlled relay that now can be connected down to a door strike. So let's say your shipping carrier comes to your door, and you can view that from inside your office, and you can by remote control, whatever that may be, tell the camera to close that relay, energizing -- providing low-voltage control to energize that door strike and unlock the door for the delivery driver.

And then -- and then would that video monitoring system not be allowed in the scope because it has low-voltage control?



To your comment about the ALG -- pardon me, the ALJ sided with the Department and clarified the understanding that remote access means remote control, in my opinion, the ALJ has misunderstood tech- -- telecommunications technology terminology and that is why this Board is here.

We all are experts in our field of craft, whether that's telecommunications, O1 electrical, whatever it may be, whether that's HVAC, we are all here as experts, and this is why this Board is here to hear these appeals because the ALJ knows the law like nobody knows the law, but they don't necessarily understand technology and definitions. That's where the Department has their counsel and the appellant has their counsel to try to explain this clearly as possible to the ALJ. But in this condition, in this situation, for this appellant, the ALJ, in my opinion as an expert in telecommunications and as a licensed O6 journeyman and an O6 administrator, the ALJ was mistaken in his understanding. Thank you.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. I'm assuming the computer is giving these in order.

Secretary Wayne Molesworth.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. I just want for the record to be clear that we need to read the -- that part of the telecommunications 09 licensed scope of work (h) in its context. It talks about closed circuit TV. It says,



"Closed circuit video monitoring systems if there is no integration of line or low-voltage controls for cameras and equipment." That's how it has to be installed for closed circuit. We're not saying this is closed circuit and neither is the defendant. Right? That's irrelevant here.

We're saying that it's -- or the Department is saying that it's remote controlled because you can access it and it's publicly broadcasted from those cameras. Right? So I just want that for the record. We're not talking about closed circuit video, and closed circuit video can be installed by telecommunication if there is no interconnection with the cables.

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: So since the point of a CCTV came up, the definition of that, so that's not defined in the NEC. It's not defined in the WAC or the RCWs. I believe it probably is defined in EIA/TIA, but I didn't have that handy to look that up offhand, but I can tell you from designing -- personally designing different systems, usually CCTV is considered point to point, not point to multipoint. So usually you would have a camera that talks to a single NVR or head-in system, and that would qualify as a closed circuit. Regardless if the NVR rebroadcast it elsewhere, it would still be a point to point if you're able to access





that remotely from multiple places.

Now, if you were to broadcast this like it is in TV, like a television so it's broadcast to the world, that would be different, so that's where you would be broadcasting. So that's based on how things are typically done. So if you had a publicly available link on -- that is broadcast on their website, you know, like a viewing cam, that would be different than if you were just to have it as to going to an NVR that people accessed. So that's typically the definition I've historically relied on. Unfortunately, I can't pull up the EIA/TIA definition offhand.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chairman Jenkins.

I didn't want to muddy the waters, but Secretary

Molesworth did that for me by saying that this is not closed circuit, and, in fact, I think Board Member Knottingham alluded to that fact. If that's the direction we want to go in this, then there is no prohibition -- I will bring us back to the law. I will bring us back to the RCW that talks about what a telecommunications device or system is in RCW 19.28.400 -- bear with me -- (13)(a),

"Telecommunications systems include" -- and I've read this before, but I will reiterate it for the record again -- "but are not limited to all forms of information generation,



processing, and transporting of signals" -- there it is -"transporting of signals conveyed electronically or
optically within or between buildings including voice, data,
video, and audio." So if we want to go in the direction of,
no, this doesn't qualify as a closed circuit TV and as a
professional I would agree with that. Like I said, I didn't
want to muddy the waters for this case, but now we're there.

This is not CCTV. I was -- I was in the age of CCTV. I installed CCTV. This is a telecommunication end point that is processing and -- generating and processing and transporting information, video information, between buildings. This is a -- and addressed by an internet protocol address, which Board Member Nord addressed very clearly as a telecommunications industry professional.

These two stationary bullet cameras, which plug into an RJ45 connection in a TCP/IP network, in a telecommunications network, is transporting video data between buildings wirelessly via a wireless telecommunications protocol. So this -- this gets us completely out of the WAC because now we're installing a telecommunications device that is generating, processing, and transporting a telecommunications signal electronically to another building. This then, by law, is truly a telecommunications end point, a telecommunications device. It is not a CCTV camera. Thank you.



CHAIR JENKINS: I'm assuming, Wayne, you have taken your hand down so I'm going to jump to Board Member Mike Nord.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Thank you. I fully agree with everything that Kerry, once again, has said. What we have to think about in today's world, as we sit here discussing this case, is technology has advanced to the point that the RJ45 end point connection that the device is plugging into is a universal port. It does not care what you plug into it. It will talk to whatever is there.

I could go and unplug that camera and plug an IP phone into it, and it will work just fine. I can unplug that phone and plug an access path for a badge reader, and it will work just fine. It is a universal port that supports the protocol for any electronic device that works on the internet to work through that, which the telecommunications system then carries that information from end point to end point just like a telephone call. Once again, an IP address is the digital equivalent of a telephone number.

We're not setting up wiring for just one type of device. That is a universal port at the end of that RJ45, whether it's a plug or a jack. And, once again, it does not care what device is plugged into it. It is carrying telecommunications data -- Os and 1s -- from one point to another point, Point A to Point B. Those Os and 1s -- or in



the case of light pulses for a fiber cable -- are modulated and demodulated to put it in simple terms. The technology and the math required to understand it is quite immense, but the technology is technology.

Technology's changing every day. If you look at Moore's law, which was set up in the '60s, probably about every seven years our amount of technology and how we advance doubles. It will continue to double. When I worked for Bell Telephone Laboratories in the 1980s, you were setting up these devices and this network to do what it's doing today, and it has gone way beyond anything that anybody I worked with at the time envisioned would happen.

These are universal ports, once again. Once again, it does not matter what technology or device you plug into it. It is modulating a signal. It is transferring it and transporting it on a telecommunications network. It is at the end point at the B end demodulating it to where the two sides will understand what each other is saying, and that is telecommunications work.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Secretary Wayne Molesworth, please.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So I'm just going to conclude my comments with I'm sitting here listening and agreeing with a lot of what everybody is saying about what is and isn't telecommunications equipment. I think what I'm



trying to convey is that this law is in place to protect another specialty that does security systems. This is a definition by what was the use of those cameras defined as, not whether or not in other situations it couldn't be used as telecommunications work, but in this case, they're used as intrusion security cameras, and that is where it draws the line -- right? -- because there's another specialty that installs custom systems and security cameras.

Is it the same work as what telecommunications could do

Is it the same work as what telecommunications could do with that same equipment for different purposes?

Absolutely. No question about that. But that's not what divides the law.

The law was divided -- and, Kerry, I'm sure you remember this -- to those different specialties because different specialties wanted to do different parts of that work, and so that was where we have to have the laws in place to protect that other group of contractors; otherwise, there's no scope of work. You know, the scope of work doesn't add any value. So that's all I was going to say. This is really, to me, a case of what were those cameras going to be used for.

CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: So what's concerning about the comments that were just made and I have -- for the record, I



have to disagree that when we all got together and rewrote and codified these definitions, protectionism was not the purpose. And if the purpose of the Department of Labor & Industries is protectionism of one contractor over another, then we have a bigger issue.

The fact that we're saying there's another -- there's another specialty, an 06 or an 01, that can install cameras, well, if we want to talk about protectionism, an 06 or an 01 can install telecommunications structured cabling systems.

An 01 or an 06 can install telecommunications end points and devices. So where does that protect the 09 contractor?

And as far as making work for -- when the comment is made giving -- making available work for other contractor specialties, as I had mentioned regarding Board Member Greg Johnson's comment about the relay controlling something else in my comment. So the work for another specialty, i.e., an 06, would be, as I mentioned in the door camera, where it's monitoring a door and we need to energize a mag lock or a mechanical lock on a door, that is not -- and it is clearly defined it is not the scope of an 09 contractor using uncertified workers. It is the work of at least a minimum of an 06 limited energy specialty contractor with 06 certified workers.

So if this is about protectionism, we have a bigger conversation. I don't believe -- and I disagree, for the





record, that that's what this law was codified about was protectionism of other contractor scopes.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I want to disagree with that just slightly because I don't see any other reason for scope of work other than defining what you can and cannot do, and every license has its own scope of work of what it can and cannot do. Ultimately, that allows other contractors to do that work that you cannot do. If you want to call that -- and I called it that way -- that we're protecting the scope of work of other specialties, I would stand by that because I think that's the purpose of scopes of work.

CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. So Board Member Mike Nord.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: I got a question for Wayne because I think I understand what he's saying, but I just want to make sure in my mind that I do. Okay. Wayne, if the 09 regulated telephone company employees had merely ran the RJ45 connection end point cable to the peak of the roof and left it and an 06 contractor or a City of Toledo employee came in and plugged that end into the camera, would we be here today?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Tell you the truth, if they would have mounted the cameras as well, I don't think we would be here today because --

BOARD MEMBER NORD: That's what I'm saying. That



1	the only thing that the regulated telephone company
2	employees did, which they're allowed to do under the
3	Washington State Utility and Transportation Laws of Tariffs
4	and Regulations that they have filed, plus the Part 68 rules
5	of the FCC regulations because they're a local exchange
6	carrier, if they're allowed to run that cable, they're
7	allowed to put the RJ45 connection, which is an
8	FCC interface regulation, and then the City had plugged the
9	camera had mounted and plugged the camera in or the 06
10	contractor mounted and plugged the camera in, I believe
11	you're saying if they had stopped at the RJ45 we would not
12	be here today. But the mere fact that they plugged the
13	cable into the camera, then we're into the debacle that
14	we're in. Am I correct?
15	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You are correct, Mike. Let
16	me clarify that. Them running that cable there could be for
17	any many, many different reasons right? like you
18	testified.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: And I agree with you because
20	it is an universal end point connection.
21	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Exactly.
22	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I could plug any device into
23	it, and I can software configure it to run anything I want.
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So you put that cable there
25	and then another the owner of the property or the
	Page 51



1 contractor licensed to do security intrusion camera work can 2 come in, plug that into the cameras that they mount, and that they secure, yeah, and that's the way I view that. 3 4 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. I understand what 5 you're saying now. I may not agree with it, but I 6 understand what your position is. 7 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I get you. 8 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Because, once again, you've 9 got a universal port that it does not matter what you plug 10 into it, and it does not matter who plugs it in. 11 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But once you determine the 12 use, that's where it becomes the problem. 13 CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. So Board Member Gregory 14 Johnson. 15 BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: So I just want to 16 highlight. I think we probably need to rewrite some of this 17 So not part of this case, but these are things that 18 exist today is they have radar systems tied to the cameras 19 and so then that way they can use the radar to control the 20 camera to capture things. That's used for traffic. Thev 21 also have stuff where they have external infrared lights 22 that cameras can automatically turn on infrared lights or 23 they can turn on floodlights, and that's being deployed 24 quite commonly. Those are all -- the things I've mentioned would be 25



remote controlled devices. And if any of those were present, which they weren't in this case, we would be having a very different conversation. I think those are gaps, but also there's another part in the WAC that in 296-46B-901 if -- way down on (8)(d), it says, "No permits or inspections are required for installation and replacement of cord and plug connected telecommunication equipment for patch cord and jumper cross-connected equipment." And I can tell you that things I just referenced are all patch cord connected type equipment.

And so right there, if -- I mean, I know those -- this is outside the case, but if any of those are present, we would be having a very different argument, and it would be very convoluted also because now you have conflicting parts of the WAC. So I just want to say I really think we should go rewrite part of this WAC to make it clear and address these things so that way there's no confusion for both the Department and for telecom contractors and for telecom utilities. So a little outside -- deviating outside the case, but I think -- and I would be happy to help work with others to help get that written right.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I agree with you 100 percent, Greg. This is Wayne Molesworth. The thing to remember is lot of times there are certain things in a work -- types of work that you don't need a permit for but



1	you still have to have the proper license and certification
2	to install it. Right? So two different things there. Some
3	need a permit but they and they need licensed certified
4	people. Others don't need a permit, but they still need
5	licensed certified people to be installed.
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. Very much.
7	Mike Nord, did you have your hand up for a reason, or
8	was it just left up from last time?
9	BOARD MEMBER NORD: No. I have it up to for a
LO	reason.
11	CHAIR JENKINS: Go ahead. It's yours.
L2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: By definition under FCC
L3	Part 68 rules, an RJ45 connection, which is the universal
L 4	end point/entry point connection to the network, is a plug
15	and play connection. It's patch cord. It could be an RJ45
L6	plug on the end of a cable plugging into the jack on the
L7	device, or it could be a jack on the end of the cable, you
L8	have a jack on the device, and you've got a patch cord going
L9	in between it. It's plug and play. An RJ45 connection in
20	today's world is a plug and play connection.
21	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Any further comments from
22	the Board?
23	Yes, Board Member Jack Knottingham.
24	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Yeah. I appreciate
25	what Board Member Cox pointed out It does at least in my



1	opinion, appear to be a conflict between the WAC and RCW as
2	far as scope of work, and if there's a conflict, the RCW
3	would supersede. So I do believe it's not closed circuit.
4	It would violate the WAC, but it does not violate the RCW.
5	That's my view. Thank you.
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much.
7	And seeing no other hands up, I will give it a second
8	for anybody else that would like to speak. All right.
9	Seeing none, the chair would entertain a motion.
10	Board Member Kerry Cox.
11	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins. I
12	would make a motion that this board reverse the decision of
13	the ALJ as presented before us and whatever the legal term
14	is to remove those citations from the appellant. So
15	however Ben, if you can jump in and help properly word
16	that for me, I would appreciate it.
17	CHAIR JENKINS: So I have motion to reverse the
18	ALJ's decision and remove citations. Do we have a second?
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Second.
20	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion. We have a
21	second. Do we have any discussion? It looks like Board
22	Member Steve Entrekin has his hand up.
23	BOARD MEMBER ENTREKIN: I'm sorry. Board Member
24	Steve Entrekin, I was going to second that.
25	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. So any other discussion



1	about this motion? Hearing none, since it sounds like we
2	have a split crowd on this, if I can have all the board
3	members unmute your mics. We're going to go through a list
4	here.
5	And we'll start off with Board Member Kerry Cox.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: Aye.
7	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you.
8	Board Member Erick Lee.
9	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Aye.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Jack Knottingham.
11	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Support the motion.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Mike Nord.
13	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Support the motion.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Ivan Isaacson.
15	Board MEMBER ISAACSON: Support the motion.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Kevin Davis.
17	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: I support.
18	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Bobby Gray.
19	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Aye.
20	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Gregory Johnson.
21	BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Aye.
22	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member James Thompson.
23	Tumelson, sorry. I'm sorry. My bad.
24	BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Aye.
25	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Stephen Entrekin.



BOARD MEMBER ENTREKIN: Aye.

CHAIR JENKINS: And Board Member Byron Allen.

BOARD MEMBER ALLEN: Aye.

CHAIR JENKINS: Boy, was I wrong. Any opposed? Motion passes.

So give me one second, please. So thank you. The Board has made a decision with the Department and the counsel for Toledo Telephone Company. Please get together and see if you can reach agreement that best reflects the decision of the Board. Please be advised if you do not reach an agreement today, this matter will be automatically set for presentment at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting. If the agreed order has not been received by that date, the parties will be expected to file proposal orders and appear and advise why the proposed orders best reflects the Board's decision. I hope this will not be necessary and you're able to reach -- agree to the form in the order before the next meeting.

Please forward it to the secretary of the Board's office, and I will ensure it gets signed and copies sent to the parties. Thank you very much for your time.

On that note, it's been a bit. I'm sure the court reporter would like to have a break here too, so let's take a 10-minute break and we'll readjourn at 10:40.

(Recessed at 10:29 a.m.)



1	(Reconvened at 10:42 a.m.)
2	CHAIR JENKINS: So it is now 10:42, and I would
3	like to bring the Washington State Electrical Board meeting
4	back to order.
5	
6	CERTIFICATION/CEU FULL REPORT, LARRY VANCE
7	
8	CHAIR JENKINS: So we're on to Item No. 4,
9	Certification/CEU full report with it looks like technical
10	specialist Larry Vance. Are you available?
11	MR. VANCE: I am.
12	CHAIR JENKINS: There we go. You were muted
13	apparently. The floor is yours.
14	MR. VANCE: Thank you. I'm just getting my
15	technology in order.
16	CHAIR JENKINS: No worries.
17	MR. VANCE: Thank you, Board Member Jenkins.
18	My name is Larry Vance. I'm a technical specialist
19	with the Department of Labor & Industries. I report to
20	Wayne Molesworth, the chief electrical inspector. Late
21	well, let's say a few days ago you received an exam report,
22	and the reason you received it was that there was a little
23	bit of a data glitch in it. It took PSI a little bit of
24	time to get that straightened out, but the report is for
25	information only. We're not making any decisions based on



that report. It's just something that we report on regularly to the Board.

And one of the things that we always talk about is the first-time pass rate for journey level -- 01 journey level electricians, and that has consistently been unchanged as far as the pass rate. It usually hovers around 50 percent and for a number of reasons. For new Board members, that can be anywhere from someone coming from out of state that's unfamiliar with the electrical laws and rules section of the exam. They may be rusty on the National Electric Code, may be a little rusty on electrical theory, and they attempt the exam, fail the exam, and then reattempt the exam and often pass the exam on their second attempt.

The other thing that affects it and this is not -well, I guess it would be fact-based, but when people
learning the trade in Washington go through an
apprenticeship where they get more exposure to formal code
training, theory training, laws and rules training, they're
more prepared for the exam, and they have a higher pass rate
than those folks that are just following an on-the-job path.

So since the apprenticeship laws have been implemented -- they're not fully implemented, meaning that there's an allowance in there for OJT trainees to kind of funnel through the pipeline until July 1, 2026. Until July 1, 2026, what happens is that you can complete your



on-the-job training path and qualify for an exam until that date.

So what happens is that after that, you're going to have to complete the education and experience requirements of an apprenticeship in order to qualify for the 01 exam. That's going to kind of change the pool and may affect the pass rate in the future, but right now we're not seeing a lot of effect on that.

Something also that you were provided earlier with is the -- we have the exam contract that's out for bid essentially. It's a no-fee contract and that it is -- we don't have a say in when it goes out for bid. That's something that other State agencies regulate. The new contract is a nine-year contract. It could be up to a nine-year contract, and then we'll be putting it out again for bid. There's a lot of parameters in that, in that contract. If you look in the RFP under section -- I believe it's 5.1, it really drills down on what we're looking for with a provider. So it's a lot like what we have now.

One of the things that we're correcting is we've had kind of two systems. We've had a system for electrical administrators, and that's an exam that's accessible to anyone. And the fact that it's accessible for anyone without any knowledge of the Department means that we have a hard time communicating with a testing agency on results.



There also bits of law changes that have to do with use of social security numbers. Historically, before those law changes, we used social security numbers as the unique identifier for candidates, with the exception of administrators because no application was required.

Administrators did use that information with the testing firm because if you ask somebody to make up a 9-digit number, there's the possibility that two people might make up the same 9-digit number. All 1s, all 2s, you name it, it can happen, and it can cause havoc.

Well, since those laws changed, we reconfigured our systems to create an exam unique ID number for people based on their social security number. In other words, their social security number goes in, the computer spits out a unique ID based on that. It's one unique number generating another unique number that has no relationship, but it's evidently guaranteed to be unique, and it's great.

Well, that works for certified electrician candidates, but it doesn't work for administrators currently. We still instruct administrators to use their social security number with the testing firm. The testing firm does not divulge that social security number to the Department. So we're in conformance with the laws, but people are still sensitive about it. We've received some complaints.

So I guess the road I'm going down here is that with



this testing contract we're going to do -- we're considering a little bit of a rulemaking. That's also on the agenda here. If I can, I would like to just address this all at the same time. It's another agenda item under rulemaking or we can wait to skip. It's the chair's preference. How would you like to proceed?

CHAIR JENKINS: I think we're going to proceed with explaining both. That kind of kills two birds with one stone.

## EXAM PROVIDER UPDATE, LARRY VANCE

MR. VANCE: Okay. So what we -- we've talked with our IT department because we have it all set up for electricians, it's just a matter of creating another form for administrators. And what an administrator candidate would do is they don't need L&I approval to take the exam. That's -- there's no reason to review somebody and, you know, qualify for -- they're not qualifying for the exam. It's open to anybody.

What we want them to do is apply to obtain a unique exam ID, and that will be something that is instantaneous. They fill out -- essentially fill out the online form, which is the required fields to be certified as an administrator, in other words, your name, your address, your social



security number, blah, blah, the things that are required, and you receive your exam unique ID number. They communicate that to the testing vendor. The testing vendor -- then we have that information; the testing vendor has that information; and we can now exchange information based on this, the knowledge of who this person is.

Something we don't have today. So that's a real make sense thing.

The complexity that's added by having these two sets of rules that we currently have. The administrators that we don't know who they are, the complexity comes in that there are people taking electrician certification examinations and taking administrator examinations at the same time, and that is just causing -- it's causing some issues. So having it all work the same is going to simplify it for us and the vendor. So that's kind of -- that's kind of where that consideration of a rule change -- that's the basis for it.

There's one other thing that we've encountered that is -- it's essentially -- we've kind of identified it as waste. And so the process is somebody applies to the Department for an electrician examination for approval. We review their record and we approve them if they're -- if they've met the qualifications, and that approval is good for a year. It expires in a year. Sometimes people don't even attempt the exam in that one-year period.



Let's say there's somebody that's considering traveling to this state, for instance, or let's say they've -- for whatever reason, life happened. They left the industry.

Who knows. The result of that, though, is their exam approval expires. And when it expires, they try to reapply online, and it says, "You have an open record on file."

They notify us. We notify our IT department, who has to go in there, manually reset their record so that they can apply online.

They go ahead and they apply online. Their application lands on a work list. A staff member -- when a staff member gets to it -- because this is just a workload issue -- when they get to it, they'll look at it and they'll say, "This person has been previously approved," and they will approve the application. We have their money. They've been previously approved. There you go. That might have taken a week, two weeks, maybe four weeks for that portion to happen.

What this is is that this is just -- we just look at it as why are we doing this? I mean, if you're approved for the exam, you're approved for the exam. What's a -- why are people -- why are people taking longer than a year? And a lot of the people that are taking longer than a year are people with special accommodations for exam. Maybe it might be a language issue. It could be dyslexia. It could be



exam anxiety. We have all these ADA requirements that we have to stay in compliance with.

So that's even more complex because when someone has an accommodation, we have to communicate that accommodation to the testing vendor. The testing vendor then needs to contact that person so that they can schedule an exam that conforms with their accommodation, whether it be additional time or whatever the accommodation is. So we're doing all this work, and we're asking ourselves, "What are we gaining by limiting it to one year?"

And kind of looking at the exam -- the exam reports here, most candidates get through it in, you know, a couple of attempts. Some straight through. Others, you know, it takes a few attempts. So what we're considering is is that while we still understand that we want to have some limitation on it, what we're looking at is just changing the rule to make exam approval a two-year period -- a two-year interval rather than a one-year interval.

We still have the same parameters in place as far as we don't want anybody harvesting questions. If you fail the exam three times -- if you fail to pass the exam in three attempts, you will then wait three months in between, just like it is today. We don't want the exam to be a training tool. And it's -- the question bank is so vast that you can't -- it's not like you're going to go in and -- you're



not going to ever see the same exam, so we feel that there's no risk. And what we do is remove waste by increasing the interval to two years.

So those are the two things, the change in how administrators apply for the administrator's exam and how the length of the exam approval interval -- those are two specific things that we're looking at for rulemaking to implement this new testing contract. So I just wanted to kind of cover that. We don't have any draft language or anything yet. It's just -- it's the contract is written that way. So in order to implement the contract, we're going to have to do the rules. So I just wanted to give the Board a head's up on that.

Does anyone have any concerns or comments about that?

CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins.

I'm sure this has been addressed, Larry, but I need to ask as a cyber security professional. I heard you say -- I'm assuming IT is who is creating these unique IDs. Is that correct? Or is it the Department? Do you have an application? Here's my concern or my question. If using the social security number of the applicant to create a unique testing ID, is that algorithm a standard known hash?

MR. VANCE: That is a question that I am not prepared to answer. I know that --



1	BOARD MEMBER COX: Because AI will crack it in
2	about 3.2 seconds.
3	MR. VANCE: Really? Okay.
4	BOARD MEMBER COX: If you have an MD5 hash or any
5	other standard encryption and this is their unique ID and
6	somebody throws and for whatever reason something gets
7	breached and we don't have social security numbers but we
8	have all of these testing applicant IDs and AI throws all
9	the known hashes at it, all the known encryptions, and all
10	of a sudden now what was safe has now just exposed all these
11	social security numbers.
12	MR. VANCE: Yeah.
13	BOARD MEMBER COX: So I don't know if that needs
14	to go back and it just needs to be a question on the table
15	or
16	MR. VANCE: Yeah.
17	BOARD MEMBER COX: Sometimes today IT folks take
18	shortcuts to get to the end result quicker. I understand
19	that.
20	MR. VANCE: Right.
21	BOARD MEMBER COX: Sometimes they don't think
22	about some of these security things, so that's my only
23	question.
24	MR. VANCE: I will I will bring that back to
25	our IT crew and have a response at the next meeting.



BOARD MEMBER COX: Perfect. Thank you, Larry.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Hey, Larry, James Tumelson

here.

MR. VANCE: Yes, sir.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: My question had to do with -- and you may have covered it. I might have missed it. So once you take your first examination -- let's say you successfully complete for master exam the code, theory, and State test. Are you saying that the time frame would then be two years to wrap up the remaining load calculation portion, or is this just allowing two years to be able to get the tests done in?

MR. VANCE: Your approval lasts for two years. How many times you fail the exam within that period will control the -- it will affect how often you can attempt the exam, meaning that if you attempt the exam, you have to take all sections the first time you attempt the exam. If you fail a section, you can -- for that two-year interval you'll be able to test on just that section.

If you've failed three times to pass the exam in that period of time, you're going to get into the three-month testing interval. And that three-month testing interval, that will prevail until you've successfully passed the exam, even when you get renewed for another two-year testing period. In other words, if you're a person that -- and



those are all safeguards in there to keep people from taking the exam every two weeks, for instance, protecting the integrity of the questions.

So to answer your question, everything starts new every two years. If I don't pass my exam in two years -- currently one year -- I have to reattempt the entire exam on my first sitting. And then if I fail a section, I can retake that, but there's just such complexity with a lot of candidates today that have special accommodations.

We're spending an inordinate amount of time communicating back and forth with a vendor, and that's triggered, of course, by exam approval. And what we're trying to do is take some of that workload off of ourselves something that was never anticipated. It just is a condition of today's world, but it really doesn't change any security aspects of the exam as far as maintaining the question bank.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Yeah. My question just had to do with the fact that, you know, back when we were taking exams and stuff, you kind of knew you had this pressure cooker. You got to get this done within this one-year period of time; otherwise, you've got to do all three sections again. So that's where -- I didn't know if it was, like, two years from approval. And then once you take your first portion of the exam, you've got one year to



finish that thing up or if it's just a blanket two years.

I'm just trying to understand where the start/stop clock

exists in that.

MR. VANCE: Yeah. If you wanted to -- if you attempted the entire exam and found that you were unprepared, maybe you just passed a section of it but found that you were unprepared and you wanted to get some education, maybe six months later you want to take -- schedule the exam again, under today's one-year exam approval period, it's kind of hard to get all of that done in a year.

And really all we're doing is rubber stamping the second exam approval or third -- subsequent exam approvals. We're just looking at are you approved? Yes. There you go. They pay us a fee. We spend -- especially with special accommodation candidates, we spend a lot of time just -- we've -- like I've said, we've identified it as waste. It's not something that's -- this is directly attributed to Labor and Industries's Goal 3, which is making it easier to do business with L&I. In other words, why are we making people reapply for an exam approval when all we're going to do is look at their previous exam approval, take their money, and approve them for the exam again. So that's what we're looking at.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Okay. Thank you for that.



1	It sounds like a leaner process that kind of streamlines it
2	and simplifies it. So that's what it sounds like anyways.
3	MR. VANCE: Yeah, yeah. Nope. That's what we're
4	looking to do.
5	Other than that, if there's no more questions, I don't
6	really have anything more to add on the whole exams and exam
7	contracts and I think there's two different we've
8	satisfied two different agenda items here.
9	CHAIR JENKINS: This is Chair Jenkins. Your
10	No. 5, it kind of reads do you need the Board's motion to
11	move forward with this, or are you just explaining stuff to
12	us?
13	MR. VANCE: Well, I'm just providing you
14	information. The Board does have purview over examination
15	for electricians and administrators. So if the Board feels
16	compelled to make a motion supporting our the
17	Department's activities, I don't see any reason we would be
18	opposed to that.
19	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. So the chair entertains a
20	motion to support this direction, the changes.
21	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord, I make the
22	motion.
23	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion.
24	Do we have a second?
25	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Board Member Isaacson,



1	I'll second.			
2	CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Isaacson a second.			
3	Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor indicate by			
4	saying "aye."			
5	(Chorus of ayes.)			
6	CHAIR JENKINS: Any opposed? Motion passes.			
7	Thank you very much thank you for that.			
8	MR. VANCE: Thank you Board Members, Chairman			
9	Jenkins.			
10	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. I'm assuming that was			
11	your Item No. 4 and Item No. 5 of our agenda today.			
12	MR. VANCE: Yeah, it was a subsection. There's			
13	still some rulemaking updates, but I'll leave those to			
14	others.			
15	CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. So we are on Item once			
16	again, thank you very much, Technical Specialist Larry			
17	Vance. We really appreciate your input and your historical			
18	aspect of the stuff.			
19	MR. VANCE: Thank you.			
20				
21	RULEMAKING UPDATES, RANDY BARNES			
22				
23	CHAIR JENKINS: Moving on to Item No. 6,			
24	rulemaking updates, I don't have anybody assigned to this.			
25	I'm assuming the chief has someone set up for this one			



1 MR. BARNES: That's correct. It would be Randy 2 Barnes today. 3 CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Randy Barnes. 4 MR. BARNES: I'm the technical specialist for 5 Wayne Molesworth. Thank you, Chairman Jenkins and Board. I just have a brief rulemaking update for you. We have 7 a fee increase that was approved that went into effect on 8 July 1. This is the 6.41 percent fee increase that affects 9 WAC 906, 909, and 911, so inspection fees, license certification, administration, and electrical testing labs 10 11 and engineering. Those went into effect July 1. 12 We have a couple of proposed rulemakings that have been 13 posted. We posted a CR-101 that was mentioned at the last 14 board meeting. This would be the rulemaking for the 15 adoption of the NEC, the 2026 version of the NEC on 16 December 31st. 17 The second portion of that would be modification of the 18 temperature restriction for type NMB cable for size No. 8 19 and over. This is a modification to NEC 334.80. 20 And the third one would be clarifying the deadlines for 21 reporting hours of experience for our trainees. As I 22 stated, a CR-101 was posted. The draft rule language is 23 also posted on our website on the laws and rules page. 24 The next step for this would be in September roughly. 25 Our CR-102 would be filed and then that would open the



1 public comment period.

Sometime after that, probably in Octoberish, we would have a public hearing for those three rulemakings.

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Any questions from the Board?

Yes, Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Yeah. With the next edition of the National Electric Code, I believe there's going to be a major reorg. How does L&I anticipate that's going to impact everyone at the Department?

MR. BARNES: The major reorg is actually in 2029. We have a minor reorg, you know, that was kind of put in motion in 2023, and in 2026 we will have a couple of sections that are being moved. You know, load calculations, for example, would be moved from 220 to 120, so there will be some renumbering and relocation in preparation for that 2029 change.

You know, the code book will be released probably for print in September, this September. And we will convene a TAC, you know, for all of our WAC committee to make WAC adoption changes or proposed changes. That would probably start, you know, next spring and summer posting notifications. So that gives everybody six to eight months to review the new code book before we start putting out proposals for WAC changes. And then some of that stuff, you



1 know, we realign the WAC to be in line with the NEC pretty 2 much every time it comes out. 3 CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you. Any other questions from the Board? Seeing none, thank 5 you very much for your time. I appreciate your input. 6 Moving on to our Item No. 7, the secretary's report, 7 Chief Wayne Molesworth. 8 9 SECRETARY'S REPORT, WAYNE MOLESWORTH 10 11 Thank you, Chair Jenkins. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: 12 I appreciate that. 13 I will go ahead and read the secretary's report into 14 the record. July 31, 2025, first off we'll start with the 15 budget. This budget report includes primarily data for 16 fiscal year 2025 through July 1 -- from July 1, 2024, 17 through June 30, 2025. Due to end of fiscal year 18 accounting, June 2025 data is incomplete at the present 19 time. 20 As bills continue to be processed, we expect an 21 additional \$200,000 to \$300,000 in expenditures for June 22 2025 that is not reflected in this report and will reduce 23 the electrical fund balance reported below by that amount. 24 Also, the electrical fund is expecting a \$6 million

reduction because of the approved State -- the approved



25

State budget. A more accurate fund balance will be reported in the October meeting.

The electrical fund balance on June 30, 2025, was \$19,435,364, which is about 6.9 times the average monthly operating expenditures. After the \$6 million fund reduction, we project we will have about 4.7 times the average monthly operating expenditures. The average monthly operating expenditures for fiscal year 2025 was \$2,932,744 compared to \$2,937,177 for the same period last year. This is a decrease of about .0015 percent.

Average monthly revenue for fiscal year 2025 was \$3,360,095 compared to \$2,834,416 for the same period last year, an increase of about 19 percent. The reason for this increase is due to a corrected revenue reporting issue from previous fiscal years as well as a fee increase beginning in July 2024.

June 2025 customer service, we had 46,044 permits were sold last quarter. 98.5 percent or 45,344 were processed online, which is a decrease of .2 percent from last quarter. 99.7 percent of contractor permits are sold online, which is the same from the previous quarter. Homeowners online sales for this quarter were at 83.4 percent, which is a

1.4 percent decrease from the previous quarter.

Online inspection requests are 77.8 percent, which is a 1.6 percent increase from last quarter.



During this quarter, customers made 91.1 percent of all electrical license renewals were online, which is a .1 percent decrease from last quarter.

We'll move to key performance measures. This is from July 1 to June 30, 2024 to 2025. Percent of inspections performed within 24 hours in 2024, the same time frame, we did 78 percent, and currently within 24 hours we're doing 81 percent. Percent of inspections performed within 48 hours of request, 90 percent, and in 2025, 93 percent. Total inspections performed last year was 263,058. This year was 263,159.

Virtual electrical inspections, VEI, performed, VEI performed last year this time frame 47,828. This year 50,635.

Number of focused citations and warnings, contractor licensing, worker certification, no permit, failing to supervise trainees -- and please keep in mind as I read this into the record that currently we have a staffing issue in citations. We do not count the citations until actually they are issued from the department.

So in 2024 the field had 266- -- 2,647. ECORE audit was 11,619 for a total of 14,266. Currently citations that were issued in the field, 1,219; ECORE, 3,604 for a total of 4,832.

Inspection stops per inspector per day, last year at

Page 77



this time was 11.3. This year is 11.7.

Serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnection, last year was 38,275. This year was 33,622.

Turnaround time for average plan set reviewed in plan review, the goal was 1.6 weeks. Last year at this time it was two days. And as we remember, we went to an electronic plan review. It made all the difference in the world for the time. Currently it stands at 1.4 days for review.

Plan pages reviewed all electronically, last year was 4,678. This year was 4,763.

Percentage of warning by focused violation type,
licensing was .6 percent; certification, 6 percent; permit,
14 percent; trainee supervision, 5 percent; and all focused
was 8 percent. The note here is the data above reflect
citation warnings issued from July 1, 2024, through
February 28, 2025. Citations processing has been delayed
due to having no staff in the citation desk for the
electrical program since December of 2024.

Electrical licensing, citations, amusement rides, and appeals -- before I read this, I want to make a comment about this section and the staff that work in this area. A lot of times they're referred to as support staff, but I want to make sure and in the record to recognize the staff that work in licensing, citations, amusement rides, and appeals are not support staff, but they are doing critical



functions of the Department. And so they're a very important part, and without these individuals, we couldn't do a good portion of what our program is responsible for.

So as of 7/24/25 there are approximately 975 items waiting to be processed by the licensing team. The oldest item is the dated 5 -- I'm sorry, May 29, 2025. About 930 of these items waiting to be processed are affidavits. The teams have -- the team has made great strides to decrease the backlog, but the numbers continue to climb primarily due to staffing.

In addition to processing documents, licensing staff are responsible for answering all incoming electrical licensing phone calls. For the time frame between 4/1/25 to June 30, 2025, they received 5,553 calls. This does not include inbound calls to their direct lines or outbound calls made to customers. This also does not include calls from our partners in regional office locations that need help when customers are in person with questions.

Both of the citations and amusement ride positions are currently vacant, as well as the appeals position. Due to the recent directive of the governor 24-19, we are unable to hire any positions. Amber Bac and Jenn Dietrich are working together to cover the urgent items for citations, appeals, and amusement ride work. Response times are greatly delayed. Some work is halted altogether.



I will make an additional comment here is that the appeals position and one of the citations positions have been approved through an exception process to be recruited for, and the recruitment hopefully will be by the end of this week or first part of next week they'll go out.

That's it for the secretary's report except for the last part. Is there any questions about what we've discussed so far?

CHAIR JENKINS: Board Member Kerry Cox.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins.

Yeah, a question for you, Wayne. And we may have and probably did cover this in previous board meetings, so please forgive my lack of recall. On the score card goals, No. 1, 2, 3, and 4, those are great numbers. I mean, it looks like they did about 100 more inspections and, you know, had a 3 percent increase in the time that we did them.

My question is do those inspections -- are they just the on-site inspections, or is that a performance indicator? The number of inspections within 24 hours, number of inspections within 48, does that include VEI, or VEI is, like, right then, right now. That's not included in that score. Is that correct?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, that should be included in that score -- right? -- so that we're looking at all inspections equally, and so I may have to check on that.



If it's not, it should be. Those are inspection numbers that are reflecting the work that the program is doing, not just an individual part of the program. So...

BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. So they've done -- this year they've done -- it looks like -- maybe just over -- what? -- 310,000 total inspections, if we include VEI. And then we've had about a 3 percent increase in getting those accomplished quicker. Is that how I'm to understand that, Wayne?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Great.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Certainly an increase. One of the things that is interesting about this is that we have had -- holding several positions in the field because of budget constraints and other issues. We also have currently -- I'm going to cover in a minute the VEI growth that we're working towards. And so we may see a greater increase in this.

But, you know, across the Board for the last few months, we've also been seeing a decrease in number of permits sold and number of inspections, and so that's a little concerning. I'm sure that those of you engaged in the construction industry, which is most of you, also see that, a little bit of a slowdown out there. And so we just have to keep our staffing levels at a point where we can



control them and not have to be doing any type of layoffs in the future.

So I know that people are concerned about holding positions, but we're just trying to be responsible with the positions that we have and ensure that they're going to remain there, let's say. But that being said, we've also seen an increase in the percentage of 24-hour increase and number of inspections that we've completed. So I commend the field staff and the VEI staff for really being out there and understanding that there's work to be done and being committed to it. So...

CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Any other questions from the Board members?

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Chief Molesworth, James
Tumelson here. You mentioned the reduction or the decrease
in ECORE and that type of stuff. The positions that are
going to be going out, you said as early as next week, is
that supposed to bring those numbers back into alignment of
2024? Is that the intent?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. They'll start to because once we get those individuals trained, they'll be able to be moving those and processing those citations faster. Right now Jenn Dietrich, who is sitting behind you, and also Amber Bac are trying to do that in conjunction with their other duties, very hard to do, but you've got a couple



Transcript of Proceedings - July 31, 2025 1 of committed people there in the room with you right now. 2 So we appreciate the work that they do in addition to that. 3 It's a pretty stringent process to get exceptions, and we're working on trying to get those approved with 5 anticipation of other positions moving around -- right? --6 so that we don't take one problem, move it into another, but, yeah, they should come down. 7 8 Jenn, I think that we were sitting somewhere around 1,500 citations that could go out at this point. So when 10 you look at that, that's --11 CHAIR JENKINS: She just passed on that was 1,800. 12 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: 1,800 that can go Okay. 13 out and it climbs -- right? -- because ECORE and the field 14 are out there and they are writing citations and they're 15 making people aware, but it's very important that we get 16 those issued so that people change their behavior. That's 17 really the only reason we do this is to keep that level

Does that answer your question?

so -- with customers.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Yes, thank you.

playing field, being able to change behavior in the field

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Long winded answer. Sorry.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Well, for someone that gets to manage code enforcement as well, there's never enough people. That's just the facts. So...

Page 83



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep, yep.

Any other questions? So I'll move on a little bit to talk about testing labs. I'm not aware that we have approved any other new testing labs. We've already talked about the legislation that's passed.

Some additional program updates, I've got just a few things I wanted to mention just so that people are aware, and if you hear any kind of rumors, well, you've heard it from us as well. I'm trying to get my update stuff to come up. So I had a conversation earlier this week with somebody that was concerned that I was going to retire in the near future, and I wanted to let you guys know that even though I'm an old guy, my retirement isn't coming anytime soon, but I will give the Board plenty of notice and the Department plenty of notice when I decide to retire, so it's not going to be any time soon.

And I'll give you a little update. I think it's common knowledge at this point within the Department, but there's a restructuring going on, a reporting structure. If you haven't been made aware, the reporting structure for the electrical program will now be the entire Department will report up through the chief. And so they will -- the field inspectors will no longer report to regional administrators. They're going to report up through the chief, and we're currently putting together a structure for what that will



look like and how we're going to fund it. So that's coming. That's Phase 3 of an overall program or overall FSPS field services and public safety restructuring of the reporting structure. So probably late fall, early next year before we actually enter into the part where we actually move this along for the electrical program.

And so I told them I would stay and help with that reorganization. I'm really only one of three that still works for the Department when we did have assistant chiefs. Some of you may remember that we had a chief, assistant chiefs that ran the program, and so there was some good advantage to that. We want to take the good from that and leave out the bad from that. And so with that historical knowledge, I thought it would be a good thing to stay and make sure that gets implemented.

So then we go to VEI growth. VEI, we've got a couple of retirements, and we've also been given four additional positions. So we are in the process of hiring those positions, and so that should get us up around 15 VEI inspectors. We plan on expanding the scope of inspection to some different types of inspections that can fall within that, but it's going to really increase the volume coming through VEI, which, in turn, will help reduce the number of inspections out in the field, and what you hopefully see is an increase in our 24-, 48-hour response times because of



that in the field as well. So looking forward to that.

We've already hired two of the -- total of five and we're going to hire two this session. Second round interviews are Friday and then we can get to training and so looking forward to that.

VEI brings a level of efficiency and customer service for the contractors that even though we can try all we want to for -- in the field and do the best job we can, we can't reach that level of efficiency because of the miles we have to drive and the other complications with field inspections that aren't part of inspection, but it does take up time. So it's a very efficient process.

The past governor and the director tasked me with getting us to 30 percent of our inspections by the end of five years, and at that time that gives me about eight months left to do that, and we're sitting at about 22 percent right now of all inspections done are done with 10 percent of the staff and done within 24 hours. So they've got a good record going. A good group of guys there and ladies. Sorry. Good group of inspectors. There. That will keep me out of trouble.

So I also wanted to let you know and maybe we have already, but the FLR process where we did a reconsideration for people that we weren't able to accept their hours because of whatever reason, mostly because they were late in



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submitting their hours for -- to apply for a certification test, that process now has been terminated. It went for a year or so. They realized that the program is doing a pretty good job of understanding. They only overturned one of our divisions in the program, and we agreed with that because there was additional information that was brought to our attention. But otherwise every reconsideration they did they found that the program acted accordingly. So that process now is -- has been terminated. So it's no longer in use. Okay. I think that was about all I wanted to share, unless you guys have additional questions for any other

program business that you might be interested in.

CHAIR JENKINS: Real quick from me, from Chair Jenkins. You mentioned VEI, the scope can be expanding. Can you give any hints or any ideas of what we're looking at?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. You know, with the influx of electrification, we're looking at doing all kinds of different things relating to that. And one of those might be -- and it's still in the works and they can't use it now -- but that's solar voltaic systems, and primarily for a safety reason a number of inspections we could do that, one inspection with VEI with zero miles to drive and not have to get on a roof. Currently our staff don't get on





1	roofs because of the hazard involved. And so this would	
2	allow us to look at all parts of that photovoltaic system as	
3	well and in a shorter amount of time. So there's an	
4	enormous amount of that work going on. We're looking at	
5	creating a separate little VEI unit just for this type of	
6	work. So	
7	CHAIR JENKINS: And any	
8	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: With some extensive	
9	training for those individuals. So	
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Any ideas when you might see this	
11	put in place? Is this something looking at months or	
12	multimonth?	
13	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I would say months. First	
14	of all, we have to get the people in, get them trained, get	
15	everything moving well, and then start to pull more	
16	experienced people out to create that unit right? or	
17	people with the solar voltaic experience, pull them out to	
18	create something like that. So I'm thinking that still this	
19	year is a possibility.	
20	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you.	
21	Board Member Kerry Cox.	
22	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you, Chair Jenkins.	
23	I want to touch briefly on the \$600 excuse me, the	
24	\$6 million fund sweep. Wayne, did you have any	
25	conversations or any receive any kind of feedback at all	



from anyone in the legislature regarding the letter that Chairman Jenkins sent on behalf of the Board explaining very clearly the detriment to the program? Was there any hesitation at all, or was it simply, no, we need it. We're taking it. Can you address that at all?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. You know, Kerry, I'm trying to think if we received -- because I had heard some things but not from the legislature. I think that the IBEW supported the -- supported that letter. They knew of the letter, and they spoke in favor of the letter, but I don't know what the legislature -- how they made their determination. I didn't get any feedback directly from legislators about it or any questions about it. So...

BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you.

CHAIR JENKINS: Any other questions from the Board?

Board Member Gregory Johnson.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: So you mentioned solar. With that, a lot of folks are putting in -- well, maybe not a lot, but some folks are starting to put in battery storage. There's some new requirements in a related code, the International Residential Code, on the location on those in Article R328. Is that something that L&I's looking at? Because a lot of times people install the batteries after the fact and so they're just pulling an electrical permit,



but they don't pull a building permit. And so is anyone looking at the location to make sure those are in compliance?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So if the location isn't listed in the electrical law, we would make a suggestion just like we would with smoke detectors to the individual. Remember, you know, you have to have one in every bedroom, hallway, and large living area, but we wouldn't write a correction for it unless it's under 1928 jurisdiction. And so if it's a building code, as far as the location of those storage systems, then that would be up to the building department to assure that that's being taken care of.

We may, you know, make sure and call the building department in cases say, "Hey, I don't know if you know this, but we got a permit for, you know, a storage system here. We didn't see a building permit there for it." But it's outside of our jurisdiction if it's not part of 1928. So...

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: The same thing exists in commercial for the International Fire Code. There's new requirements that were recently put in. A little bit less concern in commercial because usually you have more qualified folks installing. Residential is where things get missed. I'm wondering should we have some mechanism?

Because right now if you wanted to add a solar with



batteries to an existing home, they're not required to pull a building permit. Is there some way we should trigger a building permit review or something? Because that seems like a big gap that people could be putting batteries in hazardous locations.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: I'll jump in there, Wayne, if you would like. James Tumelson here.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Being a building official and a fire official myself, building departments do require photovoltaic permitting. While the scope of the review is primarily firefighter access to the ridge for ventilation purposes, if there are energy storage devices. So you mentioned 328 of the IRC, those are things that we would be reviewing and ensuring that they meet the regulations of the residential code, and those of us that get the privilege of overseeing the fire code also would be keeping them accountable to that as well. And so most jurisdictions do that, and the jurisdictions that have their own electrical program probably, you know, one house, one entity do it a little bit better.

But to Wayne's point, I have a very close working relationship with the Department of Labor and Industries and can communicate anytime with the field inspection staff.

BOARD MEMBER JOHNSON: Thank you for that, those



1	comments. I just want to make sure we're not missing		
2	something, especially since there's changes recently in the		
3	other codes.		
4	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I was just going to mention		
5	too, Greg, if they are in a hazardous location, we have		
6	certain requirements as well, and we would enforce those.		
7	They're going to be different than what the building code is		
8	probably, but the building code would take precedent.		
9	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Any other questions		
10	for the chief from Electrical Board? All right. Thank you		
11	very much for your time. I appreciate your input. This		
12	is once again, we appreciate your background and being		
13	here. So		
14	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Are you sure?		
15	CHAIR JENKINS: Oh, no. We are very happy you're		
16	here. Thanks for letting us know if you decide to retire		
17	any time soon.		
18	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You hesitated there, Jason,		
19	so no. I apologize for interrupting. Thank you. I		
20	appreciate that.		
21	CHAIR JENKINS: Apparently we have Technical		
22	Specialist Larry Vance who was going to chime in but		
23	couldn't get the hand to come up.		
24	So Technical Specialist Larry Vance, are you there?		
25	MR. VANCE: Yes. Yes, I am. Thank you, Chairman		



Jenkins.

CHAIR JENKINS: The floor is yours.

MR. VANCE: Yes. Randy Barnes was communicating with our IT group and found out that the social security number is not the primary driver or even used to generate an exam unique ID number, to answer Board Member Cox's question there. So it's the year. It's initials. It's -- you know, it's not tied to anything that's going to be valuable to anybody that decides to crack it. So that should -- that should alleviate any concerns about social security numbers.

And I just wanted to throw one update in there. We've previously reported on passage of 5528. 5528 was a law that implemented a requirement for training in order to install electrical vehicle supply equipment. It specifically called out EVITP certification, and EVITP is a national program that's in place currently, and it's EVITP certification or equivalent. And so this put us in the position in order to implement this law, the law did provide us authority to make rules and we are proceeding with that, just for the Board's information.

We're actually fairly soon filing a 101 and what -- all it's going to do is insert -- kind of parrot the law into the rules so that it's not just a requirement hiding over there in the law. And it's going to define a few things, like what is an equivalent program? Is that -- what is



1	that? So we're just putting some guardrails on some things
2	so that everybody understands what it is and letting them
3	know that if you don't have EVITP certification or
4	equivalent, that it is a violation of the law, and it's just
5	so we can enforce it.
6	It's not a big lift, but we're quite close on draft
7	language and just that will be on your radar and probably
8	have more discussion about this at the next Board meeting.
9	Just want to throw that out there for you.
10	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you very much for getting
11	back so soon. It's nice to get that at this meeting.
12	MR. VANCE: Oh, yeah. Thank Randy Barnes. He was
13	on it.
14	CHAIR JENKINS: Thank you again.
15	All right. Any other questions before the from the
16	Department for our Electrical Board today?
17	Seeing or hearing none, thank you once again secretary
18	of the Board, Wayne Molesworth, and Technical Specialist
19	Larry Vance for piping in there.
20	
21	PUBLIC COMMENTS
22	
23	CHAIR JENKINS: It looks like we're going to move
24	on to our last item of the agenda here today is public
25	comment regarding not on the agenda, and it looks like we



have one person, Mr. Joseph Irons. Are you there and wanting to speak today?

MR. IRONS: Correct.

CHAIR JENKINS: Okay. Would you please spell your name for the court reporter, and after that, I'll give you a 15-minute window to do a presentation, if you would like.

MR. IRONS: Thank you. I will not need 15 minutes, but Joseph Irons, J-o-s-e-p-h. Irons, I-r-o-n-s. A couple issues I want to bring up. One is, I guess, when there's an issue on-site for compliance, I feel the Department is not doing their due diligence in getting those issues notified to the EC or GC in a timely matter. If there's a compliance issue, I would ask that this Board helps provide funding or staffing or whatever rulemaking to help the Department get the notices more timely. I would like to see a 10-day notice. The sooner an EC -- I'm a general contractor, but as soon the EC or GC knows of a compliance issue, the sooner they can become compliant.

We had an issue that took over 100 days to even get a citation, a live citation written. We took it, appealed it, won the appeal. It took about two years to get through that process, but we wouldn't have gone through all of that process if we would have known what the -- if we would have had proper notice within 10 days of what the issue was, we could have resolved it much sooner. So I feel it's a huge



waste of the electrical department's time, the AG's office time, and frankly, the GC's and EC's time. So as soon as there's a compliance issue, I would ask that your Board look at how do you expedite those things to get notification to the EC and GC so they can become compliant in a timely manner.

The other issue we have, we have eight unresolved complaints with L&I dealing with the ECORE department and some of the ECORE inspectors and their actions taken. I would ask that, one, the Department has a formal complaint process. There was no complaint process, and this took us several -- well, six, eight months driving up the chain to even get something recognized to start addressing, and this is going on an incident that happened May of 2023, so over two years later, and we have eight unresolved complaints against the Department that still aren't resolved. And these could have been resolved much sooner, I think, if there was a process in place.

So I would ask that the Electrical Board look at how they can have a formal complaint process when an EC or GC has an issue with a compliance officer when they're stepping outside of their professionalism, when they're not acting within the bounds of what we -- what they take an oath to do when they sign their job offer and their operating procedures.





1	So, again, those are the two issues I wanted to bring
2	today, and I hope this Board is the right board to address.
3	And if not, I know the right people on this call that can
4	get it to the right people to get that moving forward. And
5	I'm happy to answer any questions or provide any other
6	feedback.
7	CHAIR JENKINS: All right. Thank you very much
8	for your time and presentation to the Board. I appreciate
9	that.
LO	Is there anybody else that's looking for public comment
11	at this point? Once again, anybody looking for public
L2	comment at this point?
L3	Seeing and hearing none, the chair would entertain a
L4	motion to close the July 31, 2025 meeting.
<b>L</b> 5	BOARD MEMBER Nord: Board Member Nord, motion.
L6	CHAIR JENKINS: We have motion.
L7	Do we have a second?
L8	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Board Member Lee, second.
L9	CHAIR JENKINS: We have a motion and second.
20	Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor to close
21	the 20 I said that July 31, 2025, meeting all signify
22	by saying aye.
23	(Chorus of ayes.)
24	CHAIR JENKINS: Any opposed? Motion passes.
25	Once again thank you very much for the meeting



```
1
                                                 (Concluded at 11:51 a.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                       Page 98
```



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

25

## CERTIFICATE

I, REBECCA S. LINDAUER, a Certified Stenographic Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing at Lacey, do hereby certify;

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me and thereafter reduced to a typed format under my direction; that the transcript is a full, true and complete transcript of said proceedings consisting of pages 1 through 98;

That as a CCR in this state, I am bound by the Rules of Conduct as Codified in WAC 308-14-130; that court reporting arrangements and fees in this case are offered to all parties on equal terms;

That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action, or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof;

That upon completion of signature, if required, the original transcript will be securely sealed and the same served upon the appropriate party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of August, 2025.

-/-

Certified Stenographic Reporter

Rebecca S. Lindauer, CCR No. 2402



<b></b>	1	2
<b>\$19,435,364</b> 76:4	<b>1</b> 4:5 59:24,25 73:8,11 75:16 77:3,5	<b>2</b> 76:19 80:14
<b>\$2,834,416</b> 76:12	78:15 80:14	<b>2,647</b> 77:21
<b>\$2,932,744</b> 76:8	<b>1,219</b> 77:23	<b>20-</b> 97:21
<b>\$2,937,177</b> 76:9	<b>1,500</b> 83:9	<b>2023</b> 74:13 96:14
<b>\$200,000</b> 75:21	<b>1,800</b> 83:11,12	<b>2024</b> 11:6 75:16 76:16 77:5,6,21
<b>\$3,360,095</b> 76:12	<b>1.4</b> 76:23 78:8	78:15,18 82:19
<b>\$300,000</b> 75:21	<b>1.6</b> 76:25 78:5	<b>2025</b> 3:2,16 7:15,21 10:24 11:2
<b>\$6</b> 75:24 76:5 88:24	<b>10</b> 20:3 36:22 86:18 95:24	75:14,16,17,18,22 76:3,8,11,17 77:5,9 78:16 79:6,14 97:14,21
<b>\$600</b> 88:23	<b>10-day</b> 95:16	<b>2026</b> 59:24,25 73:15 74:13
	<b>10-minute</b> 57:24	<b>2029</b> 74:11,17
(	<b>100</b> 32:16 53:23 80:15 95:19	<b>21</b> 11:2
( <b>13</b> )(a) 44:22	<b>101</b> 93:21	<b>22</b> 86:17
(8)(d) 53:5	<b>102024LI02263</b> 10:22	<b>220</b> 74:15
(h) 29:17,18 30:11 42:24	<b>10:29</b> 57:25	<b>24</b> 7:15,20 77:6,7 80:19 86:18
(m) 25:13	<b>10:40</b> 57:24	<b>24-</b> 85:25
(m)(i)(h) 15:14	<b>10:42</b> 58:1,2	<b>24-19</b> 79:21
	<b>11,619</b> 77:22	<b>24-hour</b> 82:7
-	<b>11.3</b> 78:1	<b>263,058</b> 77:10
-a-l-i-e 10:7	<b>11.7</b> 78:1	<b>263,159</b> 77:11
	<b>11:51</b> 98:1	<b>266-</b> 77:21
-e-r-t 10:8 -s 10:8	<b>120</b> 74:15	<b>28</b> 78:16
	<b>14</b> 78:13	<b>29</b> 79:6
<b>-s-l-e-y</b> 10:18	<b>14,266</b> 77:22	<b>294-46B-920</b> 15:12
0	<b>15</b> 12:4 85:19 95:7	<b>296-46B-901</b> 23:5 53:4
	<b>15-minute</b> 95:6	<b>296-46B-910(8)</b> 20:1
<b>0015</b> 76:10	<b>18</b> 11:6	<b>296-46b-920(h)</b> 25:11 26:2 41:7
<b>01</b> 13:16 33:18 49:7,8,10 59:4 60:5	<b>19</b> 76:13	<b>296-46b-920(m)</b> 25:14
03 13:18	<b>19.28</b> 23:7	<b>2s</b> 61:9
<b>06</b> 13:15 33:18 42:16,17 49:7,8,10, 17,22 50:19 51:9	<b>19.28.400</b> 44:22	
<b>09</b> 25:16 27:23 28:21 33:2,6,7,12,21,	<b>19.28.400(12)(a)</b> 24:12	3
23 34:18 36:4 42:24 49:11,20 50:17	<b>19.28.420</b> 13:8,9 14:4 21:2	<b>3</b> 70:19 80:14,16 81:7 85:2
<b>0s</b> 46:24,25	<b>1928</b> 90:9,17	<b>3,604</b> 77:23
	<b>1980s</b> 47:9	<b>3.2</b> 67:2
	<b>1s</b> 46:24,25 61:9	<b>30</b> 75:17 76:3 77:5 79:14 86:14



Index: \$19,435,364..30

<b>30th</b> 9:8	<b>6.41</b> 73:8	ability 5:6,13 15:19 18:21 21:2,23
<b>31</b> 3:2,16 10:24 75:14 97:14,21	<b>6.9</b> 76:4	absent 38:23
<b>310,000</b> 81:6	<b>60s</b> 47:6	Absolutely 48:11
<b>31st</b> 73:16	<b>65</b> 35:19	accept 86:24
<b>328</b> 91:14	<b>68</b> 37:2 51:4 54:13	accepted 40:1
<b>33,622</b> 78:3		access 16:12,22 17:1,22 18:21
<b>334.80</b> 73:19	7	21:24 27:7,8,15 34:3 35:1,10 36:14, 20 37:4 38:10 39:16 40:2 42:3 43:7,
<b>38,275</b> 78:3	<b>7</b> 35:14 75:6	25 46:13 91:12
<b>3B220</b> 35:15	<b>7/24/25</b> 79:4	accessed 16:13 18:5,20 37:7 39:13
	<b>77.8</b> 76:24	44:9
4	- <b>78</b> 77:7	accessibility 19:9
<b>4</b> 58:8 72:11 80:14		<b>accessible</b> 16:8,10 17:9,18,21 19:12 21:10,15 29:12 31:9 60:22,23
<b>4,678</b> 78:10	8	accommodation 65:4,7,8 70:16
<b>4,763</b> 78:10	<b>8</b> 36:18 73:18 78:14	accommodations 64:24 69:9
<b>4,832</b> 77:24	<b>81</b> 77:8	accomplish 5:13
<b>4.7</b> 76:6	<b>83.4</b> 76:22	accomplished 81:8
<b>4/1/25</b> 79:13		- account 24:6
<b>40</b> 35:23	9	accountable 91:18
<b>45</b> 26:17	<b>9-digit</b> 61:7,9	accounting 75:18
<b>45,344</b> 76:18	<b>90</b> 77:9	accurate 76:1
<b>46,044</b> 76:17	<b>906</b> 73:9	acknowledge 23:25
<b>47,828</b> 77:13	<b>909</b> 73:9	acknowledged 16:16
<b>48</b> 77:8 80:20	<b>91.1</b> 77:1	act 11:19 18:6 19:20,22,24
<b>48-hour</b> 85:25	<b>911</b> 73:9	acted 15:11 87:8
	- <b>93</b> 77:9	acting 13:10 14:21 28:18 96:22
5	<b>930</b> 79:6	action 11:13,16
<b>5</b> 71:10 72:11 78:13 79:6	<b>975</b> 79:4	actions 96:9
<b>5,553</b> 79:14	<b>98.5</b> 76:18	active 4:18,19,23
<b>5.1</b> 60:18	<b>99.7</b> 76:20	activities 71:17
<b>50</b> 4:20 59:6	<b>9:02</b> 3:3,17	actual 29:2 32:8
<b>50,635</b> 77:14	<b>9:10</b> 10:25	<b>ADA</b> 65:1
<b>5528</b> 93:12		add 32:23 48:19 71:6 90:25
	_ <b>A</b>	added 63:9
6	<b>a.m.</b> 3:3,17 10:25 57:25 58:1 98:1	addition 79:11 83:2
<b>6</b> 36:18 72:23 78:12	<b>AAG</b> 10:3 12:18 20:14 24:3	<b>additional</b> 65:7 75:21 80:1 84:6 85:17 87:6,12



Index: 30th..additional

Transcript of Proceedings - July 31, 2025 Index: Additionally..assure **Additionally** 18:2 appealed 11:8 95:20 agreed 13:1 32:19 57:13 87:5 address 13:7 16:14 24:9 35:2.3.5.6. agreeing 47:24 appealing 12:13 7,25 37:5 45:13 46:18 53:16 62:3,25 appeals 8:11 11:11,21 42:9 78:20, agreement 32:17 57:9,11 89:5 97:2 25 79:20,23 80:2 **ahead** 33:10 54:11 64:10 75:13 addressed 37:5 45:12,13 66:17 appellant 42:13,15 55:14 **A** 67:1,8 addressing 35:10 96:13 applicant 66:22 67:8 **ALG** 42:1 adjusted 15:20 **application** 32:6,9 61:5 64:10,15 algorithm 66:23 adjusting 18:16 66:21 alignment 82:18 administration 73:10 applications 40:18 **ALJ** 11:20 12:2,9,20 16:7 17:5,8 administrative 11:2,18,19,25 13:6 **applies** 15:5 63:20 39:25 42:1,4,10,14,15,17 55:13 24:10 25:8 **apply** 13:19 19:21 62:21 64:8,10 **ALJ's** 22:10 55:18 administrator 28:21 33:13 42:17 66:5 87:1 62:16.24 63:13 alleges 13:8 applying 31:20 32:3 administrator's 66:5 **Allen** 3:5 7:10,11,25 8:1 21:12 57:2, **appreciated** 3:19 33:25 **administrators** 60:22 61:5,6,19,20 **apprenticeship** 59:17,21 60:5 62:16 63:10 66:5 71:15 84:23 alleviate 93:10 approval 62:17 63:21,23 64:5 65:17 adopted 22:22 31:6 allowance 59:23 66:6 68:13 69:12,24 70:10,13,21,22 adoption 73:15 74:21 allowed 18:22 19:1 27:23 30:7 37:2 approvals 70:13 41:25 51:2,6,7 advance 47:8 **approve** 7:15,20 63:22 64:14 70:23 allowing 68:11 advanced 16:7 46:7 approved 14:21 64:14.16.20.21 alluded 44:18

advantage 85:12 alterations 14:2 adverse 11:12 altogether 79:25 advertise 14:11 Amber 79:22 82:24 advertising 14:1 amount 47:7 69:10 75:23 88:3,4 advise 11:10 57:15 amusement 78:19,24 79:19,24 advised 57:10

> anticipate 74:9 anticipated 69:14 anticipation 83:5 anxiety 65:1 anytime 84:13 91:24 apologize 92:19 app 21:16 29:12 apparent 18:19 apparently 58:13 92:21

appeal 10:20 11:1 95:21

and/or 11:13 26:20

answering 79:12

70:14 73:7 75:25 80:3 83:4 84:4 approximately 3:17 10:25 12:4 35:19 79:4 **April** 7:15,20 area 18:7 78:21 90:8 **argue** 12:5 arguing 40:16 argument 9:17 13:23 15:4 21:9 28:16 53:13

arguments 39:14 Article 89:23 **aspect** 72:18 aspects 29:5 69:16 assigned 11:18 33:13 72:24

assistant 3:8 10:3 20:16 85:9,10

assume 38:16

**assuming** 33:3,5 42:19 46:1 66:19 72:10.25

**assure** 90:12

agenda 7:19 62:2,4 71:8 72:11 94:24.25 **agree** 20:6 32:16 38:5 39:21 40:22

**affect** 5:2 60:6 68:15

affirmed 11:3 12:20 39:25

affects 59:14 73:8

affidavits 79:7

affirm 22:10

**AG's** 96:1

age 45:8

agencies 60:13

agency 60:25

45:6 46:4 51:19 52:5 53:22 57:17



attached 26:24

attempt 59:11,13 63:25 68:15,16,17

attempted 70:5

attempts 65:13,14,22

attention 87:7

**Attorney** 3:8 20:16

attorneys 23:18

attribute 4:3

attributed 70:18

audio 24:17 45:4

audit 77:21

authority 93:18

authorized 11:9

automatically 52:22 57:11

auxiliary 38:16

average 76:4,7,11 78:4

aware 34:6,12 83:15 84:3,7,20

Awesome 4:8

**aye** 8:5 56:6,9,19,21,24 57:1,3 72:4

97:22

ayes 8:6 72:5 97:23

В

Bac 79:22 82:24

**back** 15:22 22:15 25:13 30:17 31:12 32:17 38:6 39:4,8,20 40:10 41:7 44:20 58:4 67:14,24 69:11,19 82:18

94:11

background 92:12

backlog 79:9

backwards 14:15

bad 12:19 56:23 85:13

**badge** 46:13

Baker 6:20

balance 75:23 76:1,3

**band** 26:19

bank 65:24 69:17

**Barnes** 72:21 73:1,2,3,4 74:11 93:3

94:12

base 26:19 31:6

**based** 25:10 44:5 58:25 61:12,15

63:6

**basis** 14:7 63:17

basketball 5:15

**batteries** 89:24 91:1,4

**battery** 89:20

**bear** 44:22

bedroom 90:7

beg 29:17

begin 12:12

beginning 76:15

behalf 10:14 89:2

**behave** 19:17

**behavior** 83:16,18

**Bell** 47:9

**Ben** 3:8 7:2 22:19 55:15

bid 14:11 60:10,12,16

bidding 14:1

big 5:4 91:4 94:6

bigger 49:5,24

bills 75:20

**birds** 62:8

**bit** 8:14 29:2 34:14 57:22 58:23 62:2

81:24 84:2 90:21 91:21

**bits** 24:4 61:1

**blah** 63:1

blanket 70:1

**Blohowiak** 3:8 7:2,3

**board** 3:1,9,22 5:16 6:4,7,10,11,12,

7:1,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,16,22,25 8:1, 25 11:8,9,14,22 12:5,7,8,24 13:4 22:9,14,17,18 23:3 24:7,8 28:10,12, 13,15,24 29:4 30:15,16 31:11,13 32:11,12,15,18,21,22 33:4,9,10,11,

13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,23,24,25

16,24,25 34:4,24,25 37:10,13,14 38:3,4 39:5,7,23 40:25 41:3,4,12

42:5,9 43:13,15 44:13,14,17 45:13 46:2,4 48:23,24 49:14 50:13,14,25 51:19,22 52:4,8,13,15 54:9,12,22, 23,24,25 55:10,11,12,19,21,23 56:2, 5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, 19,20,21,22,24,25 57:1,2,3,7,10,12 58:3,17 59:2,7 66:13,15,16 67:1,4, 13,17,21 68:1,2,5 69:18 70:25 71:14,15,21,25 72:2,8 73:5,14 74:5, 6,7 75:4 80:9,10,12 81:4,11,19 82:13,14 83:21,23 84:14 88:21,22

Index: attached..buildings

97:2,8,15,18 **Board's** 57:16,19 71:10 93:19

89:2,14,16,17,18 90:19 91:6,9,25

92:10 93:6 94:8,16,18 95:13 96:3,19

**Bobby** 3:5 6:25 56:18

**bobs** 24:4

**body** 11:9

**book** 74:18,24

**bound** 12:2 38:7

**bounds** 96:23

boy 10:8 25:3 57:4

breached 67:7

break 57:23.24

**briefly** 88:23

**bring** 20:11 30:17 44:19,20 58:3

67:24 82:18 95:9 97:1

**brings** 86:6

brining 38:6

**broad** 39:24

**broad-** 26:19

broadcast 18:15 40:15 44:2,3,6

broadcasted 43:8

broadcasting 44:4

broadcasts 16:11 18:25

brought 27:18 32:23 33:4 87:6

**budget** 75:15 76:1 81:15

**building** 45:23 90:1,10,11,13,16 91:2,3,9,10 92:7,8

**buildings** 24:16,23 36:25 45:3,12,

17



**built** 38:21

**bullet** 20:23 21:25 25:7 27:10,11 45:15

**burden** 12:14

business 14:12 70:20 87:13

**Byron** 3:5 7:10 57:2

C

**cable** 36:18 47:1 50:18 51:6,13,16, 24 54:16.17 73:18

cables 35:21 43:12

cabling 28:3 49:9

calculation 68:10

calculations 74:14

**call** 3:15 6:1,5 35:23 46:18 50:9 90:13 97:3

called 35:15 50:9 93:14

calls 79:13,14,15,16

cam 44:7

**camera** 15:21 16:22 17:13 18:4,9, 16,20,24 19:4,7 20:24 21:23 26:22, 23 27:10,11 30:10,19,20 34:21 36:15,16,19,21 40:4,19 41:10,13,21 43:22 45:25 46:11 49:17 50:20 51:9, 10,13 52:1,20

camera's 18:4

**cameras** 3:23 15:15,17,18,23 16:5, 9,10,11,20,21 17:14 18:14 20:7 21:25 22:5 23:22 25:7 26:9,12,13 29:25 30:1 31:2,22 34:8 40:4,5 41:14,16 43:2,8 45:15 48:3,6,8,20 49:7 50:23 52:2,18,22

candidate 62:16

**candidates** 61:4,18 65:12 69:9 70:16

capture 52:20

car 17:24,25

card 37:1 80:13

cardiovascular 5:5

care 46:9,23 90:12

carrier 41:19 51:6

carries 46:17

carry 14:12 35:4

carrying 46:23

**case** 12:5 19:4,18 25:10,15 26:3 28:5 34:14 40:7,15 45:7 46:7 47:1 48:5,20 52:17 53:2,12,20

cases 32:19,21 36:6 40:7 90:14

causing 63:14

**CCD** 24:21

**CCTV** 43:16,21 45:8,9,24

**cell** 39:16 **center** 35:22

central 15:9

**certification** 11:13 22:4 25:17 33:17,18,21 34:17 54:1 63:12 73:10 77:16 78:12 87:1 93:15,16 94:3

Certification/ceu 58:6,9

certifications 33:19

**certified** 20:25 21:1 22:3,6 30:3 34:19 36:5 49:23 54:3,5 61:18 62:24

**chain** 96:12

**chair** 3:15 4:7,8 5:22,23 6:3,10,12, 14,16,18,20,22,25 7:2,4,6,8,10,12, 18,19,23 8:1,7,9,13,19 9:6,12,23 10:5,10,15,19 12:18,21 20:13 22:13 24:7,8 28:9,14,24 30:14 31:10,14,15 32:10,25 33:10,15,22 34:16,23 37:9, 12,14 38:2,24 39:3,19 41:3,5 42:19 43:13 44:12 46:1 47:20 48:22 50:13 52:13 54:6,11,21 55:6,9,11,17,20,25 56:7,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,25 57:2,4 58:2,8,12,16 62:7 66:15,16 71:9,19, 23 72:2,6,10,15,23 73:3 74:4 75:3, 11 80:9,10 82:12 83:11 87:14 88:7, 10,20,22 89:15 92:9,15,21 93:2 94:10,14,23 95:4 97:7,13,16,19,24

**chair's** 62:5

**Chairman** 44:14 72:8 73:5 89:2 92:25

**CHAIRPERSON** 3:3

**change** 8:13 38:22 60:6 63:17 66:4 69:15 74:17 83:16,18

changed 38:10 39:10,15 61:11

Index: built..closed

changing 47:5 65:16

chapter 23:7

check 80:25

**chief** 4:15 39:20 41:4 58:20 72:25 75:7 82:14 84:22.24 85:10 92:10

**chiefs** 85:9,11

**chime** 92:22

**chip** 24:21

**chorus** 8:6 72:5 97:23

**circuit** 16:2,12,14,15,17,18,19,23, 24,25 17:3,6 19:6 21:7,13,21 22:1,5 23:12,13,16 25:12 26:5,7,18 29:18, 21,22 30:12,23 39:8,9,12,13,18 42:25 43:1,4,10,24 44:17 45:5 55:3

**citation** 11:3 13:7,8 15:3,7 19:19 22:10 78:15,17 95:20

**citations** 11:12 12:20 15:9 55:14,18 77:15,19,22 78:16,19,24 79:19,23 80:2 82:22 83:9,14

**cites** 14:4

city 21:16 24:24 50:19 51:8

**civil** 14:6

clarification 22:19

clarified 42:2

**clarify** 24:11 25:9 37:24 51:16

clarifying 73:20

**clear** 13:12 20:9 21:4 26:10 30:9 34:22 37:24 40:24 42:23 53:16

clearance 35:7

clearer 18:3

**climb** 79:9

climbing 5:14

**climbs** 83:13

**clock** 70:2

close 41:21 91:22 94:6 97:14,20

**closed** 16:2,12,14,15,18,25 17:3 21:7,13,21 22:1 25:12 26:5,7,18 29:18,21,22 30:12 39:8,12,13,18 42:25 43:1,3,4,10,23 44:16 45:5 55:3



coax 26:19

Index: closes..conveyed closes 32:1 completely 11:14 18:22 45:19 38:20 43:21 closest 23:12.15 30:21 32:4 38:14 complex 65:3

complexity 63:9,11 69:8

compliance 11:14 65:2 90:3 95:10, **code** 22:21,23 23:8,10,11 24:10,12 13,18 96:3,21 25:8 59:10,17 68:8 74:8,18,24 83:24 89:21,22 90:10,20 91:16,17 92:7,8

compliant 95:18 96:5 **codes** 13:6 92:3 complicated 19:25 codified 24:19 49:2 50:1 complications 86:10

codifying 37:21 **computer** 18:25 19:1,2,6,7,8,12 coherent 19:14 42:20 61:14

**cold** 5:9 computers 35:15 **collect** 21:19 **concern** 66:21 90:22 com- 38:20 concerned 82:3 84:11 commend 82:8 concerns 22:12 66:14 93:10

comment 23:19 37:15 41:12 42:1 conclude 47:23 49:12,15,16 74:1 78:20 80:1 94:25 concluded 98:1 97:10,12 conclusion 12:8 comments 4:3 23:17 28:8 41:6,7 47:23 48:25 54:21 66:14 92:1 94:21 conclusions 12:9 commercial 90:20.22 **concur** 28:15

committed 82:11 83:1 condition 42:14 69:15 committee 74:20 conditions 5:11 common 38:15 84:17 **conduct** 11:18 14:12 commonly 52:24 conducts 11:20 communicate 63:3 65:4 91:24 configuration 38:21

communicating 60:25 69:11 93:3 configuration's 38:19

community 5:16

communications 36:2

companies 13:13 35:4,13,17 36:5,6 37:20

company 9:24 10:1,21 24:18 28:17, 19,20,21 33:13,14,17,20 35:18 36:8, 10.12.14.21 50:17 51:1 57:8

**compared** 76:9,12 compelled 71:16 complaint 96:10,11,20 complaints 61:24 96:8,15 complete 9:18 59:25 60:4 68:8

completed 82:8

consistently 59:5 constituted 25:22 constraints 81:15

construction 9:10,13,14,16 81:23

contact 38:17 65:6 content 22:23 context 42:25

continue 47:8 75:20 79:9

continued 9:8

contract 60:10,11,14,15,17 62:1 66:8,10,11

contractor 14:4,14 20:25 22:4 27:22 30:6 33:12,20 49:4,11,13,20, 22 50:2,19 51:10 52:1 76:20 77:15 95:17

contractors 30:3.6 48:17 50:8 53:18 86:7

contracts 71:7 contrast 18:9

**control** 17:7,21,23 18:17 21:22,23 23:24 26:21,25 29:10,13,14,15 30:8 31:4.22 34:8.9 35:20 38:9.13.17.20 40:2,3,11 41:13,20,22,25 42:3 52:19 68:15 82:1

controllable 15:18

**controlled** 16:4.8 17:9.11.13.24 18:11 19:11 21:8,9,11,14 22:1 23:11,16 26:13 29:8,24 30:11,23 31:9 32:2 41:17 43:7 53:1

controlling 49:15

controls 23:13 26:9,12,15,21 27:1, 25 29:20 35:19 41:9 43:2

convene 74:19

conversation 49:25 53:3 84:10

conversations 88:25 converting 24:21 converts 27:4 convey 48:1

conveyed 24:15 45:2

confusion 53:17 conjunction 82:24 **connected** 41:18 53:7,10 connection 45:16 46:8 50:18 51:7, 20 54:13,14,15,19,20 consideration 18:19 63:17 **considered** 16:5 29:10,14,25 34:9

configure 51:23

conflicting 53:14

conformance 61:23

conflict 55:1,2

conforms 65:7

convoluted 53:14

cooker 69:21

copies 57:20

**cord** 37:3 53:7,8,9 54:15,18

corporation 14:10

**correct** 51:14,15 66:20 73:1 80:22

95:3

corrected 76:14

correcting 60:20

correction 90:9

corrections 78:2

counsel 7:2 20:19 21:6 42:12,13

57:8

**count** 77:19

**couple** 13:5 41:6 65:12 73:12 74:13

82:25 85:16 95:9

**court** 3:25 4:2 10:6,16 25:2 57:22

95:5

cover 13:13 22:5 66:9 79:23 80:12

81:16

covered 68:6

**Cox** 3:6 6:10,11 24:7,8 28:15 29:18 31:11,13 33:3,9,10,11,16 37:13,14

41:3,4 44:13,14 48:23,24 54:25 55:10,11 56:5,6 66:15,16 67:1,4,13,

17,21 68:1 80:9,10 81:4,11 88:21,22

89:14

Cox's 93:6

CR-101 73:13,22

CR-102 73:25

crack 67:1 93:9

craft 42:6

create 61:12 66:22 88:16,18

created 25:2

creating 62:15 66:19 88:5

crew 67:25

critical 78:25

cross-connected 53:8

**crowd** 56:2

crux 26:3

crystal 20:9

custom 48:8

customer 36:1 37:3 76:17 86:6

customers 77:1 79:16,18 83:19

cyber 66:18

D

dad 4:18

darn 32:16

**data** 21:18,24 24:16,22 27:5 35:2,21, 22 45:3,17 46:24 58:23 75:15,18

78:14

date 57:14 60:2

**dated** 79:6

**Davis** 3:7 6:23,24 56:16,17

day 5:14 36:10 47:5 77:25

days 26:18 58:21 78:6,8 95:19,24

de 11:23

deadlines 73:20

deal 4:25

dealing 96:8

debacle 51:13

debated 15:25

**December** 73:16 78:18

decide 84:15 92:16

decides 93:9

**decision** 11:3,8,21 12:14 13:5

55:12,18 57:7,10,16

decisions 58:25

decrease 76:10,19,23 77:3 79:8

81:20 82:15

defendant 43:5

defense 40:10

define 19:14 23:12 38:9 93:24

defined 17:12 26:14 30:22 43:16,17,

18 48:3 49:20

defining 25:14 29:6,7 50:5

definition 15:12.24 17:4.10 18:21

23:4,10,12,15,21,24 24:19 29:9 30:17,21 31:20 32:4,5,7 34:9 35:9

Index: convoluted..dialing

38:14 39:11,12,18,25 40:3,11,24 43:16 44:10,11 48:3 54:12

**definitions** 17:13 23:5,9 38:8 40:1, 14 42:11 49:2

delayed 78:16 79:25

delivery 41:23

demarc 36:20

demodulated 47:2

demodulating 47:17

**department** 4:14 9:1,16,25 10:3 11:5,10,12,15,16,17 12:16 13:22

14:14 15:5 16:7 17:5,8,19 20:17,22

22:9 26:4 37:19 40:1 42:2,12 43:6

49:3 53:18 57:7 58:19 60:24 61:22

62:14 63:21 64:7 66:20 74:10 77:20 79:1 84:14.18.21 85:9 90:12.14

79:1 84:14,18,21 85:9 90:12,14 91:23 94:16 95:11,15 96:8,10,16

department's 13:23 18:20 19:14

71:17 96:1

departments 91:10

deployed 52:23

designing 43:20

desire 38:12

desk 78:17

detectors 90:6

determination 89:12

determine 12:9 52:11

detriment 89:3

deviating 53:19

**device** 23:14 24:23,24 27:4,6,13 30:18,25 31:8 35:6 37:4 44:21

45:20,24 46:8,15,21,23 47:14 51:22

54:17.18

**devices** 24:18,20 27:2 28:1 30:13 35:5 37:25 38:20 47:10 49:11 53:1

91:13

dialed 37:6

dialing 35:11,12



**Dietrich** 79:22 82:23 draft 66:9 73:22 94:6 10,11,13 27:19 30:3 31:23 42:7 58:3,20 59:9,11 60:21 73:10 75:23. **differ** 29:17 drastically 39:10 24 76:3 77:2,12 78:2,18,19 79:12 84:21 85:6 89:25 90:5 91:19 92:10 difference 78:7 draws 48:6 93:14 94:16 96:1,19 differentiated 16:18 drills 60:18 electrically 24:15 digital 35:3,12 46:19 drive 86:10 87:24 electrician 4:19 24:4 61:18 63:12, diligence 95:11 driver 41:23 93:5 21 **direct** 79:15 **driving** 96:12 electrician's 15:1 direction 44:18 45:4 71:20 **due** 3:20 10:23 75:17 76:14 78:17 **electricians** 30:3 59:5 62:15 71:15 79:9.20 95:11 directive 79:21 electrification 87:19 dumb 36:15 directly 18:12 27:9 70:18 89:12 **electronic** 46:15 78:6 **duties** 82:25 director 86:13 **electronically** 45:2,22 78:9 dyslexia 64:25 **disagree** 49:1,25 50:3 elephant 10:8 disagreeing 37:11 Ε **else's** 31:19 disconnection 78:3 employee 33:18 50:20 **E-N-D** 10:17 discretion 12:7 employees 28:20 50:17 51:2 EALCG00377 9:20 11:4 13:7 discussed 28:23 80:8 Employer 9:3,7 EALCG00378 9:20 11:4 discussing 34:7 46:6 encountered 63:18 EALCG00379 9:21 11:4 discussion 8:3 32:24 39:8 55:21,25 encourage 5:16 72:3 94:8 97:20 EALCG00391 9:21 11:4 encryption 67:5 dislodged 15:21 earlier 60:9 84:10 encryptions 67:9 dismissed 15:8 early 82:17 85:4 **end** 37:25 45:9,24 46:8,17,21 47:17 distance 21:17 **easier** 70:19 49:10 50:18,20 51:20 54:14,16,17 67:18 75:17 80:4 86:14 divided 48:13 **EC** 95:12,16,17 96:5,20 divides 48:12 endpoints 28:2.6 **EC's** 96:2 **Endsley** 10:13,17 12:17,20,23 divisions 87:5 **ECORE** 77:21,23 82:16 83:13 96:8,9 22:16 23:19 divulge 61:21 edition 74:8 endurance 5:6 **DNS** 35:16 **education** 60:4 70:8 energize 41:22 49:18 **Docket** 10:21 effect 23:20 60:8 73:7,11 energizing 41:22 documents 79:11 efficiency 86:6,9 **energy** 13:15 49:22 91:13 dog 10:18 efficient 86:12 enforce 30:8 92:6 94:5 **Don** 6:20 effort 3:18 enforcement 83:24 door 41:18,19,23 49:17,18,19 **EIA/TIA** 43:18 44:11 engage 14:11 doorway 41:17 ELCYG00377 19:21 engaged 81:22 double 47:8 **Electric** 59:10 74:8 engineering 73:11 doubles 47:8

**electrical** 3:1,4,22 4:14 6:4 7:16

11:8,9,11,13,14,22 12:11 13:16

14:2,24,25 19:23 22:14,21,23 23:8,



doubt 39:9

**enjoy** 5:19

enlighten 4:8

Index: Dietrich..enlighten

**enormous** 88:4 **ensure** 57:20 82:5 **ensuring** 91:15

entertain 7:19 55:9 97:13

entertains 71:19

entire 11:25 69:6 70:5 84:21

entitled 16:2

**enter** 85:5

entity 11:15 14:10 91:20

entrance 41:17

**Entrekin** 3:7 7:8,9 32:11,12,13 55:22,23,24 56:25 57:1

environmental 5:7 envisioned 47:12 equally 80:25

**equipment** 16:5 25:19,20,22 26:9, 12 29:25 31:23 40:7,12,18 43:3 47:25 48:10 53:7,8,10 93:14

**equivalent** 23:14 30:25 35:3,12 37:6 46:19 93:17,25 94:4

EROJE03683 9:3 EROJE03684 9:4 ESICO01061 8:17

essentially 60:11 62:23 63:19

establish 12:14

established 17:17 20:19

establishes 23:23 establishing 17:15

**event** 15:21

**evidence** 12:1,2,3,25 16:17 22:19 23:1,20 24:1 25:6,7 31:1,5

evidently 61:17

**EVITP** 93:15,16 94:3

**exam** 58:21 59:10,12,13,19 60:1,5, 10,22 61:12 62:11,17,19,22 63:2,25 64:4,21,24 65:1,6,11,17,21,23 66:1, 5,6 68:8,14,16,17,20,23 69:2,5,6,12, 16,25 70:5,9,13,21,22,23 71:6 93:6

examination 63:21 68:7 71:14

examinations 63:12,13

exams 69:20 71:6

**exception** 16:4 29:17 61:4 80:3

exceptions 16:3 30:4 83:3

**exchange** 51:5 63:5 **excuse** 5:1 25:19 88:23

**EXCUSE** 3.1 23.19 00

executive 3:20 exist 52:18 existing 91:1

exists 70:3 90:19

**expanding** 85:20 87:15

**expect** 75:20

expected 6:22 57:14

**expecting** 75:24 **expedite** 8:14 96:4

**expenditures** 75:21 76:5,7,8

**experience** 60:4 73:21 88:17

experienced 88:16

**expert** 42:16 **experts** 42:6,8

**expires** 63:24 64:5

**explain** 12:18 42:13

**explained** 28:23 32:15 39:23

explaining 62:8 71:11 89:2

explicitly 17:10 exposed 67:10 exposure 59:17 extend 12:6

**extended** 12:7 36:14,20

extensive 88:8 external 52:21 extremely 13:12

F

**fact** 14:9 18:4,5 24:25 25:13 40:16

44:17,18 49:6 51:12 60:23 69:19 89:25

fact-based 59:15

**facts** 12:10,25 13:1 20:19 23:1

83:25

**fail** 59:12 65:20,21 68:14,18 69:7

**failed** 68:20

failing 20:11 77:16

fairly 93:21

fall 15:3 22:2 39:14 85:4,21

falls 15:13 30:7 familiar 16:20 family 4:18 fashion 40:8 faster 82:23 father 4:17

**favor** 8:4 72:3 89:10 97:20 **FCC** 36:13 37:2 51:5,8 54:12

February 78:16

fee 70:15 73:7,8 76:15 feed 18:4,8,24 19:6,7,13 feedback 88:25 89:12 97:6

feel 66:1 95:10.25

feels 71:15 fees 73:9 fiber 47:1

**field** 42:6 77:21,23 81:14 82:9 83:13, 18 84:22 85:2,24 86:1,8,10 91:24

fields 62:24 file 57:14 64:6 filed 51:4 73:25 filing 93:21 fill 62:23 final 9:18

**find** 20:22 23:8,10 34:1

**finding** 12:9,10 **fine** 23:2 46:12,14



Transcript of Proceedings - July 31, 2025 Index: finish..hearing finish 70:1 growth 81:16 85:16 G

fire 90:20 91:10.17 firefighter 91:12 **firm** 11:7 14:10 61:7,21

**firm's** 14:3 first-time 59:4

**fiscal** 75:16,17 76:8,11,15

**fitness** 5:4,5 fixed 25:7 27:4 floodlights 52:23

floor 12:18,22 20:14 58:13 93:2

flows 19:19 **FLR** 86:23

focused 77:15 78:11,13

folks 59:20 67:17 89:19,20 90:23

follow 15:19 17:16 18:12,13 21:4

forgive 80:13 **form** 57:17 62:15,23

formal 59:17 96:10,20

forms 24:14 44:25

**forward** 57:19 71:11 86:1,5 97:4

found 21:12 23:15 40:3 70:5,6 87:8

93:4

frame 68:9 77:6,13 79:13 frankly 12:25 13:11 96:2

Friday 86:4 **FSPS** 85:2 full 58:6,9

fully 46:4 59:22

function 16:10

functions 79:1

fund 75:23,24 76:1,3,5 85:1 88:24

funding 95:14 funnel 59:24

future 60:7 82:2 84:12

gaining 65:9

**game** 5:15 gap 91:4

gaps 53:3

gave 28:16,19 37:4 **GC** 95:12,17 96:5,20

GC's 96:2

general 3:8 5:4 13:16 14:25 20:16 95:17

generally 21:16 generate 93:5 generated 27:12

generating 24:21 45:10,21 61:15

generation 24:14 44:25

genuinely 19:15 get all 70:10

**give** 5:18 6:6 28:16 55:7 57:6 66:12 84:14,17 87:16 95:5

giving 42:20 49:13

**glitch** 58:23 goal 70:19 78:5 goals 80:13

**good** 3:25 7:12 20:17 63:23 79:3 85:11,12,14 86:19,20 87:4

governor 3:20 79:21 86:13

governs 19:18

**Gray** 3:5 6:25 7:1 56:18,19

great 5:21 61:17 79:8 80:14 81:11

greater 81:17 greatly 79:24 Green 8:17,20,21

Greg 39:22 49:14 53:23 92:5

**Gregory** 3:5 7:4 22:17 30:15 33:24 38:3 43:13 52:13 56:20 74:6 89:17

**group** 9:3,7 48:17 86:19,20 93:4

guaranteed 61:17

guardrails 94:1

guess 59:15 61:25 95:9

quidelines 21:4

guy 84:13

guys 84:12 86:19 87:12

Н

half 4:22

hall 9:10,12,13,16 21:16 24:25

hallway 90:8 **halted** 79:25

Hammer 8:17,20,21

hand 31:11,19 46:2 54:7 55:22

92:23

**hands** 55:7 **handy** 43:19

happen 47:12 61:10 64:18 happened 15:16 64:3 96:14

happening 27:7,9

happy 18:1 53:20 92:15 97:5

hard 60:25 70:10 82:25 hardware 25:21,23

harvesting 65:20

hash 66:23 67:4

**hashes** 67:9 havoc 61:10 hazard 88:1

hazardous 91:5 92:5

head's 66:13 head-in 43:23 **health** 5:5,18

hear 11:11 12:15 42:9 84:8

heard 66:18 84:8 89:7

hearing 8:4,9,23 9:15,16 10:22 11:17,19,20 12:3,8,13 13:2,3 23:21



Index: Hearings..installer

24:1 56:1 72:3 74:3 94:17 97:13,20

**Hearings** 11:2,18

held 3:2 10:22 21:18,19

helping 24:11

helps 37:24 95:14

hesitated 92:18

hesitation 89:4

**Hey** 68:2 90:14

**hiding** 93:23

higher 59:19

highlight 52:16

highs 5:8

**hinges** 15:10

hints 87:16

hire 79:22 86:3

hired 86:2

hires 33:18

hiring 19:22 85:18

historical 72:17 85:13

historically 44:10 61:2

holding 81:14 82:3

home 4:16 91:1

Homeowners 76:21

Homes 8:17,20,21

honor 4:17 10:13

hope 57:16 97:2

**hot** 5:9

**hours** 73:21 77:6,7,9 80:19 86:18,24

87:1

house 4:21 91:20

hovers 59:6

huge 95:25

hut 18:8

**HVAC** 42:8

I

I-R-O-N-S 95:8

i.e. 49:16

**IBEW** 89:8

**ID** 61:12.15 62:22 63:2 66:23 67:5

93:6

ideas 87:16 88:10

identified 63:19 70:17

identifier 61:4

identify 4:1

**IDS** 66:19 67:8

ignoring 26:4

image 18:15 19:11

images 24:20 27:4

immense 47:3

impact 5:4,13 74:10

impactful 13:23

impacts 5:6

implement 66:8,11 93:18

implemented 59:22 85:15 93:13

**important** 79:2 83:15

**inbound** 79:15

**inches** 36:18

incident 96:14

include 24:13 44:23 79:15,16 80:20

81:6

included 26:6 39:10 41:8 80:21.24

includes 25:25 26:1 75:15

including 24:16 45:3

incoming 79:12

incomplete 75:18

incorporated 8:20 9:7 10:21 22:25

38:22

incorrect 12:15

increase 73:7.8 76:13,14,15,25

80:16 81:7,12,18 82:7 85:22,25

increasing 66:2

independently 11:15

indicating 8:4

indicator 80:18

individual 18:9,12 19:16 81:3 90:6

individuals 13:13 15:20 17:1 19:23

22:7 36:7 79:2 82:21 88:9

Industries 10:4 11:5 20:17 49:4

58:19 91:23

Industries's 70:19

industry 26:16,17 45:14 64:3 81:23

inference 31:3

influx 87:19

information 24:14,21 25:4 27:12

44:25 45:11 46:17 58:25 61:6 63:4.5

71:14 87:6 93:20

infrared 52:21,22

initial 11:1 22:10 24:1

initials 93:7

inordinate 69:10

input 30:14 33:1 72:17 75:5 92:11

insert 93:22

inside 30:20 31:1 41:20

inspection 36:23 73:9 76:24 77:25

81:1 85:20 86:11 87:24 91:24

**inspections** 53:6 77:5,8,10,12 80:15,17,18,19,20,25 81:6,21 82:8

85:21,24 86:10,14,17 87:23

**inspector** 3:4 16:16 20:6 21:12 24:5

34:18 58:20 77:25

**inspectors** 84:23 85:20 86:20 96:9

install 16:2 21:5 22:7 29:21 36:17 49:7,9,10 54:2 89:24 93:13

installation 15:14 20:2 25:18 36:9

53:6

installations 12:11 14:2 20:7

installed 15:16,23 18:23 20:8,23 21:13,20 24:18 27:2 30:2 31:2

36:24,25 41:15 43:3,11 45:9 54:5

installer 33:7



installers 33:6,21

**installing** 14:12 22:5 27:24 45:20

90:23

installs 48:8

**instance** 13:17 16:19 40:19 64:2

69:2

instantaneous 62:22

instruct 61:20

integrated 26:14 30:20

integration 26:8,11 29:19 41:9 43:2

integrity 69:3

intended 13:13 14:16 15:7

**intent** 82:19

intention 27:20

interconnection 29:22 43:12

interested 10:24 87:13

interesting 81:13

interface 51:8

interfere 18:7

International 89:22 90:20

internet 19:13 35:20 45:12 46:16

interpretation 13:5 19:15

interrupting 92:19

interval 65:18 66:3,6 68:18,22

interviews 86:3

**intrusion** 16:5 18:2 19:2,10 21:11 29:25 30:1 34:21 40:4,5,19 48:6

52:1

intrusive 18:3,12 19:15

involved 21:10 88:1

**IP** 35:1,3,5,6,7,25 37:5 46:11,18

**IRC** 91:14

**Irons** 95:1,3,7,8

irrelevant 43:5

irrigation 13:18

**Isaacson** 3:7 6:18,19 56:14,15

71:25 72:2

**issue** 15:9,13 20:21 49:5 64:12,25

76:14 77:18 95:10,13,18,19,24 96:3, 7.21

issued 11:1,5 77:20,23 78:15 83:16

**issues** 11:12,17,20 63:14 81:15

95:9,12 97:1

item 4:5 7:18 9:12 58:8 62:4 72:11,

15,23 75:6 79:6 94:24

items 71:8 79:4,7,23

Ivan 3:7 6:18 56:14

J

**J-O-S-E-P-H** 95:8

**jack** 3:6 6:14 36:15,19 39:1,5 46:22 54:16,17,18,23 56:10

54.10,17,16,25 50.10

**James** 3:6 7:6 56:22 68:2 82:14

91:7

**Jason** 3:3 92:18

**Jenkins** 3:4,15 4:7,8 5:22,23 6:3,10, 12,14,16,18,20,22,25 7:2,4,6,8,10, 12,18,23 8:1,7,9,13,19 9:6,12,23 10:5,10,15,19 12:18,21 20:13 22:13 24:7,8 28:9,14,24 30:14 31:10,14,15 32:10,25 33:10,15,22 34:23 37:9,12,

14 38:2,24 39:3,19 41:3,4,5 42:19 43:13 44:12,14 46:1 47:20 48:22 50:13 52:13 54:6,11,21 55:6,11,17,

20,25 56:7,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,25 57:2,4 58:2,8,12,16,17 62:7 66:15, 16 71:9,19,23 72:2,6,9,10,15,23

73:3,5 74:4 75:3,11 80:9,10 82:12 83:11 87:14,15 88:7,10,20,22 89:2, 15 92:9,15,21 93:1,2 94:10,14,23

95:4 97:7,16,19,24

Jenn 79:22 82:23 83:8

**job** 86:8 87:4 96:24

iobs 4:24

**Joe** 9:10,12,13,16

**Johnson** 3:6 7:4,5 22:17,18 23:3 30:15,16 31:20 33:24,25 38:3,4 43:14,15 52:14,15 56:20,21 74:6,7

89:17,18 90:19 91:25

Johnson's 41:12 49:15

joining 3:18

Joseph 95:1,8

journey 59:4

journeyman 42:16

judge 11:25

**July** 3:2,16 10:24 59:24,25 73:8,11

Index: installers..L&i's

75:14,16 76:16 77:5 78:15 97:14,21

**jump** 46:2 55:15 91:6

jumper 53:8

**June** 11:6 75:17,18,21 76:3,17 77:5

79:14

jurisdiction 90:9,17

jurisdictions 91:18,19

K

keeping 91:17

Kemp 20:24 21:17

**Kerry** 3:6 6:10 24:7 28:15,19,22 30:18 31:11 32:13,15,17,20 33:3,10,

11 37:13 38:5 41:3 44:13 46:5 48:13,23 55:10 56:5 66:15 80:9

88:21 89:6

Kevin 3:7 6:23 56:16

key 77:4

keypad 37:1

**kids** 5:15

kills 62:8

**kind** 32:20 59:23 60:6,21 62:8 63:16, 19 65:11 66:9 69:20 70:10 71:1,10

74:12 84:8 88:25 93:22

kinds 87:19

knew 69:20 89:9

**Knottingham** 3:7 6:14,15 39:6,7 40:13 44:17 54:23,24 56:10,11

Knottingham's 39:1

knowledge 38:15 60:24 63:6 84:18

85:14

L

L&i 62:17 70:20 74:9 96:8

**L&i's** 89:23



script of Proceedings - July 31, 2025 Index: labor..March

**labor** 10:3 11:5 20:17 37:19 49:3 58:19 70:18 91:23

Laboratories 47:9

labs 73:10 84:3,4

lack 80:13 ladder 5:14 ladies 86:20

laid 19:5

lands 27:6 64:11

**language** 13:12,25 14:5 15:6 18:2 64:25 66:9 73:22 94:7

large 90:8 larger 21:20

**Larry** 58:6,10,18 62:11 66:17 68:1,2 72:16 92:22,24 94:19

lasts 68:13

late 58:20 85:4 86:25

**Lathrop** 4:6,7,13

law 11:25 23:1 24:9,11,12 25:21 27:16 28:1 30:8 37:24 42:10 44:20 45:23 47:6 48:1,12,13 50:1 61:1,2 90:5 93:12,18,22,24 94:4

**laws** 48:16 51:3 59:9,18,21 61:11,23 73:23

layoffs 82:1 lead 23:24 leaner 71:1

learning 59:16

leave 72:13 85:13

**Lee** 3:6 6:12,13 56:8,9 97:18

left 26:22 37:1 50:19 54:8 64:3 86:16

legal 11:9 55:13 legislation 84:5 legislators 89:13

legislature 11:10 89:1,8,11

length 66:6 lens 26:24 **letter** 89:1,9,10

letting 92:16 94:2

**level** 5:5 35:8 59:4 83:17 86:6,9

levels 81:25

license 11:13 13:11,15,16,18 14:4, 14,18,20,22 15:1,12 16:1 20:23 22:2 27:24 28:22 33:6,7 50:6 54:1 73:9

77:2

licensed 21:5 30:3,6 36:4 42:16,24

52:1 54:3,5

licenses 33:2

licensing 77:16 78:12,19,24 79:5,

11,13

**life** 4:19 64:3

lifestyle 5:18

lift 94:6

light 24:20 27:4 47:1

**lights** 52:21,22

limit 5:11

**limitation** 5:1 65:16

limitations 5:2,20

limited 13:15 16:25 24:13 25:17,18

44:25 49:22

limiting 65:10

limits 21:2 lines 79:15 link 44:6

list 4:5 56:3 64:11

listed 90:5

**listening** 5:17 47:23

literally 13:24 18:8

live 4:17 95:20

living 90:8

**load** 68:10 74:14

**local** 35:25 51:5

location 18:15 19:12 21:17 89:22

90:2,4,10 92:5

locations 79:17 91:5

lock 49:18,19

long 26:16 35:7 36:21 83:22

long-haul 35:24 long-term 27:14

longer 64:22,23 84:23 87:9

looked 23:4 34:7

**Lorin** 4:6.13

**lot** 16:24 19:3 29:3 30:9,10 32:13,15 34:13 37:17 39:23 40:17 47:24 53:24 60:8,16,19 64:23 69:8 70:16 78:22 89:19,20,24

low 26:20

low-voltage 26:9,12,15,20 27:1,24

41:9,22,25 43:2

lower 29:19

**lows** 5:8

Lumen 35:19 36:10

М

**made** 8:24 48:25 49:13 57:7 77:1 78:7 79:8,16 84:20 89:11

maq 49:18

maintained 36:4

maintaining 14:13 69:16

maintenance 14:2 25:18

major 74:9,11

**make** 6:5 13:25 17:3 19:3,9,10 30:10 34:21 38:7 41:6 50:16 53:16 55:12 61:7,8 63:7 65:17 71:16,21 74:20 78:20,23 80:1 85:15 90:2,5,13 92:1 93:18

makes 17:10 18:2

**making** 49:12,13 58:25 70:19,20

83:15

**man** 4:18

manage 83:24

manner 96:6

manually 64:8

March 11:2



master 68:8

math 47:3

**matter** 10:20,21 12:24,25 14:19 16:9 40:21 47:14 52:9,10 57:11 62:15 95:12

MD5 67:4

meaning 59:22 68:16

**means** 16:6,8 17:9 21:18 22:3,5 42:3 60:24

meant 22:18

measures 77:4

mechanical 49:19

mechanism 90:24

medical 5:11

**Medications** 5:12

medium 27:14

meet 91:15

**meeting** 3:1,15,19 5:21 7:16,20 8:15,22,25 9:8 57:13,18 58:3 67:25 73:14 76:2 94:8,11 97:14,21,25

meetings 80:12

meets 39:17

member 6:10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17, 18,19,20,23,24,25 7:1,4,5,6,7,8,9, 10,11,22,25 8:1 12:5 22:17,18 23:3 24:7.8 28:12.13.15.24 30:15.16 31:11,13 32:11,12 33:4,9,10,11,16, 24,25 34:24,25 37:10,13,14 38:3,4 39:5,7 41:3,4,12 43:13,15 44:13,14, 17 45:13 46:2,4 48:23,24 49:14 50:13,14,25 51:19,22 52:4,8,13,15 54:9,12,23,24,25 55:10,11,19,22,23 56:5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17, 18,19,20,21,22,24,25 57:1,2,3 58:17 64:11 66:15,16 67:1,4,13,17,21 68:1,2,5 69:18 70:25 71:21,25 72:2 74:6,7 80:9,10 81:4,11 82:14 83:21, 23 88:21,22 89:14,17,18 90:19 91:6, 9.25 93:6 97:15.18

**members** 28:10 29:4 32:21 56:3 59:7 72:8 82:13

mention 34:15 84:7 92:4

**mentioned** 21:6 33:4 49:14,17 52:25 73:13 82:15 87:15 89:18

91:14

mere 51:12

**merits** 12:5

message 4:5,11

messages 4:9

met 63:23

mics 3:24 6:4 56:3

**Mike** 3:5 6:16 32:17 33:4 34:24 38:5 46:3 50:13 51:15 54:7 56:12

miles 86:9 87:24

million 75:24 76:5 88:24

mind 11:23 50:16 77:17

mine 31:19 39:2

minimum 49:21

minor 74:12

minute 81:16

minutes 12:4 95:8

misapplying 31:21

misquote 14:7

misquotes 13:24

missed 26:4,8 68:6 90:24

missing 92:1

mistaken 42:17

misunderstanding 33:2

misunderstood 42:4

modification 73:17.19

modulated 47:1

modulating 47:15

Molesworth 3:5 4:15 6:8,9 22:24 28:25 29:1 31:16,17,18 34:5 38:25 39:1,20,21 42:21,22 44:16 47:21,22 50:3,22 51:15,21,24 52:7,11 53:22, 23 58:20 73:5 75:7,9,11 80:23 81:10,12 82:14,20 83:12,22 84:1 87:18 88:8,13 89:6 90:4 91:8 92:4, 14,18 94:18

Molesworth's 37:15 41:6

moment 16:23

money 64:15 70:22

**monitoring** 16:2 25:12 26:6,7 29:19 30:13 41:8,24 43:1 49:18

Index: master..NEC

monthly 76:4,7,11

months 65:22 70:8 74:23 81:20

86:16 88:11,13 96:12

Moore's 47:6

morning 4:9 20:17

**motion** 7:19,22,23 8:9 18:10 55:9, 12,17,20 56:1,11,13,15 57:5 71:10, 16,20,22,23 72:6 74:13 97:14,15,16, 19,24

**motor** 26:21 30:19,20 31:4,25 32:1

motorized 26:24

motors 26:24 31:23

**mount** 52:2

mounted 50:23 51:9,10

**move** 21:22 71:11 77:4 83:6 84:2

85:5 94:23

moved 15:21 74:14,15

**moving** 6:3 7:18 9:23 14:7 72:23

75:6 82:22 83:5 88:15 97:4

muddy 44:15 45:7

multimonth 88:12

multiple 44:1

multipoint 43:21

**mute** 3:23

muted 28:25 58:12

muttering 4:20

Ν

**N-A-T** 10:7

naiveness 33:22

**Natalie** 10:2 20:14,15 34:2

**national** 22:21,23 23:8,9,11 59:10 74:8 93:15

nature 5:12 17:14

**NBR** 25:2

**NEC** 30:17,22 31:23 32:3,7 34:4

43:17 73:15,19 75:1



necessarily 32:3 38:18 42:11

**NECS** 23:8 **needed** 34:17

**network** 25:3 27:7 35:2,23,24,25 36:14,20 37:5 45:16,17 47:10,16

54:14

networks 35:24 36:2,3

nice 94:11

nine-year 60:14,15

**NMB** 73:18

no-fee 60:11

**Nord** 3:5 6:16,17 7:22 28:12,13,15 33:4 34:24,25 37:10 45:13 46:3,4 50:13,14,25 51:19,22 52:4,8 54:7,9, 12 55:19 56:12,13 71:21 97:15

normal 14:6 Northwest 5:8

**note** 17:11 57:22 78:14

**notes** 32:13,15 **nothing's** 17:4

**notice** 10:23 84:14,15 95:16,24

noticed 4:16,21 notices 95:15 notification 96:4

notifications 74:23

notified 95:12 notify 64:7

**novo** 11:23

**number** 35:4,12 37:6 46:19 59:7 61:8,9,12,13,14,15,16,20,22 63:1,2 66:22 77:15 80:19 81:20,21 82:8 85:23 87:23 93:5,6

**numbers** 11:4 61:2,3 67:7,11 79:9 80:14 81:1 82:18 93:10

**NVR** 24:25 25:3 27:9 43:23,24 44:9

0

O1 42:7 oath 96:23 objection 29:6

observing 38:18

**obtain** 62:21

**October** 9:8 76:2

Octoberish 74:2

**odd** 18:21

**off-site** 17:2 18:5,6 19:2 21:18 29:12,15 34:8 40:2,20

offer 14:11 17:19 96:24

offering 14:1

offhand 43:19 44:11

office 11:2,18 36:1,25 41:20 57:20

79:17 96:1

officer 96:21

official 91:9,10

**OJT** 59:23

older 5:2

oldest 79:5

on-site 19:1 21:19 80:18 95:10

on-the-job 59:20 60:1

onboard 26:21

one's 19:24

one-year 63:25 65:18 69:22 70:9

**online** 16:21 34:8,9 62:23 64:6,9,10 76:19,20,21,24 77:2

**open** 16:17,19,23,24 17:6 22:5,13 62:20 64:6 73:25

**opened** 21:16

operate 30:21

operating 76:5,7,8 96:24

opinion 8:24 39:5,15 42:3,15 55:1

opportunity 5:19

opposed 8:7 57:4 71:18 72:6 97:24

optically 24:15 45:3

**order** 3:16,21 8:13,20,23,24 9:15,18 11:1,21 22:10 34:20 42:20 57:13,17 58:4,15 60:5 66:11 93:13,17

**orders** 57:14,15

ordinary 15:22 17:13

organized 37:19

original 27:18

outbound 79:15

outlets 20:4.8

overcoming 5:21

overseeing 91:17

overturned 87:4

owner 51:25

Р

Pacific 5:8

packet 34:4

packets 27:5

**pages** 78:9

**pan** 15:19 17:15 26:22 30:18 31:3

38:16

panning 26:23 30:10

parameters 60:16 65:19

pardon 42:1

park 15:17 18:13 19:17 20:24 24:20

parrot 93:22

**part** 3:22 15:2,14 16:1 22:19,20 25:6 29:10,15 30:11 34:9 37:2 40:2 42:23 51:4 52:17 53:4,16 54:13 79:2 80:5, 7 81:3 85:5 86:11 90:17

parties 10:24 13:2 26:11 57:14,21

partners 79:17

partnership 14:10

parts 48:15 53:14 88:2

party 11:21 12:4,13

**pass** 59:4,6,13,19 60:7 65:21 68:20 69:5

passage 93:12

passed 68:23 70:6 83:11 84:5

passes 8:9 57:5 72:6 97:24

password 16:13 17:1

past 86:13

patch 36:18 53:8,9 54:15,18

path 46:13 59:20 60:1

pay 70:15 peak 50:18

penalties 11:17 22:11

**people** 27:17,18 36:4 37:10 44:9 54:4,5 59:15 61:8,12,23 63:12,24 64:22,23,24 69:1 70:20 82:3 83:1, 15,16,25 84:7 86:24 88:14,16,17 80:24 04:4 07:24

89:24 91:4 97:3,4

**percent** 32:16 35:19 53:23 59:6 73:8 76:10,13,18,19,20,22,23,24,25 77:1,3,5,7,8,9 78:12,13,14 80:16 81:7 86:14,17,18

percentage 78:11 82:7

Perfect 68:1

perform 5:6 13:13 19:23 21:1 33:19

performance 14:16 77:4 80:18

**performed** 13:18 36:8 77:6,8,10,12,

13

performing 14:1,25

**period** 29:24 63:25 65:17 68:14,21, 25 69:22 70:10 74:1 76:9.12

**permit** 19:25 20:2,10 22:8 36:22 53:25 54:3,4 77:16 78:12 89:25 90:1,15,16 91:2,3

permits 53:5 76:17,20 81:21

permitted 36:13 permitting 91:11

person 4:1 14:10 18:13 63:6 64:14

65:6 68:25 79:18 95:1

personally 43:20

perspective 18:18

pertaining 12:11

**Phase** 85:2

**phone** 16:13 21:16 29:12 39:17

46:11,13 79:13

photographs 25:6

photovoltaic 88:2 91:11

**phrase** 13:20,21 26:2

physical 5:7,18

physically 18:16

picking 4:24

**piece** 12:1

pipeline 59:24

**piping** 94:19

place 3:19 13:3 15:22 48:1,17 65:19

88:11 93:16 96:18

places 44:1

plain 28:7

plan 78:4,7,9 85:20

platform 3:20

**play** 54:15,19,20

playing 5:15 83:18

**PLC** 31:25

plenty 84:14,15

**plug** 28:2 36:15,16,17,25 37:2 45:15 46:9,11,13,22 47:14 51:22 52:2,9 53:7 54:14,16,19,20

plugged 36:16 37:3 46:23 50:20

51:8,9,10,12

plugging 46:8 54:16

plugs 52:10

**point** 18:3 23:25 35:16,17 36:20 41:2 43:15,21,25 45:9,24 46:7,8,17, 18,24,25 47:17 50:18 51:20 54:14 81:25 83:9 84:18 91:22 97:11,12

point/entry 54:14

pointed 54:25

points 35:10 38:1 49:10

**pool** 60:6

**port** 46:9,14,21 52:9

**portion** 15:25 29:13 64:17 68:11

69:25 73:17 79:3

**ports** 47:13

position 11:24 16:7 17:8 52:6 79:20

80:2 93:17

positions 79:19,22 80:2 81:14 82:4,

5,16 83:5 85:18,19

possibility 61:8 88:19

possibly 19:21

posted 18:8 73:13,22,23

posting 74:22

**power** 36:3

precedent 92:8

preference 62:5

preparation 74:16

prepared 59:19 66:25

present 3:8 6:9,11,13,15,17,24 7:1,

3,5,11 53:2,12 75:18

presentation 95:6 97:8

presented 31:5 55:13

presentment 57:12

pressure 69:21

pretty 13:1 20:20 24:2 32:16 75:1

83:3 87:4

prevail 68:23

**previous** 32:18,21 70:22 76:15,21,

23 80:12

previously 26:14 64:14,16 93:12

primarily 75:15 79:9 87:22 91:12

primary 93:5

**print** 74:19

**prior** 4:2 31:19

prioritize 5:17

privilege 4:17 91:16

problem 23:1 31:15 52:12 83:6

Procedure 11:19

procedures 96:25

proceed 62:6,7

proceeding 10:24 14:6 93:19

proceedings 3:10

**process** 63:20 71:1 80:3 83:3 85:18 86:12,23 87:2,9 95:22,23 96:11,18,

20

processed 75:20 76:18 79:5,7

processes 27:5



Index: processing..recent

**processing** 24:14,22 45:1,10,21 78:16 79:11 82:22

professional 45:6,14 66:18

professionalism 96:22

**program** 11:11 27:20 78:18 79:3 81:2,3 84:6,21 85:2,6,11 87:3,5,8,13 89:3 91:20 93:15,25

**prohibit** 14:16,24 15:7

prohibited 15:2

prohibition 44:19

project 76:6 proof 12:14

proper 10:23 54:1 95:24

properly 4:3 55:15

property 4:17 36:12 51:25

proposal 57:14 proposals 74:25

**proposed** 8:20,23 9:15 11:20 12:14 57:15 73:12 74:21

protect 48:1.17 49:11

protecting 30:5 50:10 69:2

protectionism 49:2,4,8,24 50:2

protocol 45:13,18 46:15

protocols 28:5,6

provide 93:18 95:14 97:5

provided 15:12 17:5 25:5 60:9

**provider** 60:19 62:11 **providing** 41:22 71:13

provision 13:12 14:5 27:21

provisions 14:23

**PSI** 58:23

**public** 18:18 35:2 74:1,3 85:3 94:21,

24 97:10,11

publicly 29:9 40:14,15,20 43:8 44:6

**pull** 44:11 88:15,17 90:1 91:1

pulling 89:25

pulses 47:1
pumps 13:17

**punish** 14:16

punishable 14:22

purchased 22:8

purpose 49:3 50:11

purposeful 26:4

purposely 31:12,13

**purposes** 48:10 91:13

pursuant 10:23 11:19 20:1

purview 27:19 71:14

**put** 35:5,6 39:4 47:2 51:7,24 74:12 88:11 89:20 90:21 93:17

puttering 4:20

**putting** 36:22 60:15 74:24 84:25 89:19 91:4 94:1

Q

qualifications 63:23

qualified 90:23

**qualify** 22:1 23:22 43:23 45:5 60:1,5 62:19

qualifying 62:19

quarter 76:18,19,21,22,23,25 77:1,3

**question** 12:24 13:9 15:10,24 16:6, 14 17:7 19:18 23:16 29:3 33:8 48:11 50:14 65:24 66:21,24 67:14,23 68:5 69:4,17,18 80:11,17 83:20 93:6

**questions** 12:6,12 22:12,14,15 65:20 69:3 71:5 74:4 75:4 79:18 80:7 82:12 84:2 87:12 89:13,15 92:9 94:15 97:5

quick 87:14

quicker 67:18 81:8

quickly 9:24

quorum 6:6 7:13

quote 14:1

quotes 14:5

R

**R-O-B** 10:8

**R-Y-A-N** 10:17

R328 89:23

radar 52:18,19 94:7

raised 15:4 23:25

ran 35:17 50:17 85:11

**Randy** 72:21 73:1,3 93:3 94:12

rate 59:4,6,19 60:7

**RCW** 13:8,9 14:4 21:2 23:7 27:16 38:11 39:10 44:20,22 55:1,2,4

**RCWS** 22:22 23:4,9 34:4 38:22

43:17

reach 13:4 57:9,11,17 86:9

reached 12:9

read 25:21 42:23 44:23 75:13 77:17

78:20

reader 37:1 46:13

readily 34:5

reading 25:1 30:21

readjourn 57:24

reads 71:10

real 17:7 63:7 87:14

realign 75:1

realized 87:3

**reapply** 64:5 70:21

**reason** 17:20 20:1 23:3 30:4 34:15, 16 37:17 50:4 54:7,10 58:22 62:18 64:3 67:6 71:17 76:13 83:17 86:25 87:23

reasons 28:19 51:17 59:7

reattempt 59:12 69:6

rebroadcast 43:24

rebuttal 20:12 22:12

recall 32:21 80:13

receive 63:2 88:25

**received** 57:13 58:21,22 61:24 79:14 89:7

receiving 38:18

recent 3:20 79:21



recently 90:21 92:2 recessed 57:25 recognize 78:23 recognized 96:13 recognizing 5:19

reconsideration 86:23 87:7

reconvened 58:1

reconfigured 61:11

**record** 4:3 7:13 11:25 12:2 15:17 20:5 22:20 23:23 24:1,25 25:1,5 26:10 27:3 31:5 38:7 41:16 42:23 43:9 44:24 48:25 50:1 63:22 64:6,8 75:14 77:18 78:23 86:19

recorder 25:4 27:7,9 recording 25:2 30:13 recordings 21:17,24

recruited 80:3 recruitment 80:4 redefine 38:11

reduce 75:22 85:23 reduction 75:25 76:6 82:15

refer 22:15 25:8

reference 22:21,22,25 31:7 34:2

referenced 12:1 25:10 53:9

referred 78:22

referring 25:11 41:7

reflect 8:24 78:14

reflected 75:22

reflecting 81:2

reflects 57:9,15

regional 79:17 84:23

regularly 57:12 59:2

regulate 60:13

regulated 28:17,18,20,21 36:5,8,12

50:17 51:1

regulation 51:8

regulations 36:13 51:4,5 91:15

reiterate 44:24

related 89:21

relating 87:20

relationship 31:21 61:16 91:23

**relay** 23:14 30:17,20,24 31:1,4,7 32:1 38:14 41:13.17.21 49:15

released 74:18

relevant 13:4 15:25 17:20

relied 24:5 44:10

relocation 74:16

rely 22:23 38:23

relying 13:22

remain 82:6

remaining 20:12 68:10

remarks 34:1

remember 26:18 48:14 53:24 78:6

85:10 90:7

**REMEMBERED** 3:1

remote 15:18 16:4,8,9 17:7,9,11,13, 20,21,23 18:21 19:10 21:8,9,25 23:11,15,24 26:13,25 27:8 29:7,8, 10,13,14,15,16,24 30:7,10,23 31:22, 25 32:2 34:3,6,8,9,20 35:1 38:9,10, 13 40:1,2,3,11 41:17,20 42:3 43:7 53:1

remoted 40:14

remotely 15:22 17:17,25 19:12 21:10,11,14 31:9 39:13 44:1

**remove** 55:14,18 66:2

removed 31:13 renewals 77:2 renewed 68:24

renumbering 74:16

reorg 74:9,11,12

reorganization 85:8

replacement 53:6

**report** 58:6,9,19,21,24 59:1 75:6,9, 13,15,22 80:6 84:22,23,24

reported 75:23 76:1 93:12

**reporter** 3:25 4:2 10:6,16 25:2

Index: recently..review

57:23 95:5

reporting 73:21 76:14 84:19,20

85:3

reports 65:11

representation 10:11 representative 32:14

representing 3:9 8:21 9:25 10:3

20:16

request 77:9

requests 76:24

require 34:20 91:10

**required** 20:3,10 22:6,7 36:23 47:3

53:6 61:5 62:24 63:2 91:1

requirement 93:13,23

requirements 30:4 60:4 65:1 89:21

90:21 92:6

requires 33:12

reserve 20:12

reserved 30:12

reset 64:8

residential 89:22 90:23 91:16

resolved 95:25 96:16,17

respect 15:9

response 6:21 8:8 67:25 79:24

85:25

responsible 79:3,12 82:4

restriction 73:18

restructuring 84:19 85:3

result 64:4 67:18 78:2

results 60:25

retake 69:8

retire 84:11,15 92:16

retirement 84:13

retirements 85:17

revenue 76:11,14

reverse 55:12,17

review 11:15,22,23,25 62:18 63:22

74:24 78:5,7,8 91:3,11



Index: reviewed..simplifies

reviewed 78:4,9 reviewing 91:15 rewrite 52:16 53:16

rewrote 49:1

**RFP** 60:17

rid 37:20

ride 79:19,24 rides 78:19,24

ridge 91:12

risk 66:2

**RJ45** 36:15 37:1,2 45:16 46:8,21 50:18 51:7.11 54:13.15.19

**RJ45S** 36:17 road 61:25

**Roberts** 10:2,7 20:14,15,16 24:3

roll 6:1,5

roof 50:18 87:25

**roofs** 88:1

roughly 73:24

round 86:3

routed 35:13

router 16:11 18:25 21:20 24:24 28:4 36:11

routes 35:14 routing 35:16 rubber 70:12

rule 63:17 65:17 73:22

**rulemaking** 38:12 62:2,4 66:7 72:13,21,24 73:6,14 95:14

rulemakings 73:12 74:3

**rules** 12:10 37:2 40:23 51:4 54:13 59:9,18 63:10 66:12 73:23 93:19,23

rumors 84:8 run 51:6,23 running 51:16 runs 16:11 19:6,8 rusty 59:10,11

Ryan 10:13 22:15

S

safe 67:10

safeguards 69:1

safety 4:5,9,11 18:18 85:3 87:23

**sake** 8:14 **sales** 76:21 **Sam** 10:9

sanctions 14:7

satisfied 71:8

**schedule** 65:6 70:9

scheduled 57:12

school 19:5

**scope** 13:10,21 14:3,21 15:5,11,19 17:16 19:21 21:1,4 25:15,16 26:7 28:18 36:9 37:8 41:8,25 42:24 48:18 49:20 50:5,6,10 55:2 85:20 87:15 91:11

scopes 50:2,11 score 80:13,22,24 seconds 67:2

**secretary** 4:4 6:7,9 22:24 28:25 29:1 31:16,18 34:5 37:15 38:25 39:1,21 41:6 42:21,22 44:15 47:21, 22 50:3,22 51:15,21,24 52:7,11 53:22 57:19 75:11 80:23 81:10,12 82:20 83:12,22 84:1 87:18 88:8,13 89:6 90:4 91:8 92:4,14,18 94:17

secretary's 75:6,9,13 80:6

**SECRETARY/CHIEF** 3:4

**section** 59:9 60:17 68:18,19 69:7 70:6 78:21

sections 68:17 69:23 74:14

secure 52:3

**security** 15:23 16:6 18:2 19:2,10,16 21:5,12,19 30:2 40:4,16 48:2,6,8 52:1 61:2,3,13,14,20,22 63:1 66:18, 22 67:7,11,22 69:16 93:4,10

selective 35:14

sense 17:10 19:3 63:7

sensitive 61:23

**separate** 11:14 40:6 88:5 **September** 73:24 74:19

**servers** 35:16 **service** 76:17 86:6

services 85:3 session 86:3

**set** 18:24 47:6 57:12 62:14 72:25 78:4

**sets** 35:15 63:9

setting 46:20 47:10

severely 5:13

**share** 87:11

**ship** 35:25 36:1

shipping 41:19

**short** 18:16 26:2 32:22

shortcuts 67:18

shorter 88:3

**show** 4:25 25:7 33:1

shown 27:3 shows 33:22 sided 42:2

sides 47:18 sign 96:24

**signal** 16:11 24:22 29:9,12 38:18 45:22 47:15

signaling 35:14

signals 24:15 45:1,2

**signed** 57:20 **signify** 97:21

signing 8:25 9:17

similar 30:18 31:7 32:19

**simple** 5:14 18:10 28:7 47:2

simplifies 71:2



simplify 63:15 34:19,20 40:5,6 48:2,7 49:7,16,22 **statutes** 13:6 14:24 **simply** 15:6,22 16:20 17:22 27:11 specific 18:25 21:1 30:12 32:5.8 statutory 13:11 28:2 89:4 37:21 66:7 stay 65:2 85:7,14 single 18:15 19:19 43:22 **specifically** 28:5 93:14 step 73:24 sir 68:4 spell 10:5,15 95:4 **Stephen** 3:7 7:8 56:25 sit 11:24 46:6 spelled 32:17,20 stepper 26:24 site 18:17 **spend** 70:15,16 stepping 96:21 sitting 47:23 69:7 82:23 83:8 86:16 spending 3:21 69:10 Steve 32:11,12 55:22,24 situation 19:4 21:24 42:15 spits 61:14 **stone** 62:9 situations 48:4 **split** 56:2 stopped 51:11 size 73:18 spoke 4:4 89:10 **stops** 77:25 **skip** 41:10 62:5 **spring** 74:22 **storage** 27:14 89:21 90:11,15 91:13 slightly 50:4 **staff** 64:11 78:17,21,22,23,25 79:11 storing 27:13 82:9 86:18 87:25 91:24 slim 24:2 straight 65:13 **staffing** 9:3,7 77:18 79:10 81:25 slowdown 81:24 95:14 straightened 58:24 slowing 4:22 stamping 70:12 streamlines 71:1 small 29:13 30:4 stand 50:11 strength 5:6 **smart** 21:15 **standard** 66:23 67:5 stressors 5:7 **smoke** 90:6 standards 23:7 strides 79:8 **social** 61:2,3,13,14,20,22 62:25 **stands** 9:1 37:25 78:8 strike 41:18,23 66:22 67:7,11 93:4,10 **start** 6:7 56:5 74:22,24 75:14 82:20 stringent 83:3 software 51:23 88:15 96:13 structure 21:21 84:19,20,25 85:4 solar 87:22 88:17 89:18 90:25 start-stop 31:25 structured 49:9 sold 17:24 76:18.20 81:21 start/stop 70:2 **stuff** 40:17 52:21 69:20 71:11 72:18 Solutions 9:3,7 started 40:23 74:25 82:16 84:9 sooner 95:16,18,25 96:17 starter 32:2 subject 29:2 sort 18:22 starting 4:25 89:20 subjected 11:22 sorts 18:14 starts 69:4 **subjective** 34:14 37:16,23 sound 18:10 **state** 51:3 58:3 59:8 60:13 64:2 68:9 subjectivity 37:17,18,20 75:25 76:1 **sounds** 56:1 71:1,2 subjects 32:23 **speak** 4:1 28:10 55:8 95:2 **stated** 17:9 73:22 **submit** 14:11 speaking 3:24 4:2 **states** 14:9 24:12

static 15:23 18:14

stationary 45:15

statute's 15:7

**statute** 13:19,20,24,25 14:6,8,9,15,

23 15:3.6 17:20 22:20 31:6 38:6



92:22,24 94:18

**special** 64:24 69:9 70:15

**specialist** 4:14 58:10,18 72:16 73:4

**specialties** 48:14,15 49:14 50:10

specialty 14:3,17,18 16:1 25:24

submittals 25:6

submitted 12:3

submitting 87:1

subsection 72:12

subsequent 70:13

successful 5:20

successfully 68:8,23

**sudden** 67:10

**suddenly** 19:1,2,9

**suggestion** 32:6 90:5

suggests 17:5

**summer** 74:22

summers 5:9

super 35:15

supersede 55:3

supervise 77:17

**supervision** 33:6 78:13

**supply** 93:14

**support** 56:11,13,15,17 71:20

78:22,25

supported 12:10 89:9

supporting 71:16

supports 46:14

supposed 82:18

sweep 88:24

**system** 16:17,18,19,24,25 17:2,3 18:22,23 19:3,6,10,16 20:24 21:7, 11,13,19,22,25 22:1,7 25:22 31:25

35:14 40:16 41:24 43:23 44:21

46:17 60:21 88:2 90:15

**systems** 14:13 16:3,6,24 21:5 24:13 25:12,20 26:6,7 27:8 29:19 30:2 32:2 39:16 41:8 43:1,20 44:23 48:2, 8 49:9 52:18 60:21 61:12 87:22

90:11

Т

table 67:14

**TAC** 74:20

takes 3:18 11:12 27:4 65:14

**taking** 3:19 5:12 24:20 63:12,13

64:22,23 69:1,20 89:5

talk 25:24 46:10 49:8 59:3 84:3

talked 30:1 32:18 34:25 39:22 62:13

84:4

talking 25:25 29:20 32:3 36:11 43:9

talks 31:23 42:25 43:22 44:20

Tariffs 51:3

tasked 86:13

tasks 5:7

TCP/IP 28:5 38:20 45:16

team 27:17,18 37:18 79:5,8

teams 79:8

tech- 42:4

**technical** 4:14 23:6 58:9,18 72:16

73:4 92:21,24 94:18

technology 39:9,15 42:4,11 46:7

47:2,4,7,14 58:15

Technology's 47:5

telecom 27:3 32:14 39:10 40:13

53:18

telecommunication 19:22 23:6

31:22 33:5 35:13 43:11 45:9 53:7

telecommunications 13:11,14,18

14:3,13,14,17,18,20,22 15:11 16:1 19:20,24 20:2,3,7,9,23,25 22:2,4

24:13,19,23,24 25:15,16,19,20,22 27:2,6,12,13,19,22,23 28:2,3,4,6

29:5 31:24 33:12,13,16,23 35:3,9, 10,11 36:8,19 37:4,8,20,22,25 40:7, 18 42:4,7,16,24 44:21,23 45:14,16,

18,20,22,23,24 46:16,24 47:16,19,

25 48:5,9 49:9,10

**telephone** 9:20,24 10:1,11,21 24:18 27:3 28:17,18,20,21 35:4,11,17

36:5,8,12,14,21 37:6 40:20 46:18,19

47:9 50:17 51:1 57:8

television 44:3

temperature 73:18

**term** 17:4,12 18:1 32:22 55:13

terminated 87:2,9

terminology 42:5

**terms** 19:14 23:6 47:2

test 68:9,19 87:2

testified 51:18

testimony 13:2 16:15 17:14,15,17

26:10 29:4 39:23

**testing** 25:18,19 60:25 61:6,21 62:1 63:3,4 65:5 66:8,23 67:8 68:22,24 73:10 84:3.4

Index: successful..Toledo

tests 68:12

theory 59:11,18 68:8

thing 51:1 53:23 59:14 63:8,18 70:1

85:14 90:19

things 5:12,13,19 29:14 34:13 37:16

39:24 41:14 44:5 52:17,20,25 53:9,

17,24 54:2 59:3 60:20 63:1 66:4,7

67:22 81:13 84:7 87:20 89:8 90:23

91:14 93:24 94:1 96:4

thinking 4:15 88:18

Thompson 56:22

thought 31:18 85:14

three-month 68:21.22

threw 38:14

throw 93:11 94:9

throws 67:6,8

**Thursday** 3:2,16

**TIA/EIA** 23:7

tied 52:18 93:8

tilt 26:22 30:19 31:3 38:16

tilting 26:23

**time** 4:1 12:6 20:12 22:13 47:12 54:8 57:21 58:24 60:25 62:4 63:13 65:8

68:9,17,21 69:10,22 70:16 75:2,5,19

77:6,13 78:1,4,5,8 79:13 80:16

84:16 86:11,15 88:3 92:11,17 96:1,2

97:8

timely 11:7 95:12,15 96:5

times 34:25 53:24 65:21 68:14,20

76:4,6 78:22 79:24 85:25 89:24

today 7:12 10:12,20 12:4,24 38:13

47:11 50:21,24 51:12 52:18 57:11

63:7 65:23 67:17 69:9 72:11 73:2

94:16,24 95:2 97:2

today's 46:6 54:20 69:15 70:9

told 85:7

**Toledo** 9:20,24 10:1,11,21 20:22,24 21:13 22:3,6 24:18 27:3 28:17 31:2

50:19 57:8



**Toledo's** 20:19

**Toledotel** 10:14 13:10 14:19,21 15:1,11,16 19:22 20:6,10

Tom 10:7,8 tool 65:24

total 77:10,22,23 81:6 86:2

**totally** 28:16 34:12

touch 88:23

track 17:15 18:9 19:16

tracking 18:10 trade 59:16 traditional 19:5

traffic 35:5,20,21 52:20

trail 16:20

trained 82:21 88:14

trainee 78:13

trainees 59:23 73:21 77:17

**training** 59:18 60:1 65:23 86:4 88:9

93:13

transcript 7:15,20 20:20 25:1

transferring 47:15 transmit 26:19 transmits 27:5

transmitted 29:9 40:20

transmitting 29:11 Transportation 51:3 transported 28:4

**transporting** 24:15,22 27:11 45:1, 2.11,17,21 47:16

traveling 64:1 travels 35:21 trigger 91:2

triggered 69:12

trouble 86:21

**true** 17:2 **truth** 50:22

Tumelson 3:6 7:6,7 56:23,24 68:2,5

69:18 70:25 82:14,15 83:21,23 91:6, 7.9

**turn** 3:23 21:23 26:21 52:22,23 85:23

**Turnaround** 78:4

turned 17:24

TV 42:25 44:2 45:5

**TVS** 26:18

two-year 65:17 68:18,24

**type** 27:14 33:17 46:20 53:10 73:18 78:11 82:1,16 88:5

**types** 53:25 85:21 **typically** 44:5,9

U

ultimately 19:18 24:10 27:6 50:7

unable 79:21

uncertified 27:22 49:21

unchanged 59:5 undersea 35:21

**understand** 25:1,17 27:20 42:11 47:3,18 50:15 52:4,6 65:15 67:18 70:2 81:8

understanding 11:3,7 33:3 42:2,18 82:10 87:4

understands 94:2

**undisputed** 13:1 14:19 15:17 16:9 20:5

unfamiliar 59:9

**unique** 61:3,12,15,16,17 62:21 63:2 66:19,23 67:5 93:6

unit 88:5.16

**universal** 46:9,14,21 47:13 51:20 52:9 54:13

----

unlawful 14:9

unlicensed 19:23 34:17

unlock 41:23 unmute 6:4 56:3 unplug 46:11,12 unprepared 70:6,7

unresolved 96:7,15

**update** 62:11 73:6 84:9,17 93:11

Index: Toledo's..violate

updated 39:11

updates 72:13,21,24 84:6

upgraded 40:23 uploads 19:13 urgent 79:23

utilities 53:19
Utility 51:3

V

vacant 79:20

valid 13:15 14:20 19:20,22,24

valuable 93:8

Vance 58:6,10,11,14,17,18 62:11,13 66:24 67:3,12,16,20,24 68:4,13 70:4 71:3,13 72:8,12,17,19 92:22,24,25 93:3 94:12,19

**vast** 65:24

vehicle 93:14

**VEI** 77:12 80:20 81:6,16 82:9 85:16, 19,23 86:6 87:15,24 88:5

vendor 63:3,4,16 65:5 69:11

vendors 36:6 ventilation 91:12

version 73:15

**versus** 21:10

**video** 16:2 18:8 24:16 25:3,4,12 26:5,7,20 27:7,9 29:18,21,22 41:24 43:1,10 45:4,11,17

videoconference 3:3

**view** 16:22 18:6 19:7 29:15 41:19 52:3 55:5

viewable 19:11 viewed 40:20

viewing 16:23 27:8 34:6,8 40:2 44:7

violate 55:4

violated 13:10

violation 13:8 20:10 78:11 94:4

violations 14:20

Virtual 77:12

voice 24:16 45:3

voltage 29:20

voltaic 87:22 88:17

volume 85:22

## W

**WAC** 15:12,24 20:1 22:22,25 23:4,5, 9 25:10,11,14 26:2 27:16,21 28:1 34:4 37:24 38:10,22 41:7 43:17 45:19 52:17 53:4,15,16 55:1,4 73:9 74:20,25 75:1

WACS 17:11 22:20

wait 62:5 65:22

**waiting** 79:5,7

**wanted** 30:9,16 32:20 34:15 41:1 48:15 66:8,12 70:4,7 84:7,12 86:22 87:11 90:25 93:11 97:1

wanting 95:2

warning 78:11

warnings 77:15 78:15

**Washington** 24:10 25:8 51:3 58:3

59:16

waste 63:20 66:2 70:17 96:1

waterproof 36:17 waters 44:15 45:7

**Wayne** 3:4 6:7 28:25 31:16 34:1,2 38:25 39:20 42:21 46:1 47:21 50:14, 16 53:23 58:20 73:5 75:7,9 80:11

81:9 88:24 91:6 94:18

Wayne's 37:15 91:22

website 16:21 44:7 73:23

week 64:17 80:5 82:17 84:10

weeks 64:17 69:2 78:5

Wi-fi 21:20 24:24

winded 83:22

window 95:6

winters 5:9

wire 19:5,6

wired 40:6

wireless 45:18

wirelessly 45:18

wires 29:22

wiring 46:20

wit 3:11

withstand 5:7

won 95:21

wondering 90:24

word 23:15 34:3 55:15

wording 34:12

words 11:24 38:8 61:13 62:25 68:25

70:20

work 5:3 13:14,18 14:11,17,25 19:23 20:9 21:1 30:5,7 33:5,19 34:18,21 35:18 36:9,19 42:24 46:12, 14,16 47:19 48:5,9,16,18 49:12,13, 16,21 50:5,6,8,10,12 52:1 53:20,25 55:2 61:19 63:15 64:11 65:9 78:21, 24 79:24,25 81:2 82:10 83:2 88:4,6

worked 20:22 36:10 47:8,12

**worker** 77:16

workers 27:22 33:16,23 49:21,23

working 4:15 17:12 36:4,7 79:22

81:17 83:4 91:22

workload 64:12 69:13

works 18:23 46:15 61:18 85:9 87:21

world 16:21 35:6 37:7 44:3 46:6

54:20 69:15 78:7

world's 35:19.20

**worries** 58:16

worth 34:10

Wow 7:12

wrap 68:10

write 90:8

writing 83:14

written 53:21 66:10 95:20

wrong 57:4

wrote 25:2 27:17

## Υ

Index: violated..zoom

y'all 20:18

**year** 4:22 63:24 64:22,23 65:10 69:6, 25 70:11 75:16,17 76:8,9,11,13 77:10,11,13,25 78:1,3,5,9,10 81:5 85:4 87:3 88:19 93:7

years 4:20 26:17 37:18 47:7 66:3 68:10,11,13 69:5,24 70:1 76:15 86:15 95:21 96:15

## Ζ

**zoom** 3:2,18,20 10:24 17:16 26:25 30:19 31:3 38:16

