## **Capitol Pacific Reporting**

**Court Reporters Since 1978** 

2401 Bristol Court SW, Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502 • Ph: 360.352.2054

## L & I Electrical Board Meeting

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS July 28, 2022



**Production:** 

production@capitolpacificreporting.com

Scheduling:

scheduling@capitolpacificreporting.com

Website:

www.capitolpacificreporting.com

- Full-sized and condensed PDF transcripts
- Hyperlinked word index
- Hyperlinked exhibits
- Bookmarked examinations and exhibits
- Other common file types including: txt, lef, sbf, mdb, xmef, and PTX e-Transcript
- Exhibits and other files found under the paperclip icon within Adobe Acrobat (Reader)
- Copy-and-paste while maintaining formatting
- Files accessible via online repository

1	DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON
3	
4	ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	July 28, 2022 9:00 a.m.
7	9:00 a.m.
8	Labor Industries Auditorium
9	Tumwater, WA
10	Pages 1 - 130
11	
12	
13	CERTIFIED
14	ORIGINAL
15	
16	Before:
17	Mary Jo Fratella, RPR, CCR #2083
18	of Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc.
19	Capitor Facilite Reporting, Inc.
20	2401 Bristol Court S.W., Suite C-103, Olympia, WA 98502
21	Tel (360) 352-2054 Toll Free (800) 407-0148
22	
23	
24	www.capitolpacificreporting.com
25	admin@capitolpacificreporting.com

1	AGENDA	
2		
3	ITEM NO.	PAGE
4	1. Safety Message	4
5	2. Approve transcript from April 28, 2022	10
6	Electrical Board meeting	
7	3. Appeals a) Randy's Heating, ECHBO01063, ECHBO01065,	12
8	ECHBO01066, and ECHBO01067	
9	b) Potelco, Inc., Jeff Lampman and Bret Montgomery, EZINS01437, EZINS01438, EZINS01439	12
11	c) NCR Corporation, ELYOD02202 AND ELYOD02203	12
12	d) Advanced Drilling, LLC, and Robert Laymon, ESIMZ00384 and ESIMZ00385	12
13	e) Leonard Tobin, ECHBO00970, ECHBO00971,	16
14	ECHBO00972, ECHBO00973, and ECHBO00974	10
15	f) PNW Electrical, LLC, Denial of Variance #18.06, Anacortes Marina Wiring Method	82
16		
17	4. Departmental/Legislative Update, Lorin Lathrop. Rule making updates:	89
18	a) WAC 296-46-B-995 b) Adoption of the 2023 NEC	
19		
20	5. Secretary's Report, Wayne Molesworth	93
21	6. Certification/CEU Quarterly Report,	110
22	Larry Vance	
23	7 Public comment regarding items not on	125
24	the agenda	
25		
		Page 2

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that an Electrical Board
2	Meeting was held at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, July 28,
3	2022, at the Department of Labor and Industries, 7273
4	Linderson Way S.W., Tumwater, Washington.
5	Board Members present in-person were: Jason
6	Jenkins, Wayne Molesworth, Kerry Cox, Bobby Gray, Don
7	Baker, Mike Nord, Erick Lee, Jack Knottingham, and
8	James Tumelson.
9	Assistant Attorneys General present in-person
10	were Ben Blohowiak and Lisa Roth.
11	Board Members present via videoconferencing were
12	Lorin Lathrop, Dominic Burke, and Ivan Isaacson.
13	Assistant Attorney General present via
14	videoconferencing was Nancy Kellogg.
15	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were
16	held, to wit:
17	
18	
19	
20	PROCEEDINGS
21	
22	
23	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: It's 9:07 a.m. I'd like
24	to bring the Washington Electrical Board Meeting to
25	order. I want to say thank you for all attending.



I know this has been kind of a -- I don't want to say new, but an old new system. We're all back and face to face, and bear with us as we deal with technical difficulties. We're trying to do a little bit of a hybrid meeting. Hopefully this will be our last one like this. Other locations probably won't allow this. So, this is one of those one-offs.

So, we're going to get started. I want to -- I guess we have a -- on our first item here we have a safety message from the Department. Wayne, do you have a person to bring up the safety message?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I think I delegated that responsibility to the Board Chair, Board Chair, excuse me. Yeah, I'll give you a safety talk. So, we're in the middle of a nice little heat wave as you guys experience on the east side. It's probably much worse here for you have than it is for those of us on the east side of the mountains primarily because over there we have it every -- every summer. Over here you haven't had it as severe for such a long period. So, you know, you really have to pay attention to staying hydrated, finding cool places.

On the news the other day they talked about that only about 40% of the residents on this side of the mountains have air conditioning, and so that's a very



low number, and so you have that possibility of working at home and getting heat exhaustion, even heat stroke at times, and so you have to be very aware of whether or not you've been working outside too long. Those of you who are contractors have employees or those of you that are employees know that we have a heat standard at L & I, and, you know, it doesn't allow you to work outside for a long period of time. And you have to have water available and keep it with you. I always have water with me. I'm getting dehydrated today just because I need caffeine, but I will hydrate later. So, stay hydrated. Stay in a cool place.

If you do find somebody that appears to be suffering symptoms of heat exhaustion or heat stroke, cool them down as quickly as you can. A good way to do that is with wet towels. You know, you can actually submerse somebody in water to help cool them down. The result -- People always go, "Oh, boy, that could put them into shock." The result of heat stroke is much worse than the result you're going to have from cooling them down too quickly. But get them to an emergency medical facility as quickly possible.

Heat exhaustion should also be looked at and just because somebody comes out of a situation where



1 they've had a heat stroke or heat exhaustion make sure 2 and take them to the clinic to get checked out. It's kind of like near water drowning, right, or it's like 3 it can have bad re-occurrence later that day; so, you 4 can still suffer the repercussions from it. 5 So, the main thing here is stay cool, stay 6 hydrated. And that's it for today. 7 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. Thank you. 8 9 And, lastly, its been a while since we've been in this 10 building; so I was going to ask if there's any 11 emergency procedures that you have in case of fire or 12 something? 13 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Sure. So, here you 14 can -- the fastest route to get out is out the door, 15 down to the entryway, and out front or out back. 16 There's bathrooms out the door, down the hallway, and around the corner a little bit. And so that's --17 18 that's about as simple as it gets if we have an 19 emergency. 20 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Perfect. 21 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: But out to the front 22 entry, out the front or back door. 23 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. Next I'd like to do a roll call. 24 If you're on the -online here with us, then you will unmute your mics. 25

1	If you're a board member, we'll go around the room and
2	do a quick roll call.
3	So, Dominic Burke, are you here? I assume he
4	was a minute ago. It looks like he is, but There
5	he is. Can you hear me? We'll come back.
6	Kerry Cox, Board Member Kerry Cox?
7	BOARD MEMBER COX: Present.
8	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Bobby Gray?
9	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Here.
10	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Don Baker?
11	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Present.
12	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member James
13	Tumelson?
14	BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: Present.
15	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Mike Nord?
16	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Present.
17	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Jack
18	Knottingham?
19	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Present.
20	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: And Board Member Erick
21	Lee?
22	BOARD MEMBER LEE: Here.
23	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Did I miss anybody?
24	Anybody here that has not been called?
25	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Board Member Ivan
	Page 7



Isaacson is here.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Great. Thank you. And last call to Dominic, were you able to get in? It looks like he's online, but not responding.

Moving on, we will still do -- For the record, we do have a quorum; so, we'll be moving forward. It's been a while since we've been together and I promised last time that if we had new board members that we would do a little who we are around the room. So, we'll start off with, I guess, Kerry Cox. If you'd state your name, what position you're sitting -- seating in, and any other information you'd like to give us.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Kerry Cox, I sit in the telecommunications contractor position, owner and general manager of ITC Systems, a telecom and IT firm out of Clarkston, Washington over where it's hot today.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Bobby Gray, I'm the administrator for Hoydar Electric. I sit in the contractor's chair.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Don Baker, I'm the administrator for EC Electric. I sit in the contractor's chair.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: James Tumelson,



building official. I sit in the non-voting member 1 2 building official role for the Electrical Board and also a master electrician. 3 4 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER NORD: Mike Nord, I represent 5 6 the Communications Workers of America and I represent the telecom seat. 7 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Jason Jenkins, the Chair. 8 I'm an electrician, sit in the electrician's seat. 9 10 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Jack Knottingham, 11 I sit in the electrician's seat, master electrician. I've been an admin since -- I'm sorry, ELO1 since '88, 12 13 admin since '91. 14 BOARD MEMBER LEE: Board Member Erick Lee, 15 electrician's seat. CHAIRMAN JENKINS: And Ivan Isaacson. 16 BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: 17 Board Member Ivan 18 Isaacson, I sit in the manufacturer's seat. 19 regional sales manager for Leviton Manufacturing. 20 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. And 21 Wayne. 22 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Wayne Molesworth, I'm 23 the Chief Electrical Inspector since June of 2020. 24 I'm the secretary for the Board. I have a couple of people, Mr. Chairman, if I could introduce in the 25

1 audience today, we have some new inspectors in 2 training that have come to watch the Board process and understand a little bit more about what the program 3 does as a whole. We have Darren Alred and Dennis 4 5 Straley, the two instructors. If you guys would just 6 stand for me really quick or -- There you go. got four of their instructor trainees out here in the 7 audience. We also have Faith Jeffrey, who is the 8 9 Compliance Policy Manager for the program, and a new auditor in training, Tyler Kent. We also have Mike 10 11 back here. Mike is our -- Cruthers is our supervisor from Bellevue, and I'm glad to have him here today. 12 13 He's a real help to us in that area. Some of you 14 might know Mike. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: And what was his --16 Mike's last name? 17 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Cruthers. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. 19 Chairman. Did I miss anybody? 20 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: 21 All right. Thank you CHAIRMAN JENKINS: 22 very much. At this point here we're moving on to item 23 number two, which is approval of the transcripts; so, 24 the Board would entertain a motion to approve the 25 Washington State Electrical Board minutes of April 28,



1	2022.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Motion.
3	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: A motion. Do we have a
4	second?
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: We have a second. Do we
7	have any discussion?
8	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I notice that
9	starting on page 36 and continuing on to 51 it refers
10	to Wayne Molesworth as technical specialist. I think
11	that should be changed to Secretary of the Board.
12	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, we have a motion to
13	adjust the Electrical Board minutes to allow that
14	Wayne Molesworth be titled as the Secretary to the
15	Board.
16	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Correct.
17	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Do we have a second?
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any discussion? All in
20	favor of the motion with the correction, all in favor
21	say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes)
23	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any opposed?
24	BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Is that opposed or is
	Page 11



that are you in the opposed position? Ivan Isaacson, 1 2 is that an opposed position? BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Uh, no, it's for. 3 4 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Oh, perfect. So, we --5 The motion passes. 6 Moving on to item number three for appeals. start off with, just for the record, Randy's Heating, 7 ECHB001063, ECHB001065, ECHB001066, and ECHB001067. 8 9 It has been continued to the October meeting. 10 Also continued to the October meeting is the 11 Potelco, Incorporated, with Jeff Lampman and Bret Montgomery. It's items EZINS01437, EZINS01438, EZINS 12 13 01439. 14 Also continued to the October meeting is the NCR 15 Corporation case, which is ELYOD02202 and ELYOD02203. 16 And so we are down to the next case, which is the Presentment of Order, the Advanced Drilling, LLC, 17 18 and Robert Laymon, ESIMZ00384, ESIMZ00385. Is Robert 19 Layman here today? And is counsel on the Robert 20 Laymon case here also? 21 MR. LAYMON: Who? 22 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: The counsel. 23 Not represented. MR. LAYMON: 24 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Is the Layman -- Is the 25 Assistant Attorney General available?



1	MS. KELLOGG: Yes. Nancy Kellogg.
2	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much: I'm
3	getting my words messed up here. So, does either of
4	you plan would you like to add any comments for the
5	record? Would you like me to add any comments to the
6	record?
7	MR. LAYMON: For the record?
8	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: For the record.
9	MR. LAYMON: Absolutely.
10	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. If you want
11	to step up to the seat here, please state your name
12	and spell it for the court reporter, and we'll give
13	you about 10 minutes to add any comments you'd like to
14	for the record.
15	MR. LAYMON: My name is Robert Douglass
16	Laymon, R-o-b-e-r-t, D-o-u-g-l-a-s-s, L-a-y-o-n.
17	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Okay. Go ahead and
18	continue.
19	MR. LAYMON: I'm not hearing that.
20	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Go ahead and continue.
21	MD INVMON. The first home today to the said
	MR. LAYMON: I'm just here today to try and
22	clarify the fact that you guys are accusing me of
22 23	
	clarify the fact that you guys are accusing me of

Apparently you guys have a cute little rule that if you don't know who did the work then I'm guilty anyway. But what I'm guilty of, what you guys are saying I'm guilty of is work that I didn't need a permit to do. It's a pre-existing circuit that I can build from the front to the back, and if I thought for a second I needed to prove that I did need a permit to do work that I didn't do I would explain to you that I didn't need a permit to rebuild a circuit.

Whoever rebuilt that circuit rebuilt it; it wasn't me. But you guys have a cute little rule that accuses me of doing it anyway. But you can accuse me of doing it, that's fine. I didn't need a permit to rebuild a circuit, a pre-existing circuit. I didn't need a permit for that, simply don't. Now you guys are fining me for something I didn't need a permit for.

You're saying I didn't list my permits. Yeah, I didn't do the work. I didn't list my permits. If I hadn't done the work, I wouldn't have needed a permit, I wouldn't need to do the list. It's -- This whole thing is ridiculous. It's insanity. I didn't need to do the work. I wouldn't need a permit to do it. You're accusing me with your cute little rule of, "We don't know who did it, so you're guilty." That's



fine, say I'm guilty. I still didn't need a permit to reconstruct an existing circuit from front to back. It's not necessary. It's not permittable. I mean I didn't need a permit, didn't need to post a permit, didn't need to get a permit. Go ahead and accuse me of doing work I didn't do, fine. I still didn't need a permit to do it. It's ridiculous. It's insane. That's all I got to say.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much for your opinion on this. And do we have any -- Thank you very much. You can step down. Ms. Nancy Kellogg, did you have any comments you wanted to make?

MS. KELLOGG: Yes. The Board ruled on page 12 of the transcript. Let me get the date on that, 10/28/21 it appears to be unanimous to vacate all findings of fact 4.9 and to change part of point -- 4.6 and 4.20, and the final order that I have proposed does exactly that.

This case involved two issues. One of them was the connecting of the controller, which Mr. Laymon disputes. The second was connecting the pump to the electrical current in order to test the pump and flush out the chlorine, and Mr. Layman admits to that. Both of these are a basis for citations. So, even if you disregard the section on the controller that Mr.



1 Laymon is most concerned about, there was still a 2 violation by connecting the pump to the electrical current without a permit and without appropriate 3 licensing and certification. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. 6 Thank you both for your comments on this case. After 7 reviewing both of the proposals, I'll be signing the Department's proposal because it best reflects the 8 work that needs to be done by this board. So, thank 9 10 you very much. So, moving on to the Leonard Tobin case, which 11 is ECHBO00970, ECHBO00971, ECHBO00972, ECHBO00973, and 12 13 ECHBO00974, is Leonard Tobin here available? 14 MR. TOBIN: Yes, I am. 15 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. Thank you. 16 And is the AAG, I believe Lisa Roth, here available? 17 MS. ROTH: Present. 18 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. 19 Good morning, my name is Jason Jenkins. I'm the Chair of the Electrical Board. And the matter before us 20 21 today is an appeal of the matter of Leonard Tobin 22 versus the Department of Labor and Industries, Docket 23 No. 10-2020-LI-01534. This hearing is being held 24 pursuant to due and proper notice to all interested

parties in Tumwater, Washington on July 28th at



25

approximately 9:24 a.m.

This is an appeal of the proposed decision and order issued by the Office of Administrative Hearings on September 14, 2021. It is my understanding and decision -- my understanding that the decision upheld the citation and notices, ECHBO00970, ECHBO00971, ECHBO00972, ECHBO00973, and ECHBO009974 issued by the Department of Labor and Industries on July 8, 2020, and it's further my understanding the Appellant has timely appealed the decision to the Electrical Board.

At this time the Appellant, Leonard Tobin, is present and representing by himself.

MR. TOBIN: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: And the Department is present and represented by Assistant Attorney General Lisa Roth.

MS. ROTH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Correct? The Electrical Board is a legal body authorized in the legislature not only to advise the Department regarding the electrical program, but to hear appeals when the Department issues citations or takes some otherwise adverse action regarding electrical licensing, certification, or compliance. The Electrical Board is a completely separate entity from the Department and



as such will independently review the action taken by the Department.

When the Department has issued penalties the hearing is assigned to Office of Administrative Hearings to conduct the hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act. The ALJ is who conducts the hearing and issues the proposed decision and order. If either party appeals, that decision is subject to review by the Electrical Board.

Please keep in mind that while our review is de novo, for example we sit in the same position as the administrative law judge and will review the entire record regardless of whether a certain piece of evidence is referenced by the ALJ, we are bound by the evidence in the record and no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing.

Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today to argue the merits of your case. Any board member may ask questions and the time may be extended at the discretion of the Board. Any conclusion -- At the conclusion of the hearing, excuse me, the board will determine if the findings and conclusions reached by the ALJ is supported by the findings by the fact and the rules pertaining to electrical installations.



1 Are there any questions before we begin? MS. ROTH: 2 No. MR. TOBIN: 3 No. Mr. Tobin being the 4 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: appearing -- appealing party you have the burden of 5 6 proof to establish the proposed decision is incorrect; 7 therefore, we will hear from you first. So, please, if you can speak your name and spell it for the court 8 9 reporter, I'd appreciate that. 10 MR. TOBIN: Leonard Tobin, L-e-o-n-a-r-d 11 T-o-b-i-n. 12 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: You may begin. 13 MR. TOBIN: Okay. I was accused of 14 violating RCW 19.28.061(5)(a), failure of the administrator or master electrician to be a sole 15 proprietor, partner, member, or supervisory employee 16 of the firm from 2018 to 2019. I'm going to reference 17 18 a few RCW and WAC rules, and a few court cases in my 19 next 15 minutes because I've been led to believe that you're going to make a ruling right now. I'm going to 20 21 make those verbal references brief, but if any board 22 member wants copies, I can give you a copy of this so 23 that you can check my references. 24 Let's see. It's important to point out that 25 there is no evidence presented by the Department that



disputes that I was a Master Electrician or that I met my obligations as Randy's Heating's designated Master Electrician as set forth in RCW 19.28.0615(b) through (f). The only dispute at issue is was I a member of the firm or a full time supervisory employee on paper during the quarters in question.

It was referenced in the contract titled professional service agreement between Randy's Heating and myself that Randy's Heating was to make me a member of the firm. Randy's Heating failed to do that. I can't make myself a member of somebody else's company, only they can do that.

The professional service agreement referenced me being a member of the firm and a 1099 employee.

According to that agreement, amended W-2s, and RCW 50.04.080, 50.04.100, and RCW 50A.05.010(5)(a), I was a full time employee of Randy's Heating during all the quarters in question. It is also significant that Randy's Heating determined my schedule. I supervised and performed all the electrical work in question.

Randy's Heating determined where, when, and what projects were assigned to me. I supervised all necessary electrical work in compliance with RCW 19.26.061. I ensured the proper safety procedures were used. I ensured that all electrical labels,



permits, and licenses that were required to perform electrical work were used. I saw that any corrected notices were complied with.

Regardless of how Randy's Heating or I classified my employment status, I was, in fact, a full time and supervisory employee of Randy's Heating during all of the relevant periods and performed all of the requirements of a Master Electrician for Randy's Heating pursuant to RCW 50.04.100 and RCW 19.28.061.

In all appeals of RCW 19.28 and WAC 296-46B heard before the Office of Administrative Hearings the Department has the burden to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence. WAC 296.46B-995(20(c). I submit to you their arguments are conjecture.

The Department is responsible for implementing and enforcing the provisions of RCW 19.28 and WAC 296-46B. The Department is authorized to adopt reasonable rules in the furtherance of safety to life and property; however, the Department is not authorized to change Washington state definitions of words like employee. Washington statutory definition of employment can be found at RCW 50.04.100 and it says it means personal service for whatever nature



unlimited by the relationship of master and servant as known to the common law or any other legal relationship performed for wages under any contract calling for the performance of personal services, written or oral, expressed, or implied. That was our professional service agreement.

Employment exists if the worker performs

personal services for the employer and employer pays

wages for those services or pays under any contract

calling for personal services. This is on the case of

Penik vs. Employment Security Department, also

Skrivanich vs. Davis. I'm going to pull one thing

from there, "Contractual language such as a provision

describing drivers as independent contractors is not

dispositive. Instead the court considers all the

facts related to the work situation."

The government can't have it both ways. The court says employment is defined by the facts despite wording in a contract, and the Department wants employment to be defined by contract the way they interpret it.

Using Washington State Department of Employment Security to verify whether I was paid by W-2 and, therefore, an employee was a mistake made by the Department. Form W-2 does not determine employment



status as defined in RCW 50.04.140(1)(a) through (c).

As a matter of fact, the US Code 26 US Code section 3401(c) used by the IRS defines employee as an officer, employee, or elected official of the United States, a state, or political subdivision thereof. The federal definition of employee is the exact opposite of the Washington state definition found at RCW 50A.05.010(5)b), which states, "Employee does not include employees of the United States of America." It is unreasonable for the Department to assume a Washington state employee not paid by form W-2 is not an employee.

The failure of Randy's Heating to classify me as an employee is not proof of a violation of 19.28.061. The Department should be expected to do further work to verify a person's employment status for the purpose of RCW 19.28.061. The existence of a contract is not dispositive; therefore, the Department should have considered all other factors before making its determination that I was not an employee.

WACS 296-46B-975 and 296-46B-930 are verification rules that should be used by the Department to verify employment status as it relates to satisfying the requirements of RCW 19.28.061, not to make an ultimate determination regarding whether a



person is an employee or not.

The Department solely relied on Washington
Employment Security Department for verification
purposes and failed to consider that, 1) The IRS has
an exact opposite definition of employee than
Washington state law; 2) I provided services to
Randy's Heating; 3) Randy's Heating paid me for those
services; and 4) my work schedule and assignments were
controlled by Randy's Heating. Points two through
four are the conditions that determine whether someone
is an employee in Washington state, not point one.

Applying standards of WAC 296-46B-930 in determining whether I was a full time employee, the Department should have considered that I received a regular salary, had supervisory responsibility for the electrical work, and carried out the duties shown in RCW 19.28.061.

The fact that Randy's Heating amended its information returns reflecting the employment classification of me with Randy's Heating under duress from, and as instructed by Mr. Chavez of the Department, should have been given significant consideration.

The Washington Employment Security Department, the Washington Department of Revenue, Washington



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Department of L & I, and the IRS all allow for a company such as Randy's Heating to voluntarily amend returns to correct them. Randy's Heating amended the returns -- Randy's Heating's amended returns changed my classification within the company. The amended returns did not rewrite history. They are not evidence that I was not an employee. They are further evidence that I was an employee. The fact that Randy's Heating voluntarily made theses changes and provided that information to the Department should have been evidence enough to prompt the Department to inquire further into my employment status. The returns did establish I was a paid -- I was paid a wage similar to other employees of Randy's Heating.

The Department attempted to further muddy the waters by arguing I didn't provide records as required by WAC 296-46B-975; however, the Department's position is misleading. WAC 296-46B-975(8) states that every employer or contractor, not the employee, must maintain pay records, time cards, or similar records to verify the work relationship of the administrator with the company so that the Department may obtain the information it needs to verify the relationship.

In this matter Randy's Heating provided amended information returns that establish my work



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relationship. Randy's Heating could have provided other information if the Department had requested that of it.

It is worth noting that despite my fulfillment of all the duties set forth in 19.28.061(5)(b) through (f) and RCW 19.28.061(6) states, "The Department shall not change the administrator's duty under subsection (5) of this section", the Department wants to add "making myself a member of Randy's firm" and payroll record keeping to my duties as Master Electrician. is obvious that the contractor is the only one capable of adding a person as a member of the firm. The Master Electrician cannot appoint himself a member of the firm that he does not own. It is also equally clear the contractor is responsible for payroll and record keeping. Payroll and record keeping are not duties of the Master Electrician. As a matter of fact, the Master Electrician is dependent upon the contractor to do the payroll and share the records with the Department.

In closing, the Department's actions were arbitrary and capricious. The Washington State Supreme Court has defined arbitrary or capricious agency as action that is willful and unreasonable and taken without regard to the attending facts or



circumstances. That is according to a court case,
Washington Independent Telephone Association vs.
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

The Department willfully issued five citations without regard to the attending facts and circumstances. When fairly considered, the evidence I have submitted carries greater weight and is the more convincing as to its truth than the arguments of the Department, particularly when considered in the light of the arbitrary and capricious actions of the Department. Accordingly, I respectfully request the Electrical Board enter findings of not committed for the five citations you issued to me.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much for your time. AAG Lisa Roth.

MS. ROTH: Good morning, members of the Electrical Board and Secretary Molesworth. The Department respectfully asks that Electrical Board uphold and affirm the initial order dated September 14, 2021, wherein the ALJ found by a preponderance of evidence that Mr. Tobin did violate RCW 19.28.061(5)(a) by failing as the Master Electrician to be a sole proprietor member, or partner, or supervisory employee of firm. In this case the firm was Randy's Heating. And this was for a time period

of the second quarter of 2018 starting May 15, 2018 to the second quarter of 2019 ending May 23, 2019.

The RCW 19.28.061(5)(a) requires, and in this case we're dealing with Master Electricians, Mr. Tobin was listed as Ran-- by Randy's Heating as Master Electrician, and the statute requires that the Master Electrician be a full time supervisory employee or a member of the firm and -- and be available during working hours to carry out the business of an administrator.

In this case the evidence presented established by a preponderance that Mr. Tobin was not a member of the firm of Randy's Heating. Washington

Administrative Code section 296-46B-100 defines member of the firm as the individual on file with the Secretary of State for corporations. The regulations have already addressed this issue. They've defined what is a member of a firm, and throughout the time period of the violations Mr. Tobin was never listed on the Secretary of State documents as a member of Randy's Heating.

It is -- It is true that Mr. Tobin could not, on his own volition, change the Secretary of State documents, but he could have checked the Secretary of State documents to ascertain whether or not he was



listed as a member of the firm as required by the rules, and perhaps he didn't know that was required, and perhaps he just didn't check, he had that opportunity, he did have control in ascertaining his status, and he was not ever a member of the firm during the period of the violation.

So, the next question is: Was Mr. Tobin a full time supervisory employee? The evidence shows by a preponderance or showed by a preponderance that he was not a full time supervisory employee. So, the issue is not simply whether or not he was an employee, it's whether he was a full time supervisory employee.

Now, WAC 296-46B-930 indicates that the Department of Labor and Industries can determine whether an individual is a full time supervisory employee by considering whether they are on the full time payroll and whether they receive regular wages or salary. In this case there was no evidence presented that Mr. Tobin was a full time -- on a full time payroll by Randy Heating -- Randy's Heating, and neither was there evidence that he was receiving a regular salary or wages.

The Department in this case did obtain the Employment Security Department records and for the time period in question from, again, the second



quarter of 2018 to the second quarter of 2019. During that time period Mr. Tobin was never listed as an employee of Randy's Heating, LLC. It is true that after the violation period Randy's Heating amended the Employment Security Department records, but that doesn't hear or remedy the fact that during the violation period Mr. Tobin was not an employee or a full time supervisory employee of Randy's Electric.

Now, further evidence would indicate that -indicates that Mr. Tobin was also not a full time
supervisor for Randy's. Supervision is defined by WAC
296-46B-100 or the word supervision is defined as the
supervising electricians on the same job site as a
trainee being supervised. So, the full time
supervisory employee has to also be, as well as a
member of the firm, also has to be available during
working hours to carry out the duties of the
administrator.

In this case Employment Security Department records also show that from February, excuse me, from the second quarter of 2018 to the second quarter of 2019 Mr. Tobin had another job. He was employed by Edlen's Electrical, and in the third and fourth quarter of 2018, and the first and second quarters of 2019, he was working more than 400 hours per quarter



for this other company. In the second quarter of 2018 he was working less, 293 hours for that quarter for Edlen. So, the evidence shows that a question one could ask is is it more likely than not that Mr. Tobin was a full time supervisory employee for Randy's when he was working at least for all but one quarter more than 400 hours a quarter for another company, and the evidence -- again, the Department's burden is only to show more likely than not, and, based on that, that would indicate more likely than not he was not available full time during working hours to be the -- to carry out the duties of an administrator.

So, Mr. Tobin also entered into a professional service agreement. There were two. One was dated 2016 and the other dated 2019. In that agreement the contractor did indicate that Leonard Tobin was a self-employed member; however, that is not -- the terms of that contract is not dispositive. As I've already indicated, the rules require that the members of the firm be listed on file with the Secretary of State and Mr. Tobin was not.

So, based on all of the evidence presented, the Department has met it's burden of proof and we would respectfully ask the Electrical Board to affirm.

Thank you.



1 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. All 2 Any questions from Board Members? right. BOARD MEMBER COX: Mr. Chairman, if I may 3 address the Appellant, Mr. Tobin. On an average day 4 did you go to Randy's Heating office? 5 6 MR. TOBIN: Randy's Heating was a start-up company. We were trying to get off the ground. 7 In the beginning when we first started we didn't have 8 9 electrical work. I only went when we had work to do. 10 My job at Edlen is a trade show and so it's sporadic, so Randy would let me -- we built up a list of things 11 for me to do, projects, and then when things got slow 12 13 at Edlen then I would go across the water and complete 14 those projects. It wasn't a -- It wasn't a normal 15 work week. There was times that I would work both 16 jobs the same day many times. BOARD MEMBER COX: So, what time when you 17 18 went to Randy's what time was that in the morning and 19 did you have a -- or was it an afternoon that you 20 would go there? 21 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: That's not a part of 22 our -- that's not part of our evidence or material. 23 That question can't be --BOARD MEMBER COX: All right. Strike that. 24 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Mr. Chair, I do have a 25



1 question, but prior to asking that question I need 2 counsel from the Attorney General. There's reference made in the package to being a 1099 and W-2 employee. 3 There's reference to amended tax and wage reports. 4 I allowed to ask questions regarding the 1099 and the 5 6 W-2?MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yes, so as long as it's 7 within the confines of the evidence --8 9 BOARD MEMBER NORD: That's where I'm a 10 little fuzzy. 11 MR. BLOHOWIAK: It's --12 (Speaking at the same time) 13 MR. BLOHOWIAK: It's -14 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Let me ask my question 15 and you ask -- let me know if I can continue down that 16 road. 17 MR. BLOHOWIAK: Okay. 18 BOARD MEMBER NORD: The question I have 19 after reviewing this is I see that there's filed 20 amendments to Washington state taxes, but it's my 21 understanding if you're a 1099 employee you're not 22 paying federal or social security taxes because that 23 is an employee tax that's shared by the employer and the employee. So, if you're reclassified as a W-2 24 employee somehow, I don't see any reference in here 25



1	that federal taxes were ever accounted for.
2	MR. TOBIN: They were all paid by Randy.
3	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Am I allowed to go down
4	that road?
5	MR. TOBIN: The W-2 or the W-2s that Randy
6	filed it shows that he paid them and the amount.
7	BOARD MEMBER NORD: I didn't see it; so,
8	that's where it's fuzzy. I see state, but I don't see
9	the (inaudible)
10	THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear your
11	conversation; so, either you're off the record or you
12	need to speak up just a little more so I can hear you.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yeah, sorry. So, you need
15	to you can keep the question whether it's in there
16	or not paid there, but other evidence regarding that
17	would be outside the scope of what we have to work
18	with from the OAH.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: That's what I thought.
20	I have no further questions.
21	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any other questions?
22	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Mr. Chair.
23	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Yes.
24	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Questions and comments.
25	I'm a little passionate about this. I read the case.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have the same credentials as you. So, Mr. Tobin, you gave the definition of employment in the middle of your brief there. Would you mind going back and referencing that again maybe.

MR. TOBIN: The Washington state definition of employment?

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah, yeah. I found that interesting. If you could repeat that.

MR. TOBIN: It is RCW 50.04.100, Employment means personal service of whatever nature unlimited by the relationship of master and servant as known to the common law or any other legal relationship performed for wages or under any contract calling for the performance of personal services, written or oral, expressed or implied.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you. So, as an administrator for a firm, you know, being in a supervisory employment position it's really hard to define that, especially in 2019 and '20 when I sat at home at my computer while a thousand employees, 01 electricians and 06s, are out there working in the field. There is a tier and there's a structure to an organization that provides that supervision to those I was a full time employee. employees. I was I dealt with any compliance issues. available. But



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not holding the hands of those electricians every day. And I share that because I think it's really difficult when you start thinking about, you know, does somebody meet that role as a supervisory employee, what does that look like from one firm to another.

It appears to me as I read through this that your definition you just shared of employment that he did meet that. He was compensated. I don't understand all the legalities of a 1099 versus a W-2. I don't understand all those, but when I looked at the situations and I read through this brief it appeared to me that he was an employee, he was being compensated, he was providing a service, as he testified to, and I don't think there's enough information in here for me to determine whether or not it was in a supervisory role or not. I see that they pulled his permits, I see they've got inspections, so he was satisfying the role as the administrator for I struggle with upholding the law judge's the firm. ruling on this case, just to be honest with the Board.

MR. TOBIN: May I add something?

MR. BLOHOWIAK: One moment, Mr. Tobin. And I just want to clarify this for the Board Members, RCW 50.04.100 is the statute provisions related to



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unemployment. The definition for employment it varies throughout the RCWs and in different categories. So, I just want to advise the Board that that is just one place in the Washington State Revised Code that defines employment, and so that the board members need to be aware of that when they're making their decision today.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah, I appreciate you sharing that. I'm not planting my flag on that definition, but as I read through this brief I see terms and conditions of employment that I recognize, you know, a contract that was put in place, compensation that was paid, the firm -- the firm being in compliance with permitting and inspections; so, I can see that that relationship was in place. Whether or not an employee is a 1099 or was it a W-2 I don't know that that defines whether or not they're an employee or not, but he was compensated, and if we are going to hang -- if we're going to hang him over, well, you weren't a supervisory employee, I would strongly oppose that because we learned through Covid that as a supervisor you were not present a lot of the You're still performing those duties and those roles, but you're not boots on the ground necessarily. You're not necessarily in front of those employees

every day.

The point that he had another job and, you know, worked 400 hours in a particular quarter for another employer that's a stumbling block for me, right.

There's only -- In a 40 hour work week there's only
516 hours in a quarter; so, that's a lot of time to be spending with another employer. But, again, I don't know what those shifts looked like. I don't know if he was working night shifts at one place and day shifts another.

MR. TOBIN: That's exactly what I was doing weekend.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So, I have a hard time saying that because you had another job you weren't a supervisory employee for another firm. I don't think that's strong evidence for me.

I am just -- I'm just sharing with you my thoughts, Board. I struggle -- I struggle with these citations and I struggle with the penalties associated with them when there's a lot of appearance that he was satisfying that role as the administrator.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. I've got a question for AAG Roth. Can you explain as to how your definition of employment is being defined?

MS. ROTH: In this case the Department is



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relying on its -- on the RCWs, specifically the rules, the electrical rules that I referenced, so WAC 296-46B -- just a moment -- 930 describes the criteria the Department has to look at in determining whether or not an individual is a full time supervisory employee. The rules state the Department shall consider whether they're on a full time payroll and whether the individual received salary or wages and similar to other employees, and so the Department did consider that specific criteria.

The other issue, which is really important, is to look at the definition of supervision. It means the supervising electricians on the same job site as the trainee being supervised. The importance and point of these particular rules is to ensure that the supervise -- that the full time supervisory employee or the member of the firm is available during working hours to carry out the duties, that they must -- they don't have to be working 40 hours a week, but they have to be available during working hours, which are typically 9:00 to 5:00, which that's not defined by the rules. It's just during working hours and the statute says during work hours. So, for the safety of the public and to ensure that these rules are complied with, there is this definition of full time



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supervisory employee. And, frankly, in this particular case when Randy's Heating did go back and amend the Employment Security Department records, they amended it to state that Mr. Tobin was work being 520 hours a quarter for Randy's and so then he's working 520 hours a quarter for Randy's and another 400+ hours for most quarters for the other company. So, the evidence is clear at least by a preponderance, and that's our standard proof, by a preponderance, that it's more likely than not Mr. Tobin was not available during working hours and was not performing the full time supervisory employee function during working hours. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much.

MR. TOBIN: May I respond to that?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: One second. I was going to grant this, that the context here we're dealing with as far as being a supervisory position and that's kind of the same thing I was looking at at the State, going to be a full time employee, to be a full time supervisory position he has to be available during the entire time that Randy's Heating is working, and in looking at page 229 of our packet, that's the -- it's the best summary I can find, this lays out all the hours that's been reported, and I noted the packet



talks about how the 520 hours was an arbitrary number 1 2 tossed back by Randy's Electric -- Randy's -- sorry, Randy's Heating in order to supply us that number. 3 But even then when I look at the Edlen Electric, as 4 mentioned multiple times now, the 293 hours in quarter 5 6 two, the 458 in quarter three, this is a full time 7 job. This is --MR. TOBIN: 8 9 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: He's working 33 hours a 10 week. 11 MR. TOBIN: We're not --12 (Speaking at the same time) 13 This is that time for us CHAIRMAN JENKINS: 14 to talk. 15 MR. TOBIN: I'm sorry. 16 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, I'm looking at 458 hours, that's a full time position, without you 17 18 working every single hour that week. That's -- that's 19 you're not available to another position, which would 20 have been the Randy's Heating. You're working less on 21 the job site. And so that's why I'm -- The actual 22 hours, as mentioned throughout the case, the 520 is, I 23 don't know, he says he arbitrarily threw a number on 24 it, that's in actual testimony. And, so, if that's 25 the case, we don't know how many hours he actually Page 41



worked for him. We don't know if he was available during all the work hours available, which by given to us that the heating company has been operating every day and then he shows up at different times of day to finish some portion of it, was he there for any of the other stuff that needed to be done? I'm arguing that it's not shown. It's not shown in this document. That's the problem I have with that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Like I --

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I look at different spots, see what the -- Maybe I can go back and show it.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Like I said, the Edlen Electric position is a stumbling block for me. But, as you said, the evidence isn't there to show that he was available for Randy's; it's also not there saying that he wasn't. You know, we don't know what hours he was working here. I'm an administrator. I'm available right now.

BOARD MEMBER TOBIN: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'm available, technology has gotten us to that place, and as an administrator, and there's a few administrators in the room, you're not out supervising trainees. You're not out supervising 01s. The 01s are supervising the



trainees. And there's a structure in place that administrators are to make sure that compliance is being held or responding to the Department appropriately and permits are being pulled. You know, we're not necessarily supervising field installations. We can, but that's not the primary function of the administrator. We're making sure we're in compliance, and we're responding to the Department appropriately, and following all the guidelines, and the company's following the guidelines.

I have a hard time with the evidence that are here saying that he didn't do that. Again, the Edlen Electric position doesn't help his case, but it's possible that he was working a swing. It's possible that Randy's was working a different shift. I don't know. He may have been available. But clearly I'm struggling. Clearly I'm struggling with the citations and the penalties associated with them because he had a contract, because he was compensated. I appreciate the fact that Randy's is the one that was pointed out to them they had a mistake in how they were doing it. They went and corrected it. They weren't trying to hide or, you know, run from it, it appears anyway.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: To add to my earlier statement, too, I was going through this, and if you



go back to multiple pages show this, but I'm on
page 222 of our book, of our packet, and in the
amended wage report for justification or reasoning of
why they had to fix things it's, "Because we were
paying him as a subcontractor." And you being a
subcontractor for a company does not make you a
supervisor of the company. You're being subcontracted
out. It also gives the administrator a loop hole, say
something went sideways, something went wrong, we'll
them take out of liability because, "Hey, I was the
subcontractor. I wasn't the administrator because I
wasn't paid as one. I was a subcontractor for him."
And then after all the jobs are done, you'll come back
and, "Oh, no, I was an employee. Everything went
well. We're good." I I just It just seems like
it was a side job trying to be a supervisor for this
contractor, not there for the entire business, but
just added income. That's all shown on the page we
were at, as far as I'm thinking about it. That's why
where I hang my hat, I guess.
BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Can I ask a

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Can I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Board Member Knottingham. There is a -- and I don't have the page



1 number, but you were paid \$500.00 a month, plus \$50.00 2 per job, and then on page 38 of the other report it says that they ask if anybody else did electrical 3 work, did anybody else perform electrical work for 4 Randy's Heating in the same time frame, and you 5 6 answered no. 7 So, I was the only electrician. MR. TOBIN: I did all the work. I was on every job with Randy. 8 9 When he was available, he helped me, which was most of 10 the time. I was not -- they're trying to I say wasn't 11 available during Randy's Heating working hours. working hours were the electrical working hours, 12 13 period. 14 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: You were paid for 15 the job. 16 MR. TOBIN: I was paid --17 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: \$50.00 per job? 18 MR. TOBIN: I was --19 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I'm sorry, per 20 permit that was pulled, correct? 21 I was paid more than what is MR. TOBIN: 22 described there because I was also paid for doing the 23 work. What we did there was we -- How would you say 24 it? We pulled, and at the time an administrator's license was -- we thought was worth about \$1,000.00 a 25



1	month just for the license part, but the him just
2	starting out, he couldn't he couldn't afford that
3	and that's why we went with the structure that you
4	described as far as the administrative part. Then he
5	paid me by the job for work I did as far as the
6	physical work.
7	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: So, what portion
8	was paid for the supervision administration or the
9	administrator master?
10	MR. TOBIN: I'm sorry?
11	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: You said that
12	\$1,000.00 is what you figured a master or an
13	administrator was worth?
14	MR. TOBIN: Yes, for signing that with the
15	company.
16	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Is that what the
17	other employees were being paid?
18	MR. TOBIN: There were no other
19	electricians.
20	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Just Randy?
21	MR. TOBIN: Randy was an apprentice, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any question from the
23	Board?
24	BOARD MEMBER NORD: On page 229 of our
25	packet Randy's Heating for the first, second, third,
	Page 46



```
1
     fourth quarter of 2018 references that Mr. Tobin
 2
     received $14,038.54 each quarter in compensation for
     520 hours. That works out to $26.99 an hour. Were
 3
 4
     you also paid that other on top of that, monthly
     (inaudible)
 5
 6
               THE COURT REPORTER: The monthly what?
 7
     sorry, the monthly what?
               BOARD MEMBER NORD: Monthly and by permit.
 8
 9
     So, my question is: I see here that you worked 520
10
     hours each quarter in 2018.
11
               MR. TOBIN:
                           I did not.
               BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, this is the
12
13
     document that you --
14
               MR. TOBIN: I'm just being honest with you.
15
     I did not work 520 hours.
16
               BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay, so this document
     is false?
17
18
               MR. TOBIN: Well, he did that after Mr.
19
     Chavez told him to change his --
20
               BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, my question is:
21
     Is this fraudulent?
22
               MR. TOBIN:
                           I don't think so.
23
               BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, you just told me
24
     it's not correct.
25
               MR. TOBIN: The hours -- What he's doing
                                                        Page 47
```



1	there is he's trying to say I'm salaried.
2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So
3	MR. TOBIN: He didn't have the hours.
4	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, he filed incorrect
5	documents with the State of Washington?
6	MR. TOBIN: I don't know that. I didn't
7	file those documents.
8	BOARD MEMBER COX: Question for Board Member
9	Nord. Is the employee, is he responsible for that or
10	is he a contractor?
11	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, this is where I'm
12	trying to get an answer to my question. Did he
13	receive the \$26.99 per hour for the 520 hours stated,
14	plus that, what was it, \$500.00 a month, plus \$50.00
15	per permit, did you receive both compensations?
16	MR. TOBIN: I would receive a direct deposit
17	biweekly, if I recall, and it was all lumped into
18	that.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, do you know what you
20	were exactly paid and how you were paid?
21	MR. TOBIN: I'd have to go back and look at
22	bank statements to see how much I was paid.
23	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Because the problem that
24	I have is looking at the documents I have they don't
25	make sense.



1	MR. TOBIN: I agree.
2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: And this is what your
3	employer has reported to the state.
4	MR. TOBIN: Yeah.
5	BOARD MEMBER NORD: After the fact, yeah.
6	BOARD MEMBER COX: So, Mike, I wonder if
7	we're getting into the minutia of things and it's
8	obviously he was compensated.
9	BOARD MEMBER NORD: But we don't know how?
10	BOARD MEMBER COX: Right, he was compensated
11	for it.
12	BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, was he compensated
13	as an employee?
14	MR. TOBIN: Yes. Are you asking me?
15	BOARD MEMBER COX: I think Board Member Nord
16	and I are having a discussion.
17	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yeah, we're
18	MR. TOBIN: Thank you.
19	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Do you know
20	BOARD MEMBER COX: I do, and I'm I'm in
21	the same position here because he is getting
22	compensated
23	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Absolutely.
24	BOARD MEMBER COX: for this.
25	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Absolutely.
	Page 49

1 BOARD MEMBER COX: Now, is this an 2 Employment Security Department technicality, 3 absolutely. 4 BOARD MEMBER NORD: I agree. 5 BOARD MEMBER COX: Is this really an issue 6 for something we may be hearing in October with 7 Randy's Heating? 8 BOARD MEMBER NORD: That's another point. 9 BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. This man was 10 looking for work, found work with a fella that was 11 starting a company. This is an HVAC guy. 12 bending tin. He's putting in A/C and heating units. 13 He needs to do the electrical work portion of it and 14 needs an employee to do that, enter Mr. Tobin, and 15 they create an employment contract to do what he needs 16 Randy would like to most likely be his own electrician, and it's in the transcripts here it is 17 testified that Mr. Tobin supervised Randy in whatever 18 19 electrical work he needed, and that's what triggered Inspector Chavez to question this whole affair 20 21 because --22 BOARD MEMBER NORD: I agree with you because it looks to me like the supervision was done, 23 24 he got a licensed electrician to do proper level 25 work --



1 BOARD MEMBER COX: Yes. The problem is how the owner of 2 MR. NORD: the company and that licensed electrician entered into 3 4 their employment agreement and how the compensation 5 was --6 BOARD MEMBER COX: Right. BOARD MEMBER NORD: -- going along with 7 Don's argument. 8 9 (Speaking at the same time) 10 MR. COX: Right. But is that -- We're 11 penalizing him that his employer made a mistake, 12 didn't do things right as an employer, especially a 13 start-up who may have been a tin man, I'm just 14 assuming, work for another HVAC firm somewhere, and 15 said, "Hey, I'd like to start my own company." He did 16 You're working a few hours to get started. know when I started my company about 30 years ago it 17 18 wasn't 40 hours a week, it was you do what you can do, 19 and in the evenings I did other things in the evenings 20 because I had to have an income. 21 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Absolutely, I agree with 22 you. 23 BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. So, are we -- are 24 we -- is the State penalizing Mr. Tobin on an ESD technicality that is the responsibility of his 25



employer, of the contractor, and Mr. Tobin as a master electrician is doing all the duties that he is called to do in the WAC and bylaws, the RCWs, he's called to do these supervisor duties, everything I read in here is that he did that. This is just simply a money game of who's doing what.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, to go along with that, if what I read in here is correct, he did fulfill every obligation as an 01, as a supervisory employee, as an administrator, yes. What it appears to be is a misclassification as an officer of the company, that's what I don't understand in here. That's where I'm kind of -- I don't know if I can go down that line of questioning.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Well, the other issue, too, is -- and this is at least the second, if not the third appeal I've heard in my tenure on the board where an administrator is being cited for something that an employer should have done and the contractor should have done.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: I agree.

BOARD MEMBER COX: So, I believe there may be some bills that need to be put forth and worked on to try to correct some of these technicalities that are penalizing our administrators instead of the --



but that's for another subject. The idea of going back all the periods of time that Mr. Tobin was working for Randy's Heating, I mean I'd liken that to getting pulled over for speeding. An officer pulls up my record and says, "Oh, I see you've got a speeding ticket three years ago, so you must be a speeder. So, every time, you know you go out driving, you must speed, so I'm going to write you a ticket for every time you've been on the road." That doesn't make sense. It's like write me for the violation that happened, not go back for two or three years of operation.

So, I -- I think the point of this is did this administrator do his duty as an administrator? Did he supervise employees, which was Randy, did he pull the permits, did he make sure that the inspections were called for, and from what I see in the transcripts --

BOARD MEMBER NORD: And I agree.

BOARD MEMBER COX: I mean that's where I am on this. I think this is an ESD issue for the -- for Randy's Heating.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, by pulling the permits, by supervising the work, by doing the work, he has fulfilled his obligations as an administrator, we're in agreement on that.



1	BOARD MEMBER COX: Yes.
2	BOARD MEMBER NORD: The only thing that we
3	don't know based upon working the math and what we see
4	here is how the employer and the administrator what
5	their agreement was and how he is a is he an
6	officer of the company, is he an employee, is he
7	somehow on board with the changes that were done in
8	paperwork to Employment Security, what was the actual
9	compensation for, what
10	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Well, we know We
11	know he's not an officer of the company and we don't
12	even need to bring that up again because he's not an
13	officer of the company. So, the question really is
14	was he a supervisory employee. I believe he was.
15	Regardless of his side hustle with the other company
16	or vice versa, he was compensated, he had an agreement
17	to be compensated, he performed the duties.
18	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, going along with
19	that argument, I would say that Randy's Heating was
20	MR. TOBIN: I
21	BOARD MEMBER NORD: that they were
22	performing work on a customer's
23	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: If
24	(Speaking at the same time)
25	BOARD MEMBER NORD: they worked weekends,
	Page 54



1	nights, stuff like that.
2	MR. TOBIN: I did.
3	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. So, you were
4	readily available at all times that work was being
5	done by Randy's Heating?
6	MR. TOBIN: Yes.
7	BOARD MEMBER COX: And In my previous
8	conversation with Director Sacks from my duties
9	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Board Member Cox, I just
10	want to Your conversations with the Director of the
11	Department of Labor and Industries are not part of our
12	packet.
13	BOARD MEMBER COX: Very good. Thank you,
14	counsel.
15	MR. BLOHOWIAK: And, so, you know, we're
16	keeping it to the facts there.
17	BOARD MEMBER COX: Very good.
18	MR. BLOHOWIAK: So, thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I guess our questioning
20	right now I think is the employment portion of it, and
21	my the part that I still have a hard time with is
22	Article 296.46B.100 and determining whether I'm
23	sorry, WAC rules, 296-46B
24	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, can you
25	speak up just a little bit?
	Page 55



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I'm sorry, it's WAC 296-46B line 30, and in there it says, "Determining whether an individual is a full time supervisory employee, the Department will consider the following individual -- whether the following individual is on the electrical contractor's full time payroll, receives a regular salary or similar wage as to other employees, has supervisory responsibility for work by the electrical contractor, electrical telecommunication contractor, and carries out the duties of the chapter", and I would argue that during the time that he was actually there, yeah, he was a supervisor doing the job, but was he there as a full time employee doing all the work I'm saying I disagree.

I'm seeing that the contractor is -- what's it called, the heating company, his operation is happening throughout the day and throughout most of the day, and I understand your opinion about cell phones, but he is working for another contractor, and so is he available there to take care of supervising and supervisor's conditions while the other company is operating? I'm saying no, he was working another job, and me being the other company I'd be pretty upset that I had an employee being a supervisor for a



separate contractor working on my job still trying to work on the other job.

MR. TOBIN: May I address that?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: No, not yet. So, that's my -- that's my comment. I hadn't seen a full time employee on one end, and as he a full time -- is he a full time employee of the heating company? I'm saying no. He might be full time in his mind during the electrical portions of it, but is he a full time employee of the heating company, no, he's not.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: And I believe what makes him a full time employee is the agreement that they had of \$500.00 a month to be their administrator. That makes him a full time employee. Now, what you're questioning is what's the day-to-day operations for that -- for that company. Does he need to be there 9:00 to 5:00 every day or -- because this is a heating company, right, they don't necessarily have electrical needs every day, but when they do have electrical needs they got somebody on staff full time that can take care of that and supervise their employees for the electrical installations.

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I believe he was paid under a 1099 and that's an independent contractor, isn't it?



1 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Correct. 2 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: That's not an employee. That's an independent contractor. 3 He's operating and that's the way he's getting paid for his 4 administrative duties. He's not an employee by the 5 6 1099. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So, I stated that 7 earlier I don't understand the difference between a 8 1099. But, in my mind, in layman's terms I see that 9 10 as a condition of employment. There's an agreement 11 I think it meets the spirit of an employment agreement in my mind. He provided a personal service. 12 13 He was compensated for those services. 14 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: I believe 1099's are used a lot of times for misclassification of wages 15 16 for ways for people to get around paying taxes. There's a lot of reasons for it. 17 18 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yeah. 19 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: And there's 20 legitimate reasons. 21 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I know contractors 22 that have employees that they're under a 1099. 23 BOARD MEMBER COX: And that -- Again, that's 24 an ESD issue which when a contractor, Randy's heating, 25 was informed by the Department that it needed to be --



1 it couldn't be that, couldn't be a 1099, he did the 2 right thing and corrected that. He corrected the mistake. 3 When he --4 BOARD MEMBER NORD: The mistake --5 BOARD MEMBER COX: 6 (Speaking at the same time) BOARD MEMBER NORD: Right, is he properly 7 licensed and prop -- you know, following the Revised 8 9 Code of Washington and the WACs, and they administerd 10 that, and according to what Chairman Jenkins just read 11 Mr. Tobin met that criteria. Now, whether it's a 1099 12 or a W-2, that's for the payroll side of the 13 contractor to work out with ESD, you know. 14 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: So, Randy did 15 amend it, tried to correct it, but he didn't correct 520 hours for each quarter, you know, it 16 wasn't -- it wasn't accurate. It wasn't close to 17 18 being accurate according to the testimony from Mr. 19 He paid more than he needs to. 20 BOARD MEMBER COX: That's not Mr. Tobin's 21 issue to fix. This is between a licensed contractor, 22 a licensed employer, registered employer in the State 23 of Washington properly filing the documents. 24 contractor just throw some numbers together, and, to

Board Member Nord's point, none of it's fraudulent,

25

but he just throws some numbers together to get what the State needed to make the changes, we don't know that. We don't know if these are accurate documents. We know that the documents in front of us that the State has received these have been provided by the Department. That's not for Mr. Tobin, the person who's essentially being penalized for what his employer did or didn't do. He still carried out what Chairman Jenkins just read in that WAC, which was to supervise and make sure he carried out his administrative duties.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Well, can I point out that on page 248 -- 228 there's a records custodian affidavit that said, "I also certify that the enclosed employment history is a true and accurate statement of information found in the Department's wage file regarding the above-named individual for the time period of January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019." So, in order for this records custodian to make this statement for the state, to have to be paid to the state, this is a correct and non-fraudulent number, I have conflicting information.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Right, but who provided that to the state, was it Mr. Tobin or was it -BOARD MEMBER NORD: We don't know.



BOARD MEMBER COX: We don't know that. But I assume it's the employer.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: We can't assume.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Exactly. But as a business owner my employees don't send this kind of information to the State. My front desk people do.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Correct. But as an employer you would have a discussion with the employee.

MR. COX: Yes, I would.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: I know as a treasurer of a labor organization if I file a 1099 I'm not paying social security taxes, okay. If I go back and I make a correction to federal or a state tax document, an official document, I have to ensure that I discussed it with the employee and we're on board together with what it correctly should be because when I recertify that and I say absolutely this is the way it is it's going to affect his bottom line as far as what he's paying the taxes for. And once again in this all we have is a record to the state. We do not have records to the federal government. So, we do not actually know whether he had been reclassified as a 1099 to an employee or is he still a subcontractor.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Agreed.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Also as a supervisor with your licensing as a supervising employee it's your requirement, your job to know what you're doing as far as legal goes to know that you are being classified. You're supposed to be checking this. It's part of your licensure, certification with the State of Washington. You are a supervisor, you've gone through and made sure everything was correct, and you make sure everything is done and applied correctly. is part of when you become a supervisor, when you get that, what do you call it, supervising journeyman. And so his responsibility is to make sure that this is done correctly, and at this point he was -- has gone through the contract acting as a subcontractor, and doing that he should have made the subcontracting business with insurance, and liability, and actually started doing work for this contractor as a subcontractor, as he was paid, but he was circumventing that by saying, "I'm an employee kind of, but I'm a subcontractor so I can avoid this and that." I don't know the motive behind that and it's not part of this packet, so I don't know, but circumventing that and then when it was called on the carpet all of a sudden he now becomes an employee to suffice one or the other. My thought was you can't



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have both and you can't go back and correct something that you've knowingly or unknowingly done in the past.

This has been done, he created this issue, and now we're trying to correct something that's -- I go back to my earlier statement about having a problem on a job site, having a fire, having some -- some damage. As the owner of the business approached Mr. Tobin and says, "Hey, look, this happened. You're a supervisor", there would be an easy way to say, "No, I'm not a supervisor. I'm an employee. I'm a subcontractor", or vice versa. Randy's Electric saying, "Hey, no, that's -- Man, that's not me. That's not my contract." You have a subcontractor doing that, or whose insurance, who's liability is taking care of all this? During that time frame, It could have been sloughed off either way and really it's the public that's in hazard during that time frame, and so -- and I think it's a violation, and by allowing that it may open future situations with other people trying to do I want to call it side work working for a contractor, yet they're not there, when they're there they think they should be, it just creates, opens a situation where I, "No, I think this is a side job doing side work", and avoiding whatever they're trying to avoid in this



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contract, otherwise it would have been done correctly as an employee.

Just to bring it back into reality, we have the documentation on page 218. This is one of the multiple pages, actually it's on page 219 of our packet, and let's look at it. He has recognized employees of the company and during that time frame he's not on this list. They both knew during that time frame he was not an employee. And Mr. Tobin has a supervising license; he knows he has to be either part of the firm or an employee or he's not upholding his license, he's not upholding the certification he So, he's in violation of not knowing because he's not doing his job either way. And I get it, it's It's -- This was truly not intended, I get painful. that, but the reality is it's still a violation, in my opinion. And our job here is to make sure that we uphold the law. We are not here to give allowances because of our opinions. It has to be according to state law.

BOARD MEMBER COX: So, if we go down that route, how do we come up with going back two or three years of what's done in the past? So why not at the time that the violation is noticed, or considered, or other otherwise investigated by the Department, why do



we not provide citations for that instance? Why are we going back to every quarter that he worked? We can't correct it in the future, how do we go back and fight for it in the past?

MR. BLOHOWIAK: Board Member Cox, I think what you're talking about is more of a legislative question, a policy question, you know, how do we look at fixing this issue going forward. The reality is these are the laws that we have now. This is the information that you have to work with now. The Board, you know, gets the legislative update, has opportunities to provide input on revised codes and statutes, and, so, if that's something that the Board wants to work towards changing in the future there's opportunities to do that, but the Board just isn't in a place to make those types of rulings.

BOARD MEMBER COX: I'm not trying to make a ruling, counsel. I'm simply trying to clarify it.

So, with that statement in mind, can you quote me the RCW that says Labor and Industries' electrical program shall go back, how ever this applies in this particular case, do we have -- do we have a statute that says rather than citing for right now we go back and cite every previous quarter, can you provide me with that?



MR. BLOHOWIAK: Board Member Cox, I'm not counsel for the Department or for Mr. Tobin in this case. I'm counsel for the Board.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Understood.

MR. BLOHOWIAK: And so I was providing advice on, you know, how do we rectify this. If you have concerns about it going forward I think your question would be best directed to Ms. Roth.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Would that be --

MR. BLOHOWIAK: If you're curious about the exact nature of those citations, she may have more information for you regarding that. I don't think it's appropriate for me to provide that specific advice. I was just simply commenting on if you have larger questions about, you know, the ethics of this and how it looks, there's mechanisms for the Board to do that, but we do have to confine ourselves to the law that's on the books today.

good. So, if I may direct my attention to -- If I can look over the cart... I beg your pardon. Can you please provide me with the Washington statute that allows or directs the Department, the electrical program, to go back previous quarters rather than having a citation for the moment in time, if that



## makes sense?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROTH: I -- I understand the question.

I can get you the cite. I just need to go into my
phone and look at this reg. to find the specific
regulation, but the Department can go back two years.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Two years, okay. So, there is a statute then that --

MS. ROTH: It's either a statute or it's a Washington Administrative Code. I have to look for it. Sorry that I can't tell you the number right now, but there is -- The Washington state law does allow the Department to go back.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. Board Secretary --SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, if I may just clarify, I'm still unsure of my ability to speak up during these proceedings, but Board Member Cox, the two year period is at the time that the Department is made aware, we can go back, you know, that far. we can go back two years and write citations, but there's no specific RCW or a WAC that says we should It's at the discretion of the agency do that. depending on the individual case that we're looking at, and most of the time we do. Those instances are still a violation of the law at that particular time and the agency's responsibility is to protect the



1 contractors out there, which are you guys, and make 2 sure that everybody is abiding by the guidelines set forth in these RCWs. 3 I'd agree with counsel that if there's need for 4 change for the RCWs we need to look at that, but what 5 6 we have right now is how we enforce it because 7 that's -- that's our responsibility to the public. BOARD MEMBER COX: I simply wanted to make 8 9 sure that there wasn't an overreach by the Department 10 if there was a statute in place that allowed that. 11 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Exactly. 12 BOARD MEMBER COX: That's obviously being 13 clarified. Thank you. BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: If the violation 14 15 has occurred we need to go back two years, are you 16 restricted to doing those quarterly or could you issue a citation for each day that it --17 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: We can issue those 18 19 citations each day that they occur on each permit. So, if there was not a permit purchased or they were 20 21 in violation during that period we can go on a daily 22 basis per permit because there are violations on 23 different locations. 24 BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Why do we do a

quarter then?

25

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: We're actually giving you a little bit of education along with compliance. We're giving them a break virtually is that we're going to -- we're not going to -- for better words, we're not going to drop the hammer on somebody. We're going to make sure that we educate and make sure that we change the behavior for that contractor. In this instance, as we showed, they did it correctly, but he changed his behavior because he knew he had to change some things, right?

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Correct.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And so the whole reason for compliance is to change behavior, and but we have to do it in order to, you know, also protect the citizens and the other contractors that are abiding by those things. Does that answer your question?

BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Yes

BOARD MEMBER NORD: I have a question for the Attorney General. On our board packet pages 220 through 226 on the amended tax and wage reports each one of these Form 5208Bs the amount reported as a subcontractor is considerably less than what the corrected amount as an employee is for each quarter. Do we have any idea, was he shorted money as a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

subcontractor that was made up in considerable amounts as an employee?

MS. ROTH: We don't know. Mr. Tobin did not provide bank account statements. We didn't have evidence records that showed the actual amount he earned on a monthly, weekly, or quarterly basis. we had in the evidence is the contract that talks about there's a 2016 contract that was \$500.00 a month, plus \$50.00 per license. There was a 2019 contract that was \$1,000.00 a month. It didn't specify the amount to be paid by license. that was an omission in the contract. And then we have the other evidence, the other records presented, which were his 1099s that showed what he received each -- each year.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, correct me if I'm wrong, but without Mr. Tobin or the Randy's Heating submitting his evidence along with the amended tax and wage reports, his amended 1099 and W-2s to ensure this is correct, we do not even know if this information is correct. Is this a guesstimate also like 520 hours is a guesstimate? Is this actually true and accurate?

MS. ROTH: Well, the -- Well, the evidence presented, and as the ALJ found, there was not proof that Mr. Tobin was receiving full time -- was on a



full time payroll. So, we have 1099s that give an amount, for example, on page 220 of forty-nine thousand in a given year, and then we have the amended Employment Security Department records that show a quarterly amount of fourteen thousand, which -- which, if you multiply that, those amounts, it doesn't equal what was on the 1099. So, what we have is a lack of evidence and full time payroll or having a regular salary or wage similar to other employees.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: So, once again, we can't trust these records.

MS. ROTH: The ALJ did not find them to be persuasive or reliable.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: Thank you.

MS. ROTH: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'm on page -- What page am I on? I guess it's page eight of the Electrical Board packet. And, again, it's that right there. And, again, I'm focused on whether or not he was an employee of the firm or not. For me this whole thing hinges on whether or not he was an employee of the firm. 12.4.4, the Department of Labor and Industries and Leonard Tobin, Appellant, differed on material points. The undersigned administrative law judge finds the Department's facts persuasive.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Several facts support the Department's version of the events. Section A.: In 2016 Randy's Electric hired Tobin as a self-employed member and a 1099 employee receiving \$500.00 per month and \$50.00 per job requiring an electrical permit. A 1099 employee, in my mind that makes him an employee. Help me get past that, guys. It makes him an employee.

MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yes, Board Member Gray? BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For me, and I've been sitting here thinking about responding to Board Member Baker because I agree. understand his argument and I don't disagree with that A person can be -- in my mind can be a full at all. time employee of multiple employers. I've seen that and so I believe that can happen. So, I can -- I can get past that. If a person, though, is a 1099, they are a subcontractor. They may be an employee, but they're not an employee of the contractor that they're working for. So, Mr. Tobin, during the period of time he was a 1099 subcontractor, he was an employee of himself. He wasn't an employee, a direct full time employee of Randy's Heating or whatever the other company was.

Now, it appears to me that that was just an oversight perhaps on Mr. Tobin's part and on Randy's



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Heating's part, and it seemed like it was just a bit of a misunderstanding on what they had to do, technicalities related, and when they went to Labor and Industry or when Labor and Industry went to them and explained that that doesn't work, then they tried to correct that. So, the question for me not is the fact that was he not an employee of Randy's, because clearly he wasn't. A 1099 subcontractor is not an employee of the person they're contracted to. And so the question then, does that cover the sins if they tried to go do the right thing and go back in the past and correct what they had done wrong. To me, that's the question that has to be answered, and, if, we're not going to be accepting of that, that that was done with good intent and therefore we can look past what you did wrong before, then I think we can overturn the administrative law judge's ruling. Otherwise, I think we're going to have to uphold it because I think clearly the law is pretty clear he was not a full time employee of Randy's during this period of time, and so that's -- that's kind of how I resolve it in my mind. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you.

MR. BLOHOWIAK: And I would just like to clarify for the Board, again the Electrical Board



cannot provide any sort of equitable relief. You simply have to consider the evidence and the law at the time, and, if you find -- you have to determine whether or not Mr. Tobin was or was not an employee for the periods at issue and make your decisions off of that and if the facts support that.

The intentions of parties and their feelings regarding one another are not necessarily relevant to this discussion, it's just the nature of the authority that the Board has, and you have to take the facts as they were presented at the Office of Administrative Hearings and apply the law to them, and going beyond that and inferring intent, you know, if it's not within the packets you've been provided, it isn't appropriate. And, so, I just want to -- just want to advise the Board on that and to make sure that you keep your focus narrowed to the facts that are -- were allowed at the hearing and the law that the administrative law judge applied. So, I'm just trying to keep it focused.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much.

Before I miss this, are there any questions from anybody online right now? Can you hear everything and do you have any input? Okay. Yes.

BOARD MEMBER TUMELSON: James Tumelson.



Page 74

800.407.0148



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your question to Mr. Baker, at the bottom of page 220 what I -- I was reading this while you were speaking, did not know that Leonard Tobin was an employee. were not paying him or we were paying him as a subcontractor. We're trying to correct our mistake. I mean, I'm not intending to over-simplify anything, but if there was an admittance of a mistake and what the intent was is to rectify that, I'm just at a loss of where does that put the situation, you know. appears to me that there's an admittance of a mistake. I don't know if 1099 makes you an employee or not. sounds like it may not. It sounds like that was the intent, but it also, you know, from what I'm gathering, it sounds like if you're a 1099, you're not technically an employee, you're a subcontractor, period.

BOARD MEMBER NORD: And if you look at the dates, all these amended tax and wage reports were done long after the fact. They appear to be done after the citations were issued. So, had the citations not been issued would any of this ever have been done?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: And my comments to Mike was it's -- I think you mentioned -- I mean Kerry,

Board Member Kerry Cox where he talked about breaking



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the law earlier and going back and trying to fix it, and I'll use that speeding thing again, so you're caught speeding, "I didn't mean to. My odometer is off.", whatever the conditions are, it would be the police officer's allowance to yea or nay and supply the verdict on that. We don't have that kind of allowance to make a, "Well, I know the law says you were over 55, it's speeding, so we will let it go this time." Our job is to see you speeding 55 or more, yeah, then, it's you. It's upholding the law. don't like it as much as anybody else does, but the intent was there and he didn't intend to make it incorrect, but it was incorrect during that time I think they've all said that. They've tried to correct it. They tried to fix it and say, "Well, this is incorrect and we know it. Yep, we want to fix Okay, well, you still broke the law during the it." time frame, and the responsibility is really on the contractor and the supervisor during that time frame to make sure they've done it correctly.

Honestly, if I was a supervisor for a contractor and, then, "No, we're going to make you an assigned supervisor," I would probably, especially I have to assume now because I'm more biased now because of this, but it would be my job to check and make sure if



1 I was on the record that I was doing what I was 2 supposed to be doing. That's my job. And that was the missing part of this. So, that's where I stand. 3 4 Any other input from the Board? Anything else? The Chair would entertain a motion. At this point the 5 6 Chair would entertain a motion to affirm the ALJ's 7 decisions on this case? 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. 9 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: We need a second? 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any discussion? hearing none, all in favor for the Board to affirm the 12 13 decisions made by the ALJ in this case please signify 14 by raising your hand. One, two, three, four. 15 One, two, three. Opposed? 16 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Aye. 17 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: An aye is from --18 BOARD MEMBER BURKE. Dominic. 19 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Dominic. So, it's four 20 and four. Did anyone count? Did that seem correct? 21 Four and four, which makes me the deciding vote, and I 22 affirm that the OHJ -- OAH decisions were correct. 23 So, given that, the five to four voting number, I affirm that the ALJ's decisions on case number 24 ECHB000970, ECHB000971, ECHB000972, and ECHB000973, 25



1	and ECHB000974 be upheld. Thank you very much for
2	your time.
3	MS. ROTH: Thank you for
4	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: My understanding is the
5	Board has the ability to make adjustments to the
6	penalty fee; is that correct?
7	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: That I do not know.
8	MR. BLOHOWIAK: I will have to do some
9	research to figure that out exactly.
10	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Before we settle the
11	matter could you research that? I believe we've done
12	that in the past.
13	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Would now be time to take
14	a break? Court Reporter?
15	THE COURT REPORTER: Oh, yes, thank you. I
16	would appreciate that.
17	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: While we're kind of
18	looking into this, let's take a 10 minute recess, take
19	a 15 minute recess. We will re-adjourn at 11:05.
20	(Recess taken)
21	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Okay, it is now 11:11, a
22	little while longer than I wanted it to be, but I'll
23	put the Electrical Board back in session. So, before
24	we left to our break we asked counsel to look into the
25	possibility of reducing the fees associated with this



case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLOHOWIAK: So, I've taken a look at it. Because the Board voted to adopt and affirm the entirety of the administrative law judge's order the fees are set. Now, there are procedural mechanisms for the Board to go back and revisit that; however, I would caution that each of those reductions, if you want to make them, would have to be supported by substantial evidence which is -- and whether or not those violations did or did not occur and are supported by facts in the record. They can't be made based on, you know, the parties' intent, whether you disagree with how the statutes and the rules are It does have to be supported by the phrased. evidence. And, so, if the Board members believe that there are facts and evidence within the record that would support those reductions, I can work with the Chair and the Board through the process coming back to I just -- Again, you have to be able to point to specific parts in the record and in the record from the Office of Administrative Hearings and put them on the record here today for those reductions, and then each of those would go to a vote amongst the Board Members, as well.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Does anybody have





1	questions about that?
2	BOARD MEMBER COX: Chairman Jenkins, is the
3	\$1,000.00 fine, and this may be one for the chief, is
4	the \$1,000.00 fine the minimum fine for that
5	violation?
6	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Mr. Cox, it depends
7	on how you look at that, the number of violations that
8	we had, and that type of thing, and I would say that,
9	yes, it is. Statutorily, I think that there is a
10	lesser penalty that can be applied, I'm just not sure
11	it's applicable here, and I will make a decision as to
12	whether or not there's lesser penalties.
13	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Could I make a
14	comment? If you look at WAC 296-46B-915, which is the
15	civil penalty schedule, and then 12 on which is
16	violation of basic duties of the administrator, the
17	first offense is \$1,000.00, second is \$1,500, and each
18	offense after is \$3,000.00.
19	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay. Thank you.
20	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, all of these
21	would be treated as a first offense.
22	BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.
23	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: A minimum amount.
24	BOARD MEMBER COX: Thank you for that
25	clarification.



1	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. Any other
2	questions does anybody have for counsel or others?
3	All right. Hearing none
4	MR. TOBIN: I have a question. Erick, what
5	happened to recusing yourself?
6	MR. BLOHOWIAK: I don't that is an
7	appropriate conversation to have on the record.
8	Recusal is in the board member's discretion, and so
9	the board member has made that determination to not
10	recuse himself, and so we're not going to not going
11	to question that here today. The Board has made it's
12	ruling. Thanks, Mr. Tobin and Ms. Roth, for their
13	time today. Thank you.
14	And I'm not going to step on the Chair's toes,
15	but, Ms. Roth, but if you would please prepare a
16	proposed decision and order for the Board to review.
17	MS. ROTH: Thank you.
18	MR. BLOHOWIAK: And present it at the next
19	meeting.
20	MS. ROTH: And present at the next meeting?
21	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yes.
22	MS. ROTH: Thank you.
23	MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yes. The Chair Sorry, I
24	apologize to the court reporter here, the Chair and I
25	were discussing if you come to and draft a proposed
	Page 81

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

order and come to an agreement before the meeting, you're certainly welcome to submit that, you know, electronically or in other means. You don't have to come and present at the October meeting, if you come to some sort of agreement with Mr. Tobin before then.

MS. ROTH: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Tobin. At this point here we're on number or letter (f) on our documents, on our agenda for Pacific Northwest Electrical, Denial of Variance #18.06, Anacortes Marina Wiring Method, and this is -the matter -- this matter will require the Board to hold an original hearing. This means the Board's -the Board will hear live testimony, review exhibits in This is a much different type of hearing real time. than appeals the Board reviews on record from the OHA -- OAH, I'm sorry. As a result, this will require the Board to have special dissent meetings to facilitate this hearing.

It is my understanding counsel for both parties are present, and I further understand that the parties were asked to consult and bring dates for both a hearing on motions and for hearing on the merits. Ms. Kellogg, is that correct?



1 MS. KELLOGG: I'm sorry, I did not 2 understand that. CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I'm sorry. Are you 3 available to discuss dates for the Pacific Northwest 4 Electrical, LLC case? 5 6 MS. KELLOGG: I am. CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Okay. Is counsel for 7 Pacific Northwest Electrical currently present? 8 Once again, is counsel for the Pacific Northwest 9 10 Electrical, LLC, currently present? 11 Ms. Nancy Kellogg, did you discuss earlier about times and dates that they were okay with? 12 13 MS. KELLOGG: I did not. 14 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Oh, okay. All right, so 15 what we need to do today is we set a special date 16 where we can have at least the quorum present, and this is, my understanding, would be okay if it's a 17 18 telecommunications meeting; is that correct? 19 MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yeah. Just, again, I don't 20 want to step on the Chair's toes, but just to provide 21 some additional context to the Board, this is an 22 original hearing before the Board, before the 23 Electrical Board, so there will be live witness testimony, the rules of evidence will apply, and so 24 working with the Chair, it's the Chair's intent, and 25



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Board has the authority to do this, to request a judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings to come and preside over the evidentiary matters only, because there will be objections as to hearsay potentially and other evidentiary objections which the Board and their expertise in the electrical field may not be well-versed in the rules of evidence and the legal mechanics of that to rule on those, and so we'll be using the authority provided to us by the legislature to bring in an administrative law judge to oversee that.

I'm speaking with counsel for this case. It's my understanding that there may be some early motions to exclude specific types of evidence, they're called motions in limine, and so today we'll find a date for The Board will ultimately -- The Board will those. listen to those arguments. The ALJ, it's my advice to the Board, will rule on those. It's purely going to be evidentiary issues, but you will be able to ask questions at all of these proceedings. But it's the Chair's hope and my advice to the Board to let the judge rule on the evidence and then, you know, ask the questions that you need to ask, but leave that -- the legal questions of what evidence is admissible and what is not to the administrative law judge, they do

that every day, and rules of evidence are very complicated.

So, today we are just asking the board members to have their calendars out because these will be lengthy proceedings; so, we're going to special set these meetings and follow the procedures to set those, but they will be outside the normal schedule just because of the nature of this particular case.

So, I'll turn it back over to the Chair, and I'm very sorry to jump in there and just kind of talk over you, Mr. Jenkins. I just want to make sure everybody understands what's going on.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Is it the intent that this is going to take place in person or virtually?

MR. BLOHOWIAK: So, the first hearing on the motions is simply going to be legal arguments over whether or not certain evidence may or may not be admissible at the actual hearing on the merits. I believe that can be just as effective doing that electronically to make sure that it's easier for the Board to get a quorum, understanding that everybody has got busy schedules. But the hearing on the merits will there be live testimony? There'll be exhibits to review, but those -- that day or two, depending on the number of witnesses, those would be live and in-person



so the board members can see the witnesses, can review the exhibits, can ask the questions they need to ask, but just -- they anticipate that legal motions, I believe, unless they're -- and the Chair can answer for, you know, objections or motions, but those can be effective be it teleconferencing or Teams, as we've done in the past, if the Board feels that's appropriate, just simply to make scheduling easier.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, what I'm saying and what I'm understanding with that, from this whole matter, is we need to a schedule single day sometime in this fall, and eventually this all works the -- I don't know if it's called a schedule, but we would have actually two or maybe more days even starting 2023, so in early part of 2023, does that sound correct for you also, Ms. Nancy Kellogg?

MS. KELLOGG: Chair Jenkins, may I suggest that I approach opposing counsel to get dates when he will be available, a range of dates, and then bring them to the Board because otherwise you may come up with a date and opposing counsel, since he's not here, would not be able to attend.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: One second, please. All right. Thank you. Ms. Nancy Kellogg, what we'll do is just ask if you can speak with counsel for Pacific



1 Northwest Electrical and they can come up with some 2 dates, and what we'll do is we will e-mail the proposed dates to the Board and see if we can come up 3 with -- I'm assuming just one day -- a date for the 4 first one in order to facilitate our needs, does that 5 6 sound good to you? 7 MS. KELLOGG: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Okay. So, the rest of 8 9 the board members expect once again an e-mail to agree 10 or disagree with some dates. Yes. 11 BOARD MEMBER COX: Question, Chairman So, I see this is a denial of variance. 12 Jenkins. I'm 13 assuming this was a variance request of the 14 Department. The Department denied it and this is a 15 hearing of the Board? 16 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: From what I read, I hear I don't have the case. I don't read the 17 that to. So, there's nothing there yet. 18 case. MR. BLOHOWIAK: Yea, we're just very early 19 20 on in the process. The packets haven't been put 21 together. We really just need to get these dates 22 scheduled just because the parties know what this is 23 going to look like and it's very different from what 24 the Board has done in the past.

MS. KELLOGG: May I address that issue,



25

## Chair Jenkins?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Yes, you may.

MS. KELLOGG: I think it's important to let the Board know the Department's position, and the superior court's position, and actually the prior Board Advisor's position. The variance is not to be decided. The board has no authority to decide a variance and unfortunately that's what happened last time. What the board does have authority to hear is wiring methods and whether or not they meet the law under 19.28.021; so, that will be the issue before the board. And I can do a preliminary statement, if it would be helpful.

MR. BLOHOWIAK: Ms. Kellogg, this is Ben Blohowiak again. I think once we get dates from you and opposing counsel if there was to be -- if the parties want to do some sort of like trial brief for the board, that may be helpful, but if you think that that can be done through the arguments at the motion hearing, and opening statements, and closing statement, that's also appropriate, but we can certainly have those conversations off the record and if we need to schedule a time for that we certainly can.

MS. KELLOGG: Okay. Thank you.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. So, given that, I think we're now on to our next number, Departmental/Legislative Updates with Lorin Lathrop, and rule making updates for the WAC and for also the adoption of 2023 NEC. Mr. Lorin Lathrop, are you available?

BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: I am, Chair Jenkins.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. The floor is yours.

BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: Thank you, Chair Jenkins. This is Lorin Lathrop, electrical technical specialist working for the Department, and a quick update for the board members: We are entertaining two different rule makings as we get started here this The first one is a change to WAC 296-46B-995, which is how electricians are qualified to take the exam to become certified. With the coming apprenticeship rules in July of 2023 we're trying to address some of the issues that we had to make the process or creating ways to get scheduled for exams easier while we have provision from the law to make that goal simpler. At this point we are still working with our legal counsel about all that we will need to do and will want to do to make this the best transition we can for stakeholders, and we're hoping



to file a CR101 sometime in August, but, again, that 1 2 is based on information we're getting from our legal counsel. The -- I will (inaudible) 3 I'm sorry, I didn't 4 THE COURT REPORTER: 5 catch what he just said. 6 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Can you repeat your last comment, please. 7 BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: I said I would pause 8 9 there for just a second before we move to the next 10 problem, the rule making. 11 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER LATHROP If anyone has a 13 question. 14 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you very much. Ι 15 think -- Does anybody have any questions or concerns 16 concerning the -- I guess you'd say the CR101 opening up for the WAC 296-46B- 995? Hearing none, you may 17 18 continue, if you'd like. 19 BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: The second set of 20 rule making that the Department is looking at is for 21 the opening of the WAC to adopt the 2023 NEC. 22 2023 NEC goes to publishing in the next month or so 23 and we're looking at opening up the process, that is 24 to adopt that code at the end of 2023.

Our other reason for that is because we want to



25

1	encourage as much stakeholder participation as
2	possible that people will have more time to get their
3	hands on the new code to look at the changes and have
4	a more robust and realistic discussion that we do the
5	best adoption of the national electrical code and the
6	additions to the WAC that we can. The hope for that
7	is at the end of this year we will have the CR101
8	filed, as well, with the process meeting waiting until
9	December of 2023.
10	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, if I hear you
11	correctly, we're going to be opening the CR101 in
12	December of 2023; is that correct?
13	BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: The process would be
14	finished in December of 2023 with the adoption of the
15	code at the end of 2023, but we would be opening up
16	the CR101 December of 2022.
17	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. Okay. Does
18	anybody have any questions for Mr. Lathrop, for Lorin
19	Lathrop?
20	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I would like to
21	Lorin, if you could take a look and make sure that the
22	number that you quoted on that, 296-46B-995, is it
23	intended to be 945?
24	BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: I believe you are
25	correct. I believe you're correct. I Yes, I



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe it should be 945, excuse me.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. Any questions for Lorin Lathrop? Yes.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Is the target for adoption and implementation to be

July 1st? Isn't that typically the target for us is

July 1st?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Lorin, do you want to answer that?

BOARD MEMBER LATHROP: Yes. So, historically I went back through our electrical current newsletter and historically 2002 to 2005 and the 2008 code we adopted them somewhere from November to December of the year they came out. So, if they came out, the 2002, for example, and we adopt it, it came out in the beginning of 2002, we did not adopt it until November or December. For the 2014, the '17, and the '20 code you're correct, we adopted them in July 1st of that year. So, what we'd like to do is move it back that six months or so, give or take, so that we can more adequately evaluate the code, make sure that we don't have a lot of changes that we think that we need to make or unintended consequences, things that aren't working. With the 2020 code we were always kind of chasing our tails with some of the

1 issues with supply and demand and unforeseen 2 circumstances due to Covid. So, we are trying to avoid that dilemma again. So, the implementation date 3 would be in December of 2023 to January 2024, right in 4 that window at some point that makes the most sense. 5 6 We'll have more details exactly of what that schedule will look like at the October meeting for the board. 7 Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. Any questions 10 from the board? All right. Well, thank you very much 11 for your time. I appreciate that, Mr. Lorin Lathrop. 12 Secretary's Report, Wayne Molesworth, are you 13 available? 14 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I'm available, Mr. 15 Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. 17 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Board members, I'll 18 read the Secretary's report into the record. 19 budget, the budget report includes primarily data for fiscal year 2022 from July 1, 2021 through 30, 2022. 20 21 Due to end of fiscal year accounting, June 2022 data 22 is incomplete. As bills continue to be processed, we 23 expect an additional 200,000 to 300,000 in expenditures for June 2022 that is not reflected in 24 this report and it will reduce the electrical fund 25

reported below by that amount. A more accurate fund balance will be reported in the October meeting.

The electrical fund balance on June 30, 2022 was \$15,285,168.00, which is about seven times the average monthly operating expenditures. The average monthly operating expenditures for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2022 were \$2,220.182.00 compared to \$2,435,894.00 for the same period last year, which is a decrease of about 9.7%. Average monthly revenue for fiscal year 2022 was \$2,901,242.00 compared to \$2,690,944.00 for the same period last year, an increase of 7.8%.

June 2022 Customer Service, we had five -- or 51,326 permits were sold last quarter. 98.9% or 50,761 were processed online, which is a .4% decrease from last quarter. 99.9% of the contractor permits were sold online, which is consistent with the previous quarter. Homeowners online sales for this quarter is 88.1%, which is a 7% decrease from the previous quarter. Online inspection requests were 78.8%, which is a 2% decrease from last quarter. During this quarter customers made 93.4% of all electrical license renewals online which is a 2.5% decrease interest last quarter.

The key performance measures for this time frame



are percent of inspections performed within 24 hours of request, the goal is 86%. Fiscal year 2021 was 79%. Fiscal 2022, 77%.

Percent of inspections performed within 48 hours of request were 90% last year and 89% this year.

Total inspections performed 272,731 for fiscal year 2021, 261,919 for fiscal year 2022.

Virtual electrical inspections, VEI, performed last year, 12,149 inspections. For this year, inspected 27,342 inspections. That's over a doubly increased it by 100%.

Number of focused citations and warnings, contractor licensing, worker citation, no permit, failing to supervise trainees, anticipated total number is 4,136. And the field did -- last year did 1,731. ECORE did 4,370 for a total of 6,101 focused citations. This year the field did 1,430 and ECORE did 3,764 for a total of 5.194, or 5,194 total focused citations.

Inspection stops per inspector day, this is a workload indicator only, was 11 last year at this time and 11.7 during this time frame this year.

Serious electrical corrections that would result in disconnection, we had 41,436 in fiscal year 2021 and this year we had 37,023.



Turn around time for average plan set reviewed, last year in 2012 it was 1.6 weeks and 2022 three days. Electric plan review is doing a wonderful job, and the plan reviewers, sorry.

Plan pages reviewed, 4,973 last year at this time and 4,391 this current year. Was there a question? Okay.

Percent of warnings by focused violation type: Licensing 1%; Certification 36%; Permits were 53%; Trainee supervision 10%; all focused 9.4%.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Bobby Gray, did you have a question?

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

It's my understanding that we had a significant loss in inspectors due to the mandatory vaccination mandates. Have we seen the effects of that in these numbers here because I see, for example, there's more inspections per stop or per day than perhaps we were in the past. Is that being offset by the number of virtual inspections we're doing? And I'm also seeing a significant reduction in the number of serious corrections there. So, is there anything significant in those numbers we can read into that?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, what you have to consider a little bit with the number of inspections



being done in our 48 hour response time, which is our mandate, is that with our vacancies we have around 28 vacant electrical inspector positions. That's quite a few. That's being offset by the VEI inspections, which they're doing 18 inspections a day per inspector, and so last count last month I think was 35,000 or 3,500 inspections VEI did and so that's what is helping keep that 48 hour response time up where it is because there's no comparison to the field VEI. Nobody is doing anything wrong. It's the VEI doesn't have to drive the mileage, they don't have to make access calls, and so they plan their inspections and the customer actually makes their own appointments, and so everything goes just bing, bing, bing, right. So, that's what keeping our 48 hour response times up.

What was the other part of your question?

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Well, I see it looks like, and I don't know if it's significant or not, but there was a drop in the number of serious corrections that were identified and I'm just curious if the fact that either we're -- and I don't want to imply that the virtual inspections are not as maybe robust as the face-to-face type of inspections, but is it just a coincidence that's happening or is there something else you can point to that would show why we're not



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seeing as many serious violations as perhaps we were in the past?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, that's a tough question, right, because there are so many factors It could be that those types of that go into that. jobs and those corrections are not there anymore. Maybe we're doing our job and we're correcting those, We hope. So, but I don't think it's because right? VEI isn't as robust because we limit the types of inspections that they do and they're very focused on a different type of work so we can keep up with it, You don't see as many of those types of right. corrections on the type of jobs that VEI actually does as you would from a field inspection position. don't have a real good answer for you on why we see that difference.

One of the things we've been trying to get people to do and we have to take a look at what are those corrections that are -- you know, could be dangerous to property and personnel and that can result in disconnection, we have actually had some -- asked the inspectors to look at some of the corrections, decide if they've got that relationship with the contractor, have them fix them and let them know when it's done, right. And that's not for



serious things like grounding, sizing of conductors, and that type of thing, it's more for if you missed an outlet in the hallway and every other outlet in that house was perfect can you call that contractor and say replace that or add an outlet right there, and our opinion is, yes, we can do that, right, if you have that relationship with the contractor and it looks good. That might be playing a part in that. But we've had to make some adjustments because of the vacancy rate on that line and people are doing a little bit more of that. I will take a look to see hopefully we're not doing that on serious type corrections. But it's just one more way we're managing our workload at this point.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: So, if this, I guess what could be perceived as positive trends here if they continue, is there -- your strategy going to be continue to try to replace those vacancies or are you looking to maybe make it more efficient so perhaps you don't need to replace all of the vacancies.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, you know, that's another real good question because I think the vacancies we need them in the field. I think that you've got to have a relationship between field inspectors and VEI inspectors, and they compliment



each other, and the reason for that is because the compliance -- there needs to be a little bit more compliance in the field, and without those other vacants or without filling those other vacancies you're not going to have that. So, I think we need to fill it for a couple of different reasons. Number one, who would do the compliance. Number two, making sure that we're actually seeing the work that's out there. We're going to focus on that a little bit more in the future. I've already had a few discussions, but, you know, how many people go into a big box store and buy electrical equipment with no intent to have it inspected. We want to focus on that, right? We need more inspectors to focus on that and focus on the compliance that leads to that.

There's a lot of work that gets done in this state that we don't actually -- are not aware of, right, so to speak. So, I think it's important that we fill those vacancies and we continue to change our approach to how we're doing inspections to become more effective and more efficient.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Board Member Don Baker.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Along the same lines there with Bobby, a 10% decrease in expenditures, is there a story behind that? It seems like a



1 significant number. Maybe it's not. I don't know. 2 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Well, when you have vacant -- 28 vacancies out of that fund, that's quite 3 4 a dent, right. BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So, it's salaries? 5 6 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It's salaries mostly. 7 We have cut back on a lot of the travel, right, that we were doing, travel for training, travel for -- You 8 9 know, I don't travel as much as I should. Hopefully I 10 can in the future to go visit different offices and be 11 more visible out in the field. But there's a lot of things that in the past couple years of have reduced 12 13 our expenditures in those areas. But mostly it's the 14 vacancies. 15 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So, I'm assuming you go 16 through a budget process for the upcoming year. you set your budget based on actuals from the previous 17 18 year or do you keep those numbers where they need to 19 be in anticipation of filling those vacancies? 20 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, those are --21 those are looked at over a year period. So, it's 22 anticipated over the year. So, once we hit August, 23 last year's August will drop off, and so it looks at

that budget and those expenditures when it's

anticipating what it's going to be down the line.

So



24

25

far I've not seen where that's inaccurate and its been very accurate in what our budget has done, you know, plus or minus about one or two percent at the most, right.

So, somebody is pointing at the screen.

Is there a question on the screen?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: No, we have a blank. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Okay. Sorry.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: You mentioned you limit your virtual inspections and my understanding is that limitation is very limited. It's service finals. Could you explain elevators? I don't think you do virtual elevator inspections.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: No. And there's some things that we will do, depending on, you know, like let's take an elevator for example. If we've got a situation where we can't get an inspector out there and there are people that need to use it we'll have one of the more experienced VEI inspectors schedule that and we will take a look at it, right. But a lot of stuff that we look at has to be to where we can be in and out of that inspection within 15 minutes because that's their schedule for how they do their inspections. Some of them drag a little bit longer and we just move that appointment back a little bit.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But we're actually expanding a little bit on the scope of the work they look at. Now they're becoming more comfortable with that. And we might even have a chance to take a look at if we take one or two of the calendars and expand the length of inspection times that are available on the appointments, you know, what would that look like.

Brian Stenerson is the supervisor for VEI and he's done a great job of moving that program forward and looking at these different scopes. And we're in the process right now of hiring one more VEI inspector, so...

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So, last question. Ι want to make sure we get this on the record. time we have a meeting where are we at with compensation for our electrical inspectors and closing the gap between your inspection force and the industry just in the event that somebody in a position of importance actually reads the minutes? We have a gap with our electrical inspectors and our compensation package that we've been trying to correct for at least 10 years, which has created part of the disparity with 28 vacancies, and the slow -- When I look at your key performance indicators I see a trend going the wrong For the record, the chief is nodding his direction.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

head affirmatively he agrees. So, where are we at with getting our inspectors a proper compensation package so you have a better chance of retaining and recruiting quality individuals?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: First of all, nodding my head was recognizing your comment, so... So, unfortunately, I am limited. Because we have represented staff in the office, I'm limited by the Free Labor Standards Act as to how much I can talk about that in this forum. We do have things moving forward where we may be successful, you know, but to what level I can't tell you. I'm encouraged. You know, I've been involved in packages in the past for other programs, and really I'm getting a little bit farther than I even should because I don't want to give anybody any false hope that we'll be successful in that, that we have to allow their representation to bring them that information. And so I'd be happy to talk with you later. Unfortunately, I can't do it on the record.

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'd love to be part of the solution.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: What's that?

BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I'd love to be part of the solution.



1 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I'd love for you all 2 to be part of the solution. BOARD MEMBER BURKE: This is Board Member 3 I just wanted to add to the record that this 4 Dominic. 5 is a safety issue. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely. And it's 6 a -- Dominic, in many ways it's a safety issue because 7 our guys are working a lot of overtime, too, and 8 9 that's part of those -- part of that record, and so 10 we're keeping up because of that, and some working 11 more than they probably should for their own personal 12 health. So, we're working on that. 13 BOARD MEMBER BURKE: As a business owner, 14 you know, when you know about a safety issue and you 15 don't do anything about it it's negligence. want it in the meeting minutes every meeting that by 16 this not moving forward we're creating safety issues, 17 18 so... 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Love you, Dominic. It's also said that I 20 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: 21 think the whole board has been in concert with that 22 and that we all are whatever we can do to advance this 23 and make this a positive motion just ask the question 24 and we'll do what we can.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: And I think I can



25

say, or I'll just get in trouble, that we have had full support all the way up through Joel. Joel has actually gone physically to OFM, talked with the Director of OFM, had several conversations, and I'm encouraged by what I've heard back from those.

Dominic, your comment better not be sending me to prison.

So, we are trying our hardest to change those. Sometimes they're out of our hands because we don't get to make that decision; the legislature gets to make that decision. You get there by talking to Mr. Governor Jay Inslee and your representatives, right, making sure they're --

BOARD MEMBER BURKE: Your hands are tied, just as ours are, so I'm hoping people read these minutes and I hope they take heed to them because we're doing our whole -- we're doing the electrical industry a disservice right now.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely.

BOARD MEMBER BURKE: And we need their help. We need the legislative help, so...

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, you guys may notice that we've had vacancies for a long time, and I'm going to mention something here because I want you to know my stand on this is that I am a little worried



about the industry and I want to make sure that the guys we're hiring are the guys that we need out there doing the inspections. It doesn't do us any good to fill the seats with people that don't know what they're looking at, and so we have to make sure -- We've got some guys in the room right now that are -- that we've hired that go out there and do that job, but we get a lot of people that can't tell me the definition of some very basic terms that we need to have to be able to explain our corrections to our people. That comes from a lack of wages, right, not sufficient compensation, and so we'll get there. But any help you guys can give us in any way would help.

I think that we've got something going right now that I think will be positive and some other things in the works, so...

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. Thank you.

Anymore comments from the Board?

BOARD MEMBER COX: Maybe a point of correction. In the Secretary's third statement he stated as bills continue to be processed we expect an additional 200,000 to 300,000 in expenditures. I'm assuming that he intended it to mean \$200,000.00 to \$300,000.00, so just for the record.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Oh, what did I say?



1 BOARD MEMBER COX: You did say 200,000 to 2 300,000, without indicating if that was units, or euros, or --3 4 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Oh, okay. BOARD MEMBER COX: But it is dollars. 5 6 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: \$200,000.00, you're 7 exactly right. Thank you, Mr. Cox. And those are from -- they're for wage increases that we have 8 experienced and things like that to cover those costs, 9 10 so... 11 BOARD MEMBER COX: I also noticed that there was a continuation report on the back side of this we 12 13 didn't get to. 14 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yep. I'm headed that 15 direction, but thank you very much. Licensing and Citations: Service locations have opened across the 16 state, but experience intermittent closures due to 17 18 staffing issues. We are working closely with those 19 officers to streamline work and continue to have an open line of communication to help ensure timely turn-20 21 around while maintaining high accuracy processing 22 documents. 23 We have seven full time licensing staff positions and two full time citations desk staff 24 positions. Two licensing staff members are currently 25



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

helping other work areas within the program that are short-staffed. We are in the process of hiring for one position in licensing and one position in citations due to retirement and advancement opportunities within Labor and Industries.

Licensing has seen an uptick in backlog due to the reduction of staff. We expect the back log to decrease as employees are hired, trained, and moved back to their regular assignments. The oldest document in the backlog as of June 17, 2022 was -- or as of yesterday was June 17, 2022.

Quite a difference from eight weeks, and some of the things that you guys were hearing and that our stakeholders were hearing is that it was taking that long. We put an emphasis on processing documents because those are people waiting to take exams. They need to get credit for their training hours and wanting to move on with their careers. Just as important, if not more in cases, is getting our inspections done.

We've gone to the regions because of the numbers on the front where we talk about number of documents we process on the internet. We've gone to the regions and asked for some FTEs back from the regions so that we can staff internally to make sure that we have



staff in the central office to process those documents in a timely manner.

I also want to tell you that the licensing staff has done a great job of creating new processes that

has done a great job of creating new processes that allow them the time to actually clean up their backlog. There was a time when there were 1,300 documents behind, and currently I think they're down to maybe, I'm guessing at this number, somewhere around a couple hundred, right, and so they've really shortened up their processing time and they've done a great job of creating some of those processes.

Testing lab report, no new testing labs.

Do we have any questions from the board?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any other questions from the board? I think we've exhausted them all.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: They got tired of me stumbling over my words.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. Given that, thank you very much for your time, I appreciate that.

Let's move on to our item number six,

Certification/CEUs and Quarterly Report. Technical

Specialist Larry Vance, the floor is yours.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you,
Chairman Jenkins. For the record, my name is Larry
Vance. I'm a technical specialist for the Department



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Labor and Industries. Today I'm going to give you a little information about the first time pass rate for the 01 exam. It's consistent with previous years There was 1,004 attempts, first time About 53% of the folks that passed the attempts. attempt at the open book exam passed the open book exam on the first try and that is also consistent with years of previous data. So, you look at that -- you look at that number and we talk about the first time attempts, but how many people became certified? you were all sent this information, but if you do a little bit of math there and look at the number of people that passed the exam for that calendar year, there was 851. This is just a snapshot. I mean had this data been pulled for the next day it might have been 50 people that went in there and passed the exam, so it might be 900. It could have been a 200 person apprenticeship class that went in there and spiked it, we don't know. But I'm just kind of throwing that number out there.

And something else I'd share would be we've had a lot of conversations internally about, you know, the supply of electricians. Where are all these electricians at? Everybody always want to know, where are the electricians at. So, if you look at the data



there's about 18,000. There's about 18,000 01 electricians certified by the State of Washington. Great.

So, then, well, let's sort them by where do they live, and we find that there's about 4,000 of them that live out of state, have out-of-state addresses.

Okay. So, then you look at who's not -- who's out of state but who's not in Oregon or Idaho, our border states, that's about 1,000 folks, okay. So those would be your true travelers or something to that effect.

so, you've taken that 18,000 and you've boiled it down to 14,000, so that's -- you can say that there's 14,000 living somewhere in the state of Washington. But then looking around this room I see a whole bunch of electricians that are counted in that number that aren't out there working, they're doing something else. So, you take that 14,000 number and you boil it down further, and I'd entertain a guess as to how many electricians that there are like me that hold a certification, but I'm not out there productively installing. I don't know if that number is 2,000. I don't think I'd be too far out of the realm if I said 2,000. I might not be too far out of the realm if I said 4,000.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, now all of a sudden you're down to 10,000 electricians in the state, 11,000 electricians in the state. And then the next question comes, "Well, are they all working? Where are they working?", and then that gets really -- you know, there's just not a lot of data out there. But this kind of rolls into the apprenticeship conversation and everything, you know, "Well, how many apprentices -- how many apprentices are there going to be when the dust settles?" and that sort of thing. So, it wouldn't be -- it wouldn't be the number of apprentices based on the number of certified electricians in this state. It would be the number of apprentices that the industry would need to support the number of actual electricians working in the state, right, and enough to replace -- I'm always reminded by the apprenticeship section that apprenticeship starts with a job, you know. There may be a lot of people out there that want to be an apprentice, but they don't have a job and so they sign up to be an apprentice. You know, we hear things about waiting lists and that sort of thing. But these are the folks that don't have jobs. These are people that are looking for something. So, I just thought I'd kind of throw the wonky budget numbers out there just for fun.

We continue -- The other report I send out, we continue to have our exam available nationally and there is a large number of people that take an exam before they come to Washington and they're just -- they come with -- that ability with psi is very valuable for people to be able to get certified where they live before they come to the state where they can just come to the state and go to work. So, that's been very valuable. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Bobby Gray.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Maybe just one regarding the adoption of the next code cycle. So, I assume that will trigger some automatic review of the current exam and update it?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: It does. It does several things. It's a huge amount of work. Not only do we have to update the exam, go through and review the exam questions and update anything that's changed, maybe add a few more questions, we also have to go in and update our correction database that our inspectors use. So, we've got about a 3,500 item pick list and we have to go in their and edit that pick list. So, generally, the exam -- I wish Lorin were -- Is Lorin still on here? I was going to say that Lorin will get that all updated, but I guess I can't say

that because he's not on here. It's generally about 1 2 we try to get it done within a year, within a year of adoption that the exam will, you know, be reviewed and 3 But, you know, the code does change, but 4 be updated. it's only just -- it's a very slight change that 5 6 doesn't really affect the overall question bank of the 7 exam. BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Is that something that 8 9 perhaps could be considered to be contracted out to 10 maybe a previous technical specialist that --11 (Laughter) TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: 12 That's a 13 brilliant idea, Board Member Gray. I would certainly 14 think that that would be something that should be 15 considered, yes. 16 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Whether or not he would do it is the other question. 17 18 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, a quick question. Maybe you don't know this answer. It might be more 19 20 for Mr. Lathrop. What's our typical sort of time from 21 the adoption of the code versus the test ready for the 22 new code cycle? 23 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: On a rocket 24 ship and see ya. We tried it. We tried to get --

It's just a resource issue. So, you got to get -- No



25

matter what, when the new code becomes effective the correction database for the inspectors has to be ready that day. So, that's kind of your first wave. And then the next thing we start working on is going through all of the WAC, RCW, and the code questions in our -- in our exam, and that's thousands of questions, and so that's kind of the next thing. And, then, again, since -- You know, the exam, the open book exam is not based on what's new; it's based on foundational issues in the code. So, it's not a -- we're not creating any kind of safety risk by not having an exactly updated exam, so to speak.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: So, as far as expectations, since we've mentioned it, the code will hopefully be adopted by December-ish two point twenty-three, maybe as late as January. We're looking at about the same time, maybe a little earlier in the following year, getting the exams ready.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yeah. And we will keep everybody up to date through our news letter and, you know, that sort of thing. It's just with -- as Lorin mentioned, with the 2020 code there were so many things that were affected by supply chain or continue to be affected by supply chain. There were some things where they maybe didn't have somebody in



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the room needed when they -- when they -- you know, certain things -- certain things were updated in the code, manufacturer problems. So, like Lorin said, we are trying to just give a little bit.

We kind of found there's -- there are great disadvantages to being one of the first to adopt it. I mean you're diving with both feet into the fire and you get to notify all of the other enforcement agencies about your struggles, and they sit back and they watch, and they -- you know, as they approach adoption they're able to maneuver by your -- by your experience, and we like to -- we want to have -- with all that's going on, we want to have everybody, contractors, electricians, we want everybody to have time to look at the 2023. That's one of the things that we don't get. We put out -- We advertise for code proposals, but we don't get it. It's kind of --It's troubling because we don't want to be enforcing the code through -- you know, with a pen writing corrections. That's not the way we want to roll out a So, we want to give people six months more time code. and have people have time after publication to immerse themselves in it. So, hopefully people do that.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Thank you. Any questions from the board?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER COX: I have a question for Larry. On your commentary on the where are the electricians, specifically your comments about apprenticeship programs and the apprentice doesn't have a job when they enter in, can you clarify?

Obviously you're getting that from your data numbers, but can you clarify that statement that they don't have a job?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Well, we hear things -- We hear things out there where people say there's apprentice -- there's apprentice waiting lists, apprenticeship doesn't have capacity. Well, it's not about capacity. Those apprentices don't have They're not a registered apprentice yet. They're somebody that's waiting to become -- they're waiting for a job and waiting to become a registered apprentice. So, it's not a matter of a lack of capacity in the apprenticeship because apprenticeships only train apprentices who have jobs. That's the key. So, we hear things. We hear things where, you know, "I went to Brand X apprenticeship, and I'm on their waiting list, and I can't become an apprentice because they don't -- they don't have room for me right now." The reason they don't have room for you right now is that you don't have -- they only train people who have



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jobs. They only train apprentices who have jobs that are employed, so...

BOARD MEMBER COX: In the electrical trade.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Right.

BOARD MEMBER COX: Okay.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Right. So. there's kind of this thing out here, it's there's so many -- there's -- we spend a part of our day every day talking about the difference of what an apprentice is and what a trainee is, and an apprentice is somebody that's in a registered apprenticeship program and they also have them to have a training certificate. So, every apprentice is a trainee. then a trainee is just somebody that's got a trainee card and they can work. They can gain -- they gain work experience on the job and they can take basic classroom instruction courses or whatever unstructured education they want to take. But there's a very big difference between an apprentice and what a trainee is, and some -- all apprentices are trainees, so...

BOARD MEMBER COX: The reason for my question is I had an individual who was a friend of one of my children that was employed at one of our local factories and wasn't satisfied with his wage, and many I talk to I try to encourage the



apprenticeship program to get into the trade because we want electricians, we want tradesmen and women in those trades, and so I looked through the -- at the time there were three different programs, picked one of the better ones out of Vancouver and gave him the packet of materials. So, he was already employed looking to improve his lifestyle by becoming a certified electrician trying through an apprenticeship program. So, I'm just curious about your comment on they don't have a job, because he did, and he was wanting to move and find out what was involved in going to the apprenticeship program.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Right.

BOARD MEMBER COX: I wanted to make sure there aren't hinderances to the apprenticeship to getting new folks in the apprenticeship program if they aren't already a trainee in the industry, they're coming from a different line of work, whether that's factory work or minimum wage work, to get them into the trades where he's still a young person that has --you know, has an aptitude for that.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Right. So, the relationship is is that apprentices are employed by what's known as training agents. Training agents are electrical contractors who employ apprentice and



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

journey level workers. So, you can be -- if you're working for a contractor who is not a training agent, you don't have a path to becoming an apprentice other than if you leave that contractor's employ and go to work for a contractor that is a training agent.

So, and what that individual that you speak of, he left the Clarkston area, I imagine, and went to the Vancouver area and he is now probably on a list with an apprenticeship there, possibly, waiting for a job in the electrical construction trade, or maybe he went out -- it varies at how people in between business owners that are involved with a collective bargaining agreement and open shop contractors as to how -- what the kind of the funnel going into apprenticeship looks like, do you apply to the apprenticeship or do you just go get a job with a contractor who is a trainee and how do you end up -- how do you end up in an apprenticeship role and it varies. But when that person gets a job with a training agent and becomes a registered apprentice, because they'll have to be, a training agent can only -- in a given occupation, which is like the 01 journey level is an occupation, the residential 02 is a separate occupation, so you could have 01 apprentices and be running trainee 02s as long as you're not a training agent for the 02



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

standard for that apprenticeship.

So, long story short, that person will -- you know, they'll be an apprentice once they have a job and once they become a registered trainee, registered apprentice, once they register in the program. it's all -- This is a lot to unpack for people though because you've got all of the trainees out there. You've got about 2,800 contractors, 2,800 01 contractors. Now, there's not a very big percentage of that 2,800 contractors right now that are training agents, I think about 800, somewhere in there. That's just kind of a spit ball. It was about 700. I think it might be about 800 now. But there's also a lot of very small shops out there that there's an 01 electrician that went out and started a shop, they may have two, three employees, and what they do is they do primarily residential work, primarily. I mean that's the bread and butter. That's the -- I mean if you look at all electrical work that's done in the State of Washington it is residential work. So, that doesn't mean that that 01 general electrical contractor needs to become a training agent and needs to have apprentices, you know, working to become 01 electricians because they really only need to become residential specialty electricians because that's the



market we're in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, it's a lot, and it's coming. And this rule making coming up in WAC 296-46B-945 that's going to lay out kind of two years of pathways to get to become qualified for the 01 exam without completing an apprenticeship. You know, it's going to get some people through. And then after that the apprenticeship requirement comes in. So, we've been talking about since the law passed in 2018. trainee gets a notification when they become a trainee, when they review. I mean every time a trainee interacts with us in some way, pays its money, renews, does anything, they get a letter that tells them that this is coming. And you'll notice that they're up there in the front up there. There's some green cards coming through the door there. We've had about 20,000 of those. On one side is information for the contractors. On the other side it's information for trainees. Our electrical inspectors have passed out, I don't know, somewhere around 20,000 of those. I think we're close to out of them, I'm not sure, but I mean from what I hear from the inspectors we're just -- they're pretty much, "Ah, yeah, we know about that." You know, the word is out about this, and what there is is that there's just kind of it's -- it's



everybody trying to time it so that they don't have any kind of an economic disadvantage because maybe they've got folks that are now apprentices and there's some cost to an apprenticeship. So, everybody -- everybody is looking at the bottom line and they want to make sure that, you know, they wait until the last minute, and that's one of the things that we're struggling with with this.

And it's going to be a really interesting two years between 2023 and 2025. That's when our good cause capability exists. It's going to be very interesting between now and July 1, 2023, when the actual requirement comes into play. That rule making is timed so that we have the good cause rules in place before July 1, 2023, when the apprenticeship requirement comes into play.

So, we're working on it. Our apprenticeship section is working on it. We just have some -there's some folks out there that are reluctant that apprenticeship is something that they want to participate in. So, it's just a lot of it is misinformation. A lot of it is just -- it's amazing the things that we're -- but we're -- I think we're up for the task, so, yeah.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any questions from the



board, from anyone online? We have two up there. All right. That being said, thank you very much for your time. We appreciate that. Thank you.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JENKINS: All right. So, we're on item number seven. We're at the public comment regarding items not on the agenda. Do we have anybody here? Anybody on the list? All right. Anybody online that's public waiting for a time to speak to the board? Going once. Once again, anybody online that's here to speak to the board? Going twice. And once again, anybody on the -- online that's looking to speak to the board? All right.

Last thing, can I step in here, our next meeting that we need to adjust for where we're going to be meeting at. Typically we try to do our -- to move around the state to do these meetings, and right now this particular location is nice because it has this electronic stuff, but it's not going to be the same anywhere else we go; so, this is probably the last time we'll allow a hybrid meeting. And we also saw some hiccups that we saw in the very beginning, which it's going to be nice to have all in-person.

So, I'm looking for anybody's suggestion. I know I'd like to see the next one in Vancouver, but



1	that's just being selfish because I live close there.
2	And I know we have Spokane, a couple Spokane votes,
3	but any place else? Anybody else's input?
4	BOARD MEMBER GRAY: We haven't had one in
5	Wenatchee, have we?
6	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Interesting. Well, I'll
7	be honest, I've never had a meeting in Vancouver
8	either. I've suggested it multiple times, but we've
9	never had one there; so, maybe we can add that to the
10	list of where we can meet. But unless I get any
11	really bad opposition out of Vancouver, just because I
12	can do that, it's
13	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It feels like an
14	abuse of power.
15	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: The only comment I'll
16	make is in the past we've tried to get east to satisfy
17	the folks that are coming over from the east side and
18	give people on the east side access, so
19	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: I agree with that and I
20	think what we should do is I think we have a
21	schedule. Do you happen to have the schedule from
22	last year, non-Covid time?
23	MS. RIVERA: I have it in an e-mail. I'll
24	send that
25	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Bear with me one second,



1	please.
2	BOARD MEMBER KNOTTINGHAM: Remember quite a
3	few years ago we decided to not go to Spokane in the
4	winter time due to the fog and the difficult travel.
5	BOARD MEMBER COX: Instead go in the summer
6	when it's 110 degrees.
7	BOARD MEMBER BURKE: At least you can get
8	there.
9	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: It's beautiful in
10	Spokane.
11	CHAIRMAN JENKINS: On our previous e-mail
12	our previous locations, we've been January in here in
13	Tumwater, and the next one was in April, it was
14	Spokane, and in July it was Vancouver, I don't
15	remember having that one, but October was back to
16	Pasco. Is there any objections or any thoughts with
17	the board members? Do you want to keep that maybe
18	next year's, January Tacoma, then Spokane, then
19	Vancouver, and Pasco, does that sound okay to the
20	board members? And lastly, next again, the next one
21	in October of this year we'll stay on this side of the
22	mountains, are you okay with that?
23	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Mr. Chair, can I
24	make a suggestion that since this was our first
25	attempt at in-person and hybrid that maybe we consider

1 the last meeting of this year in October to maybe be 2 here again just to have that again and make that other announcement that we'll go full time in-person 3 starting on our first meeting next year. 4 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: You don't like that 5 Vancouver idea, do you? That's all right. I'm okay 6 with that, too. 7 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I like Vancouver. 9 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: We've got one Tumwater 10 and three Vancouvers. Anybody else? Well, let's go 11 for Vancouver this time around on the next meeting. If we find a problem with that because I know our 12 location is kind of -- sometimes we have a hard time 13 14 finding locations that have been discussed, and if that can't happen I will make sure we send out some 15 16 notification as soon as we find out it can't be done there, and I believe this is our secondary location, 17 18 sound good? 19 Given that, the Chair would entertain a motion 20 to end the July 2022 meeting. 21 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Motion. 22 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: We've got a motion. Do 23 we have a second? 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN JENKINS: Any discussion? All in



```
favor signify by saying aye.
 1
                                 (Chorus of ayes)
 2
                CHAIRMAN JENKINS:
                                                    Hearing
 3
                                     Any opposed?
 4
     none, the motion passes.
 5
 6
                                 (Concluded at 12:25 p.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                           Page 129
```

## 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Mary Jo Fratella, a Certified Court Reporter in 4 5 and for the State of Washington, residing at Covington, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant 6 to RCW 5.28.010, do hereby certify: 7 That the foregoing meeting occurred before me on 8 9 July 28, 2022, and was by me stenographically reported and 10 thereafter transcribed by means of computer-aided 11 transcription; That the foregoing transcript contains a full, true, 12 13 and accurate record of the proceedings given and occurring 14 at the time and place of said meeting consisting of pages 15 1 through 130; I do further certify that I am in no way related to 16 17 any party in the matter, nor to any of counsel, nor do I 18 have a financial interest in this matter or the outcome 19 thereof; 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21 15th day of August, 2022. 22 23 24 Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 2083 25

#	1	<b>18,000</b> 112:1,12
		<b>19.26.061</b> 20:24
<b>#18.06</b> 82:12	<b>1</b> 24:4 60:18 93:20 124:12,15	<b>19.28</b> 21:11,18
<b>\$</b>	<b>1%</b> 96:9	<b>19.28.021</b> 88:11
	<b>1,000</b> 112:9	<b>19.28.061</b> 21:10 23:14,17,24 24:17
<b>\$1,000.00</b> 45:25 46:12 70:10 80:3,4,	<b>1,004</b> 111:4	<b>19.28.061(5)(a)</b> 19:14 27:22 28:3
17 <b>¢4 500</b> 00:47	<b>1,300</b> 110:6	<b>19.28.061(5)(b)</b> 26:5
<b>\$1,500</b> 80:17	<b>1,430</b> 95:17	<b>19.28.061(6)</b> 26:6
\$14,038.54 47:2	<b>1,731</b> 95:16	<b>19.28.0615(b)</b> 20:3
\$15,285,168.00 94:4	<b>1.6</b> 96:2	<b>1st</b> 92:6,7,19
<b>\$2,220.182.00</b> 94:7	<b>10</b> 13:13 78:18 103:22	2
<b>\$2,435,894.00</b> 94:8	<b>10%</b> 96:10 100:24	<del>_</del>
<b>\$2,690,944.00</b> 94:11	<b>10,000</b> 113:1	<b>2</b> 24:6
<b>\$2,901,242.00</b> 94:10	<b>10-2020-LI-01534</b> 16:23	<b>2%</b> 94:21
<b>\$200,000.00</b> 107:23 108:6	<b>10/28/21</b> 15:15	<b>2,000</b> 112:23,24
<b>\$26.99</b> 47:3 48:13	<b>100%</b> 95:11	<b>2,800</b> 122:8,10
<b>\$3,000.00</b> 80:18	<b>1099</b> 20:14 33:3,5,21 36:10 37:16 57:24 58:6,9,22 59:1,11 61:12,24 70:19 71:7 72:3,5,16,20 73:8 75:11,	<b>2.5%</b> 94:23
<b>\$300,000.00</b> 107:24		<b>20</b> 35:19 92:18
<b>\$50.00</b> 45:1,17 48:14 70:9 72:4	14	<b>20,000</b> 123:17,20
<b>\$500.00</b> 45:1 48:14 57:13 70:8 72:4	<b>1099's</b> 58:14	<b>200</b> 111:17
	<b>1099s</b> 70:14 71:1	<b>200,000</b> 93:23 107:22 108:1
	<b>11</b> 95:21	<b>2002</b> 92:12,15,16
<b>(5)</b> 26:8	<b>11,000</b> 113:2	<b>2005</b> 92:12
<b>(c)</b> 23:1	<b>11.7</b> 95:22	<b>2008</b> 92:13
<b>(f)</b> 20:4 26:6 82:10	<b>110</b> 127:6	<b>2012</b> 96:2
	<b>11:05</b> 78:19	<b>2014</b> 92:17
	<b>11:11</b> 78:21	<b>2016</b> 31:15 70:8 72:2
<b>01</b> 35:20 52:9 111:3 112:1 121:22,24	<b>12</b> 15:14 80:15	<b>2018</b> 19:17 28:1 30:1,21,24 31:1
122:8,14,21,23 123:5	<b>12,149</b> 95:9	47:1,10 60:18 123:9
<b>01439</b> 12:13	<b>12.4.4</b> 71:22	<b>2019</b> 19:17 28:2 30:1,22,25 31:15
<b>01s</b> 42:25	<b>12:25</b> 129:7	35:19 60:18 70:9
<b>02</b> 121:23,25	<b>14</b> 17:4 27:20	<b>2020</b> 9:23 17:8 92:24 116:22
<b>02s</b> 121:24	<b>14,000</b> 112:13,14,18	<b>2021</b> 17:4 27:20 93:20 95:2,7,24
<b>06s</b> 35:21	<b>15</b> 18:17 19:19 28:1 78:19 102:22 <b>17</b> 92:17 109:10,11	<b>2022</b> 3:3 11:1 91:16 93:20,21,24 94:3,7,10,13 95:3,7 96:2 109:10,17 128:20
	<b>18</b> 97:5	<b>2023</b> 86:15 89:5,18 90:21,22,24 91:9,12,14,15 93:4 117:15 124:10,

Index: #18.06..2023

ranscript of Froceedings - July 20, 2	11uex. 20243.7	
12,15	<b>300,000</b> 93:23 107:22 108:2	<b>50a.05.010(5)(a)</b> 20:16
<b>2024</b> 93:4	<b>33</b> 41:9	<b>50a.05.010(5)b</b> 23:8
<b>2025</b> 124:10	<b>3401(c)</b> 23:3	<b>51</b> 11:9
<b>218</b> 64:4	<b>35,000</b> 97:7	<b>51,326</b> 94:14
<b>219</b> 64:5	<b>36</b> 11:9	<b>516</b> 38:6
<b>220</b> 69:20 71:2 75:1	<b>36%</b> 96:9	<b>520</b> 40:4,6 41:1,22 47:3,9,15 48:13
<b>222</b> 44:2	<b>37,023</b> 95:25	59:16 70:21
<b>226</b> 69:21	<b>38</b> 45:2	<b>5208bs</b> 69:22
<b>228</b> 60:13		<b>53%</b> 96:9 111:5
<b>229</b> 40:23 46:24	4	<b>55</b> 76:8,9
<b>23</b> 28:2	<b>4</b> 24:8	<b>5:00</b> 39:21 57:17
<b>24</b> 95:1	<b>4%</b> 94:15	6
<b>248</b> 60:13	<b>4,000</b> 112:5,25	
<b>26</b> 23:2	<b>4,136</b> 95:15	<b>6,101</b> 95:16
<b>261,919</b> 95:7	<b>4,370</b> 95:16	7
<b>27,342</b> 95:10	<b>4,391</b> 96:6	·
<b>272,731</b> 95:6	<b>4,973</b> 96:5	<b>7%</b> 94:19
<b>28</b> 3:2 10:25 97:2 101:3 103:23	<b>4.20</b> 15:17	<b>7.8%</b> 94:12
<b>28th</b> 16:25	<b>4.6</b> 15:17	<b>700</b> 122:12
<b>293</b> 31:2 41:5	<b>4.9</b> 15:16	<b>7273</b> 3:3
<b>296-46B</b> 21:11,19 39:3 55:23 56:2	<b>40</b> 38:5 39:19 51:18	<b>77%</b> 95:3
<b>296-46B-</b> 90:17	<b>40%</b> 4:24	<b>78.8%</b> 94:21
<b>296-46B-100</b> 28:14 30:12	<b>400</b> 30:25 31:7 38:3	<b>79%</b> 95:3
<b>296-46B-915</b> 80:14	<b>400+</b> 40:6	8
<b>296-46B-930</b> 23:21 24:12 29:13	<b>41,436</b> 95:24	<b>o</b>
<b>296-46B-945</b> 123:3	<b>458</b> 41:6,16	<b>8</b> 17:8
<b>296-46B-975</b> 23:21 25:17	<b>48</b> 95:4 97:1,8,15	<b>800</b> 122:11,13
<b>296-46B-975(8)</b> 25:18		<b>851</b> 111:14
<b>296-46B-995</b> 89:15 91:22	5	<b>86%</b> 95:2
<b>296.46B.100</b> 55:22	<b>5,194</b> 95:18	<b>88</b> 9:12
	<b>5.194</b> 95:18	<b>88.1%</b> 94:19
	<b>50</b> 111:16	<b>89%</b> 95:5
<b>3</b> 24:7	<b>50,761</b> 94:15	
<b>3,500</b> 97:7 114:21	<b>50.04.080</b> 20:16	9
<b>3,764</b> 95:18	<b>50.04.100</b> 20:16 21:9,24 35:9 36:25	<b>9.4%</b> 96:10
<b>30</b> 51:17 56:2 60:18 93:20 94:3	<b>50.04.140(1)(a)</b> 23:1	<b>9.7%</b> 94:9

Index: 2024..9.7%

Transcript of Proceedings - July 28, 2022 Index: 90%..allowances 90% 95:5 **acting** 62:14 advance 105:22 900 111:17 action 17:23 18:1 26:24 Advanced 12:17 **91** 9:13 actions 26:21 27:10 advancement 109:4 93.4% 94:22 actual 41:21,24 54:8 70:5 85:18 adverse 17:23 113:14 124:13 930 39:3 advertise 117:16 actuals 101:17 **945** 91:23 92:1 advice 66:6,14 84:17,21 add 13:4,5,13 26:8 36:22 43:24 99:5 98.9% 94:14 advise 17:20 37:3 74:16 105:4 114:19 126:9 99.9% 94:16 Advisor's 88:6 added 44:18 995 90:17 affair 50:20 adding 26:12 9:00 3:2 39:21 57:17 affect 61:19 115:6 additional 83:21 93:23 107:22 9:07 3:23 affected 116:23.24 additions 91:6 9:24 17:1 affidavit 60:14 address 32:4 57:3 87:25 89:19 **affirm** 27:19 31:24 77:6,12,22,24 addressed 28:17 Α 79:3 addresses 112:6 affirmatively 104:1 a.m. 3:2,23 17:1 adequately 92:21 afford 46:2 A/c 50:12 adjust 11:13 125:15 afternoon 32:19 **AAG** 16:16 27:15 38:23 adjustments 78:5 99:9 agencies 117:9 abiding 68:2 69:16 **admin** 9:12,13 agency 26:24 67:21 ability 67:15 78:5 114:5 administerd 59:9 agency's 67:25 above-named 60:17 administration 46:8 agenda 82:10 125:7 absolutely 13:9 49:23,25 50:3 **administrative** 17:3 18:4,6,12 agent 121:2,5,19,21,25 122:22 51:21 61:18 105:6 106:19 21:12 28:14 46:4 58:5 60:11 67:9 71:24 73:17 74:11,19 79:4,21 84:2, agents 120:24 122:11 **abuse** 126:14 10.25 agree 49:1 50:4,22 51:21 52:21 accepting 73:14 administrator 8:20.23 19:15 25:21 53:18 68:4 72:11 87:9 126:19 access 97:12 126:18 28:10 30:18 31:12 35:17 36:19 Agreed 61:25 38:21 42:18,23 43:7 44:8,11 46:9,13 account 70:4 52:10,18 53:14,24 54:4 57:13 80:16 agreement 20:8,13,15 22:6 31:14, accounted 34:1 15 51:4 53:25 54:5,16 57:12 58:10, administrator's 26:7 45:24 12 82:1,5 121:13 accounting 93:21 administrators 42:23 43:2 52:25 agrees 104:1 accuracy 108:21 admissible 84:24 85:18 ahead 13:17,20 15:5 accurate 59:17,18 60:3,15 70:22 admits 15:23 94:1 102:2 air 4:25

accuse 14:12 15:5

accused 19:13 accuses 14:12

accusing 13:22,25 14:24

Act 18:6 104:9

admittance 75:7,10 adopt 21:19 79:3 90:21,24 92:15,16 117:6

**adopted** 92:13,18 116:15 **adoption** 89:5 91:5,14 92:5 114:12 115:3,21 117:11

**ALJ** 18:6,14,23 27:20 70:24 71:12

77:13 84:17

ALJ's 77:6,24

allegations 21:13

allowance 76:5.7

allowances 64:18

allowed 33:5 34:3 68:10 74:18

allowing 63:19

Alred 10:4

**amazing** 124:22

amend 25:2 40:3 59:15

**amended** 20:15 24:18 25:3,4,5,24 30:4 33:4 40:4 44:3 69:21 70:18,19

71:3 75:18

amendments 33:20

America 9:6 23:9

amount 34:6 69:22,24 70:5,11 71:2,

5 80:23 94:1 114:16

**amounts** 70:1 71:6

Anacortes 82:12

announcement 128:3

anticipate 86:3

anticipated 95:14 101:22

anticipating 101:25

anticipation 101:19

anybody's 125:24

anymore 98:6 107:18

apologize 81:24

Apparently 14:1

appeal 16:21 17:2 52:17

appealed 17:10

appealing 19:5

appeals 12:6 17:21 18:8 21:11

82:17

appearance 38:20

appeared 36:12

appearing 19:5

**appears** 5:14 15:15 36:7 43:23

52:10 72:24 75:10

**Appellant** 17:9,11 32:4 71:23

applicable 80:11

applied 62:9 74:19 80:10

applies 65:21

apply 74:12 83:24 121:15

Applying 24:12

appoint 26:13

appointment 102:25

appointments 97:13 103:6

**apprentice** 46:21 113:19,20 118:4, 11,14,17,22 119:9,10,13,19 120:25

121:3,20 122:3,5

**apprentices** 113:8,11,13 118:13,19 119:1,20 120:23 121:24 122:23

124:3

**apprenticeship** 89:18 111:18 113:7,16,17 118:4,12,18,21 119:11 120:1,8,12,15,16 121:9,14,15,18

122:1 123:6,8 124:4,15,17,20

apprenticeships 118:18

**approach** 86:18 100:20 117:10

approached 63:7

appropriately 43:4,8

approval 10:23

approve 10:24

approximately 17:1 18:17

**April** 10:25 127:13

aptitude 120:21

arbitrarily 41:23

arbitrary 26:22,23 27:10 41:1

area 10:13 121:7,8

areas 101:13 109:1

argue 18:18 56:11

arguing 25:16 42:6

argument 51:8 54:19 72:12

**arguments** 21:15 27:8 84:17 85:16

88:19

Article 55:22

ascertain 28:25

ascertaining 29:4

asks 27:18

**assigned** 18:4 20:22 76:22

**assignments** 24:8 109:9

**Assistant** 3:9,13 12:25 17:15

Association 27:2

assume 7:3 23:10 61:2,3 76:24

Index: allowed..Baker

114:13

**assuming** 51:14 87:4,13 101:15

107:23

attempt 111:6 127:25

attempted 25:15

attempts 111:4,5,10

attend 86:22

attending 3:25 26:25 27:5

attention 4:21 66:20

**Attorney** 3:13 12:25 17:15 33:2

69:20

Attorneys 3:9

audience 10:1,8

auditor 10:10

August 90:1 101:22,23

authority 74:9 84:1,9 88:7,9

authorized 17:19 21:19,22

automatic 114:13

average 32:4 94:4,5,9 96:1

avoid 62:20 63:25 93:3

avoiding 63:25

aware 5:3 37:6 67:18 100:17

aye 11:21,24 77:16,17 129:1

ayes 11:22 129:2

В

**back** 4:2 6:15,22 7:5 10:11 14:6 15:2 35:3 40:2 41:2 42:11 44:1,13 48:21

53:2,11 61:13 63:1,5 64:3,22 65:2,3, 21,23 66:24 67:5,12,18,19 68:15 73:11 76:1 78:23 79:6,18 85:9

92:11,20 101:7 102:25 106:5 108:12 109:7,9,24 117:9 127:15

backlog 109:6,10 110:6

**bad** 6:4 126:11

**Baker** 3:7 7:10,11 8:22 34:22,24 35:7,16 37:8 38:13 42:13,21 54:10,

23 57:11 58:1,7,18,21 71:16 72:11



75:1 78:4,10 85:13 100:22,23 101:5, 15 102:9 103:13 104:21,24 126:15

balance 94:2.3 **ball** 122:12

bank 48:22 70:4 115:6 bargaining 121:12

**based** 31:9,22 54:3 79:12 90:2 101:17 113:11 116:9

basic 80:16 107:9 119:16 basis 15:24 68:22 70:6

bathrooms 6:16 bear 4:3 126:25 beautiful 127:9

beg 66:21 **begin** 19:1,12

beginning 32:8 92:16 125:22

behavior 69:7,9,13 Bellevue 10:12 **Ben** 3:10 88:14 bending 50:12 **biased** 76:24

big 100:11 119:18 122:9

bills 52:23 93:22 107:21

**bing** 97:14

bit 4:4 6:17 10:3 55:25 69:2 73:1 96:25 99:11 100:2,9 102:24,25 103:1 104:14 111:12 117:4

biweekly 48:17 **blank** 102:7

block 38:4 42:14

Blohowiak 3:10 33:7,11,13,17 34:14 36:23 55:9,15,18 65:5 66:1,5, 10 72:8 73:24 78:8 79:2 81:6,18,21, 23 83:19 85:15 87:19 88:14,15

**board** 3:1,5,11,24 4:13 7:1,6,7,8,9, 10,11,12,14,15,16,17,19,20,22,25 8:8,14,19,22,25 9:2,5,10,14,17,24 10:2,24,25 11:8,11,13,15,16,24 12:3 15:13 16:9,20 17:10,19,24 18:9,19, 20,22 19:21 27:12,17,18 31:24 32:2, 3,17,24,25 33:9,14,18 34:3,7,19,22,

24 35:7,16 36:21,24 37:3,5,8 38:13, 18 42:13,20,21 44:21,24 45:14,17, 19 46:7,11,16,20,23,24 47:8,12,16, 20,23 48:2,4,8,11,19,23 49:2,5,6,9, 10,12,15,17,19,20,23,24,25 50:1,4, 5,8,9,22 51:1,6,7,21,23 52:7,15,17, 21,22 53:18,19,22 54:1,2,7,10,18, 21,23,25 55:3,7,9,13,17 57:11,23 58:1,2,7,14,18,19,21,23 59:4,5,7,14, 20,25 60:12,23,25 61:1,3,4,7,11,16, 25 64:21 65:5,11,13,15,17 66:1,3,4, 9,16,19 67:6,13,16 68:8,12,14,24 69:11,18,19,20 70:16 71:10,14,16, 18 72:8,9,11 73:25 74:10,16,25 75:17,25 77:4,12,16,18 78:4,5,10,23 79:3,6,15,18,23 80:2,13,19,22,24 81:8,9,11,16 82:13,15,17,19 83:21, 22,23 84:1,6,16,18,21 85:3,13,21 86:1,7,20 87:3,9,11,15,24 88:4,6,7, 9,12,18 89:7,10,13 90:8,12,19 91:13,24 92:4,10 93:7,10,17 96:11, 13 97:17 99:15 100:22,23 101:5,15 102:9 103:13 104:21,24 105:3,13,21 106:14,20 107:18,19 108:1,5,11 110:13,15 114:11 115:8,13 117:25 118:1 119:3,5,21 120:14 125:1,10, 11,13 126:4,15 127:2,5,7,17,20

**Board's** 82:14

**Bobby** 3:6 7:8 8:19 96:11 100:24 114:10

**body** 17:19 **boil** 112:19 **boiled** 112:12

**book** 44:2 111:6 116:8

books 66:18,19 **boots** 37:24 **border** 112:8

bottom 61:19 75:1 124:5

**bound** 18:14 **box** 100:11 **boy** 5:19 **Brand** 118:21

**bread** 122:18

break 69:3 78:14,24

breaking 75:25

**Bret** 12:11 **Brian** 103:8

brilliant 115:13

**bring** 3:24 4:11 54:12 64:3 82:23 84:10 86:19 104:18

**broke** 76:17

**budget** 93:19 101:16,17,24 102:2 113:24

**build** 14:6

building 6:10 9:1,2

**built** 32:11 **bunch** 112:16

burden 19:5 21:13 31:8,23

**Burke** 3:12 7:3 77:16,18 105:3,13 106:14,20 127:7

**business** 28:9 44:17 61:5 62:16 63:7 105:13 121:11

**busy** 85:22 **butter** 122:18 **buy** 100:12

**bylaws** 52:3

C

caffeine 5:11 calendar 111:13

calendars 85:4 103:5

call 6:24 7:2 8:3 62:11 63:21 99:4 called 7:24 52:2,3 53:17 56:17

62:23 84:14 86:13

calling 22:4,10 35:13

calls 97:12

capability 124:11

capable 26:11

capacity 118:12,13,18

capricious 26:22,23 27:10

card 119:15

cards 25:20 123:16



**care** 56:21 57:21 63:15

**careers** 109:18

carpet all 62:24

carried 24:16 60:8,10

carries 27:7 56:10

carry 28:9 30:17 31:12 39:18

cart 66:21

**case** 6:11 12:15,16,20 13:24 15:19 16:6,11 18:18 22:10 27:1,24 28:4,11 29:18,23 30:19 34:25 36:21 38:25 40:2 41:22,25 43:13 65:22 66:3 67:22 77:7,13,24 79:1 83:5 84:12 85:8 87:17,18

cases 19:18 109:19

catch 90:5

categories 37:2

caught 76:3

caution 79:7

**cell** 56:19

central 110:1

certificate 119:13

**certification** 16:4 17:24 62:6 64:12 96:9 112:21

Certification/ceus 110:21

**certified** 89:17 111:10 112:2 113:12 114:6 120:8

certify 60:14

chain 116:23,24

**chair** 4:13 8:21,24 9:8 16:19 32:25 34:22 72:9 73:22 77:5,6 79:18 81:23,24 83:25 85:9 86:4,17 88:1 89:7,10 92:4 96:13 114:11 127:23 128:19

Chair's 81:14 83:20,25 84:21

**Chairman** 3:23 6:8,20,23 7:8,10,12, 15,17,20,23 8:2 9:4,8,16,20,25 10:19,21 11:3,6,12,17,19,23,25 12:4,22,24 13:2,8,10,17,20 15:9 16:5,15,18 17:14,18 19:4,12 27:14 32:1,3,21 34:21,23 38:22 40:14,16 41:9,13,16 42:10 43:24 44:23 46:22 55:19 56:1 57:4 59:10 60:9 62:1 73:23 74:21 75:23 77:9,11,17,19

78:7,13,17,21 79:25 80:2 81:1 82:8 83:3,7,14 86:9,23 87:8,11,16 88:2 89:1,8 90:6,11,14 91:10,17 92:2,8 93:9,15,16 96:11 100:22 102:7 105:20 107:17 110:14,18,24 114:10 115:18 116:13 117:24 124:25 125:5 126:6,19,25 127:11 128:5,9,22,25 129:3

**chance** 103:4 104:3

**change** 15:16 21:22 26:7 28:23 47:19 68:5 69:7,9,13 89:15 100:19 106:8 115:4,5

**changed** 11:11 25:4 69:9 114:19

changing 65:14 chapter 56:11 chasing 92:25

Chavez 24:21 47:19 50:20

check 19:23 29:3 76:25

checked 6:2 28:24

checking 62:5

chief 9:23 80:3 103:25

children 119:23

chlorine 15:23

**chorus** 11:22 129:2

circuit 14:5,9,10,14 15:2

circumstances 27:1,6 93:2

circumventing 62:19,23

citation 17:6 66:25 68:17 95:13

**citations** 15:24 17:22 27:4,13 38:19 43:17 65:1 66:11 67:19 68:19 75:20, 21 95:12,17,19 108:16,24 109:4

cite 65:24 67:3

cited 52:18

citing 65:23

citizens 69:15

**civil** 80:15

clarification 80:25

clarified 68:13

**clarify** 13:22 36:24 65:18 67:15 73:25 118:5,7

**Clarkston** 8:17 121:7

**class** 111:18

classification 24:20 25:5

classified 21:5 62:4

classify 23:13

classroom 119:17

**clean** 110:5

clear 26:15 40:8 73:19

clinic 6:2

close 59:17 123:21 126:1

**closely** 108:18

closing 26:21 88:20 103:16

**closures** 108:17

**code** 23:2 28:14 37:4 59:9 67:9 90:24 91:3,5,15 92:13,18,21,24 114:12 115:4,21,22 116:1,5,10,14, 22 117:3,17,19,21

22 117.5,17,13,2

**codes** 65:12

coincidence 97:24

collective 121:12

comfortable 103:3

**comment** 57:5 80:14 90:7 104:6 106:6 120:9 125:6 126:15

commentary 118:2

commenting 66:14

**comments** 13:4,5,13 15:12 16:6 34:24 75:23 107:18 118:3

Commission 27:3

committed 27:12

**common** 22:2 35:12

communication 108:20

Communications 9:6

**company** 20:12 25:2,5,22 31:1,7 32:7 40:7 42:3 44:6,7 46:15 50:11 51:3,15,17 52:12 54:6,11,13,15 56:17,22,24 57:7,10,16,18 64:7 72:23

company's 43:9

**compared** 94:7,10



comparison 97:9 compensated 36:9,14 37:18 43:19 49:8,10,12,22 54:16,17 58:13

**compensation** 37:13 47:2 51:4 54:9 103:16,20 104:2 107:12

compensations 48:15

complete 32:13 completely 17:25 completing 123:5

**compliance** 10:9 17:24 20:23 35:25 37:14 43:2,7 69:2,13 100:2,3,7,15

complicated 85:2 complied 21:3 39:24 compliment 99:25 computer 35:20

concerned 16:1

concerns 66:7 90:15

concert 105:21 concluded 129:7 conclusion 18:21 conclusions 18:23 condition 58:10

conditioning 4:25

conditions 24:10 37:11 56:22 76:4

conduct 18:5
conductors 99:1
conducts 18:7
confine 66:17
confines 33:8
conflicting 60:22
conjecture 21:16
connecting 15:20,21 16:2

consequences 92:23 considerable 70:1 considerably 69:23

consideration 24:23

considered 23:19 24:14 27:6,9

64:24 115:9,15

considers 22:15

consistent 94:17 111:3,7

construction 121:10

consult 82:23

context 40:17 83:21

continuation 108:12

**continue** 13:18,20 33:15 90:18 93:22 99:17,18 100:19 107:21 108:19 114:1,2 116:24

continued 12:9,10,14

continuing 11:9

**contract** 20:7 22:3,9,19,20 23:17 31:18 35:13 37:12 43:19 50:15 62:14 63:13 64:1 70:7,8,10,12

contracted 73:9 115:9

**contractor** 8:15 25:19 26:11,15,19 31:16 44:17 48:10 52:1,19 56:9,10, 16,20 57:1,25 58:3,24 59:13,21,24 62:17 63:21 69:7 72:18 76:19,21 94:16 95:13 98:24 99:4,7 121:2,5,16 122:22

contractor's 8:21,24 56:6 121:4

**contractors** 5:5 22:14 58:21 68:1 69:15 117:14 120:25 121:13 122:8, 9,10 123:18

Contractual 22:13

control 29:4 controlled 24:9 controller 15:20,25

**conversation** 34:11 55:8 81:7

113:7

**conversations** 55:10 88:22 106:4 111:22

convincing 27:8

cool 4:22 5:13,16,18 6:6

cooling 5:22copies 19:22copy 19:22corner 6:17

Corporation 12:15 corporations 28:16

**correct** 11:16 17:18 25:3 45:20 47:24 52:8,24 58:1 59:15 60:21 61:7 62:8 63:1,4 65:3 69:11 70:16,20,21 73:6,12 75:5 76:15 77:20,22 78:6 82:25 83:18 86:16 91:12,25 92:18 103:21

Index: comparison..created

corrected 21:2 43:22 59:2 69:24

correcting 98:7

**correction** 11:20 61:14 107:20 114:20 116:2

**corrections** 95:23 96:22 97:19 98:6,13,19,23 99:13 107:10 117:20

**correctly** 61:17 62:9,13 64:1 69:8 76:20 91:11

costs 108:9

**counsel** 12:19,22 33:2 55:14 65:18 66:2,3 68:4 78:24 81:2 82:21 83:7,9 84:12 86:18,21,25 88:16 89:23 90:3

**count** 77:20 97:6 **counted** 112:16

**couple** 9:24 100:6 101:12 110:9

126:2

**courses** 119:17

**court** 10:15 13:12 19:8,18 22:15,18 26:23 27:1 34:10 47:6 55:24 78:14, 15 81:24 90:4

court's 88:5

cover 73:10 108:9

Covid 37:21 93:2

**Cox** 3:6 7:6,7 8:10,14 32:3,17,24 48:8 49:6,10,15,20,24 50:1,5,9 51:1, 6,10,23 52:15,22 53:19 54:1 55:7,9, 13,17 58:23 59:5,20 60:23 61:1,4, 10,25 64:21 65:5,17 66:1,4,9,19 67:6,13,16 68:8,12 75:25 80:2,6,19, 22,24 87:11 107:19 108:1,5,7,11 118:1 119:3,5,21 120:14 127:5

**CR101** 90:1,16 91:7,11,16

create 50:15

created 63:3 103:22



Index: creates..discussion

**creates** 63:23

creating 89:20 105:17 110:4,11

116:11

credentials 35:1

credit 109:17

criteria 39:3,10 59:11

**Cruthers** 10:11,17

curious 66:10 97:20 120:9

**current** 15:22 16:3 92:12 96:6

114:14

custodian 60:13,19

**customer** 94:13 97:13

customer's 54:22

customers 94:22

**cut** 101:7

cute 14:1,11,24

cycle 114:13 115:22

D

**D-O-U-G-L-A-S-S** 13:16

daily 68:21

damage 63:6

dangerous 98:20

Darren 10:4

data 93:19,21 111:8,15,25 113:6

118:6

database 114:20 116:2

date 15:14 83:15 84:15 86:21 87:4

93:3 116:20

dated 27:19 31:14,15

dates 75:18 82:23 83:4,12 86:18,19

87:2,3,10,21 88:15

**Davis** 22:12

**day** 4:23 6:4 32:4,16 36:2 38:1,9 42:4 56:18,19 57:17,19 68:17,19

85:1,24 86:11 87:4 95:20 96:18 97:5

111:15 116:3 119:8,9

day-to-day 57:15

days 13:24 86:14 96:3

de 18:11

deal 4:3

dealing 28:4 40:17

dealt 35:25

**December** 91:9,12,14,16 92:14,17

93:4

December-ish 116:15

decide 88:7 98:23

decided 88:7 127:3

deciding 77:21

decision 17:2,5,10 18:7,8 19:6 37:6

80:11 81:16 106:10.11

decisions 74:5 77:7,13,22,24

decrease 94:9,15,19,21,24 100:24

109:8

**define** 35:19

defined 22:18,20 23:1 26:23 28:17

30:11,12 38:24 39:21

**defines** 23:3 28:14 37:5,17

**definition** 21:23 23:6,7 24:5 35:2,5

36:8 37:1,10 38:24 39:12,25 107:9

definitions 21:22

degrees 127:6

dehydrated 5:11

delegated 4:12

demand 93:1

denial 82:11 87:12

denied 87:14

Dennis 10:4

dent 101:4

**Department** 3:3 4:10 16:22 17:8,14, 20,22,25 18:2,3 19:25 21:13,17,19, 21 22:11,19,22,25 23:10,15,18,23 24:2,3,14,22,24,25 25:1,10,11,15,22 26:2,6,8,20 27:4,9,11,18 29:14,23, 24 30:5,19 31:23 38:25 39:4,6,9 40:3 43:3,8 50:2 55:11 56:4 58:25

40:3 43:3,8 50:2 55:11 56:4 58:25 60:6 64:25 66:2,23 67:5,12,17 68:9

71:4,22 87:14 89:12 90:20 110:25

**Department's** 16:8 25:17 26:21 31:8 60:16 71:25 72:1 88:4

Departmental/legislative 89:3

dependent 26:18

depending 67:22 85:24 102:15

depends 80:6

deposit 48:16

describes 39:3

describing 22:14

designated 20:2

desk 61:6 108:24

details 93:6

determination 23:20,25 81:9

determine 18:22 22:25 24:10 29:14

36:16 74:3

determined 20:19,21

determining 24:13 39:4 55:22 56:2

differed 71:23

difference 58:8 98:16 109:12 119:9,

19

difficult 36:3 127:4

difficulties 4:4

dilemma 93:3

direct 48:16 66:20 72:21

directed 66:8

direction 103:25 108:15

**Director** 55:8,10 106:4

directs 66:23

disadvantage 124:2

disadvantages 117:6

disagree 56:15 72:12 79:13 87:10

disconnection 95:24 98:21

discretion 18:20 67:21 81:8

discuss 83:4,11

discussed 61:16 128:14

discussing 81:25

discussion 11:7,19 49:16 61:8 74:9

Index: discussions..employee

77:11 91:4 128:25

discussions 100:10

disparity 103:22

dispositive 22:15 23:18 31:18

dispute 20:4

disputes 15:21 20:1

disregard 15:25

**dissent** 82:19

disservice 106:18

diving 117:7

**Docket** 16:22

**document** 42:7 47:13,16 61:15

109:10

documentation 64:4

**documents** 28:20,24,25 48:5,7,24 59:23 60:3,4 82:10 108:22 109:15,

22 110:1,7

dollars 108:5

**Dominic** 3:12 7:3 8:3 77:18,19

105:4,7,19 106:6

**Don** 3:6 7:10 8:22 100:22

**Don's** 51:8

**door** 6:14,16,22 123:16

doubly 95:10

Douglass 13:15

draft 81:25

drag 102:24

Drilling 12:17

drive 97:11

drivers 22:14

driving 53:7

**drop** 69:5 97:19 101:23

drowning 6:3

due 16:24 93:2,21 96:15 108:17

109:4,6 127:4

duress 24:20

dust 113:9

duties 24:16 26:5,10,17 30:17 31:12

37:23 39:18 52:2,4 54:17 55:8 56:11 58:5 60:11 80:16

duty 26:7 53:14

Ε

e-mail 87:2,9 126:23 127:11

**earlier** 43:24 58:8 63:5 76:1 83:11 116:17

early 84:13 86:15 87:19

earned 70:6

easier 85:20 86:8 89:21

east 4:16,18 126:16,17,18

easy 63:9

**EC** 8:23

ECHBO000970 17:6

ECHBO00970 16:12 77:25

ECHBO00971 16:12 17:6 77:25

ECHBO00972 16:12 17:7 77:25

ECHBO00973 16:12 17:7 77:25

ECHBO00974 16:13 78:1

ECHBO009974 17:7

ECHBO01063 12:8 ECHBO01065 12:8

ECHBO01066 12:8

ECHBO01067 12:8

economic 124:2

**ECORE** 95:16,17

edit 114:22

**Edlen** 31:3 32:10,13 41:4 42:13

43:12

**Edlen's** 30:23

educate 69:6

education 69:2 119:18

effect 112:11

**effective** 85:19 86:6 100:21 116:1

effects 96:16

efficient 99:19 100:21

elected 23:4

**Electric** 8:20,23 30:8 41:2,4 42:14

43:13 63:11 72:2 96:3

electrical 3:1,24 9:2,23 10:25 11:13 15:22 16:2,20 17:10,18,21,23,24 18:9,25 20:20,23,25 21:2 24:16 27:12,17,18 30:23 31:24 32:9 39:2 45:3,4,12 50:13,19 56:6,9 57:9,18, 19,22 65:20 66:23 71:18 72:5 73:25 78:23 82:11 83:5,8,10,23 84:6 87:1 89:11 91:5 92:11 93:25 94:3,23 95:8,23 97:3 100:12 103:16,20 106:17 119:3 120:25 121:10 122:19, 21 123:19

electrician 9:3,9,11 19:15 20:1,3 21:8 26:10,13,17,18 27:22 28:6,7 45:7 50:17,24 51:3 52:2 120:8 122:15

electrician's 9:9,11,15

**electricians** 28:4 30:13 35:21 36:1 39:13 46:19 89:16 111:23,24,25 112:2,16,20 113:2,12,14 117:14 118:3 120:2 122:24,25

electronic 125:19

electronically 82:3 85:20

**elevator** 102:13,16

elevators 102:12

**ELO1** 9:12

**else's** 20:11 126:3

**ELYOD02202** 12:15

ELYOD02203 12:15

emergency 5:23 6:11,19

emphasis 109:15

employ 120:25 121:4

**employed** 30:22 119:2,23 120:6,23

employee 19:16 20:5,14,17 21:6,23 22:24 23:3,4,6,8,11,12,14,20 24:1,5, 11,13 25:7,8,19 27:24 28:7 29:8,10, 11,12,16 30:3,7,8,15 31:5 33:3,21, 23,24,25 35:24 36:5,13 37:16,18,20 38:15 39:6,16 40:1,12,20 44:14 48:9 49:13 50:14 52:10 54:6,14 56:4,14, 25 57:6,7,10,12,14 58:3,5 61:9,16, 24 62:2,19,24 63:10 64:2,9,11 69:24 70:2 71:20,21 72:3,5,6,7,14,17,18,



ranscript of Proceedings - July 28, 20,21,22 73:7,9,20 74:4 75:3,11,15 employees 5:5,6 23:9 25:14 35:20, 24 37:25 39:9 46:17 53:15 56:8 57:21 58:22 61:5 64:7 71:9 109:8 122:16 employer 22:8 25:19 33:23 38:4,7 49:3 51:11,12 52:1,19 54:4 59:22 60:8 61:2,8 employers 72:14 employment 21:5,24 22:7,11,18, 20,22,25 23:16,23 24:3,19,24 25:12

**employment** 21:5,24 22:7,11,18, 20,22,25 23:16,23 24:3,19,24 25:12 29:24 30:5,19 35:2,6,9,18 36:8 37:1, 5,11 38:24 40:3 50:2,15 51:4 54:8 55:20 58:10,11 60:15 71:4

enclosed 60:14 encourage 91:1 119:25 encouraged 104:12 106:5

**end** 57:6 90:24 91:7,15 93:21 121:17 128:20

ending 28:2 enforce 68:6

enforcement 117:8 enforcing 21:18 117:18

**ensure** 39:15,24 61:15 70:19 108:20

ensured 20:24,25 enter 27:12 50:14 118:5 entered 31:13 51:3

**entertain** 10:24 77:5,6 112:19 128:19

entertaining 89:13

entire 18:13 40:22 44:17

entirety 79:4 entity 17:25 entry 6:22

entryway 6:15

equal 71:6 equally 26:14

equipment 100:12

equitable 74:1

Erick 3:7 7:20 9:14 81:4

**ESD** 51:24 53:20 58:24 59:13

ESIMZ00384 12:18 ESIMZ00385 12:18 essentially 60:7

establish 19:6 25:13,25

established 28:11

ethics 66:15 euros 108:3 evaluate 92:21 evenings 51:19

**event** 103:18 **events** 72:2

eventually 86:12

evidence 18:14,15 19:25 21:14 25:7,8,11 27:6,21 28:11 29:8,18,21 30:9 31:3,8,22 32:22 33:8 34:16 38:16 40:8 42:15 43:11 70:5,7,13, 18,23 71:8 74:2 79:9,15,16 83:24 84:7,14,22,24 85:1,17

evidentiary 84:3,5,19

exact 23:6 24:5 66:11

**exam** 89:17 111:3,6,7,13,16 114:2,3, 14,17,18,23 115:3,7 116:6,8,12 123:5

exams 89:20 109:16 116:18

exclude 84:14

excuse 4:14 18:21 30:20 92:1

exhausted 110:15

**exhaustion** 5:2,15,24 6:1

exhibits 82:15 85:23 86:2

existence 23:17 existing 15:2

exists 22:7 124:11

expand 103:5

expanding 103:1

expect 87:9 93:23 107:21 109:7

expectations 116:14

expected 23:15

**expenditures** 93:24 94:5,6 100:24 101:13,24 107:22

Index: employees..favor

**experience** 4:16 108:17 117:12 119:16

**experienced** 102:19 108:9

expertise 84:6

explain 14:8 38:23 102:12 107:10

explained 73:5

**expressed** 22:5 35:15

**extended** 18:20 **EZINS** 12:12

**EZINS01437** 12:12 **EZINS01438** 12:12

F

face 4:2,3

face-to-face 97:23 facilitate 82:20 87:5

facility 5:23

**fact** 13:22 15:16 18:24 21:5 23:2 24:18 25:8 26:18 30:6 43:20 49:5 73:7 75:19 97:20

factories 119:24 factors 23:19 98:4 factory 120:19

**facts** 22:16,18 26:25 27:5 55:16 71:25 72:1 74:6,10,17 79:11,16

failed 20:10 24:4 failing 27:22 95:14 failure 19:14 23:13

fairly 27:6 Faith 10:8 fall 86:12 89:15

false 47:17 104:16

farther 104:15 fastest 6:14

favor 11:20 77:12 129:1

February 30:20

federal 23:6 33:22 34:1 61:14,22

fee 78:6

feelings 74:7

feels 86:7 126:13

fees 78:25 79:5

feet 117:7

fella 50:10

**field** 35:22 43:5 84:6 95:15,17 97:9 98:14 99:23,24 100:3 101:11

**fight** 65:4

**figure** 78:9

figured 46:12

file 28:15 31:20 48:7 60:16 61:12

90:1

filed 33:19 34:6 48:4 91:8

filing 59:23

fill 100:6,19 107:4

filling 100:4 101:19

**final** 15:17

finals 102:11

**find** 5:14 40:24 67:4 71:12 74:3 84:15 112:5 120:11 128:12,16

finding 4:22 128:14

findings 15:16 18:22,24 27:12

**finds** 71:25

fine 14:13 15:1,6 80:3,4

**fining** 14:16

finish 42:5

finished 91:14

fire 6:11 63:6 117:7

**firm** 8:16 19:17 20:5,10,14 26:9,12, 14 27:24 28:8,13,15,18 29:1,5 30:16 31:20 35:17 36:5,20 37:13 38:15 39:17 51:14 64:11 71:20,22

**fiscal** 93:20,21 94:7,10 95:2,3,6,7,24

**fix** 44:4 59:21 76:1,15,16 98:24

fixing 65:8

**flag** 37:9

floor 89:8 110:22

**flush** 15:22

focus 74:17 100:9,13,14

focused 71:19 74:20 95:12,16,18

96:8,10 98:10

fog 127:4

folks 111:5 112:9 113:22 120:16

124:3,19 126:17

**follow** 85:6

force 103:17

**form** 22:25 23:11 69:22

forty-nine 71:2

forum 104:10

forward 8:6 65:8 66:7 103:9 104:11

105:17

found 21:24 23:7 27:20 35:7 50:10

60:16 70:24 117:5

foundational 116:9

fourteen 71:5

fourth 30:23 47:1 94:6

frame 45:5 63:15,18 64:7,9 76:14,

18,19 94:25 95:22

frankly 40:1

fraudulent 47:21 59:25

Free 104:9

friend 119:22

front 6:15,21,22 14:6 15:2 37:25

60:4 61:6 109:22 123:15

FTES 109:24

fulfill 52:9

fulfilled 53:24

fulfillment 26:4

**full** 20:5,17 21:6 24:13 28:7 29:7,10, 12,15,16,19 30:8,10,14 31:5,11 35:24 39:5,7,16,25 40:11,20 41:6,17

56:3,6,13 57:5,6,7,8,9,12,14,20 70:25 71:1,8 72:13,21 73:19 106:2

108:23,24 128:3

fun 113:25

function 40:12 43:6

fund 93:25 94:1,3 101:3

funnel 121:14

furtherance 21:20

future 63:19 65:3,14 100:10 101:10

Index: February..guess

fuzzy 33:10 34:8

G

gain 119:15

game 52:5

gap 103:17,19

gathering 75:14

gave 35:2 120:5

**general** 3:9,13 8:16 12:25 17:15

33:2 69:20 122:21

generally 114:23 115:1

**give** 4:14 8:13 13:12 19:22 64:18 71:1 92:20 104:16 107:13 111:1

117:4,21 126:18

giving 69:1,3

glad 10:12

goal 89:22 95:2

good 5:16 16:19 27:16 44:15 55:13,

17 66:20 73:15 87:6 98:15 99:8,22

107:3 124:10,14 128:18

government 22:17 61:22

Governor 106:12

grant 40:17

**Gray** 3:6 7:8,9 8:19 72:8,9 92:4

96:11,13 97:17 99:15 114:10,11

115:8,13 126:4

great 8:2 103:9 110:4,11 112:3

117:5

greater 27:7

green 123:16

ground 32:7 37:24

grounding 99:1

guess 4:9 8:10 44:20 55:19 71:17

90:16 99:15 112:19 114:25



guessing 110:8

guesstimate 70:21,22

**guidelines** 43:9,10 68:2

guilty 14:2,3,4,25 15:1

guy 50:11

**guys** 4:16 10:5 13:22 14:1,3,11,15 68:1 72:7 105:8 106:22 107:2,6,13 109:13

Н

hallway 6:16 99:3

hammer 69:5

hand 77:14

hands 36:1 91:3 106:9,14

hang 37:19 44:20

happen 72:15 126:21 128:15

happened 53:11 63:8 81:5 88:8

happening 56:18 97:24

**happy** 104:18

hard 35:18 38:13 43:11 55:21

128:13

hardest 106:8

**hat** 44:20

hazard 63:17

**head** 104:1,6

headed 108:14

health 105:12

**hear** 7:5 17:21 19:7 30:6 34:10,12 74:23 82:15 87:16 88:9 91:10 113:20 118:9,10,20 123:22

heard 21:12 52:17 106:5

hearing 13:19 16:23 18:4,5,7,16,21 50:6 74:18 77:12 81:3 82:14,16,20, 24 83:22 85:15,18,22 87:15 88:20 90:17 109:13,14 129:3

**Hearings** 17:3 18:5 21:12 74:12 79:21 84:2

hearsay 84:4

heat 4:15 5:2,7,15,20,24 6:1

heating 12:7 20:8,9,10,17,19,21 21:4,6,9 23:13 24:7,9,18,20 25:2,3, 9,14,24 26:1 27:25 28:5,13,21 29:20 30:3,4 32:5,6 40:2,22 41:3,20 42:3 45:5,11 46:25 50:7,12 53:3,21 54:19 55:5 56:17 57:7,10,17 58:24 70:17 72:22

Heating's 20:2 25:4 73:1

heed 106:16

**held** 3:2,16 16:23 43:3

helped 45:9

**helpful** 88:13,18

**helping** 97:8 109:1

**Hey** 44:10 51:15 63:8,12

hiccups 125:22

**hide** 43:23

high 108:21

hinderances 120:15

hinges 71:21

hired 72:2 107:7 109:8

hiring 103:11 107:2 109:2

historically 92:11,12

history 25:6 60:15

hit 101:22

hold 82:14 112:21

holding 36:1

**hole** 44:8

home 5:2 35:20

Homeowners 94:18

honest 36:21 47:14 126:7

Honestly 76:21

hope 84:21 91:6 98:8 104:16 106:16

hoping 89:25 106:15

hot 8:17

**hour** 38:5 41:18 47:3 48:13 97:1,8, 15

**hours** 28:9 30:17,25 31:2,7,11 38:3, 6 39:18,19,20,22,23 40:5,6,11,13,25 41:1,5,9,17,22,25 42:2,17 45:11,12

47:3,10,15,25 48:3,13 51:16,18

59:16 70:21 95:1,4 109:17

Index: guessing..increase

house 99:4

Hoydar 8:20

huge 114:16

hundred 110:9

hustle 54:15

**HVAC** 50:11 51:14

hybrid 4:5 125:21 127:25

hydrate 5:12

hydrated 4:22 5:12 6:7

ı

**Idaho** 112:8

idea 53:1 69:25 115:13 128:6

identified 97:20

imagine 121:7

**immerse** 117:22

implementation 92:5 93:3

implementing 21:17

implied 22:5 35:15

**imply** 97:21

**importance** 39:14 103:19

important 19:24 39:11 88:3 100:18

109:19

improve 120:7

**in-person** 3:5,9 85:25 125:23

127:25 128:3

inaccurate 102:1

inaudible 34:9 47:5 90:3

include 23:9

includes 93:19

income 44:18 51:20

incomplete 93:22

**Incorporated** 12:11

**incorrect** 19:6 48:4 76:13,16

increase 94:12



Index: increased..judge

increased 95:11 increases 108:8

independent 22:14 27:2 57:24 58:3

independently 18:1 indicating 108:2 indicator 95:21

indicators 103:24

individual 28:15 29:15 39:5,8 56:3, 5 60:17 67:22 119:22 121:6

individuals 104:4

**Industries** 3:3 16:22 17:8 29:14 55:11 71:23 109:5 111:1

Industries' 65:20

industry 73:4 103:17 106:18 107:1 113:13 120:17

inferring 74:13

information 8:12 24:19 25:10,23,25 26:2 36:16 60:16,22 61:6 65:10 66:12 70:20 90:2 104:18 111:2,11 123:17,18

informed 58:25

**initial** 27:19

input 65:12 74:24 77:4 126:3

insane 15:7 insanity 14:22 Inslee 106:12

inquire 25:12

**inspected** 95:10 100:13

**inspection** 94:20 95:20 98:14 102:22 103:5,17

**inspections** 36:18 37:14 53:16 95:1,4,6,8,9,10 96:18,20,25 97:4,5, 7,12,22,23 98:10 100:20 102:10,13, 24 107:3 109:20

**inspector** 9:23 50:20 95:20 97:3,6 102:17 103:12

**inspectors** 10:1 96:15 98:22 99:25 100:14 102:19 103:16,20 104:2 114:21 116:2 123:19,22

**installations** 18:25 43:5 57:22

installing 112:22

instance 65:1 69:8

instances 67:23

instructed 24:21

instruction 119:17

instructor 10:7

instructors 10:5

insurance 62:16 63:14

intend 76:12

intended 64:15 91:23 107:23

intending 75:6

**intent** 73:15 74:13 75:8,13 76:12 79:12 83:25 85:13 100:12

intentions 74:7 interacts 123:12

interest 94:24 interested 16:24

interesting 35:8 124:9,12 126:6

intermittent 108:17

internally 109:25 111:22

internet 109:23 interpret 22:21 introduce 9:25

investigated 64:25

**involved** 15:19 104:13 120:11 121:12

IRS 23:3 24:4 25:1

**Isaacson** 3:12 7:25 8:1 9:16,17,18 11:24 12:1,3

**issue** 20:4 28:17 29:10 39:11 50:5 52:15 53:20 58:24 59:21 63:3 65:8 68:16,18 74:5 87:25 88:11 105:5,7, 14 115:25

issued 17:3,7 18:3 27:4,13 75:20,21

**issues** 15:19 17:22 18:7 35:25 84:19 89:19 93:1 105:17 108:18 116:10

**ITC** 8:16

item 4:9 10:22 12:6 110:20 114:21

125:6

items 12:12 125:7

**Ivan** 3:12 7:25 9:16,17 12:1

J

Jack 3:77:179:10

James 3:8 7:12 8:25 74:25

**January** 60:18 93:4 116:16 127:12, 18

**Jason** 3:5 9:8 16:19

Jay 106:12 Jeff 12:11

Jeffrey 10:8

**Jenkins** 3:6,23 6:8,20,23 7:8,10,12, 15,17,20,23 8:2 9:4,8,16,20 10:21 11:3,6,12,17,19,23,25 12:4,22,24 13:2,8,10,17,20 15:9 16:5,15,18,19 17:14,18 19:4,12 27:14 32:1,21 34:21,23 38:22 40:14,16 41:9,13,16 42:10 43:24 44:23 46:22 55:19 56:1 57:4 59:10 60:9 62:1 73:23 74:21 75:23 77:9,11,17,19 78:7,13,17,21 79:25 80:2 81:1 82:8 83:3,7,14 85:11 86:9,17,23 87:8,12,16 88:1,2 89:1,7,8,11 90:6,11,14 91:10,17 92:2,8 93:9,16 96:11 100:22 102:7 105:20 107:17 110:14,18,24 114:10 115:18 116:13 117:24 124:25 125:5 126:6,19,25 127:11 128:5,9,22,25 129:3

**job** 30:13,22 32:10 38:2,14 39:13 41:7,21 44:16 45:2,8,15,17 46:5 56:13,23 57:1,2 62:3 63:6,24 64:14, 17 72:4 76:9,25 77:2 96:3 98:7 103:9 107:7 110:4,11 113:17,19 118:5,8,16 119:16 120:10 121:9,16, 19 122:3

**jobs** 32:16 44:13 98:6,13 113:22 118:14,19 119:1

Joel 106:2

journey 121:1,22

journeyman 62:11

**judge** 18:12 71:25 74:19 84:2,10,22, 25



Index: judge's..long

judge's 36:20 73:17 79:4

July 3:2 16:25 17:8 89:18 92:6,7,19 93:20 124:12,15 127:14 128:20

jump 85:10

**June** 9:23 60:18 93:21,24 94:3,13 109:10.11

justification 44:3

Κ

keeping 26:10,16 55:16 97:15 105:10

**Kellogg** 3:14 13:1 15:11,13 82:25 83:1,6,11,13 86:16,17,24 87:7,25 88:3,14,25

**Kent** 10:10

**Kerry** 3:6 7:6 8:10,14 75:24,25

key 94:25 103:23 118:19

**kind** 4:1 6:3 40:19 52:13 61:5 62:19 73:21 76:6 78:17 85:10 92:25 111:19 113:6,24 116:3,7,11 117:5, 17 119:7 121:14 122:12 123:4,25 124:2 128:13

knew 64:8 69:9

**Knottingham** 3:7 7:18,19 9:10 11:8,16 44:21,24,25 45:14,17,19 46:7,11,16,20 57:23 58:2,14,19 59:14 68:14,24 69:11,18 80:13 127:2

**knowing** 64:13

knowingly 63:2

**L-A-Y-O-N** 13:16

**L-E-O-N-A-R-D** 19:10

lab 110:12

labels 20:25

labor 3:3 16:22 17:8 29:14 55:11 61:12 65:20 71:22 73:3,4 104:9 109:5 111:1

labs 110:12

lack 71:7 107:11 118:17

Lampman 12:11

language 22:13

large 114:3

larger 66:15

**Larry** 110:22,24 118:2

lastly 6:9 127:20

late 116:16

**Lathrop** 3:12 89:3,5,7,10,11 90:8, 12,19 91:13,18,19,24 92:3,10 93:11 115:20

Laughter 115:11

**law** 18:12 22:2 24:6 35:12 36:20 64:18,20 66:18 67:11,24 71:24 73:17,19 74:2,12,18,19 76:1,7,10,17 79:4 84:10,25 88:10 89:21 123:9

laws 65:9

lay 123:4

**Layman** 12:19,24 15:23

layman's 58:9

**Laymon** 12:18,20,21,23 13:7,9,15, 16,19,21 15:20 16:1

lays 40:24

leads 100:15

learned 37:21

leave 84:23 121:4

led 19:19

**Lee** 3:7 7:21,22 9:14

**left** 78:24 121:7

legal 17:19 22:2 35:12 62:4 84:8,24 85:16 86:3 89:23 90:2

legalities 36:10

legislative 65:6,11 106:21

legislature 17:19 84:10 106:10

legitimate 58:20

length 103:5

lengthy 85:5

**Leonard** 16:11,13,21 17:11 19:10 31:16 71:23 75:3

lesser 80:10,12

letter 82:10 116:20 123:13

level 50:24 104:12 121:1,22

Leviton 9:19

liability 44:10 62:16 63:14

license 45:25 46:1 64:10,12 70:9,11

94:23

**licensed** 50:24 51:3 59:8,21,22

licenses 21:1

licensing 16:4 17:23 62:2 95:13 96:9 108:15,23,25 109:3,6 110:3

licensure 62:6

life 21:20

lifestyle 120:7

light 27:9

liken 53:3

limine 84:15

limit 98:9 102:9

limitation 102:11

**limited** 102:11 104:7,8

Linderson 3:4

lines 100:23

**Lisa** 3:10 16:16 17:16 27:15

**list** 14:18,19,21 32:11 64:8 114:22, 23 118:22 121:8 125:8 126:10

listed 28:5,19 29:1 30:2 31:20

**listen** 84:17

**lists** 113:21 118:12

live 82:15 83:23 85:23,25 112:5,6 114:7 126:1

living 112:14

**LLC** 12:17 30:3 83:5,10

local 119:24

location 125:18 128:13,17

locations 4:6 68:23 108:16 127:12 128:14

log 109:7

**long** 4:20 5:4,8 33:7 75:19 106:23 109:15 121:25 122:2



longer 78:22 102:24

**looked** 5:24 36:11 38:8 101:21 120:3

loop 44:8

**Lorin** 3:12 89:3,5,11 91:18,21 92:3,8 93:11 114:23,24 116:22 117:3

loss 75:8 96:14

**lot** 37:22 38:6,20 58:15,17 92:22 100:16 101:7,11 102:20 105:8 107:8 111:22 113:5,18 122:6,13 123:2 124:21,22

love 104:21,24 105:1,19

**low** 5:1

**lumped** 48:17

## М

**made** 22:24 25:9 33:3 51:11 62:8,15 67:18 70:1 77:13 79:11 81:9,11 94:22

**main** 6:6

maintain 25:20

maintaining 108:21

**make** 6:1 15:12 19:20,21 20:9,11 23:25 43:2 44:6 48:25 53:9,16 60:2, 10,19 61:14 62:8,12 64:17 65:16,17 68:1,8 69:6 74:5,16 76:7,12,20,22, 25 78:5 79:8 80:11,13 85:11,20 86:8 89:19,21,24 91:21 92:21,23 97:11 99:9,19 103:14 105:23 106:10,11 107:1,5 109:25 120:14 124:6 126:16 127:24 128:2,15

**makes** 57:12,14 67:1 72:6,7 75:11 77:21 93:5 97:13

**making** 23:19 26:9 37:6 43:7 89:4 90:10,20 100:7 106:13 123:3 124:13

makings 89:14

man 50:9 51:13 63:12

manager 8:16 9:19 10:9

managing 99:14

mandate 97:2

mandates 96:16

mandatory 96:15

maneuver 117:11

**manner** 110:2

manufacturer 117:3

manufacturer's 9:18

Manufacturing 9:19

**Marina** 82:12

**market** 123:1

**master** 9:3,11 19:15 20:1,2 21:8 22:1 26:10,13,17,18 27:22 28:4,5,6 35:11 46:9,12 52:1

material 32:22 71:24

materials 120:6

math 54:3 111:12

**matter** 16:20,21 23:2 25:24 26:17 78:11 82:13 86:11 116:1 118:17

matters 84:3

means 21:25 35:10 39:12 82:3,14

measures 94:25

mechanics 84:8

mechanisms 66:16 79:5

medical 5:23

meet 36:4,9 88:10 126:10

**meeting** 3:2,24 4:5 12:9,10,14 81:19,20 82:1,4 83:18 91:8 93:7 94:2 103:15 105:16 125:15,16,21 126:7 128:1,4,11,20

meetings 82:19 85:6 125:17

meets 58:11

member 7:1,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,15, 16,17,19,20,22,25 8:14,19,22,25 9:1,5,10,14,17 11:8,16,24 12:3 18:19 19:16,22 20:4,10,11,14 26:9, 12,13 27:23 28:8,12,14,18,20 29:1,5 30:16 31:17 32:3,17,24,25 33:9,14, 18 34:3,7,19,22,24 35:7,16 37:8 38:13 39:17 42:13,20,21 44:21,24 45:14,17,19 46:7,11,16,20,24 47:8, 12,16,20,23 48:2,4,8,11,19,23 49:2, 5,6,9,10,12,15,17,19,20,23,24,25 50:1,4,5,8,9,22 51:1,6,7,21,23 52:7, 15,21,22 53:18,19,22 54:1,2,10,18, 21,23,25 55:3,7,9,13,17 57:11,23 58:1,2,7,14,18,19,21,23 59:4,5,7,14,

20,25 60:12,23,25 61:1,3,4,7,11,25 64:21 65:5,17 66:1,4,9,19 67:6,13, 16 68:8,12,14,24 69:11,18,19 70:16 71:10,14,16 72:3,8,9,11 74:25 75:17,25 77:16,18 78:4,10 80:2,13, 19,22,24 81:9 85:13 87:11 89:7,10 90:8,12,19 91:13,24 92:4,10 96:11, 13 97:17 99:15 100:22,23 101:5,15 102:9 103:13 104:21,24 105:3,13 106:14,20 107:19 108:1,5,11 114:11 115:8,13 118:1 119:3,5,21 120:14 126:4,15 127:2,5,7

Index: longer..misleading

**member's** 81:8

**members** 3:5,11 8:8 27:16 31:19 32:2 36:24 37:5 79:15,24 85:3 86:1 87:9 89:13 93:17 108:25 127:17,20

mention 106:24

**mentioned** 41:5,22 75:24 102:9 116:14,22

merits 18:18 82:24 85:18,22

message 4:10,11

messed 13:3

met 20:1 31:23 59:11

Method 82:12

methods 88:10

mics 6:25

middle 4:15 35:2

**Mike** 3:7 7:15 9:5 10:10,11,14 49:6 75:23

Mike's 10:16

mileage 97:11

**mind** 18:10 35:3 57:8 58:9,12 65:19 72:6,13 73:21

minimum 80:4,23 120:19

**minus** 102:3

minute 7:4 78:18,19 124:7

**minutes** 10:25 11:13 13:13 18:18 19:19 102:22 103:19 105:16 106:16

minutia 49:7

misclassification 52:11 58:15

misinformation 124:22

misleading 25:18



Index: missed..online

missed 99:2

missing 77:3

mistake 22:24 43:21 51:11 59:3,5

75:5,7,10

misunderstanding 73:2

Molesworth 3:6 4:12 6:13,21 9:22 10:17,20 11:10,14 27:17 67:14 68:11,18 69:1,12 80:6,20,23 91:20 93:12,14,17 96:24 98:3 99:21 101:2, 6,20 102:8,14 104:5,23 105:1,6,25 106:19,22 107:25 108:4,6,14 110:16 115:16 126:13 127:9,23 128:21

moment 36:23 39:3 66:25

money 52:5 69:25 123:12

Montgomery 12:12

**month** 45:1 46:1 48:14 57:13 70:9, 10 72:4 90:22 97:6

monthly 47:4,6,7,8 70:6 94:5,9

months 92:20 117:21

morning 16:19 27:16 32:18

**motion** 10:24 11:2,3,12,20 12:5 77:5,6 88:19 105:23 128:19,21,22 129:4

**motions** 82:24 84:13,15 85:16 86:3,

motive 62:21

mountains 4:18,25 127:22

**move** 90:9 92:20 102:25 109:18 110:20 120:11 125:17

moved 77:8 109:8

**moving** 8:5,6 10:22 12:6 16:11 103:9 104:10 105:17

muddy 25:15

multiple 41:5 44:1 64:5 72:14 126:8

multiply 71:6

Ν

Nancy 3:14 13:1 15:11 83:11 86:16,

narrowed 74:17

national 91:5

nationally 114:2

**nature** 21:25 35:10 66:11 74:9 85:8

nay 76:5

NCR 12:14

**NEC** 89:5 90:21,22

necessarily 37:24,25 43:5 57:18

74:8

**needed** 14:7,20 42:6 50:19 58:25

60:2 117:1

negligence 105:15

news 4:23 116:20

newsletter 92:12

nice 4:15 125:18,23

night 38:9

nights 55:1

nodding 103:25 104:5

non-covid 126:22

non-fraudulent 60:21

non-voting 9:1

Nord 3:7 7:15,16 9:5 32:25 33:9,14, 18 34:3,7,19 46:24 47:8,12,16,20,23 48:2,4,9,11,19,23 49:2,5,9,12,15,17, 19,23,25 50:4,8,22 51:2,7,21 52:7, 21 53:18,22 54:2,18,21,25 55:3 59:4,7 60:12,25 61:3,7,11 69:19 70:16 71:10,14 75:17

Nord's 59:25

normal 32:14 85:7

Northwest 82:11 83:4,8,9 87:1

**noted** 40:25

notice 11:8 16:24 106:23 123:14

noticed 64:24 108:11

**notices** 17:6 21:3

notification 123:10 128:16

**notify** 117:8

noting 26:4

**November** 92:13,17

novo 18:11

**number** 5:1 10:23 12:6 41:1,3,23 45:1 60:21 67:10 77:23,24 80:7 82:10 85:25 89:2 91:22 95:12,15 96:19,21,25 97:19 100:6,7 101:1 109:22 110:8,20 111:9,12,20 112:17,18,22 113:11,13,14 114:3 125:6

**numbers** 59:24 60:1 96:17,23 101:18 109:21 113:24 118:6

0

OAH 34:18 77:22 82:18

**objections** 84:4,5 86:5 127:16

obligation 52:9

obligations 20:2 53:24

obtain 25:22 29:23

obvious 26:11

occupation 121:21,22,23

occur 68:19 79:10

occurred 68:15

October 12:9,10,14 50:6 82:4 93:7

94:2 127:15,21 128:1

odometer 76:3

offense 80:17,18,21

office 17:3 18:4 21:12 32:5 74:11

79:21 84:2 104:8 110:1

officer 23:4 52:11 53:4 54:6,11,13

officer's 76:5

officers 108:19

offices 101:10

official 9:1,2 23:4 61:15

offset 96:19 97:4

**OFM** 106:3,4

**OHA** 82:18

**OHJ** 77:22

**oldest** 109:9

omission 70:12

one-offs 4:7

**online** 6:25 8:4 74:23 94:15,17,18,



20,23 125:1,9,10,12

**open** 63:19 108:20 111:6 116:8

**opened** 108:16

**opening** 88:20 90:16,21,23 91:11,

opens 63:23

operating 42:3 56:23 58:4 94:5,6

operation 53:12 56:17

opinion 15:10 56:19 64:17 99:6

opinions 64:19

operations 57:15

opportunities 65:12,15 109:5

opportunity 29:4 oppose 37:21

**opposed** 11:23,25 12:1,2 77:15 129:3

opposing 86:18,21 88:16

**opposition** 23:7 24:5 **opposition** 126:11

oral 22:5 35:14

**order** 3:25 12:17 15:17,22 17:3 18:8 27:19 41:3 60:19 69:14 79:4 81:16 82:1 87:5

Oregon 112:8

organization 35:23 61:12

original 82:14 83:22 out-of-state 112:6

outlet 99:3.5

over-simplify 75:6

overreach 68:9 oversee 84:11

oversight 72:25

overtime 105:8

overturn 73:16

owner 8:15 51:2 61:5 63:7 105:13

owners 121:12

Ρ

p.m. 129:7

Pacific 82:11 83:4,8,9 86:25

package 33:3 103:21 104:3

packages 104:13

**packet** 40:23,25 44:2 46:25 55:12 62:22 64:6 69:20 71:18 120:6

packets 74:14 87:20

pages 44:1 64:5 69:20 96:5

**paid** 22:23 23:11 24:7 25:13 34:2,6, 16 37:13 44:12 45:1,14,16,21,22 46:5,8,17 47:4 48:20,22 57:24 58:4 59:19 60:20 62:18 70:11

painful 64:15

paper 20:5

paperwork 54:8

pardon 66:21

**part** 15:16 32:21,22 46:1,4 55:11,21 62:5,10,22 64:11 72:25 73:1 77:3 86:15 97:16 99:8 103:22 104:21,24 105:2,9 119:8

participate 124:21

participation 91:1

parties 16:25 74:7 82:21,22 87:22

88:17

parties' 79:12

partner 19:16 27:23

parts 79:20

**party** 18:8,17 19:5

Pasco 127:16,19

pass 111:2

passed 111:5,6,13,16 123:9,19

passes 12:5 129:4

passionate 34:25

**past** 63:2 64:23 65:4 72:6,16 73:11, 15 78:12 86:7 87:24 96:19 98:2

101:12 104:13 126:16

**path** 121:3

pathways 123:4

pause 90:8

pay 4:21 25:20

paying 33:22 44:5 58:16 61:13,20

75:4

**payroll** 26:9,15,16,19 29:17,20 39:7

56:6 59:12 71:1,8

**pays** 22:8,9 123:12

**pen** 117:19

penalized 60:7

penalizing 51:11,24 52:25

penalties 18:3 38:19 43:18 80:12

penalty 78:6 80:10,15

Penik 22:11

**people** 5:19 9:25 58:16 61:6 63:20 91:2 98:18 99:10 100:11 102:18 106:15 107:4,8,11 109:16 111:10, 13,16 113:18,22 114:3,6 117:21,22, 23 118:10,25 121:11 122:6 123:7 126:18

perceived 99:16

percent 95:1,4 96:8 102:3

percentage 122:9

perfect 6:20 12:4 99:4

perform 21:1 45:4

performance 22:4 35:14 94:25

103:24

**performed** 20:20 21:7 22:3 35:12

54:17 95:1,4,6,8

performing 37:23 40:11 54:22

performs 22:7

**period** 4:20 5:8 27:25 28:19 29:6,25 30:2,4,7 45:13 60:18 67:17 68:21 72:19 73:20 75:16 94:8,11 101:21

periods 21:7 53:2 74:5

**permit** 13:23 14:5,7,9,13,15,16,20, 23 15:1,4,5,7 16:3 45:20 47:8 48:15 68:19,20,22 72:5 95:13

**permits** 14:18,19 21:1 36:18 43:4 53:16,23 94:14,16 96:9

permittable 15:3

permittable 15.5

permitting 37:14 processed 93:22 94:15 107:21 35:18 40:18,21 41:17,19 42:14 43:13 49:21 88:4,5,6 98:14 103:18 person 4:11 24:1 26:12 60:6 72:13, processes 110:4,11 109:3 16 73:9 85:14 111:17 120:20 121:19 processing 108:21 109:15 110:10 122:2 positions 97:3 108:24,25 productively 112:22 person's 23:16 positive 99:16 105:23 107:15 professional 20:8,13 22:6 31:13 personal 21:25 22:4.8.10 35:10.14 **possibility** 5:1 78:25 58:12 105:11 program 10:3,9 17:21 65:20 66:24 possibly 121:9 103:9 109:1 119:11 120:1,9,12,16 personnel 98:20 post 15:4 122:5 persuasive 71:13,25 Potelco 12:11 programs 104:14 118:4 120:4 pertaining 18:24 potentially 84:5 projects 20:22 32:12,14 **phone** 67:4 power 126:14 promised 8:8 **phones** 56:20 pre-existing 14:5,14 **prompt** 25:11 phrased 79:14 preliminary 88:12 **proof** 19:6 23:14 31:23 40:9 70:24 physical 46:6 prepare 81:15 prop-- 59:8 physically 106:3 preponderance 21:14 27:20 28:12 proper 16:24 20:24 50:24 104:2 29:9 40:8,9 pick 114:21,22 **properly** 59:7,23 **picked** 120:4 present 3:5,9,11,13 7:7,11,14,16,19 property 21:21 98:20 16:17 17:12,15 37:22 81:18,20 82:4, **piece** 18:13 22 83:8,10,16 proposal 16:8 **place** 5:13 37:4,12,15 38:9 42:22 **presented** 19:25 28:11 29:18 31:22 proposals 16:7 117:17 43:1 65:16 68:10 85:14 124:14 70:13.24 74:11 126:3 proposed 15:17 17:2 18:7 19:6 Presentment 12:17 81:16,25 87:3 places 4:22 preside 84:3 proprietor 19:16 27:23 **plan** 13:4 96:1,3,4,5 97:12 pretty 56:24 73:19 123:23 protect 67:25 69:14 planting 37:9 previous 55:7 65:24 66:24 94:18,20 prove 14:7 21:13 play 124:13,16 101:17 111:3,8 115:10 127:11,12 **proved** 13:24 playing 99:8 primarily 4:18 93:19 122:17 **provide** 25:16 65:1,12,24 66:13,22 **point** 10:22 15:16 19:24 24:11 38:2 primary 43:6 70:4 74:1 83:20 39:15 50:8 53:13 59:25 60:12 62:13 77:5 79:19 82:9 89:22 93:5 97:25 prior 33:1 88:5 **provided** 24:6 25:10,24 26:1 58:12 99:14 107:19 116:15 60:5,23 74:14 84:9 **prison** 106:7 pointed 43:20 **providing** 36:14 66:5 problem 42:8 48:23 51:2 63:5 90:10 pointing 102:5 128:12 provision 22:13 89:21 points 24:9 71:24 problems 117:3 **provisions** 21:18 36:25 police 76:5 procedural 79:5 **psi** 114:5 policy 10:9 65:7 procedures 6:11 18:6 20:24 85:6 public 39:24 63:17 68:7 125:6,9 political 23:5 proceedings 3:15 67:16 84:20 85:5 publication 117:22 portion 42:5 46:7 50:13 55:20 process 10:2 79:18 87:20 89:20 publishing 90:22 90:23 91:8,13 101:16 103:11 109:2, portions 57:9 **pull** 22:12 53:15 23 110:1 **position** 8:11,15 12:1,2 18:11 25:17 pulled 36:18 43:4 45:20,24 53:4



Index: permitting..pulled

Index: pulling..refers

111:15

pulling 53:22

pulls 53:4

**pump** 15:21,22 16:2

purchased 68:20

**purely** 84:18

purpose 23:16

purposes 24:4

pursuant 16:24 18:5 21:9

**put** 5:20 37:12 52:23 75:9 78:23 79:21 87:20 109:15 117:16

putting 50:12

Q

qualified 89:16 123:5

quality 104:4

**quarter** 28:1,2 30:1,21,24,25 31:1,2, 6,7 38:3,6 40:5,6 41:5,6 47:1,2,10 59:16 65:2,24 68:25 69:24 94:6,14, 16,18,19,20,21,22,24

quarterly 68:16 70:6 71:5 110:21

quarters 20:6,18 30:24 40:7 66:24

**question** 20:6,18,20 29:7,25 31:3 32:23 33:1,14,18 34:15 38:23 44:22 46:22 47:9,20 48:8,12 50:20 54:13 65:7 66:8 67:2 69:17,19 73:6,10,13 75:1 81:4,11 87:11 90:13 96:7,12 97:16 98:4 99:22 102:6 103:13 105:23 113:3 115:6,17,18 118:1 119:22

questioning 52:14 55:19 57:15

**questions** 18:19 19:1 32:2 33:5 34:20,21,24 66:15 74:22 80:1 81:2 84:20,23,24 86:2 90:15 91:18 92:2 93:9 110:13,14 114:9,18,19 116:5,6 117:24 124:25

quick 7:2 10:6 89:12 115:18

quickly 5:16,22,23

quorum 8:6 83:16 85:21

**quote** 65:19 **quoted** 91:22

R

**R-O-B-E-R-T** 13:16

raising 77:14

**Ran--** 28:5

**Randy** 29:20 32:11 34:2,5 45:8 46:20,21 50:16,18 53:15 59:14

Randy's 12:7 20:2,8,9,10,17,19,21 21:4,6,9 23:13 24:7,9,18,20 25:2,3, 4,9,14,24 26:1,9 27:25 28:5,13,21 29:20 30:3,4,8,11 31:5 32:5,6,18 40:2,5,6,22 41:2,3,20 42:16 43:15, 20 45:5,11 46:25 50:7 53:3,21 54:19 55:5 58:24 63:11 70:17 72:2,22,25 73:7,20

range 86:19

rate 99:10 111:2

**RCW** 19:14,18 20:3,15,16,23 21:9, 11,18,24 23:1,8,17,24 24:17 26:6 27:21 28:3 35:9 36:24 65:20 67:20 116:5

**RCWS** 37:2 39:1 52:3 68:3,5

re-adjourn 78:19

re-occurrence 6:4

reached 18:23

**read** 34:25 36:7,12 37:10 52:4,8 59:10 60:9 87:16,17 93:18 96:23 106:15

readily 55:4

reading 75:2

reads 103:19

ready 115:21 116:2,18

real 10:13 82:16 98:15 99:22

realistic 91:4

reality 64:3,16 65:8

realm 112:24,25

reason 69:13 90:25 100:1 118:24

119:21

reasonable 21:20

reasoning 44:3

reasons 58:17,20 100:6

rebuild 14:9,14

rebuilt 14:10

recall 48:17

receive 29:17 48:13,15,16

received 24:14 39:8 47:2 60:5 70:14

receives 56:7

receiving 29:21 70:25 72:4

recertify 61:18

recess 78:18,19,20

reclassified 33:24 61:23

recognize 37:11

recognized 64:6

recognizing 104:6

reconstruct 15:2

record 8:5 12:7 13:5,6,7,8,14 18:13, 15 26:10,16 34:11 53:5 61:21 77:1 79:11,16,20,22 81:7 82:17 88:22 93:18 103:14,25 104:20 105:4,9 107:24 110:24

**records** 25:16,20 26:19 29:24 30:5, 20 40:3 60:13,19 61:22 70:5,13

71:4,11

recruiting 104:4

rectify 66:6 75:8

Recusal 81:8

**recuse** 81:10

recusing 81:5

reduce 93:25

**reduced** 101:12

reducing 78:25

reduction 96:21 109:7

reductions 79:7,17,22

reference 19:17 33:2,4,25

referenced 18:14 20:7,13 39:2

references 19:21,23 47:1

referencing 35:4

**refers** 11:9



reflected 93:24 reflecting 24:19 reflects 16:8 reg 67:4 regard 26:25 27:5 regional 9:19 regions 109:21,23,24 register 122:5 registered 59:22 118:14,16 119:11 121:20 122:4 regular 24:15 29:17,22 56:7 71:8 109:9 regulation 67:5 regulations 28:16 related 22:16 36:25 73:3 **relates** 23:23 relationship 22:1,3 25:21,23 26:1 35:11,12 37:15 98:23 99:7,24 120:23 relevant 21:7 74:8 reliable 71:13 relied 24:2 relief 74:1 reluctant 124:19 relying 39:1 remedy 30:6 remember 127:2,15 **REMEMBERED** 3:1 reminded 113:16 renewals 94:23 renews 123:13 repeat 35:8 90:6 repercussions 6:5 replace 99:5,18,20 113:15

reporter 10:15 13:12 19:9 34:10 47:6 55:24 78:14,15 81:24 90:4 reports 33:4 69:21 70:19 75:18 represent 9:5,6 representation 104:17 representatives 106:12 represented 12:23 17:15 104:8 representing 17:12 request 27:11 84:1 87:13 95:2,5 requested 26:2 requests 94:20 require 31:19 82:13,18 required 21:1 25:16 29:1,2 requirement 62:3 123:8 124:13,16 requirements 21:8 23:24 requires 28:3,6 requiring 72:5 research 78:9,11 residential 121:23 122:17,20,25 residents 4:24 resolve 73:21 resource 115:25 respond 40:15

respectfully 27:11,18 31:24 responding 8:4 43:3,8 72:11 response 97:1,8,15 responsibility 4:13 24:15 51:25 56:8 62:12 67:25 68:7 76:18 responsible 21:17 26:15 48:9 rest 87:8

restricted 68:16 result 5:19,20,21 82:18 95:23 98:21

retaining 104:3 retirement 109:4

**returns** 24:19 25:3,4,6,13,25 revenue 24:25 94:9

**review** 18:1,9,10,12 81:16 82:15 85:24 86:1 96:3 114:14,18 123:11

Index: reflected..running

reviewed 96:1,5 115:3

reviewers 96:4

reviewing 16:7 33:19

reviews 82:17

revised 37:4 59:8 65:12

revisit 79:6 rewrite 25:6

ridiculous 14:22 15:7

risk 116:11 **RIVERA** 126:23 road 33:16 34:4 53:9 **Robert** 12:18,19 13:15

robust 91:4 97:22 98:9

rocket 115:23

role 9:2 36:4,17,19 38:21 121:18

roles 37:24

roll 6:24 7:2 117:20

rolls 113:6

room 7:1 8:9 42:24 107:6 112:15 117:1 118:23,24

**Roth** 3:10 16:16,17 17:16,17 19:2 27:15,16 38:23,25 66:8 67:2,8 70:3, 23 71:12,15 78:3 81:12,15,17,20,22 82:6

route 6:14 64:22

rule 14:1,11,24 84:8,18,22 89:4,14 90:10,20 123:2 124:13

ruled 15:13

rules 18:24 19:18 21:20 23:22 29:2 31:19 39:1,2,6,15,22,24 55:23 79:13 83:24 84:7 85:1 89:18 124:14

ruling 19:20 36:21 65:18 73:17 81:12

**rulings** 65:16 run 43:23 running 121:24

report 44:3 45:2 93:12,18,19,25

reported 40:25 49:3 69:22 94:1.2

108:12 110:12,21 114:1

111:4

Index: S.W...sort

S

**S.W.** 3:4 **Sacks** 55:8

**safety** 4:10,11,14 20:24 21:20 39:23 105:5,7,14,17 116:11

salaried 48:1 salaries 101:5,6

**salary** 24:15 29:18,22 39:8 56:7 71:9

\_

**sales** 9:19 94:18

sat 35:19

satisfied 119:24 satisfy 126:16

satisfying 23:24 36:19 38:21

**schedule** 20:19 24:8 80:15 85:7 86:11,13 88:23 93:6 102:19,23 126:21

scheduled 87:22 89:20

schedules 85:22 scheduling 86:8

scope 34:17 103:1

scopes 103:10 screen 102:5,6

seat 9:7,9,11,15,18 13:11

seating 8:12 seats 107:4

secondary 128:17

secretary 4:12 6:13,21 9:22,24 10:17,20 11:11,14 27:17 28:16,20, 23,24 31:20 67:13,14 68:11,18 69:1, 12 80:6,20,23 91:20 93:14,17 96:24 98:3 99:21 101:2,6,20 102:8,14 104:5,23 105:1,6,25 106:19,22 107:25 108:4,6,14 110:16 115:16 126:13 127:9,23 128:21

Secretary's 93:12,18 107:20

**section** 15:25 23:3 26:8 28:14 72:2 113:16 124:18

security 22:11,23 24:3,24 29:24

30:5,19 33:22 40:3 50:2 54:8 61:13 71:4

self-employed 31:17 72:3

selfish 126:1

send 61:5 114:1 126:24 128:15

sending 106:6

sense 48:25 53:10 67:1 93:5

**separate** 17:25 57:1 121:23

**September** 17:4 27:19

servant 22:1 35:11

**service** 20:8,13 21:25 22:6 31:14 35:10 36:14 58:12 94:13 102:11 108:16

**services** 22:4,8,9,10 24:6,8 35:14 58:13

**session** 78:23

**set** 20:3 26:5 68:2 79:5 83:15 85:5,6 90:19 96:1 101:17

**settle** 78:10 **settles** 113:9 **severe** 4:20

**share** 26:19 36:2 111:21

**shared** 33:23 36:8

**sharing** 37:9 38:17

shifts 38:8,9,10 ship 115:24 shock 5:20

**shift** 43:15

**shop** 121:13 122:15

**shops** 122:14 **short** 122:2

short-staffed 109:2

shorted 69:25

shortened 110:10

**show** 30:20 31:9 32:10 42:11,15

44:1 71:4 97:25

**showed** 29:9 69:8 70:5,14

**shown** 24:16 42:7 44:18

**shows** 29:8 31:3 34:6 42:4

**side** 4:16,18,24 44:16 54:15 59:12 63:21,24 108:12 123:17,18 126:17, 18 127:21

sideways 44:9

**sign** 113:19

**significant** 20:18 24:22 96:14,21,22 97:18 101:1

**signify** 77:13 129:1 **signing** 16:7 46:14

**similar** 25:14,20 39:9 56:7 71:9

simple 6:18 simpler 89:22

**simply** 14:15 29:11 52:5 65:18 66:14 68:8 74:2 85:16 86:8

single 41:18 86:11

sins 73:10 sir 17:13

**sit** 8:14,20,23 9:1,9,11,18 18:11 117:9

site 30:13 39:13 41:21 63:6

sitting 8:11 72:10

**situation** 5:25 22:16 63:23 75:9 102:17

102.17

situations 36:12 63:20

sizing 99:1 Skrivanich 22:12 slight 115:5

**sloughed** 63:16 **slow** 32:12 103:23

snapshot 111:14

**small** 122:14

social 33:22 61:13

**sold** 94:14,17

**sole** 19:15 27:23

solely 24:2

**solution** 104:22,25 105:2

**sort** 74:1 82:5 88:17 112:4 113:10,

21 115:20 116:21



Transcript of Proceedings - July 28, 2022 Index: sound..supervision **sound** 86:15 87:6 127:19 128:18 **started** 4:8 32:8 51:16,17 62:17 struggle 36:20 38:18,19 89:14 122:15 sounds 75:12,14 struggles 117:9 starting 11:9 28:1 46:2 50:11 86:14 struggling 43:17 124:8 **speak** 19:8 34:12 55:25 67:15 86:25 128:4 100:18 116:12 121:6 125:9,11,13 stuff 42:6 55:1 102:21 125:19 **starts** 113:17 **SPEAKER** 10:18 11:2,5,18 42:9 **stumbling** 38:4 42:14 110:17 77:8.10 105:19 128:8.24 **state** 8:11 10:25 13:11 21:22 22:22 23:5,7,11 24:6,11 26:22 28:16,20, subcontracted 44:7 speaking 33:12 41:12 51:9 54:24 23,25 31:21 33:20 34:8 35:5 37:4 59:6 75:2 84:12 subcontracting 62:15 39:6 40:4,19 48:5 49:3 51:24 59:22 special 82:19 83:15 85:5 60:2,5,20,21,24 61:6,14,21 62:6 **subcontractor** 44:5,6,11,12 61:24 64:20 67:11 100:17 108:17 112:2,6, 62:14,18,20 63:11,13 69:23 70:1 **specialist** 11:10 89:12 110:22,23,25 8,14 113:2,3,12,15 114:7,8 122:19 72:17,20 73:8 75:5,15 114:15 115:10,12,23 116:19 118:9 125:17 119:4,6 120:13,22 125:4 subdivision 23:5 stated 48:13 58:7 107:21 specialty 122:25 **subject** 18:9 53:1 **statement** 43:25 60:15,20 63:5 **specific** 39:10 66:13 67:4,20 79:20 submerse 5:18 65:19 88:12,21 107:20 118:7 84:14 **submit** 21:15 82:2 statements 48:22 70:4 88:20 **specifically** 39:1 118:3 submitted 18:16 27:7 states 23:5,8,9 25:18 26:6 112:9 **speed** 53:8 submitting 70:18 **status** 21:5 23:1,16,23 25:12 29:5 speeder 53:6 subsection 26:7 statute 28:6 36:25 39:23 65:22 **speeding** 53:4,5 76:2,3,8,9 66:22 67:7,8 68:10 substantial 79:9 spell 13:12 19:8 **statutes** 65:13 79:13 **successful** 104:11,16 spend 119:8 Statutorily 80:9 sudden 62:24 113:1 spending 38:7 statutory 21:23 suffer 6:5 **spiked** 111:18 **stay** 5:12 6:6 127:21 suffering 5:15 **spirit** 58:11 staying 4:21 suffice 62:25 **spit** 122:12 Stenerson 103:8 sufficient 107:12 **Spokane** 126:2 127:3,10,14,18 **step** 13:11 15:11 81:14 83:20 125:14 suggest 86:17 sporadic 32:10 **stop** 96:18 suggested 126:8 **spots** 42:11 stops 95:20 suggestion 125:24 127:24 staff 57:20 104:8 108:23,24,25 **store** 100:11 summary 40:24 109:7,25 110:1,3 story 100:25 122:2 summer 4:19 127:5 staffing 108:18 Straley 10:5 superior 88:5 stakeholder 91:1 strategy 99:17 **supervise** 53:15 57:21 60:10 95:14 **stakeholders** 89:25 109:14 streamline 108:19 supervise-- 39:16 stand 10:6 77:3 106:25 **Strike** 32:24 **supervised** 20:19,22 30:14 39:14

stroke 5:3,15,20 6:1

structure 35:22 43:1 46:3

**strong** 38:16

strongly 37:21



standard 5:7 40:9 122:1

standards 24:12 104:9

**start-up** 32:6 51:13

start 8:10 12:7 36:3 51:15 116:4

supervising 30:13 39:13 42:24,25

**supervision** 30:11,12 35:23 39:12

43:5 53:23 56:21 62:2,11 64:10

50:18

46:8 50:23 96:10

**supervisor** 10:11 30:11 37:22 44:7, 16 52:4 56:13,25 62:1,7,10 63:9,10 76:19,21,23 103:8

supervisor's 56:22

**supervisory** 19:16 20:5 21:6 24:15 27:24 28:7 29:8,10,12,15 30:8,15 31:5 35:18 36:4,17 37:20 38:15 39:5,16 40:1,12,18,21 52:9 54:14 56:3.8

**supply** 41:3 76:5 93:1 111:23 116:23,24

**support** 72:1 74:6 79:17 106:2 113:14

supported 18:23 79:8,11,14

**supposed** 62:5 77:2

Supreme 26:23

swing 43:14

symptoms 5:15

system 4:2

Systems 8:16

## Т

T-O-B-I-N 19:11

Tacoma 127:18

tails 92:25

takes 17:22

taking 63:15 109:14

**talk** 4:14 41:14 85:10 104:9,19 109:22 111:9 119:25

talked 4:23 75:25 106:3

talking 65:6 106:11 119:9 123:9

talks 41:1 70:7

target 92:5,6

task 124:24

tax 33:4,23 61:14 69:21 70:18 75:18

taxes 33:20,22 34:1 58:16 61:13,20

**Teams** 86:6

**technical** 4:3 11:10 89:11 110:21, 23,25 114:15 115:10,12,23 116:19 118:9 119:4,6 120:13,22 125:4

technicalities 52:24 73:3

technicality 50:2 51:25

technically 75:15

technology 42:22

telecom 8:16 9:7

telecommunication 56:10

telecommunications 8:15 83:18

teleconferencing 86:6

Telephone 27:2

tells 123:13

tenure 52:17

terms 31:18 37:11 58:9 107:9

test 15:22 115:21

testified 36:15 50:18

testimony 41:24 59:18 82:15 83:24

85:23

**testing** 110:12

There'll 85:23

thereof 23:5

**theses** 25:9

**thing** 6:6 14:22 22:12 40:19 54:2 59:2 71:21 73:11 76:2 80:8 99:2 113:10,21 116:4,7,21 119:7 125:14

things 32:11,12 44:4 49:7 51:12,19 69:10,16 92:24 98:17 99:1 101:12 102:15 104:10 107:15 108:9 109:13 113:20 114:16 116:23,25 117:2,15 118:10,20 124:7,23

thinking 36:3 44:19 72:10

thought 14:6 34:19 45:25 62:25

113:23

thoughts 38:18 127:16

thousand 35:20 71:3,5

thousands 116:6

threw 41:23

throw 59:24 113:24

**throwing** 111:19

**throws** 60:1

Thursday 3:2

ticket 53:6,8

tied 106:14

tier 35:22

time 5:8 8:8 17:11 18:19 20:5,17 21:6 24:13 25:20 27:15,25 28:7,18 29:8.10.12.15.17.19.25 30:2.8.10.14 31:5,11 32:17,18 33:12 35:24 38:6, 13 39:5,7,16,25 40:12,20,22 41:6, 12,13,17 43:11 45:5,10,24 51:9 53:2,7,9 54:24 55:21 56:3,6,12,14 57:5,6,7,8,9,12,14,20 59:6 60:17 63:15,18 64:7,9,24 66:25 67:17,23, 24 70:25 71:1,8 72:14,19,21 73:19, 20 74:3 76:9,13,18,19 78:2,13 81:13 82:7,16 88:9,23 91:2 93:11 94:25 95:21,22 96:1,6 97:1,8 103:15 106:23 108:23,24 110:5,6,10,19 111:2,4,9 115:20 116:17 117:15,21, 22 120:4 123:11 124:1 125:3,9,21 126:22 127:4 128:3,11,13

Index: supervisor..top

timed 124:14

timely 17:10 108:20 110:2

**times** 5:3 32:15,16 37:23 41:5 42:4 55:4 58:15 83:12 94:4 97:15 103:5

126:8

tin 50:12 51:13

tired 110:16

titled 11:14 20:7

**Tobin** 16:11,13,14,21 17:11,13 19:3, 4,10,13 27:21 28:4,12,19,22 29:7,19 30:2,7,10,22 31:4,13,16,21 32:4,6 34:2,5 35:1,5,9 36:22,23 38:11 40:4, 10,15 41:8,11,15 42:20 45:7,16,18, 21 46:10,14,18,21 47:1,11,14,18,22, 25 48:3,6,16,21 49:1,4,14,18 50:14, 18 51:24 52:1 53:2 54:20 55:2,6 57:3 59:11,19 60:6,24 63:7 64:9 66:2 70:3,17,25 71:23 72:3,19 74:4 75:3 81:4,12 82:5,9

**Tobin's** 59:20 72:25

**today** 5:11 6:7 8:18 10:1,12 12:19 13:21 16:21 18:18 37:7 66:18 79:22 81:11,13 83:15 84:15 85:3 111:1

toes 81:14 83:20

told 47:19,23

top 47:4



**tossed** 41:2

total 95:6,14,16,18

tough 98:3 towels 5:17

trade 32:10 119:3 120:1 121:10

trades 120:3,20 tradesmen 120:2 train 118:19.25 119:1

trained 109:8

**trainee** 30:14 39:14 96:10 119:10, 13,14,19 120:17 121:16,24 122:4 123:10.11,12

**trainees** 10:7 42:24 43:1 95:14 119:20 122:7 123:19

**training** 10:2,10 101:8 109:17 119:12 120:24 121:2,5,19,21,25 122:10,22

transcript 15:14

transcripts 10:23 50:17 53:17

transition 89:25

Transportation 27:3

travel 101:7,8,9 127:4

travelers 112:10

treasurer 61:11

treated 80:21

trend 103:24

**trends** 99:16

trial 88:17

**trigger** 114:13

triggered 50:19

trouble 106:1

troubling 117:18

true 28:22 30:3 60:15 70:22 112:10

trust 71:11 truth 27:8

**Tumelson** 3:8 7:13,14 8:25 74:25

**Tumwater** 3:4 16:25 127:13 128:9

turn 85:9 96:1

turn- 108:20

twenty-three 116:16

**Tyler** 10:10

**type** 80:8 82:16 96:8 97:23 98:11,13

99:2,12

types 65:16 84:14 98:5,9,12

typical 115:20

typically 39:21 92:6 125:16

U

ultimate 23:25

ultimately 84:16

unanimous 15:15

undersigned 71:24

**understand** 10:3 36:10,11 52:12 56:19 58:8 67:2 72:12 82:22 83:2

**understanding** 17:4,5,9 33:21 78:4 82:21 83:17 84:13 85:21 86:10

96:14 102:10

understands 85:12

Understood 66:4

unemployment 37:1

unforeseen 93:1

**UNIDENTIFIED** 10:18 11:2,5,18 42:9 77:8,10 105:19 128:8,24

unintended 92:23

**United** 23:4.9

units 50:12 108:2

unknowingly 63:2

unlimited 22:1 35:10

unmute 6:25

**unpack** 122:6

unreasonable 23:10 26:24

unstructured 119:17

**unsure** 67:15

**upcoming** 101:16

**update** 65:11 89:13 114:14,17,18,20

updated 114:25 115:4 116:12 117:2

**updates** 89:3,4

upheld 17:5 78:1

uphold 27:19 64:18 73:18

upholding 36:20 64:11,12 76:10

upset 56:24

**uptick** 109:6

Utilities 27:3

٧

**vacancies** 97:2 99:18,20,23 100:4, 19 101:3,14,19 103:23 106:23

vacancy 99:10

vacant 97:3 101:3

vacants 100:4

vacate 15:15

vaccination 96:15

**valuable** 114:6,9

Vance 110:22,23,25 114:15 115:12, 23 116:19 118:9 119:4,6 120:13,22

125:4

Vancouver 120:5 121:8 125:25 126:7,11 127:14,19 128:6,8,11

Vancouvers 128:10

variance 82:11 87:12,13 88:6,8

varies 37:1 121:11,18

**VEI** 95:8 97:4,7,9,10 98:9,13 99:25

102:19 103:8,11

**verbal** 19:21

verdict 76:6

verification 23:22 24:3

verify 22:23 23:16,23 25:21,23

versa 54:16 63:11

version 72:1

versus 16:22 36:10 115:21

vice 54:16 63:11

videoconferencing 3:11,14

violate 27:21

violating 19:14

**violation** 16:2 23:14 29:6 30:4,7 53:10 63:19 64:13,16,24 67:24 68:14,21 80:5,16 96:8

violations 28:19 68:22 79:10 80:7

virtual 95:8 96:20 97:22 102:10,13

virtually 69:3 85:14

visible 101:11 visit 101:10 volition 28:23

voluntarily 25:2,9

vote 77:21 79:23

voted 79:3 votes 126:2 voting 77:23

## W

**W-2** 22:23,25 23:11 33:3,6,24 34:5 36:10 37:16 59:12

W-2S 20:15 34:5 70:19

**WAC** 19:18 21:11,14,18 24:12 25:17,18 29:13 30:11 39:2 52:3 55:23 56:1 60:9 67:20 80:14 89:4,15 90:17,21 91:6 116:5 123:3

WACS 23:21 59:9

**wage** 25:14 33:4 44:3 56:7 60:16 69:21 70:19 71:9 75:18 108:8 119:24 120:19

**wages** 22:3,9 29:17,22 35:13 39:8 58:15 107:11

wait 124:6

**waiting** 91:8 109:16 113:21 118:11, 15,16,22 121:9 125:9

**wanted** 15:12 68:8 78:22 105:4 120:14

wanting 109:18 120:11 warnings 95:12 96:8

Washington 3:4,24 8:17 10:25

16:25 21:22,23 22:22 23:7,11 24:2, 6,11,24,25 26:22 27:2,3 28:13 33:20 35:5 37:4 48:5 59:9,23 62:7 66:22 67:9,11 112:2,15 114:4 122:20

watch 10:2 117:10

water 5:9,10,18 6:3 32:13

waters 25:16 wave 4:15 116:3

**Wayne** 3:6 4:10 9:21,22 11:10,14 93:12

ways 22:17 58:16 89:20 105:7

**week** 32:15 38:5 39:19 41:10,18 51:18

weekends 54:25

weekly 70:6

weeks 96:2 109:12

weight 27:7

well-versed 84:7 Wenatchee 126:5

wet 5:17

willful 26:24

willfully 27:4

window 93:5

winter 127:4

wiring 82:12 88:10

wit 3:16

witnesses 85:25 86:1

women 120:2

wonderful 96:3

wonky 113:24

word 30:12 123:24

wording 22:19

words 13:3 21:23 69:4 110:17

work 5:8 13:23,25 14:2,4,8,19,20,23 15:6 16:9 20:20,23 21:2 22:16 23:15 24:8,16 25:21,25 32:9,15 34:17 38:5 39:23 40:4 42:2 45:4,8,23 46:5,6 47:15 50:10,13,19,25 51:14 53:23 54:22 55:4 56:8,14 57:2 59:13 62:17

63:21,24 65:10,14 73:5 79:17 98:11 100:8,16 103:2 108:19 109:1 114:8, 16 119:15,16 120:18,19 121:5 122:17,19,20

Index: violate..young

**worked** 38:3 42:1 47:9 52:23 54:25 65:2

worker 22:7 95:13

workers 9:6 121:1

working 5:2,4 28:9 30:17,25 31:2,6, 11 35:21 38:9 39:17,19,20,22 40:5, 11,12,22 41:9,18,20 42:18 43:14,15 45:11,12 51:16 53:3 54:3 56:20,23 57:1 63:21 72:19 83:25 89:12,22 92:24 105:8,10,12 108:18 112:17 113:4,14 116:4 121:2 122:23 124:17,18

workload 95:21 99:14

works 47:3 86:12 107:16

worried 106:25

worse 4:17 5:21

worth 26:4 45:25 46:13

write 53:8,10 67:19

**writing** 117:19

written 22:5 35:14

**wrong** 44:9 70:17 73:12,16 97:10 103:24

Υ

ya 115:24

yea 76:5 87:19

year 67:17 70:15 71:3 91:7 92:14,19 93:20,21 94:7,8,10,11 95:2,5,7,9,15, 17,21,22,24,25 96:2,5,6 101:16,18, 21,22 111:13 115:2 116:18 126:22 127:21 128:1,4

year's 101:23 127:18

**years** 51:17 53:6,11 64:23 67:5,6,19 68:15 101:12 103:22 111:3,8 123:4 124:10 127:3

yesterday 109:11

young 120:20

