DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIES

STATE OF WASHINGTON

ELECTRICAL BOARD MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(Via Skype)

July 30, 2020

Page 1 though Page 135

1		INDEX		
2	AGENDA ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3			11101	
4	No. 1	Safety message	7	
5	No. 2	Approve transcript for April 30, 2020,	8	
6		Electrical Board meeting		
7	No. 3	Department/Legislative update,	35	
8		Wayne Molesworth		
9	No. 4	Appeals	10	
10	No. 5	WAC 296-46B-940 petition for	51	
11		rulemaking		
12	No. 6	WAC 296-46B-995 proposed rulemaking	66	
13		update		
14	No. 7	Secretary's report, Wayne Molesworth	81	
15	No. 8	Certification/CEU quarterly report,	117	
16		Larry Vance		
17	No. 9	Public comment	122	
18	No. 10	Board updates	124	
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
				2

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that an Electrical Board meeting was 2 held on Thursday, July 30, 2020, via Skype, at 9:06 a.m. before 3 CHAIRPERSON TRACY PREZEAU; BOARD MEMBERS ALICE PHILLIPS, JASON 4 JENKINS, JOHN BRICKEY, MIKE NORD, DON BAKER, ERICK LEE, IVAN 5 ISAACSON, KERRY COX, RYAN LAMAR, BOBBY GRAY, DAVE WARD, DYLAN б CUNNINGHAM; TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH, TECHNICAL SPECIALIST 7 VANCE; and SECRETARY STEVEN THORNTON, SECRETARY MOLESWORTH. Also 8 present was ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL PAM THOMURE, representing 9 the Board, and BETHANY RIVERA, Board assistant. 10 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were 11 had, to wit: 12 13 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: With that, it is 9:05 a.m. 15 16 I would like to call the July 30, 2020, quarterly meeting of 17 the Washington State Electrical Board officially to order. 18 Welcome everyone. Before we get into the agenda and 19 start the construct of the meeting, I just want to 20 familiarize everybody a little bit with the Skype platform. 21 Couple of things I would ask of the board members and 22 all the folks that are either joining us telephonically and 23 or joining us via the Skype platform, unless you are 24 speaking, please mute your audio so that -- to limit 25 crosstalk -- for our court reporter -- and any background

1

2

3

4

5

6

2.4

25

noise. That would be greatly appreciated.

I would ask -- in the bottom left-hand corner of a PC screen -- I'm not sure what this looks like on a different device -- there is a small circle with -- it looks like a text message box inserted inside of that. You can send -- if you click on that, you can send instant messages.

7 Please be advised that, for board members, this is not 8 a way to submit comments or enter any sort of commentary on 9 the official record. It might be helpful, though, for board 10 members, once we get into the agenda, particularly the 11 section regarding appeals, if you have questions that you 12 want to ask either of the parties involved, that you 13 certainly could identify that you have a question either 14 using that function or -- especially since very few folks are using their video screen, I can't tell if you have questions, 15 16 but obviously you can unmute your mic to accomplish that.

In the sort of the middle bottom of your screen, you will see four additional circles. The first one should look like a camera. If you want to be able to have other participants be able to see you, you can either -- you can unmute your camera so that video stream is available. If you have sketchy internet, you may want to keep your video hidden so as to bolster the audio reception.

The second circle is a microphone. It's where you would mute and unmute yourself. So as I said again, just a

1 reminder that if you're not speaking, please keep yourself on 2 mute.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The third circle from the right is -- looks like a computer monitor. If you click on that, Bethany, you will see that one of the options within that menu that's presented to you is attachments.

Bethany has uploaded the agenda for today's meeting into that function so any of the board members or any of the guests or members of the public who did not receive that agenda ahead of time via the electrical board website, you can download the agenda from there.

And I think that is -- you can also -- in the very top of my screen, there's a list of 27 participants. If you click on the participants button, it will give you a view frame. On my computer, it's the left-hand side. It will indicate to you all of the folks who are present this morning and if they've signed in by name or if it's just a telephone number that's listed.

So with that, I would like to call roll to ensure that -- to get into the record that we have a quorum. I'm just going to read board members' names who I know are present and -- or I guess what I probably should do is read board members' names. And if you are present, please signify by saying "present" or "hello" or "good morning" or whatever. Jason Jenkins.

1 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Present. 2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: David Ward. 3 BOARD MEMBER WARD: Present. 4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Mike Nord. 5 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Present. 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Ryan Lamar. 7 BOARD MEMBER LAMAR: Present. 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Don Baker. 9 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Present. 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Dominic Burke. He's not 11 present. I already know that. 12 Bobby Gray. 13 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Present. 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Bobby. 15 Alice Phillips. Alice may be joining us a little bit 16 late. 17 Dylan Cunningham. 18 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Present. 19 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Erick Lee. 20 BOARD MEMBER LEE: Present. 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Ivan Isaacson. 22 BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Present. 23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Kerry Cox. 24 BOARD MEMBER COX: Present. 25 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Kerry, you have to 6

1 depart by 1:00 p.m.; is that correct? 2 BOARD MEMBER COX: Yes, I do. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. Very good. Thank 4 you. 5 John Brickey. 6 BOARD MEMBER BRICKEY: Present. 7 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Did I miss any board 8 members? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: That makes 12, so we 11 definitely have a quorum. 12 So thank you for joining us via the Skype platform. Ι 13 know this is very unusual for board members. I know that we 14 conducted our April meeting via Skype but had a very limited agenda given, and were hopeful that we could actually conduct 15 16 this meeting in person accustom to our norms; however, that 17 is not possible so we continue to use the Skype platform. 18 And today we have an agenda that is commiserate with 19 what we're used to. We do not have a safety -- an official 20 safety message this morning, which is Agenda Item No. 1, 21 other than the fact that we're convening this meeting via Skype because of the impacts of a global pandemic. 22 23 I just want to make sure that, if folks are joining us 24 in a office setting that are -- or with multiple participants 25 in one location, that you are observing Governor Inslee's

1 guidelines and any county and municipal guidelines with 2 respect to COVID-19. 3 With that I would like to move on to Agenda Item No. 4 2, which is to approve the transcripts of the April 30, 2020, 5 meeting. Chair would entertain a motion. 6 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Board Member Nord, motion. 7 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Board Member Jason Jenkins, 8 second. 9 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So it's been moved and 10 seconded to approve the transcript from the April 30, 2020, 11 quarterly meeting of the Washington State Electrical Board. 12 Any discussion on the motion? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing none, all those in 15 favor, please signify by saying aye. 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Opposed? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Motion carries. 20 Thank you, everyone, and thank you, Board Member Nord. 21 Again, practice makes perfect, right. For the 22 purposes of a good record and to assist our court reporter, 23 please identify -- any board members and certainly any staff, 24 secretary of the board, if you're going to go on the record, 25 please identify yourselves for the purposes of making a good

1 record and for the court reporter.

2 I know that the next agenda item is departmental 3 updates. Pam, perk your ears up a bit. It is my 4 understanding that the parties of record in the appeal, the 5 matter of William Berst versus the Department of Labor & 6 Industries are present. Because they are joining remotely 7 and because the parties are here, I am inclined to elevate 8 that agenda item. 9 Would you concur with that recommendation? 10 AAG THOMURE: I would. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. Very good. 12 So, Mr. Berst, you joined us telephonically, and I 13 asked you previously to mute your phone. If you, sir, could 14 unmute your phone. Can you hear me, Mr. Berst? 15 16 MR. BERST: Yes, I can. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 18 And it appears -- just to update the record, it 19 appears that Vice Chair Alice Phillips has joined us as well. 20 Alice, are you with us? Alice, you're muted if you're with 21 us. 22 BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: There you go. Can you 23 hear me now? 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes, ma'am. We can hear you 25 now. 9

1 BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: Awesome. 2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you. 3 And is Assistant Attorney General, Ms. So, I know you 4 were -- I confirmed your audio previously. Are you still 5 with us, ma'am? 6 AAG SO: Yes. I am available here on audio and 7 video now. 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 9 So while the parties -- just to give you a moment to 10 prepare, since we've elevated the appeals Agenda Item No. 4 11 in front of the departmental update to expedite the matter, 12 just to update the board members on -- there's a couple of 13 other agenda items additionally under agenda item 4, which is 14 appeals. All right. And the first matter on our agenda has to do with the 15 16 matter of Andy Alcazar, and it is my understanding that the 17 parties in that appeal have reached an agreement and a 18 settlement plan. But because of some limitations that 19 COVID-19 is placing on the parties, it has precluded the 20 parties from actually signing and completing that document. 21 Do I have that correct, Pam? 22 AAG THOMURE: Yes, you do. And I believe that 23 Mr. Barnes is also on the line if you have any questions 24 about confirming that. 25 MR. BARNES: Yes, I am on the line. And that is a 10

1 correct recitation of where that case stands. 2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Mr. Barnes, we will 3 probably keep that on the agenda -- on our agenda moving 4 forward understanding, until such time that we are notified, 5 that the proposed final settlement, if you will, has been 6 officially agreed to in the forms of signatures by the 7 parties. 8 Is that reasonable? 9 MR. BARNES: Yes, it is, your Honor. 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And then, Mr. Barnes, you're 11 also the assistant attorney general on record in the matter 12 of Action Electric; is that correct? 13 MR. BARNES: That is also correct. 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And it's my understanding that the department has rescinded their intent to revoke the 15 16 license for Action Electric. And is -- are you -- is one of 17 the reasons that you are here with us this morning perhaps to 18 request that the board dismiss that matter for the purposes 19 of recordkeeping? 20 MR. BARNES: Yes, it is, your Honor. I have sent 21 dismissal orders over a couple of times in order to get them 22 to sign it so I could present it to the board, and I have not 23 received anything in return. 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. So it is your 25 recommendation, Mr. Barnes, to help provide closure and a 11

1 good record, that it would be -- it would be recommended that 2 this body consider an official motion to dismiss the matter of Action -- the intent to -- the matter of Action Electric 3 4 and the revocation of their license; is that correct? 5 MR. BARNES: That is correct. 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. With that, the 7 chair would entertain a motion to dismiss the matter of intent to revoke Action Electric's licensure. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Jason Jenkins, board 10 member. So moved. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Jason. 12 Is there a second? 13 BOARD MEMBER WARD: Dave Ward, board member. 14 Second. 15 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 16 So it's been moved and seconded to dismiss the matter 17 of intent to revoke Action Electric's license. 18 Any discussion on the matter? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing and hearing none, all 21 those board members in favor of the motion, please indicate 22 by saying aye. 23 (Chorus of ayes.) 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any opposed? 25 (No response.) 12

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Motion carries. Thank you, 2 Mr. Barnes. I think -- I don't think we need anything 3 further from you this morning. Thank you. 4 MR. BARNES: Thank you, your Honor. Have a good 5 day. Bye. 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: You too. 7 So we are now squarely on agenda item 4, C -- as in 8 Charlie -- the Berst appeal. And we have confirmed that 9 Mr. William Berst has joined us telephonically and Assistant 10 Attorney General Ms. So has joined us as well. 11 And I am going to read an introduction for the appeal 12 and then confirm with the parties they understand the 13 process, and then we'll hear from the parties. 14 So good morning. My name's Tracy Prezeau. I'm the chair of the electrical board. The matter before us today is 15 16 an appeal in the matter of William Berst versus the 17 Department of Labor & Industries, docket number 18 05-2019-LI-0173. This hearing is being held pursuant to due 19 and proper notice to all interested parties in Washington 20 State via Skype on July 30, 2020, at approximately 9:21 a.m. 21 This is an appeal from a proposed decision and order 22 issued by the office of administrative hearings on January 2, 23 It is my understanding that decision upheld citation 2020. 24 and notice EBEJ000372 issued by the Department of Labor & 25 Industries on January 16, 2019.

1 It is further my understanding the appellant has 2 timely appealed that decision to the electrical board. And 3 at this time the appellant, Mr. Berst, is present 4 telephonically. 5 And, Mr. Berst, you will be representing yourself; is 6 that correct? You have not brought an attorney with you? 7 MR. BERST: That is correct. 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you, sir. 9 And the department is present and is represented by Assistant Attorney General Ms. So. 10 11 AAG SO: Yes, present. 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you. 13 The electrical board is the legal body authorized by 14 the legislature to not only advise the department regarding the electrical program but to hear appeals when the 15 department issues citations or takes some other adverse 16 17 action regarding an electrical license certification and/or 18 electrical installations. 19 The electrical board is a completely separate entity 20 from the department and as such, will independently review 21 the action taken by the department. When the department issues penalties that are 22 23 appealed, the hearing is assigned to the office of 24 administrative hearings to conduct the hearing pursuant to 25 the Administrative Procedures Act.

1 The administrative law judge, or ALJ, who conducts the 2 hearing then issues a proposed decision and order. If either 3 party appeals, that decision is subject to review by the 4 electrical board. Please keep in mind that while a review is 5 de novo, we sit in the same position as the administrative 6 law judge and will review the entire record as provided to 7 the board regardless of whether a certain piece of evidence 8 is referenced by administrative law judge; however, we are 9 bound by the evidence in the record, and no new evidence can be submitted at this hearing. 10

Each party will be given approximately 15 minutes today to argue the merits of your case. Any board member may ask questions, and the time may be extended at the discretion of the board.

At the conclusion of the hearing, the board will determine if the findings and conclusions reached by the administrative law judge are supported by the facts and the rules pertaining to licensing, supervision and certification.

Mr. Berst, do you have any questions about this
 process before we begin the hearing, sir?

MR. BERST: No, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. And, Ms. So, do you have any questions about the process before we begin the hearing?

AAG SO: No.

21

25

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 2 So with that, Mr. Berst, you are the appealing party, 3 and as such you have the burden of proof and -- to establish 4 that the proposed decision issued by the administrative law 5 judge is incorrect, and therefore we will hear your arguments 6 first, sir. 7 And before you start, I just want to remind all the 8 board members, as you reviewed the appeal packet, there were 9 three citations that were heard by the administrative law 10 judge. But for the record, the appellant has only appealed 11 the one citation that is issued directly to Mr. Berst, which 12 is -- which is citation EBEJ000372. 13 Before we begin, I just want to make sure that all 14 board members understand what I just said. Any questions from board members? 15 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. So with that, 18 Mr. Berst and Ms. So, I'm sorry for the delay. 19 Mr. Berst, if you would please -- you have 20 approximately 15 minutes to present your case, and that time 21 can be extended if necessary. 22 MR. BERST: Thank you. As far as what we were 23 cited for, I have no argument with. My beef is with the 24 process of notification. 25 The owner of the RVB Electric, who I'm the

1 administrator for, claims that he did not receive any of the 2 notices of citation -- the first, second or final notice. 3 Whether he did or not, I don't know. He swears up and down 4 he did not get them. Therefore, if he didn't get them, I 5 could not have been notified or he wouldn't have known to 6 notify me. 7 My rub is, if I'm going to be fined the same amount as 8 RVB Electric, then I should be afforded -- the same 9 information that was sent to them should have been sent to 10 But apparently Labor & Industries does not do that, me. 11 which in my opinion, is incorrect. 12 That's really the gist of the whole appeal. 13 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good, Mr. Berst. Is 14 that -- does that end your argument, sir? MR. BERST: That's really the -- my total 15 16 argument, yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you. And 18 just please remain with us until the board has rendered a 19 final decision, but I'm going to ask Assistant Attorney 20 General Ms. So to deliver her arguments. 21 AAG SO: Thank you. May it please the board, the 22 board should affirm the ALJ's initial order that affirmed the 23 citation and the penalty associated to the citation to 24 Mr. Berst for three reasons -- for several reasons. 25 One, Mr. Berst is the admin of the electrical

1 contractor RVB Electric. And, two, this RCW that he is cited 2 for for violating is RCW 19.28.0615B, which provides that the electrical administrator for an electrical contractor must 3 4 ensure that all installations are in conformity with the laws 5 and the regulations in the state of Washington. Here this is -- this case is about the installation of 6 7 panels at a work site. The work site had 480 volt feeders 8 going into the building, and the panels that were installed 9 were 250 volts. We got evidence on the record regarding the 10 installation of 250 volts. And that instead of 250-volt 11 panels, it should have been the 650 volts. 12 This is not a case about the notifications, 13 specifically, and about the violation of RCW 19.28.0615B 14 regarding for -- Mr. Berst.

And for those reasons the department would ask that the board affirm the initial order that affirms the citation to Mr. Berst and the associated penalty.

18 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Ms. So. 19 MR. BERST: May I add something? 20 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: One moment please, 21 Mr. Berst. 22 Ms. So, does that conclude your argument? 23 AAG SO: Yes. 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 25 Mr. Berst, yes, you may add something now, sir. MR. BERST: Yes. If I had been notified with the same information that was supposedly sent to RVB Electric, it would never have gone as far as it had gone. I knew absolutely nothing about the problem until some time after the fact -- the last final notice that was supposably sent to RVB Electric when Joe Benson called me to tell me that there was a problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 So if I had been sent those notices that were sent to 9 RVB Electric, it would have never gone as far as it had, and 10 it would never had been -- a final notice would never have 11 been -- need to be sent, because I was in business on my own 12 for 40 years and never had a problem with Labor & Industries, 13 these types of situations. So I feel it -- because I wasn't 14 notified and given the same information, I couldn't do anything until almost too late. 15

I did mention in my deposition, or whatever it's called, when I went before the administrative judge that I had failed to call Joe Benson back and tell him that we had ordered the part. And that's probably my mistake there -- my biggest mistake.

But I'd say if I had been sent the same notices, it would never have gone as far as it had. And I told the manager of RVB Electric Roman Boyar that, if this ever happened again, that he'd be looking for a new administrator. But because I failed -- had my head in the clouds,

1 I'll use that term -- when I put those panels in, I had 2 stated that I had never actually installed 480 panels before, 3 although, I had worked with a lot of existing 480 panels and 4 done 480 work otherwise. And I just wasn't thinking at the 5 time even though I knew that on the door of those panels it 6 would say that it was supposed to be 120/240-volt panels. 7 That is my mistake, so any way... CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Mr. Berst, this is Tracy 8 9 Prezeau again. Were you furnished a copy of the transcripts 10 from your hearing with the administrative law judge? 11 MR. BERST: Yes, I was. 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. Because I'm going to 13 reference that transcript. Board members are given that 14 transcript as part of the information we receive to conduct a thorough hearing this morning. 15 16 And on page 87 of that transcript, you indicated --17 and I'm going to quote you, sir -- that you failed to look on 18 the panel boards. And this is in reference to the address 19 800 Milwaukee in Algona, Washington, if I have that correct. 20 You go on to say that you should have. 21 Do you remember saying that, or do you recall reading 22 that? 23 MR BERST: Yes, I -- like I said, I have no 24 argument with that. But like I said, it would never have 25 gone -- I mean, we had the opportunity to correct the problem

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

before we were cited or a citation was sent. But because I wasn't given the information, I didn't know that there was even a problem at the time.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

So what I'm saying is the board needs to inform the Department of Labor & Industries that, if they're going to send out citation information, they also need to send it to the administrator, not just to the company. Because if indeed he didn't get the copies, which I do find a little hard to believe, then I would have the copy, and I could have approached him and said "Hey, we have a problem here. Let's get it fixed."

But I wasn't given that information so -- and we do have the opportunity to fix the problem before we are cited. But because I wasn't informed of it, the citation was issued. And I just don't think it's quite fair because I wasn't given the same information, but I get fined the same.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Mr. Berst.

And at this moment -- at this time, what I would like to do is ask the parties and Assistant Attorney General So and Mr. Berst to allow for the board members to have deliberation on the hearing.

22 So I'm going to welcome any questions or comments from 23 board members and would ask that -- of all those board member 24 members please identify yourself before going on the record. 25 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Madam Chair, Board Member

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 I'd like to jump in if that's possible. Baker. 2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes. Please, Don, go ahead. 3 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I would direct all the 4 parties to the board packet, page 83. I'm going to start 5 there and walk you through my thought process. Starting with 6 line -- let's start with line 22. This is a question and 7 answer from the attorney to William Berst. 8 The question was "And do you know if RVP installed 9 these panels?" His response was "Yes. I was the installer." 10 11 "And why did you choose a 250-volt panel?" 12 "I was kind of hoping you'd ask that question. The 13 reason I asked him the question about his 480 volt experience 14 is because I don't have a whole lot of 480 volt experience. It's true that I should have understood that the panels are 15 16 250 volt, I know that, but it just went over my head and for 17 some reason or another and I installed the 250-volt panels." 18 "Like I say, I haven't had much experience with 480 19 I work with panels that have 480 volt in them, but I volt. 20 haven't done installation of them. I mean, I'll go in and 21 I'll put in a breaker to supply a feeder, as I did for the panels that I put in." 22 23 Question, line item 12, "And for this installation 24 that you did for the panels, do you know, if you can 25 remember, if you got an inspection when it was first

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 installed?"

8

Answer, "Yes, we did. And that inspection was by Roger Renken."

So now I direct you to board packet page 147, Exhibit 1, page 2 of 2. I'm sorry, turn the page. Board packet page 149, Exhibit 2, page 2 of 2. I'll give you a second to get there.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Don.

9 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: So on this -- this is the 10 back page of the inspection that shows the inspections that 11 took place on that site. If you look towards the middle of 12 the page, it shows that the inspection was requested --13 actually, it shows two inspections there that were requested. 14 One was on the '17 that was -- on December 14, 2017, it was a ceiling cover inspection. It has nothing to do with this 15 16 appeal.

The other was created on 2/8/2018, and it was requested for inspection on 2/9. That was warehouse forklift chargers. That's what we're referring to here is these warehouse forklift chargers. If you look down below, you can see the inspections that took place. Chris Fontana was there in 2017. Roger Renken was there on the 15th of February, 2018.

If you look below, you can see the results of that
 inspection. It's under "details" there. "February 15, 2018,

1 circuit, altered, exposed, 480 volt, 100 A. Approved 2 complete. EMT to jboxes, hardwired to chargers." 3 So to that point, they did everything correct. They 4 installed everything, and they got it inspected, inspector 5 came out and inspected everything. 6 But you can see, if you look back up at the top in the 7 middle under inspections, on October 1, 2018, Joe Benson went 8 out there for a completely unrelated issue. Joe Benson 9 should be nominated for inspector of the year. Joe's out there inspecting, and he sees a couple of panels that are 10 11 installed incorrectly, and he followed through with that. 12 He's talking with John Converse -- if I'm pronouncing 13 that correctly -- and inquired as to who installed those 14 panels. He's doing a little bit of investigation because he recognizes the safety issue of this involved with having 250 15 16 volt equipment installed on 600 volt feeders. 17 You turn the page to board packet 151, he got ahold of 18 Nancy Babst and said "Hey, could you open up that permit?" 19 He found out that it was RVB Electric, and he wrote a 20 correction against that permit, permit number 29407928. 21 The next page on 152 is the correction. "Forklift panel boards are 250 volt rated but are on 480 volt feeders. 22 23 They need to be replaced." That correction was written on 24 October 22nd. 25 You turn the page, board packet page 153, you can see

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 that the Department of Labor & Industries, they kick out a 2 response every 15 days if a correction doesn't get replied 3 This went out on October 22nd. It went to 2803 Grand to. 4 Avenue. That is RVB's listed address. And they simply say 5 "Hey, we got a correction. We noticed you haven't replied to 6 that. It requires your attention." At the very bottom of 7 that notice, it says "If you have any questions, please 8 contact the electrical inspection office," and it gives a 9 phone number.

10 You go to the next page, 154, November 14, almost 15 11 days later, L&I's computerized system sends out another 12 notice because they didn't get a response from RVP -- RVB. 13 And now it says "You have not responded to our notice that we sent you on October 22nd. This is follow-up." And it says 14 that "You are required to pay a penalty fee of 46.80." 15 16 They're asking them to correct the safety violations immediately, and they're asking them to contact them within 17 18 five days. Again, at the bottom of the page, it gives a 19 phone number and says "If you have any questions, give us a call." 20

Turn the page. November 29th, almost 15 days later, the department sends out another notice. It says "This is your final notice." They send it to same address that's listed in their system asking them to please contact them. Gives them the phone numbers again.

1 If you go to the next page, December 12, you see an 2 e-mail that Joe Benson, who I'm nominating for inspector of 3 the year, who caught this infraction, says "Hey, we've tried 4 to get ahold of RVB Electric to get this resolved with no 5 response from them." He's sending this to the facilities 6 manager, and he's letting them know that, hey, you've got a 7 real problem in your facility. You need to turn those off, 8 and find an electrical contractor who can repair those for 9 you because this is a serious safety violation. Joe's following up on the December 12. 10

So this isn't a matter of somebody making a mistake and installing the wrong panels. We all make mistakes, and I appreciate the appellant admitting that and recognizing that. This is a matter of not responding to the department and the notices they sent out and the failure to respond to that timely. And there was plenty of notice given to the contractor for that.

18 And I will tell you, board members, that I am an 19 administrator in the state of Washington. I have been since 20 1990. And I get notices directly from the department when --21 any time a citation is sent to the company that I'm an 22 administrator for. So I know the department has a policy in 23 sending those out, you just have to make sure you have the 24 correct information listed with the department so they can 25 notify you properly.

1 So I share all that with you because I'm going to 2 propose that we support the initial order by the law judge. 3 If you go back to board packet page 18, line item --4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Hang on, Don. Give us a 5 chance to get there. Appreciate your thoroughness. What 6 page again, sir? 7 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: It's page 18, and it's bullet 8 point 6.1. 9 And I'm proposing, as a motion, that we uphold the 10 order by the law judge and affirm the initial order as it's 11 written. 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So it's been moved by Board 13 Member Baker to uphold the citation and affirm the proposed 14 final order issued by the administrative law judge, recognizing there can be discussion on the motion after I get 15 16 a second, if there is a second. 17 AAG THOMURE: Tracy, can I ask for clarification 18 from the motion maker? 19 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. 20 AAG THOMURE: So Mr. Baker referred to 6.1 on 21 page 18 of the board packet, and that says -- number six says 22 "initial order." 23 I think it would be important for the board to have 24 clarity whether the motion includes the entire proposed order 25 and decision, not just the items on page 18 listed under 27

1 subsection 6, because those are the findings of fact and 2 conclusions of law on the other pages. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. 4 Don, is it the intent of your motion to uphold the 5 proposed final order issued by the administrative law judge 6 in the matter of William Berst versus the Department of Labor 7 & Industries? 8 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: It is. 9 Thank you for the clarification, Pam. That is my 10 intent. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So now that we clearly 12 understand the intent of Board Member Baker's motion, 13 recognizing there can be discussion after a second, if there 14 is a second, is there a second to Board Member Baker's motion? 15 16 BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: Board Member Alice. I'11 17 second the motion. 18 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Alice. 19 It has been moved and seconded to uphold the proposed 20 final decision issued by the administrative law judge in the 21 matter of William Berst versus the Department of Labor & Industries issued on January 2, 2020. 22 23 Discussion by board members on the motion? 24 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Board Member Jason Jenkins. 25 I just want to make note that, when he appealed this packet,

there was a couple, I'll say, discrepancies in the findings of facts that -- you know, just to hear him out as far as making sure that he's heard -- his voice is heard, but there's a couple of minor issues.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

I think the bigger issue that we run into is, yes, everything was done correctly as far as the sending the information. They've all done their due diligence to try to get information to the supervising electrician there. And on top of that, this might be a great opportunity for RVB to reevaluate how they're sending documents to and from their employees.

12 This is unfortunate if he has not been getting 13 information from -- his responsibility is not changed because 14 of that.

Another thing I want to make sure I said, kind of --15 16 it sticks to me a little bit is the way Mr. Berst kind of 17 downplayed the seriousness of the breakers being inside the 18 panel and how they would probably trip anyway. And I really 19 want to reiterate that that's -- that's assuming a lot of 20 danger, which I really disagree with, and I just want to make sure I said that before we continue on. 21 22 But I also agree with Don Baker's suggestion.

23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: You mean motion?
24 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Motion, sorry, yes.
25 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. Any other

1 discussion from board members? 2 (No response.) 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. Seeing and 4 hearing none, I would -- I'm going to conduct this -- the 5 motion itself verbally unless it appears through the verbal 6 voting methods that we need to conduct a roll call vote. 7 Do board members understand? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All those in favor of Don 10 Baker's motion of upholding the proposed final decision from 11 the ALJ in the matter of William Berst versus the Department 12 of Labor & Industries, please signify by saying aye. 13 (Chorus of ayes.) 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any opposed? (No response.) 15 16 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So it appears that motion 17 has passed unanimously to uphold the citations issued to 18 Mr. Berst in this matter, and so the board has rendered its 19 decision. 20 And, Ms. So, as the prevailing party, have you 21 prepared an order that reflects -- possibly reflects the 22 actions of the board this morning? 23 AAG SO: At this time, no. 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. If you would be kind 25 enough to work with Mr. Berst in the crafting of that final

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 order. Because the way the process works is once -- once you 2 have -- as the prevailing party, once you have crafted that 3 proposed final order that accurately reflects the actions 4 taken by the electrical board this morning, I would encourage 5 you to work with Mr. Berst to get -- hopefully to get 6 agreements on that proposed final order.

If the parties in this matter agree to that proposed final order, then you can submit it to the secretary of the board. You can certainly work with our Assistant Attorney General Pam Thomure as well if you -- if the parties have questions about that process.

7

8

9

10

11

12 In the event that the parties in this matter are 13 unable to come to agreement on a proposed final order that 14 accurately reflects the action of the electrical board this morning, then the matter will automatically be placed on our 15 16 agenda for the October quarterly meeting of the electrical 17 board. And the parties will be asked to be present to defend 18 their position regarding, not the merits of the appeal 19 itself, but the merits of the proposed final order. 20 Do those instructions make sense, AAG So? 21 AAG So: Yes. 22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Mr. Berst, do you --23 did you understand what I just -- did you understand what the 24 board just did? 25 MR. BERST: Yes. But I don't understand why they

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

did it but...

2	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: I recognize that, but I just		
3	want to make but you do understand the process and that		
4	the board this morning affirmed the citations originally		
5	written to you by the Department of Labor & Industries that		
6	were affirmed by the administrative law judge in that		
7	proposed order?		
8	MR. BERST: Yeah, I understand what's been done.		
9	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. Very good.		
10	So what I've asked Assistant Attorney General So to do		
11	is what happens next is you will I will ask that you work		
12	with Ms. So. She will craft a proposed final order. It will		
13	likely look very similar in construct to what you have		
14	received from the administrative law judge following your		
15	hearing.		
16	And if you disagree with the construct of that		
17	proposed final order, if you believe that it does not		
18	accurately reflect the action of upholding the citation and		
19	affirming the penalties this morning from the board, then if		
20	there is a dispute in the construct of that proposed final		
21	order, then we will place it on the agenda for the October		
22	meeting of the Washington State Electrical Board and will ask		
23	that you and Ms. So, if she remains the attorney of record		
24	representing the department, to appear at that board meeting		
25	to argue why the proposed final order either does or does not		

1 accurately reflect the actions of the board this morning. 2 My hope, quite honestly, is that, Mr. Berst, you and 3 Ms. So will be able to come to agreement on that proposed 4 order and that will be signed and the matter at least -- this 5 matter in the jurisdiction of the electrical board will come 6 to an end. 7 So, Mr. Berst, do you -- you know how to -- or I 8 should say, Ms. So, do you know how to get ahold of 9 Mr. Berst? 10 AAG So: Yes. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. Very good. 12 Ms. So, do you have any questions for us this morning? 13 AAG So: No, no. 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Mr. Berst, do you have any questions for the board at this moment or do you 15 16 understand -- you understand what happened; right? MR. BERST: I understand what happened, but I 17 18 don't think they heard what I was saying. So anyway I'll 19 work with Ms. So, but I assume there's going to end up being 20 an appeal before a judge so... 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And certainly it would be 22 ill-advised for me to advise you on your appeal rights other 23 than there are -- you definitely have appeal rights. 24 MR. BERST: Right. 25 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. I just want to 33

1 make sure you -- specifically as a layperson and not as legal 2 counsel, that you understand the process. It's incredibly 3 important for me to make sure that that is -- to affirm with 4 you that you understand the process. 5 MR. BERST: I do. 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you, sir. 7 And thank you for joining us this morning. 8 Ms. So, I'll -- I don't think you have any other 9 business before the board. 10 I mean, both Mr. Berst and Ms. So, you're welcome to 11 remain with us if you care to. In the event that you have no 12 further interest in doing so, there's no need for you to 13 remain in the meeting today. 14 MR. BERST: All right. Thank you. 15 AAG So: Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you very much. All 17 right --18 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Madam Chair? CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes, Don. 19 20 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: One final comment on the 21 Washington State electrical inspector Joe Benson in matter. 22 this case did a fantastic job, that he was out there on that 23 site inspecting something completely unrelated and he noticed 24 something in that facility that was a real safety hazard, and 25 he followed through on that accurately and appropriately and

1 saw it all the way to the end and got that corrected. That's a testament to what we want our inspectors to be doing on our sites.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

I know we have a new chief in today. I just want to make sure that it's on record that Inspector Joe Benson did an incredibly good job with recognizing this and getting it corrected because that's exactly what this program is all about.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Don.

And I think -- well, I will echo those comments, and the parties in the matter have -- are no longer with us, so I appreciate that capstone in recognizing the value of the electrical program, and I definitely agree with you.

14 But because the parties have left and we've concluded that agenda item, I would like to move -- move forward or 15 16 move backwards, however your perspective is, and go back to 17 Agenda Item No. 3, which is the departmental updates.

18 And as Board Member Baker accurately recognized, we 19 have a new chief electrical inspector, Wayne Molesworth.

20 So Mr. Molesworth, you are our new secretary to the 21 board as well. Welcome, sir, this morning. And the floor is 22 yours to provide a departmental update following Agenda Item 23 No. 3.

24 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Good morning, Madam Chair 25 and board members. I want to say what an honor it is to

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

assume this position, and I look forward to serving the board as secretary. I appreciate all the support that I've gotten so far.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

24

25

I've been in the position 30 days and have definitely seen the advantages of the program personnel that we have in place and the people sitting here that have helped us put these things together through the department, so I'm happy to be a part of it.

As far as Item A, COVID-19 impacts to the electrical program, we've had several impacts; one of which would be 11 the -- of course, the identification of high-risk inspectors, 12 inspectors that are over 65 that may have medical issues that come up had been restricted and isolated themselves at home 14 and do not go out in the field and do field inspections.

We have a concentration of inspectors in both Regions 15 16 3 and 6 that have identified as high risk, and so in those 17 regions we'll see in the -- in the data that we collect as 18 far as inspections that they are running behind.

19 But the nice thing about our department is that, when 20 others run behind, other people in other regions and ECORE 21 have rushed to assist them and help them to maintain a 22 certain level of customer service in those areas, so it's 23 been a pleasure seeing that work out.

We had a couple of other things, for example, the hiring freeze was put on. We submitted applications to

> Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

exempt us from the hiring freeze for licensing staff so that we could continue to submit licensing. We've got a couple of vacancies in our licensing department that we are currently working to get filled so that we can see those numbers rise a little bit and continue to issue licenses on a very timely basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

The furloughs that came about were another issue, and we submitted an exemption from furlough because -- hopefully, we recognize that we have a key part of ensuring that the construction industry moves forward and that the repair of the economic recovery for the construction industry depends on all of us.

We made the point that, if an inspection isn't completed, that we can shut down five or more trades or at least move their schedules back. And with the arguments that we made, we were exempted from furlough for inspection staff and necessary administrative staff as well, so that worked out fairly well for us.

As you might -- might think that access for inspections have been driving us a little crazy as well because we have to ensure that our inspectors feel safe when accessing inspections that are actually occupied by families or businesses that are still in progress that have a number of staff there, and so access has been a little bit of a problem.

1 But with that comes that we have actually moved 2 forward with promoting more of the VEI-type inspections --3 the virtual electrical inspections and also added to the 4 regions that have high-risk staff, what they are calling, an 5 alternate electrical inspection process, which includes being 6 able to FaceTime with contractors -- which is not a VEI 7 process because that's very specific -- but being able to 8 look at jobs that are quite a bit simpler -- disconnect on an 9 air-conditioning system, a -- you know, things like that, as 10 well as having conversations with the contractors and also 11 being able to take pictures of items that we're able to 12 identify hazards with on a very simple-type inspection. 13 This has allowed us to keep those inspectors at home

busy as well and it's still in process getting ramped up, but I think we'll get there, and it is a big help.

And so those are some of the ways that we've been impacted. The industry itself from our different inspection processes in other regions are still receiving good service.

16

17

18

25

We're in the process of developing a way to move FTs around in this type of a situation from areas that may be exceeding their goals to areas that may be much less than successful so that we can kind of even out that customer service across the state so we are delivering a nice, even customer service to all of our customers.

Are there any questions about that?

(No response.)

1

2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Wayne. 3 BOARD MEMBER COX: Board Member Cox has a 4 question. 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good, Kerry. Go ahead. 6 BOARD MEMBER COX: Chief Molesworth, there's 7 obviously the official VEI. But what you were speaking of, 8 is that something that the local inspectors from the offices 9 are doing independently to do these inspections working 10 directly with the contractors -- is that how that -- rather 11 than the central team out of Tumwater? Is that how I 12 understand it? 13 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: You're correct. They're 14 very controlled and assigned by the supervisor and the lead. But it's a very controlled process where I receive a 15 16 log weekly of the inspections that are actually completed 17 with pictures if pictures are used, which we're working on 18 what that looks like totally. But so far we've received some 19 good pictures that actually are able to identify what that 20 is. 21 And at this point, we're willing to use those with 22 contractors in good standing because it does help them, it 23 helps us and it keeps the job sites moving, and we're able to inspect clearly on the pictures that I've received so far. 24 25 But it's a very controlled process and different than

1 the structure that the VEIs are set up on. 2 BOARD MEMBER COX: So if this were an 3 electronically obtained permit and the contractor goes online 4 when the job is finished or at least needs to request a 5 inspection, how is that contractor contacted to make 6 arrangements to do this? Are they called by the inspector 7 and said "Hey, can we do a Skype session"? How is that 8 communicated?

9 My point here is, what can contractors do? Can they 10 indicate something on the inspection request that said, you 11 know, we could do an electronic one if you want to? How is 12 that interaction happening?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So that's part of the outreach that we're actually developing is that how can we make that easier for the contractors and make them aware that we're able to do those in the regions at the current time. The plan is not to leave it that way, you know, when this is all come to an end but to do it for the time being, so we're working that out right now.

20 Right now the inspectors are making phone calls to the 21 contractors asking if they can do that and at the same time 22 making them aware that they should be calling them when they 23 have inspections like this that they want to do with video so 24 that they can respond to them while they're still on the job 25 site, similar to VEI.

1 But that's an issue that -- you know, this is just 2 come about in the last couple of weeks that we've started to 3 put this together, so that's something that we're moving forward on as far as how will they be able to contact us and 4 let us know. 5 6 BOARD MEMBER COX: Very good. Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any other questions for the 8 chief -- the new chief from board members? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Wayne, before you go on 11 to the next piece about the allowance for basic classroom 12 courses, I just want to get in the record that we still are 13 maintaining more than a quorum of the board. We have 13 14 board members signed in. If any of the board members need to leave for whatever 15 16 reason, if you would let us know of your departure so that we 17 can keep track of the quorum because I would like to maintain 18 a good record that all of our -- any actions taken by this 19 body are taken by -- with a full quorum in place. So just 20 please, if you have to depart, let us know. Please let the 21 chair know ahead of time. 22 So, Wayne, do you have an update on the allowance for 23 basic classroom courses? SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. So when we talked 24 25 about trainees, we're allowing trainees to do virtual classes

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

right now because of the situation with COVID-19, making sure that they can still obtain their required classes but also allowing them time outside of their -- if they're inactive or they're not able to renew because of the lack of classes, we're allowing them to continue to work while they -- while they get their classes completed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 That comes to an end on September 1st, and Rod and I 8 are currently talking about whether or not we extend that 9 with an additional timeline as to once they are considered --10 and hopefully I'm using the right terminology. I'm still 11 getting used to the terms. But once they become inactive, 12 then they'll have 30, 60 days to whatever we decide to 13 actually complete those so that people aren't waiting to 14 complete their classes but they're doing it in a timely fashion. 15

Right now some of the providers have indicated that they are backed up and have been doing classes daily and still have a backlog of trainees requiring classes before they can renew.

20 Rod -- if I could, if Rod has anything to add to that,
21 I would appreciate his comments as well.

22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Technical Specialist Mutch,
 23 do you have any further comments on this?

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: No. I think Wayne
 covered that really well. We --

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Rod, state and spell 2 your name for the purposes of the court reporter. Sorry. 3 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: Sorry about that. 4 I'm Technical Specialist Rod Mutch, M-U-T-C-H. 5 So, yeah, I don't really have anything additionally to 6 add. Wayne covered that well. 7 I have been in contact with some of the providers, 8 listening to their input. And so the challenge with giving 9 an extension to allow trainees additional time to get their classes completed is -- human nature says, if I have a 10 11 deadline, I wait until the last two weeks to meet the 12 deadline. And so extending that deadline out -- you know, 13 while it's necessary, also creates a backlog at the end. 14 So it's finding that balance and the challenges, what's the best message to get out there "Hurry up and get 15 16 your classes done. Don't wait until the end." But at the 17 same time providing enough cushion for them -- for the 18 providers to be able to get everybody through. 19 So that's kind of what we're dealing with right now. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thanks, Rod. And it sounds 20 21 to me like a terrific electrical currents article, right, in 22 keeping the industry updated. I appreciate the department's 23 nimbleness on this. 24 I was involved in some conversations with your 25 predecessor, Wayne, now retired previous Chief Electrical 43

Inspector Steve Thornton and Technical Specialist Mutch and Technical Specialist Larry Vance, L-A-R-R-Y V-A-N-C-E. It's very -- feels very odd to have those basic classroom training requirements being conducted virtually.

5 Having been familiar with the original legislation 6 that was passed by the Washington State legislature creating 7 the basic classroom training requirements, the idea was 8 that -- for folks learning the industry versus journey-level 9 workers that are taking, participating and completing 10 continuing education classes, basic classroom instruction are 11 for trainees who are learning the craft, you know, as non 12 journey-level workers, that in-classroom training is -- the 13 industry seems to agree is incredibly essential.

But the converse of potentially no training at all in -- because of COVID-19. And allowing those workers to continue to operate in the industry creates -- jeopardizes potentially public safety and life and property, so I appreciate the department's nimbleness on that.

And, Rod, I agree with you. It's a challenge. As Wayne has indicated, the course providers, even after instituting virtual basic classroom training courses, there still appears to be a backlog because there's some potential limitations on that.

And so finding that right balance -- and I encourage the department to continue to communicate with those basic

24

25

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 classroom training course providers, which I'm sure that you 2 currently are doing and will continue to do to ensure that 3 access to that valuable education service, even though 4 delivered virtually, continues to expand as we navigate these 5 uncharted waters and that those non journey-level 6 participants -- workers in the electrical industry -- gain 7 access to that critical instruction. 8 Any other questions from board members for our new 9 chief, Wayne Molesworth? 10 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: I have a question. This is 11 Board Member Baker. I hold a contractor seat. 12 Welcome aboard, Wayne. 13 I heard chatter in the industry, not only in 14 Washington but also in Oregon, that there could be some reciprocal agreement maybe in the works. Could you talk 15 16 maybe to that -- the validity of that. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I think that we actually 17 18 are talking about that a little bit further into the agenda, 19 but I would be more than happy to talk about it if you'd like. 20 21 And I -- you have to excuse me. I've been in the 22 chair a little over 30 days, so I'm not completely briefed on 23 everything, but I have been watching the different e-mail 24 chains that have gone by and had discussions with both 25 Technical Specialist Mutch and Vance about this issue. And

1 it appears that we're moving forward. 2 I know this was an issue last time that was accepted 3 by the board and from the last rulemaking and that we 4 determined that, after it was looked at from a legal aspect, 5 that we didn't have the statutory ability to actually -- no, 6 I'm sorry. I'm confusing that with another one. Ι 7 apologize. But that we would move forward. 8 There was some concern about the proposal but that it 9 would benefit, you know, the construction industry and labor, 10 especially in those areas that adjoin at the south. 11 I'm probably going to ask again if Mr. Vance could 12 actually speak to this a little bit more clearly because he's 13 got more detail that might be of use to you. 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Larry Vance, are you with us this morning? 15 16 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: I am, Madam Chair. For the record, my name is Larry Vance, V-A-N-C-E. 17 18 I've been working with Oregon --CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Larry. Hang on just a 19 20 second, Larry. Is this -- Agenda Item No. 5 on our agenda is 21 WAC 296-46B-940. Are we in that agenda item, sir? 22 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: For the purposes of 23 the question, no. I mean, this is just about -- this is just 24 information about a potential agreement with Oregon so... 25 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you.

1 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: And so there was some 2 legislation here a couple of sessions back that implemented 3 an apprenticeship requirement here in Washington. And one of 4 the purposes of that, according to proponents, was -- is to 5 reach a place where our licensing systems were more similar 6 so that we could enter into some sort of a reciprocal 7 agreement with Oregon. So this has been going on for a 8 number of years.

9 Where we're at right now is there has been a lot of 10 work on both sides of the river for this. There's a draft 11 agreement that's been out there that's been approved by their 12 electrical board. They have a little different governing 13 structure down there. But essentially it's just a document 14 that's sitting there for all to see and it's -- it's what 15 we've been talking about.

But essentially the agreement is for those who completed an 8,000-hour apprenticeship or those who hold a Washington master electrician's license or Oregon supervising electrician license. So it's very similar to having 16,000 hours of experience and passing an additional examination.

So those are the thresholds that the agreement's based on, and both states are looking toward maybe implementing it. Oregon has -- they're going through their formal rulemaking process currently. They have already had a public hearing on

22

23

24

25

their permanent rule. They may choose to accelerate and issue a temporary rule, which would allow them to possibly accelerate the agreement.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

Their temporary rule is similar to our emergency rule process that we use for temporary electricians and those sort of things in the past. So if there's a -- or if there's an urgent need then -- and they feel that there's an urgent need, but we're still working through those issues in Washington.

But it's -- I guess, what I could say is that all indications show that we've made great progress.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Larry.

And I just wanted to confirm. The department has received an official petition, has it not, on or around June 22nd from a stakeholder requesting the department engage in some additional rulemaking? And I think the intent of that petition is to strive to harmonize WAC 296-46B with some reciprocity requirements.

Do I have that accurately summarized? TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yes, yes. And with -- all the petition does is it harmonizes Washington administrative rules with Oregon's administrative rules in a couple various -- we appear to have some rather onerous requirements, and all the petitioner is doing is seeking to harmonize them with Oregon.

1 And it's nothing that -- on the Oregon side, they 2 actually have to complete their administrative rulemaking in 3 order to sign the agreement because the agreement conflicts 4 with the current administrative rule having to do with the 5 percentage of passing score on examination.

6 The agreement, as currently drafted, would allow somebody to reciprocate that passed the Washington exam. 8 According to current administrative rule in Oregon, anyone 9 reciprocating would be required to have a 75 percent passing Washington has a 70 percent passing score. score.

7

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

So they're harmonizing that, making an allowance in their administrative rules to accept our passing score, which makes administrating this rule a lot easier and more fair.

14 And the rule petitioner, they're kind of following the The difference is, in Washington, we could sign 15 same suit. this; we could administrate this rule under our current 16 17 rules. We would just be -- we would be -- the rules would be 18 excluding some folks until they were changed, meaning that 19 there's an exclusion, for instance, that if anyone had ever 20 attempted and failed to pass the Washington State exam, that 21 they're forever unable to reciprocate. Oregon's requirement 22 is two years.

I think the proponent is seeking to -- the petitioner is seeking to align with Oregon at two years, meaning that, you know, if someone's passed the Oregon exam and five years

ago they attempted the Washington exam and didn't follow through and pass it, should they be discriminated against? The petitioner is asking, you know, is two years long enough.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Really the protection is in there to keep people from going around a licensing system. And there's another provision in there.

7 And I don't know, Tracy, is it appropriate to talk 8 about these at this time or how -- what are you feeling? 9 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Well, I mean, I think the 10 question about reciprocity is -- certainly is one of great 11 and genuine interest from this body. It's a conversation 12 that we have had more than once, and I am incredibly 13 interested in understanding how we can achieve reciprocity 14 for 01 certificate holders in the state of Washington with the state of Oregon. 15

And unless my assistant attorney general tells me
 otherwise, I would welcome this conversation.

18 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Okay. Do we want to 19 look at it as agenda No. 5 because really what we're getting 20 into -- what I'm getting into right now -- I just want to 21 alert you, what I'm getting into right now is essentially the 22 petition to change -- to make changes to WAC 296-46B-940. 23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yeah, and the challenge here

this morning, Larry, is that that petition to the department, the timing doesn't meet the board's 45-day notice requirement.

1

	-
2	TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Sure.
3	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And so I'm not I'm
4	reluctant to share any documents that are in the department's
5	possession regarding this Agenda Item No. 5 because they were
6	not submitted to the board meeting those requirements. And
7	unless Pam starts waving wildly, I'm going to keep along
8	this.
9	You know, unfortunately, as much as I would love to
10	allow for those documents to be reviewed, they were not
11	issued to the board members previously and they're not
12	available to members of the public, who have joined us
13	telephonically, particularly.
14	So I would welcome your detailed report regarding
15	where we're at in terms of that rulemaking. I know you've
16	already made comments to that end. And I would imagine that
17	I'm joined by a balance of the board members in
18	understanding, if there's anything that we can do to help
19	urge this process along but also be mindful of the open
20	the restrictions or the guidelines, the thresholds of the
21	Open Public Meetings Act, which we are still bound by
22	portions of under Governor Inslee's proclamations.
23	So if you could provide us with some more detailed
24	updates without relying on any unsubmitted documentation,
25	that would be greatly appreciated.

1 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: I can do. So the other -- there's another provision in there 2 3 that's caused some angst with Oregon in our administrative 4 rules, and that revolves around a requirement that if you 5 have -- that if you've ever attempt -- if you've taken and 6 passed the Oregon exam while being a resident of Washington, 7 you're not eligible to reciprocate that license and -- to 8 Washington.

And really what the map looks like -- the map changed
 as far as how apprenticeships interact among states. There's
 an area of southwestern Washington that has -- that's an
 Oregon apprenticeship. But they have a large number of
 apprentices that live in Washington, and they also have
 jurisdiction for apprenticeship in that area of Washington.

So why that provision is in there, I'm not sure. There was a time when there was nothing but Washington apprenticeships in Washington, and that changed years ago. So I think it's just possibly an outdated -- an outdated provision. And another provision that was -- that was not in alignment was a waiting requirement --

21 AAG THOMURE: May I interrupt?

22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes.

23 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Yes.

AAG THOMURE: I'm sorry. I just wanted to make sure for purpose of the open public meeting that we're having

1 that Mr. Vance is talking about rulemaking updates, which was 2 on the agenda from the department. I'm concerned that the 3 petition that he's describing regarding discussion of 4 reciprocity, that's not been identified. Reciprocity was not 5 identified anywhere on the agenda as a topic of discussion. 6 So my concern, Madam Chair, would be did the public 7 have adequate notice of this subject for purposes of this 8 meeting. 9 Larry, if you're addressing the actual rulemaking that has to do with reciprocity, I think if you could clarify 10 11 that, that would be helpful for purposes of this meeting. 12 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: It's kind of -- what 13 I'm relaying, Pam, is conversations I've had with officials in Oregon when they compare our rules and their rules 14 throughout this process. It does parallel with a petition 15 16 we've received --17 AAG THOMURE: And I don't dispute that. I just 18 wanted clarity for the board's sake that this document was 19 just sent to the board members yesterday. 20 And we have a rule on the books that says, if you're 21 going to discuss any party -- and we hold appellants to the same rule that it currently -- that if there's a petition, 22 23 that goes to the department, and the department has to 24 obviously respond to that petition. 25 But for purposes of the board and the board addressing

1 it, what I'm concerned about is whether the public has notice 2 of that so that they have an opportunity to provide feedback 3 to the board regarding this topic. 4 If there's rules in process that you're -- or you're 5 thinking about doing a rule, then just clarify that is --6 what I'm suggesting, is clarify it under the rulemaking, not 7 under this new kind of document that the board has just seen 8 that wasn't timely submitted to the board. 9 Does that make sense? 10 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: It does make sense. 11 It does make sense. 12 So with the information that we've received from 13 Oregon -- from our counterparts in Oregon about essentially 14 just a level playing field between the states for the purposes of this -- a potential reciprocity agreement. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Larry, let me just --17 this is Tracy. Let me just -- I think I know how to make 18 this bridge. 19 So the petition that you received matches up with 20 Agenda Item No. 5 because the rulemaking has to do with 21 Washington administrative code 296-46B-940; is that correct? 22 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: That is correct. 23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So let the record reflect, Pam, that the Agenda Item No. 5, right, WAC 296-46B-940 24 25 that's listed on the agenda, although, doesn't specifically

1 say "rulemaking" -- unless I -- let me pull that up. 2 Petition for rulemaking, right, the Agenda Item No. 5. 3 So, Pam, is that -- are you more comfortable now 4 understanding specifically that what Larry has been speaking 5 to about reciprocity falls under Agenda Item No. 5, specific 6 to WAC 296-46B-940, rulemaking? 7 AAG THOMURE: So my concern is when that specific 8 agenda item was added to the agenda. I think we should get 9 clarity from that from Beth. 10 However, my understanding is that agenda 5, up until 11 maybe just two days ago, was that there was an update for WAC 12 296-46B rulemaking update, not specifically for 940. 13 So I'm just suggesting that if we're -- I would need 14 to clarify when that agenda item was actually modified because we have to provide notice of the agenda so many days 15 16 in advance of the meeting. And perhaps I missed it, which is 17 entirely possible these days and I apologize if I did. 18 And that's why I was suggesting -- from my 19 perspective, I thought the agenda item was just the general 20 rulemaking update, which is what my concern was. 21 So perhaps, Beth, maybe just, if I missed it, clarify 22 if that was on the agenda more than ten days ago that the 23 agenda went out. Is that what happened or not? 24 I'm going through and -- I think on MS. RIVERA: 25 my drafts so that may take a minute.

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 AAG THOMURE: Because I just got it, I think, 2 vesterday. But, again, I could be wrong. And I'm happy to 3 be wrong, don't get me wrong. 4 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Madam Chair, this is Don. 5 My question about reciprocity has been satisfied here. 6 I just wanted to know if there was conversations. It sounds 7 like there is. We're in process. There's a lot of little 8 details that we got to work through. So sometime in the 9 future, we may see more come up on reciprocity, which is 10 great. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. And to your 12 points, Assistant Attorney General Thomure, I have an e-mail 13 from Bethany Rivera dated July 14, and it is addressed to all 14 of the current board members participating as well as several administrators from the Department of Labor & Industries. 15 16 It contains several attachments including an agenda 17 for this meeting, and it includes Agenda Item No. 5 Wac 18 296-46B-940 petition for rulemaking. 19 So that would meet the ten-day threshold if that makes 20 you more comfortable, Pam. 21 AAG THOMURE: It does. Thank you. 22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Larry, if you want to 23 continue with Agenda Item No. 5 on the petition for 24 rulemaking WAC 296-46B-940, now that we have officially 25 confirmed on the record that that agenda item was submitted

in a timely fashion.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

25

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you, Madam
 Chair.

The provision that -- in regards to waiting before you're eligible for reciprocity between the two states --Oregon is six months, and Washington's one year, according to our administrative rules.

The petition seeks to eliminate a waiting period. If a person can lawfully work in Oregon, why can't they lawfully work in Washington with a proper certificate or license?

We don't -- we don't see any -- we don't see any potential there for any public safety or any cause for concern about that. In fact, we looked at the petition and I don't think that --

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Larry, this is Tracy. I
 apologize to interrupt.

But just a gentle reminder, if you are not speaking, please mute either your computer audio or your telephone if you're joining either way. We're getting some background noise, so hopefully -- please just, again, general reminder. Thank you for muting your phones.

Sorry to interrupt, Larry. Please continue.

AAG THOMURE: And, Madam Chair, we do have -- I do see that one person on the phone is not muted. And my concern is, we've got a court reporter taking down what's

1 being said, so we need to ensure that that person mutes their 2 phone because we're hearing background noise. Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Mr. Vance, please continue. 4 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: Thank you, Madam 5 Chair. 6 So with the progress in Oregon, with the progress that 7 they've made in their rulemaking with the -- I haven't heard 8 back from Oregon about their public hearing, whether they 9 had -- there was any controversy there. 10 They've somewhat indicated that they're considering a 11 temporary rule to accelerate the process of signing the 12 agreement and sending it to Washington. When they do that, 13 we are able currently to sign the agreement. It's just that 14 our rules will not be real friendly for administering it. So we're looking at this from the standpoint of 15 16 what -- should we follow Oregon's suit. If we file a CR101 17 and begin permanent rulemaking based on this petition, we're 18 lucky if we can have that permanent rulemaking complete 19 within nine months. Everything has to -- the planets have to 20 align to do that. 21 We do also have the option, if there's substantial 22 reasons for an emergency rule, that emergency rule can be 23 filed simultaneously with the permanent rulemaking, which 24 would create a bridge while that permanent rulemaking goes 25 through the process. It may take several emergency rules in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

order to keep things intact, but it is doable.

But what the department's considering right now is whether or not -- whether or not having a -- having a reciprocal agreement or having these rules changed is an emergency. So does it meet the emergency criteria, which has to do with public safety, the welfare of the citizens and health aspects.

8 So I'm -- you know, as an electrician myself, I do see 9 that portability helps both myself and my livelihood and also 10 the people that employed me. But I'll leave that up to 11 others to make any argument that having an emergency --12 having a reciprocal agreement in place is an emergency that 13 can't wait nine months.

14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Larry, what I -- what I hear you saying or what I am inferring that you are saying is 15 16 that it may be helpful to the department to understand what 17 the members of the electrical board -- how they feel about 18 the pending reciprocity agreement between Washington State 19 and the state of Oregon regarding 01 certificate holders and 20 whether or not the -- would it be helpful if you 21 understood -- if the department understood if the electrical 22 board members were supportive of engaging in emergency 23 rulemaking so as to potentially expedite this pending 24 reciprocity agreement between the two states of Washington 25 and Oregon.

1 Do I understand that correctly? TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: 2 Yes. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. One of the 4 things that I --5 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Madam Chair, Jason Jenkins, 6 board member. May I please add to this a little bit being 7 that I'm actually working and living in the southern portion 8 of Washington? 9 And I want to affirm, if you want to call it that, 10 that we in the Washington/Oregon area here, I think it would 11 be a very beneficial and very -- I think it does affect 12 general welfare of the people in both the Washington/Oregon 13 border here to be able to have that allowance to have the 14 reciprocal agreement put in place. The way I understand it -- and maybe I'm wrong, but I 15 16 understand it is that this emergency rule may go into play, 17 and that would allow us a bridge between the time that the 18 rulemaking gets started to the point it can end, and this 19 would allow to bridge the gap so we can get things started 20 sooner versus later. 21 Due to this whole pandemic and because of people being restricted in working in certain areas and maybe not being 22 23 able to just effectively get paid to do work in certain 24 areas, I think this would be a huge advantage and almost, I 25 would say, a need to have this thing put in place as soon as

1 possible considering our -- certain climate of our system 2 today. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Jason. 5 Larry, do you have any sense for how long we've been 6 talking about a pending reciprocity agreement between the 7 states of Washington and Oregon? 8 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: It's been years. Ι 9 know in -- I know when we ended all reciprocal -- when we 10 were advised to end all reciprocal agreements and we did so 11 back in 2009, I think it was, we hadn't had a reciprocal 12 agreement with Oregon for a number of years at that time. So 13 we -- there's been talk of a reciprocal agreement with Oregon 14 for, I'm going to say, more than a decade. I mean, it's -- we've been talking about this, so to 15 16 be this close is very encouraging. Reciprocal agreements are 17 interesting in the fact that it's sometimes the most minor 18 things that can hold them up, and we just want to be in a 19 position to show the best will -- the best goodwill to move forward. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yeah, and I would agree with 22 that and I -- you know, I recognize that the electrical board 23 generally advises the electrical program with a handful of 24 exceptions, one of which has to do with RCW 1928051 that has 25 to do with examinations.

"It shall be the purpose and function of the board to establish in addition to general electrical contractors license such classifications of specialty contractors licenses it deems appropriate."

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

And it goes on indicating that the electrical board, one of its prime functions is the regulation of examinations and the creations of licensure. And given that reciprocity is -- you know, it doesn't mention that specifically, but because reciprocity is gaining licensure potentially without examination, I would like to argue that this is squarely within the wheelhouse of the function and purpose of the board.

And given the fact that this has been a topic of conversation in front of the board for, Mr. Vance, to your estimate, a decade, I think most -- I will not speak for all the board members, but I think the board is incredibly interested in continuing forward and finally reaching a reciprocity agreement with Oregon for all the reasons that Board Member Jenkins illustrated and others.

And what I'm hearing from you is that it would be helpful to the department to understand where this body sits in terms of potentially directing or recommending the department consider entering an emergency rulemaking so as to expedite this process.

So given that, I would be very interested to

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 understand what other board members think about recommending 2 or directing the department to draft emergency rules with 3 respect to attaining a reciprocity -- an official signed 4 reciprocity agreement between the states of Washington and 5 Oregon. 6 If there -- if board members are supportive of this 7 notion, certainly at any time the chair would entertain a 8 motion or hear comments from board members. 9 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: More of a question, Madam 10 Chair. This is Board Member Baker again. 11 The question's for Larry. Just for clarity, we're 12 talking about a reciprocity agreement for an 01; is that 13 correct? 14 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: That is -- yes. That is the reciprocity agreement that Oregon has proposed to 15 16 Washington. 17 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. I just want to make 18 sure we're not talking about specialties like 02s or anybody 19 else. We're talking specifically about 01s? TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: That is correct. 20 21 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Okay. 22 Yeah, if you're looking for a motion to engage in 23 emergency rulemaking process for reciprocity, Madam Chair, 24 I'd make that motion. 25 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Don. And thank 63

1 you for clarifying that specific -- the pending reciprocity 2 agreement between Washington and Oregon only impacts 01 certificate holders. 3 4 So it has been moved by Board Member Baker to 5 recommend to the department to engage in emergency rulemaking 6 to expedite the process of finalizing a reciprocity agreement 7 between the states of Washington and Oregon, specific to 8 Washington administrative code 296-46B-940. 9 Is there a board member second to that motion? 10 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Board Member Jason Jenkins. 11 I second the motion. 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. So it has been 13 moved and seconded to make that recommendation to the 14 department. Any board members -- any discussion on that motion 15 16 from board members or any questions? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing no questions or no 19 comments, I would ask that board members that agree with the 20 motion please -- and again I'm going to conduct all of these 21 votes audibly unless they are -- unless we need to call for a 22 roll call vote. 23 So board members voting in the affirmative directing 24 the department to engage in emergency rulemaking regarding 25 WAC 296-46B-940 for the sole purpose of expediting a 64

1 potential reciprocity agreement between the states of 2 Washington and Oregon regarding 01 general journey-level 3 certificate holders, please indicate by saying aye. 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any opposed? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Hearing none, motion carries 8 unanimously. 9 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Madam Chair, this is Wayne 10 Molesworth. 11 Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: 12 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Forgive my lack of 13 knowledge about the overall process. Would it be appropriate 14 if I would make a comment just to sum up the stance I have on this particular issue just as an overall "how we would 15 16 approach this"? 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Sure. 18 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Okay. Thank you. 19 I want you to know that we always approach this type 20 of an issue very cautiously, and it will always be my intent 21 to guide the agency and the program to ensure that, when we 22 put things like this in place or develop things like this, 23 that we're also protecting the integrity of the knowledge 24 base in the industry in the state of Washington. 25 I've been involved with other programs where I've 65

1 started to see that some of that might be eroding, and it 2 will always be our intent to make sure we have things in 3 place that don't allow that. 4 And I think from Larry's explanation with the 5 apprenticeships and 8,000 hours and items like that that you 6 can see that that's going to help us to do that as well as we 7 need to consider the enforcement or the knowledge of RCWs and 8 WACs for people that aren't familiar with them becoming 9 reciprocated in the state of Washington. 10 So we will continue to work on those issues, but I 11 think from the discussion you can tell the department is also 12 in favor of a reciprocity moving forward. So thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thanks, Wayne. 14 And with that, unless there's any argument otherwise, we should be on agenda item 6. 15 16 I do want to check in with our court reporter to see 17 if she needs to take a break. 18 THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, we can take a few 19 minutes if you don't mind. 20 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. So with that, 21 what I would like to do is to give our court reporter a 22 break. 23 My computer says it is 10:46 a.m. I would like to 24 recess this meeting for 10 minutes and come back on the 25 record at 10:56 a.m.

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 So with that, we are at recess for 10 minutes, and we 2 will reconvene in 10 minutes. (Recess from 10:46 a.m. to 10:56 a.m.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, again, this is Chair 5 Prezeau. It is 10:56 a.m. I would like to bring back from 6 recess the July 30, 2020, quarterly meeting of the Washington 7 State Electrical Board. 8 And before we get -- resume or begin agenda item 6, I 9 would like to confirm for the record that we have -- still have a quorum of electrical board members, so I'm going to 10 11 call roll more time. 12 Jason -- Board Member Jenkins, are you present? 13 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Yes. I'm here, Madam 14 Chair. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Dave Ward, are you present? 15 16 BOARD MEMBER WARD: Present. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Mike Nord, are you present? 18 Mike Nord, are you present? You're muted, Mike, if you're 19 present. 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. Come back to that. 22 Ryan Lamar, are you still with us? 23 (No response.) 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Just a reminder to board 25 members, calling roll. If you are muted, you may want to 67

1 take a moment and unmute your audio as I continue to call 2 roll. 3 Board Member Baker, are you present? 4 BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Yes, ma'am. 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Board Member Bobby Gray, are 6 you present? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Vice Chair Alice Phillips, 9 are you present? 10 BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: Present. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Board Member Dylan 12 Cunningham, are you present? 13 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Present. 14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Board Member Erick Lee, are you present? 15 16 BOARD MEMBER LEE: Present. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Board Member Ivan Isaacson, 18 are you present? 19 BOARD MEMBER ISAACSON: Here. 20 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Board Member Kerry Cox, are 21 you present? 22 BOARD MEMBER COX: Yes, ma'am. I'm here. 23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you. 24 Board Member John Brickey, are you still with us? 25 (No response.)

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So confirming -- I'm not --2 there are other board members present. That's terrific. But 3 we have audibly confirmed 9 of 15 board members. We maintain 4 Just unsure -a quorum. 5 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Madam Chair? 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes. 7 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Okay. This is Board Member 8 Nord. For some reason, I was unmuted but unable to speak, so 9 I had to call back in. 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. But you're 11 obviously confirming that you're still with us. 12 BOARD MEMBER NORD: I'm still with you. 13 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. So maybe that's 14 the issue with Board Members Ryan Lamar and Bobby Gray and maybe John Brickey. If you --15 16 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Yes. It seems that after a 17 period of time, even if you're unmuted, you are muted. 18 Because I've made several seconds to motion that you didn't 19 acknowledge, so apparently for about an hour I've been muted while unmuted. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Which might be -- so I 22 appreciate that, Mike. Apologies. 23 It might be that -- it might be that Bethany may have 24 inadvertently -- I think she's controlling this meeting --25 may have muted board members in an attempt to mute folks

¹ joining us strictly telephonically.

2 But in this case, I would -- I know that we're 3 struggling a little bit with the virtual platform, but I just 4 want to confirm for the record that we have myself, Jason 5 Jenkins, Dave Ward, Mike Nord, Don Baker, Vice Chair Alice 6 Phillips, Dylan Cunningham, Erick Lee, Ivan Isaacson, Kerry 7 Cox all have audibly confirmed that they have returned to the 8 meeting being called following the ten-minute recess for the 9 purposes of our court reporter. 10 And if you are a board member and I didn't call your 11 name and you have regained audio and want to indicate that 12 you are still with us, I'll give you a moment but that we 13 continue to have a quorum. 14 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So with that, we are on 15 16 agenda item 6, which has to do with proposed rulemaking 17 regarding WAC 296-46B-995. 18 Pam, do you want to -- do you want to weigh in on this 19 agenda item? 20 AAG THOMURE: Sure. Hopefully you guys have all 21 had a chance to sort of look at what Tracy and I worked on. 22 I think everybody is aware that Tracy and I and many of the 23 board members have had long -- or had discussions about the 24 provisions of WAC 296-46B-995 from the perspective of making 25 it clear what appellants have to do or should do for appeals,

1 kind of, clarifying some of the archaic kind of language, 2 making it more, I think as Tracy would say, user friendly. 3 So Tracy and I had meetings. We had meetings with 4 people from the department in terms of some of the problems, 5 some of the pros, some of the timelines. 6 And so then I took a lot of that information and sort 7 of crafted a draft. The draft timelines, I just stuck in timelines kind of from what we had talked about. I think 8 9 that's all flexible. We then also sent out a copy to sort of 10 our subcommittee members -- Mike Nord, Bobby Gray -- to kind 11 of take a look at kind of what we had looked at. 12 So needless to say, there has been a lot of work put 13 into this. And what we've supplied to the board is sort of 14 the copy that has all of the track changes from the original rule in it. 15 16 Bobby, I did not include some of your comments because 17 I think I responded to those. Hopefully you've joined us by 18 now. 19 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yes ma'am. 20 This is Bobby Gray. I am in. I went back out and 21 came back in as Mike suggested, and so I've been involved in 22 the meeting all along. I just was not able to evidently 23 speak. 24 AAG THOMURE: Okay. Good. 25 And thank you, Rod, for educating me about how to 71

print a copy without all the markups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

And I submitted that to the board members because -so you can kind of maybe see how it would look. Now, this isn't formatted. There's probably typos. There's probably lots of the technical things.

But I think what Tracy and I wanted was for the board members to review this in general principle. And as you know, the department is the one that has to initiate the rulemaking. It is our hope and desire that this sort of substantive recommendation from the board can be a strong recommendation to the department to engage in rulemaking to clean up 995.

I know it's been one of Tracy's goals -- I think since I've been working with you -- to try to do something. And so that's why we took the time, I took the time to really put together something that we hope that will make it easier for the department to move forward. We hope that it's in a format that will take less work on the department's perspective.

But I really -- what we're looking for is board feedback of whether you, the board, are in favor of this and/or your comments.

23 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And with that -- thank you,
24 Pam.

With that, I want to acknowledge that Board Member

Ryan Lamar followed Board Member Nord's advice and called
 back in and has rejoined us as well, so we also have Board
 Member Lamar rejoining us as well.

And just to add to Pam's comments, as there -- well, it's an accurate reflection of this work, and I want to thank her and some other assistant attorney generals that we consulted with in the crafting of this draft to this point, along with Board Member Bobby Gray and Board Member Mike Nord, part of that subcommittee to review the draft changes that -- or the working document, if you will.

Just to remind board members, the real genesis of this recrafting or trying to clean up WAC 296-46B-995 is specifically the appeal language.

14 The appeal language for the electrical board is --15 when I -- nine years ago when I became chair of this body, it 16 became evident in working with Pam that even legal counsel who were involved in various types of appeals in front of the 17 18 electrical board would routinely call Pam or seek advice, 19 because even to legal counsel, the language in WAC 20 296-46B-995 was inherently confusing and unclear to legal 21 counsel which -- and certainly Pam has spoken with lots of different parties in various matters of appeal in her tenure 22 23 as AAG to the board.

This specific section of our Washington Administrative Code is -- has been incredibly confusing and potentially an

intimidating process, not only for legal counsel but certainly also for pro se appellants as well. Some of the appeal language or the processes regarding different appeals avenues to the electrical board existed in various parts within the rule. It was not warehoused in one specific article within the Washington Administrative Code.

7 One of the things we really tried to focus and 8 concentrate on was moving -- proposing moving into Article 9 995 all the pertinent process policy language regarding 10 whether it was an appeal of a matter that was referred to us 11 from the Office of Administrative Hearings, whether it was an 12 original appeal in a matter that involves suspension or revocation of a license or certificate. There was a couple 13 14 of other options as well to appeal in front of the electrical board. 15

And our goal was really to try to certainly not rewrite the practice and -- nor change the -- change that practice or change the policy. It was really to -- this work is really a reflection of providing common sense clarity to the appeal language as, you know, practiced in front of the board and what the process of appealing to the electrical board looks like.

And really so as to -- it's a fairness issue. It is an access issue. If a party receives citations, let's say from the department, and is considering appealing those

23

24

25

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 citations, as they have a right to do as all board members 2 understand, it is possible that appellants -- potential appellants would review the language in -- the existing language in WAC 296-46B-995 and be intimidated by entering into that process, even though they might feel that their potential appeal would have merits but were intimidated by the process.

3

4

5

6

7

8 And so our real goal, if I -- and, Pam, you correct me 9 if I'm wrong -- was to provide appropriate access particularly -- well, for all, but particularly for pro se 10 11 potential appellants to more clearly understand the process 12 so that in the event that the department took some type of 13 action, that the Washington Administrative Code specific to 14 appeals in front of the board would not be a consideration into that potential appellant's decision-making process about 15 16 whether to appeal the decision.

17 It's really about -- and it fits very much -- probably 18 some of the board members may not know this history. When I 19 started on the board in 2005, regardless of who -- if the 20 department issued a citation, when that matter went in front 21 of the office -- or was assigned to the Office of 22 Administrative Hearings and was heard by an administrative 23 law judge, the burden on proof -- originally in the rules, 24 the burden of proof rests with the appealing party and not 25 with the department. That was -- it was dictated by language

in the rule.

1

With electrical board members' input, we changed that 2 3 rule, and I think rightfully so, to be commiserate with 4 standard judicial practice, right, which is the department --5 if they take that -- if they cite a party, that they now have 6 the burden of proof in front of the administrative law judge 7 and the Office of Administrative Hearings. Or if it's an 8 original hearing in a revocation or suspension, they continue 9 to have the burden of proof.

The electrical board felt very -- myself included -felt very strongly about that, that our appeal language would be consistent with customary judicial practice. And that's, I think, the spirit by which I have been working in partnership with Pam and other attorneys general and certainly the subcommittee that reviewed the work -- the draft document that we're working off of at this moment.

17 And I told -- this is going to come up a little later 18 in Agenda Item No. 10 under board updates. But, you know, 19 this is -- this is my last electrical board meeting, and it 20 is incredibly important to me, as I explained to Governor 21 Inslee, that -- I asked for an opportunity to get WAC 22 296-46B-995, this appeal language to this point before -- I 23 felt it was the one last piece of policy that I really wanted to try to accomplish before departing as a board member and 2.4 25 certainly departing as a chair.

And it is not a finished document by any means, but it is -- reflects a tremendous amount of work particularly done by Assistant Attorney General Thomure. I just want to recognize that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

And I think it's at a place where, if the board members agree, we could recommend to the department to take up this work specifically in terms of some of the timelines, as Pam indicated. We should put some placeholders in those timelines that need to be vetted through a -- likely through a formal rulemaking process.

11 And if the board members -- I certainly would take any 12 questions or hear any comments. And if the board members 13 agree that this document is -- has enough crafting and work 14 invested to it, to recommend advisory -- an advisory capacity to the department to enter into official rulemaking to make 15 16 these -- to vet these changes and -- or to go through the 17 process engaging the board and relevant stakeholders.

18 Starting that process, I think that would be helpful if the board members agreed with that. 19

20 AAG THOMURE: Chair -- Madam Chair, could I 21 clarify one point? 22

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Please.

23 I think the appropriate AAG THOMURE: Sure. 24 procedure would be to recommend that the board files a 25 petition -- as the person did for the earlier agenda item --

1 and that we could recommend that the board attach this and 2 file the petition with the department. 3 I think procedurally that might be the way to 4 accomplish it, unless Larry Vance tells us otherwise. 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Pam. 6 Technical Specialist Vance, you have any thoughts or 7 recommendations on this agenda item 6? 8 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: I would -- a petition 9 can come from anyone or anybody, so that is something that 10 could be done. 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Larry. 12 Any board members have any questions or comments or 13 concerns at this point? 14 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Madam Chair, Jason Jenkins, electrical board member. 15 16 I want to say that when -- seeing discussion you had 17 earlier about where this has been confusing for some people 18 to pursue a -- what they would consider an unjust situation, 19 when they looked into how to appeal their citation, they just 20 gave up because of the actual process and what it's going to take to do such. 21 22 I think this is a very good direction to head. I 23 think that what you've done is, to put it bluntly, awesome. 24 This is a good document, the way it's been laid out. After 25 going through it, I'm really impressed and -- the efforts

1 that have been put into this. 2 And I would definitely support either you or the 3 entire board putting a petition to get this to move forward. 4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Jason. Any other 5 comments from board members? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing none, then, Jason, 8 back to you. Would you -- Chair would entertain a motion. 9 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: I would like to make a 10 motion that the board document or provide a petition to --11 for rulemaking to adjust WAC Rule 296-46B-995 and move this 12 document forward. 13 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. It has been 14 moved to -- that the electrical board recommend to the department through rulemaking petition regarding WAC 15 296-46B-995. 16 17 Is there a second to that motion? 18 BOARD MEMBER NORD: Second by Board Member Nord. 19 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. So it's been 20 moved and seconded for the electrical board to petition the 21 department to engage in rulemaking regarding WAC 296-46B-995 22 the appeals language. 23 Any discussion on the motion or any questions from 24 board members? 25 (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And just so -- before I take the vote, just reminding folks that as Technical Specialist Vance indicated, that stakeholders can petition the department at any time to engage in rulemaking.

And so what would potentially happen moving forward would be if this -- if the board members affirm this motion, would put the department on notice that the board is requesting an official petition to engage in the rulemaking regarding WAC 296-46B-995.

Presumably the board would submit these documents -these draft documents regarding -- reflecting the work that has been conducted in the interim regarding WAC 296-46B-995 to help guide the department in that rulemaking process.

And then certainly understanding -- board members, I'm sure at this point, fluently understand that your role involved in that rulemaking process -- that the petition just begins the official process and allows for stakeholder input so that this work can start officially on the record with the filing of a CR 101.

Did I get that correct, Pam?

21 AAG THOMURE: Yes, you did.

5

6

7

8

9

20

22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. So with that, 23 the chair will ask all board members that agree with the 24 motion to -- for the Washington State Electrical Board to 25 direct the department to engage in rulemaking specific to WAC

1 296-46B-995. 2 If you are in agreement, please indicate by saying 3 aye. 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you. Any opposed? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Hearing none, the motion 8 passes unanimously. Thank you very much. 9 Congratulations, Pam. Congratulations, Bobby Gray. 10 And, Mike Nord, thank you for your work on the subcommittee. 11 This is -- for me this is a really big deal, and I'm 12 ecstatic that this body affirmed that motion and affirmed so 13 unanimously. 14 As I said before, this was my final policy piece that I really wanted to accomplish for my tenure as a board member 15 16 and as chair. So thank you for affirming that, and thank you 17 for affirming that unanimously. I look forward to 18 participating in that process going forward as a interested 19 stakeholder. 20 So with that we are -- unless I'm missing something --Pam, we're under agenda item 6, [sic] which is the 21 22 secretary's report. 23 I'd like to yield the floor back to the Chief 24 Electrical Inspector Molesworth. 25 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Thank you, Madam Chair. 81

1 First of all, I'd like to thank you for all your years 2 of service. And I've attended several meetings and found it 3 very impressive the way you facilitated these meetings 4 throughout your tenure, so thank you for your services to the 5 board. I appreciate it. 6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: I'm still chair, for the 7 record. I'm still chair. 8 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: I know. I know. Sorry. 9 You haven't left yet --10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: No. 11 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: -- and continue to 12 facilitate these meetings, sorry. 13 So I'd like to start this -- the secretary's report by 14 stating that a lot of the numbers that you see may look a 15 little strange. There may be some questions. We're more 16 than happy to answer those questions. We've been affected by 17 the global pandemic. And since this is for this last 18 quarter, we've been right in the middle of it. 19 So I'm going to go ahead and go through this. And if 20 you quys have questions, I'll be more than happy to answer, 21 but I just want to preface it with that. 22 The electrical board fund on June 30, 2020, was 23 \$10,027,369, which is about 4.3 times the average monthly operating expenditures. The average monthly operating 24 25 expenditures for fiscal year 2020, which as a reminder is

1 usually -- oh, sorry.

2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Hang on, Chief Molesworth. 3 I just want to make sure board members have a chance to get 4 the secretary's report in front of them in the event that --5 is there a board member that is in need of the actual secretary -- the physical, secretary's report? 6 7 (No response.) CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: 8 Okay. Very good. 9 I just wanted to confirm that, Wayne, before you 10 continued on. Please continue. Thank you. 11 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: No problem. And correct 12 me -- I'm virtually reading this into the record, right, 13 because the minutes I reviewed over the past few meetings 14 that's the way it looked to me, so that's how I'm continuing. So just a little reminder that, usually our fiscal 15 16 year runs July 1st through the following June 30th. And so 17 that's the -- that's where this data was taken from. 18 But the average monthly operating expenditures for 19 fiscal year 2020 were \$2,315,637 compared to \$2,146,402 for 20 fiscal year 2019, which is an increase of about 7.9 percent. 21 Average monthly revenue for fiscal year 2020 was \$2,137,157 compared to \$2,283,924 for fiscal year 2019, a 22 23 decrease of about 6.4 percent. 24 And here we want to note that most all of the decrease 25 can be contributed to the month of April of 2020. April's 83 revenue was about 50 percent below average due to the COVID-19 and the governor's stay-at-home directive. Revenue recovered nearly to normal in May and June and continues to recover.

As far as customer service, we had 34,153 permits were sold last quarter. 99 percent of the 3- -- or 33,947 were processed online, which is consistent with last quarter. A hundred percent of contractor permits were sold online, which is consistent with the previous quarter. And homeowners online sales for this quarter is 98 percent, which is a 19 percent increase from the previous quarter.

Online inspection requests is 79 percent, which is a 3 percent decrease from last quarter. And during this quarter, customers made 92 percent of all electrical license renewals online, which is a 13 percent increase from last quarter.

I think we can see why the increases in those particular issues.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Definitely.

18

25

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Moving on to the key performance measures, scorecard goals and indicators, the percentage of inspections performed within 24 hours of the request, the goal being 86 percent, and fiscal year 2020 it was 78 percent, a decrease of 6 percent for fiscal year 2019 at 84 percent.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Wayne, I would imagine

1 that a lot of that has to do with the impacts of stay-at-home 2 orders, the same reason that you're seeing increases in online renewals and online inspection requests. That's 4 likely that -- and to your comments from the departmental 5 update, right, that's probably a numerical reflection of that?

3

6

24

25

7 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely. This reflects 8 the high-risk inspectors staying at home, us trying to 9 implement measures that will allow us to do inspections in an 10 alternate method. And so I expect that you'll see this 11 recover, you know, to a normal state. But, yes, it's because 12 of that.

13 Percent of inspections performed within 48 hours of 14 requests, again, this year was 90 percent compared to last year at 94 percent. That's a 4 percent decrease and, of 15 16 course, for the same reasons.

17 Number of focus citations and warnings, contractor 18 licensing, worker certification, no permit, failing to 19 supervise trainees, anticipated totaled number is 4,136.

20 This year in the field, we wrote 1,655. And with 21 ECORE and audit, they did 3,079 for a total of 4,734. Again, 22 this is a decrease from last year, and last year's numbers 23 were 6,050.

The reason for the decrease here is also that, because of the orders from COVID-19 by the governor, we have been

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

limited to actually doing compliance. And ECORE will not be doing regular compliance until we reach phase three in the different counties because of those restrictions, as well as field inspectors have been instructed to focus on compliance that is in front of them during their inspections and not searching out the compliance.

Did I hear a question? No? Okay.

7

8 So number four is inspection stops per inspector per 9 day. This is just a workload indicator. We -- in FY 2020, 10 it was 11.8, and that's a slight increase over fiscal year 11 2019 of 11.2. This is probably reflective of additional 12 alternate inspection methods as well as the VEI inspections 13 that have been taking place.

Serious electrical corrections that were the result of disconnection, this year was 40,389 compared to last year of 44,442.

Licensing processes, the turnaround time, the goal is 18 100 percent during the same day. This year was 95 percent, 19 compared to last year at 96 percent. In licensing, we have 20 had some vacancies, and we have had to submit application for 21 the ability to hire -- exempt from the hiring freeze.

Turnaround time for average plan review, the goal is less than 1.5 weeks. In this year, we're looking at 2.4 weeks is what the average has been compared to last year at 1.8. My projection is that you're going to see this go down even below the 1.8.

2	We just hired a new supervisor for plan review that
3	has been very involved in the online plan review system.
4	We're filling two vacant positions in plan review that have
5	been vacant for some time, and also we've added one other.
6	So when all positions are filled, we will have five. And
7	plan review has never had more than four plan reviewers at
8	the same time, so we're looking to really improve that
9	response time.
10	BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Chief Molesworth, this
11	is Board Member Cunningham. Question about how that
12	turnaround time is calculated.
13	First I'd like to indicate that we really, from the
14	engineering side of things, greatly appreciated the
15	efficiency of the online submittal process. And the
16	turnaround time has, over the last year or two, shrunk down
17	to that, you know, approximately two weeks time frame. Of
18	course, with the circumstances this year, it's stretched way
19	out.
20	One thing that that the submittal process is really
21	a two-step process. We submit documents, and then receive an
22	invitation to upload our drawings to the portal for review.
23	So is the calculation of the turnaround time from the
24	initial receipt of our preliminary documents and initial
25	payment to when the review is complete, or is it from when

the drawings are uploaded to the portal to being turned around and approved?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

The reason why I ask is that I think it's mostly a consequence of the unprecedented circumstances we've had this year. We've had projects where we submitted our initial documents. And then eight to ten weeks later, we get an invitation. So that's not calculated into this 2.4 week turnaround?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So, Mr. Cunningham, I'm
 sorry to say that, being in the position the short time as I
 have, we've had some other issues going on. I can't tell you
 that from my point of view. But if Mr. Mutch or Mr. Vance
 would like to chime in for that, that would be terrific.

But I will tell you that we're reviewing those types of things, especially now with the -- with the online system going into place so that we're not using an old type of gauge.

18 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Great question. I just want 19 to say if either Technical Specialist Vance or Technical 20 Specialist Mutch has any -- anything to add to help answer 21 Board Member Cunningham's question.

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: So I can tell you
 what the old process was. We just instituted --

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Rod, identify yourself
 for the court reporter. Thank you.

1 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: Sorry. This is 2 Technical Specialist Rod Mutch.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

The online plan review process has been in the works for some time. It includes some reports that the electronic system can provide. And as far as the new electronic system and counting the backlog, I'm not sure exactly how it worked, but I can tell you how the old one worked.

When the plans were actually physically received and the plan review staff takes them in, that's when the clock starts, and it ends when the plans are either approved or denied. So I believe the new system is similar to that.

12 So, Dylan, when you submit those plans electronically and they're received, I believe that's when the clock starts. But I don't know that for sure, and we can check on that for the next meeting. 15

16 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: This is Board Member 17 Cunningham.

18 Technical Specialist Mutch, I believe that is what's 19 happening. The real question ultimately was, I believe, the 20 initial documents are sitting in a queue for however long it takes for plan review to get to them, and that's adding to 21 22 the total turnaround time even though it's not being totaled. 23 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: That may be true. So 24 that's something we can check on with the reporting of the 25 the new online system.

1 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: In the analog world, 2 when we were submitting these plans physically, all of our 3 submittal documents, the initial payment and the drawings 4 would all go in together through mail or FedEx. And so you 5 would have an initial check-in process that sometimes would 6 take a week or two, and then we would either be admitted in 7 and assigned an EPR number or plans would be sent back, and 8 then that total turnaround time would begin calculating.

9 And I think right now what's happening is we submit 10 and -- in the case of this year with the COVID-19 pandemic, I 11 think this is taking -- I understand there's been some 12 turnover as well and some reduction in staffing, and I think 13 all of those things have coalesced to create a backlog of six 14 or eight weeks before we upload even and then the turnaround 15 time starts counting.

Thank you.

16

TECHNICAL SPECIALIST MUTCH: Okay. Yeah, we may
 need to look at how we're reporting that backlog in light of
 that.

I can tell you also that the reason the backlog -- one of the reasons the backlog is higher is because, when the stay-at-home order closed schools, we were faced with a rush of submittals for projects at schools because no one was at school. So that really increased the workload for the plan review staff as well as the vacancies so --

BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: We have a seasonal backlog that grows because the SPI funded school projects jumped to the front of the line, so that pushes non-SPI projects back. And that's cyclical. Every year that happens.

1

2

3

4

5

6 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Dylan, this is Tracy. 7 Would you be willing to -- between today and the next 8 meeting -- you willing to work with the department to more 9 clearly understand the nature of the backlog and get an 10 accurate -- get a more accurate understanding of what the 11 turnaround time is for the average plan review process and 12 certainly provide your input to the department regarding your 13 experience as an engineer?

BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Yes. I'll gladly --Madam Chair, I'll do that. Would it be appropriate to work with the supervisor of electrical plan review? I believe that a new individual has been put into that role recently. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: I certainly will let Chief Molesworth answer that question.

20 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah, I was about to let 21 you guys know that Joe Evankovich is our new plan review 22 supervisor. And if you would like to drop me an e-mail, I 23 can send you his contact information and make sure Joe knows 24 that you'll be contacting him to work together on -- looking 25 at this.

1 As well as, one of the things we're going to strive 2 for is making some of our processes -- all of our processes a 3 little bit more customer-centric, where our customers have the ability to have input on how our processes work and how 4 5 it affects them. 6 And so this is a great start to that to be able to 7 work in that path to ensure that we're not creating problems 8 out there for our customers but still being able to fulfill 9 our mission. 10 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, I will work with 11 supervisor Evankovich. We already work with him closely in 12 his capacity as a plans examiner for years now, so I'll go 13 ahead and contact him directly and include you in that 14 correspondence. SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Perfect. 15 16 BOARD MEMBER CUNNINGHAM: Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thanks, Dylan. Appreciate 18 it. 19 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely. Moving on with 20 the report, number eight is plan pages reviewed, both 21 electronically and the total number. In 2020, we did 4,891 electronically and a total of 5,264. Previous year we only 22 23 did 2,195 electronically and a total of 6,414. 24 So this last year we did put that process in place, 25 and it seems to be working very well. And I think that

you'll notice that Joe has some really good ideas for making it even more effective for our customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And then we get to the percent of warnings by focused violation type. Licensing, 8 percent; certification made up 22 percent; permits were 40 percent; lack of trainee supervision, 29 percent; and overall all focused citations made up 26 percent.

So as you can see, due to problems with the reporting system, percentage of warning data is from the previous secretary's report. We're working on getting that system online and getting the data corrected, so we will have additional data hopefully by the next report period.

Licensing, during this quarter, we were 5,304 electrical licenses processed, which includes applications and renewals for electrical contractors, electricians, trainees, modified trainees and administrators.

The turnaround time for processing licenses and certificates has remained at 90 percent the same day. This is due to COVID-19 telework agreements and reduced staff due to surgeries and vacant positions. Phone calls have remained steady and staff have been fielding an above average amount.

I do want to add to this that we need to applaud our licensing staff, being understaffed and also at the same time being able to maintain a 90 percent same day really deserves some recognition so -- done a good job moving this forward. 1 This is also something that we may want the board to 2 look at in the future as far as supporting us or making recommendation as far as moving some of our paper 4 applications into a online-only application to help 5 streamline some of these processes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

We're under the impression that we're probably at that point in technology where that should be feasible, but it would still leave them the ability to go into an office and be able to do that if they didn't have the technology available to them. But as far as we would be concerned, we would promote it and have it online, but something to consider moving forward.

No new testing labs to report.

14 And then we get to the other program updates. There 15 was a request for inspector compensation contrast, state 16 versus municipalities. And as we know, there are 17 municipalities that are making more money for the inspector 18 wages in comparison to ours.

19 The thing that I try to have people help remember is 20 that they have different processes for increasing wages than we do. And those processes sometimes are a barrier for us to 21 22 reach those places, but we are looking at class and comp 23 packages in the future. That all depends on how COVID will 24 affect how we send those requests forward because we may be 25 limited, you know, with the budget the way it is and the

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 state economy the way it is what we can ask for. 2 I don't have in front of me the actual state wages for 3 comparison. But when I did a study of this, probably about 4 four or five years ago now, you can see that we were towards 5 the bottom, and we were usually about 15 percent to 20 6 percent below some of the higher paid municipalities. 7 We also study -- instead of just inspector ratings, we 8 also compare where journeyman wages are at, where different 9 contracts are at. And as we know with some of the union 10 contracts, we have contracts that negotiate yearly increases. 11 And so we have to take that into consideration when we're 12 actually putting our class and comp packages together because 13 \$2 this year actually becomes \$8 in four years, and so we 14 have to be careful how we report that and we report that 15 accurately. 16 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Wayne, if I can interrupt 17 for a moment. I think -- just because of the unique 18 situation of, you know, being the chief and at the helm for

It's my recollection -- and other board members can certainly correct me if it's wrong -- is your predecessor reported to the board that they -- prior to the last classification and compensation rate increase that was afforded to the inspection field staff, the electrical program, that the chief went to mark it and did an assessment

30 days, just a little bit of background, a couple of pieces.

19

1 of compensation of municipal inspectors and did a, you know, 2 compensation -- class and compensation package review. 3 And prior to the last increase that was a result of 4 that official classification and compensation rate case 5 through the Office of Financial Management and others, I 6 believe that the same inspectors ranked last in terms of 7 compensation on that original list before the classification 8 and compensation rate increased. 9 And then following that -- implementation of that 10 last, you know, wage and benefit increase received by the 11 field inspection staff, when reviewing that very same list, 12 hey where did that put state inspectors following that 13 increase, I think we went from last to maybe second to last 14 or third to last. And I, you know, believe that those are records that 15 16 were assembled by your predecessor likely in consultation 17 with your technical specialist. That information you

And because this is your first board meeting and I'm excited for that opportunity, is this board -- just to give you a little background, this board has been incredibly vocal and interested in -- and concerned by not only -- well, vacancies within the program, whether it's inspection field staff or plan review or audit or ECORE. And previous chiefs have given regular updates to this body regarding what's the

probably have, right, somewhere in -- within the department.

1 level of vacancies.

2	If my if I think back to previous meetings, we're
3	averaging somewhere around 16 inspector vacancies on any
4	given day. That's an average. The number goes up and goes
5	down. But not expecting that you have can address that.
6	If you can, we'd welcome that conversation.
7	But just want to make note for the October meeting
8	any if you could make some additional research or come
9	back to that meeting with an update for board members
10	regarding vacancy trends among inspection staff and what that
11	looks like, and additionally if you can find the documents
12	regarding that were put together, again, by your
13	predecessor regarding state inspector compensation and how
14	that relates to municipal inspector compensation, I think the
15	board would appreciate that information.
16	And just but just to give you a little bit more
17	time in the seat to prepare that those remarks. That
18	would be terrific.
19	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Sure. This might be a good
20	time to give you a little bit of my background. I
21	actually
22	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes. That would be
23	terrific. I was going to give you that platform as well
24	SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: As far as this topic goes
25	and and I can add to it if you want to know my full
	07
1	97

1 background to let everybody know where I come from, but I was 2 a part of putting together that class and comp package as the 3 special projects manager for FSPS.

4 And so I actually was involved in tracking down all 5 those wages for the different municipalities and where they 6 were located. Right off the top of my head, I can't tell you -- I don't think we were exactly last, but we were close 8 to the bottom. It takes at least a minimum of a 10 percent 9 vacancy rate in order to get OFM to even look at us for a 10 class and comp package.

7

11 One of my -- one of my messages with electrical over 12 the past few years has always been to their supervisors, we 13 are not hiring to fill seats. We are hiring to have 14 electrical inspectors. And what we find is that because of 15 the wages, we are hiring. In some cases -- and I hate to be 16 blunt about this, but we're hiring an HR issue because we 17 want to fill those seats so we can get electrical inspections 18 done.

19 And my message is that, because we're on site and 20 because we've put paper on the site stating that we have completed that inspection, doesn't mean that inspection has 21 22 been done. So I want to make sure that our staff are 23 following that and making sure that we aren't just hiring 24 because we have a hiring; that we start over if we don't have 25 qualified people on those hiring processes so that we can get

there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

But doing that, we will be able to show OFM -- and don't get me wrong, if we have qualified people, they will hire them. But we need to show OFM that the wages do matter when actually going down this process of hiring good qualified inspectors. And I think the industry deserves that.

8 Part of my background was that I was appointed the 9 operations manager for Elevator to implement a lot of changes 10 to Elevator. And we did the same thing there. They were not 11 able to get to their annual inspections of 18,000 12 inspections, so we strategically set up a process where we 13 first did class and comp packages and did everything and used 14 every element that we had available to us to get their wages increased. 15

And then the two class and comp package we were successful at and got them in two years a 33 percent raise. Once that raise was in place, we actually filled a hundred percent of the positions, which nobody else had been able to fill over the course of the -- never in the history of that program.

Because of that, we were able to put together a --(inaudible) -- package last year and ask for eight additional inspectors and two more administrative staff because of the workload. And we were granted that, and we have now hired

that in the program. And I expect that they're going to be able to reach their goal of inspecting all 18,000 inspections because of that strategic method of getting to that point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

And when asking inspectors "Why did you now come to us?" Their number one answer was "Wages. You're not as much, but you're very comparable now so that we can come to you, and I don't have to worry about giving up so much."

And so I, on a regular basis, voice that opinion to management so that they know that a lot of other things do count. And I came to the agency for life balance and took a 50 percent decrease in wages, but not everybody will do that. So we have to make sure that we're offering those things out there.

But that's just a little bit of my background. And I'm hoping that strategically -- we won't be able to do exactly the same thing, but hopefully strategically we can move in that direction to accomplish getting a higher wage and being able to hire inspectors of a different caliber, in some cases, because we have a good group of inspectors right for the most part.

21BOARD MEMBER BAKER:Madam Chair, this is Board22Member Baker.

I want to weigh in on this because, as you step out of that chair position, I'm going to carry the torch for this compensation for as long as I can because I continue to be

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

appalled at the pay scale that these inspectors are getting.
 And as a contractor, it's really good for me because it gives
 me the advantage to go hire them and put them to work as
 project managers and foremen.

But I appreciate you saying that -- and recognizing that compensation is a big part of retention and acquiring talent. And I think a part of this conversation has to always be what is that void and what is that potential void going to be. That has been part of the conversation years past, and we've continued to watch that.

And I don't expect you to share those numbers today. But going forward, I think it's important to know, you know, what is -- what does that look like and how many inspectors are we currently looking for. How many are you planning on losing in the next five to ten years and what are we doing to acquire that talent.

17You said something during that monologue earlier18about -- I think you said "we're hiring an HR issue."

What did you mean by that?

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

25

20 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So -- (inaudible) -- that 21 I've always chastised a little bit for making it. The reason 22 I make that is because what happens is that sometimes we hire 23 people with less -- (inaudible) -- you know, to come to a 24 work and do a great job, right.

And so not always and very seldom does that happen,

but then we spend a lot of time once we recognize that figuring out how to coach and mentor them and bring them up to the standard they need to be. And in some cases they don't make it, in which case now it becomes an issue for us to how do we vacate that seat so we can hire somebody that's able to do the job.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

And the processes with that, being a government agency, they own those positions. It's very difficult. And so now supervisors spend a lot of time with HR, and that's why I kind of referred to it that way.

But it's a difficult process, and our supervisors should be commended for the aggravation they go through sometimes with these different issues so...

14BOARD MEMBER BAKER: That's what I thought you15meant. I just was looking for some clarification.

The dollars spent on dealing with those individuals could easily be put in the right compensation package, and you wouldn't have those challenges; correct?

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: That is correct to a point. The dollars spent should be spent on I think training on how to hire right, and hiring is the most important thing that we do in this agency in my opinion. And being able to make good decisions and have good people -- how to recognize good people to be on your interview panels to give good feedback, those are things that I think we really need to 1 concentrate on.

2	And, yes, dollars spent although OFM doesn't look
3	at it that way dollars because I've approached it that
4	way, dollars spent on those issues would pay huge dividends
5	if we could just spend it on wages. There's no doubt.
6	BOARD MEMBER BAKER: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: And, Don, I feel better
8	knowing that or confirming yet again that you along with
9	other board members will remain focused on this issue.
10	You know, as you said during the appeal earlier,
11	right, and commended one of the and rightfully so one
12	of the department's inspection staff for their good work,
13	it's absolutely essential that you know, the success that
14	this program, as everybody understands, rises and falls on
15	the efficacy of that field staff, you know inspections,
16	audits permitting and licensing, plan review the whole
17	host of it, right.
18	And so, you know, obviously as we as the electrical
19	program deals with what some dub the "silver tsunami," right,
20	the retirement of the baby boomer generation, if you will,
21	not only is ensuring that we have skilled, experienced field
22	staff in all of those different divisions within the
23	electrical program but also out doing electrical construction
24	work is absolutely essential, right, for this industry.
25	So I applaud, you know, Chief Molesworth for his
	100
4	103

1 attention to this and I know that there will be likely much 2 more conversation around this subject matter going forward. 3 I'm just really happy that we continue to have a 4 partner in our acting chief around this issue as we have 5 previously -- as it remains one of the critical -- one of the 6 most critical pieces that this body deals with. 7 And one of the things -- I certainly don't want to --8 if there are other board members that want to weigh in, 9 that'd be terrific. 10 Wayne, I know that this -- well, I don't like to make 11 assumptions. But when the electrical program started using 12 online platforms for certificate and license renewals, they 13 actually -- in 296-46B-909, in the administrative portion 14 where it talks about fees, we had a two-tiered system in terms of -- it made it more cost efficient for trainees to 15 16 renew their training certificate online. There was a slight 17 savings to do that, and also with journey level certificates 18 as well. 19 So I'm not sure if that's something that is -- could 20 be looked at in terms of electronic plan review or in other 21 processes that you're trying to -- as you take on your day 31 22 at the helm. I just mentioned that in the hopes of being 23 helpful that there might be some additional avenues in terms 24 of the fee structure for various services the program 25 provides to stakeholders in the form of incentivizing online

1 access through approved reduced fees to encourage that online
2 activity.

SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Absolutely. We can always look at incentives. A lot of times, it takes that to get the ball rolling, right, to get people moving in that direction.

3

4

5

6 I wanted to just jump back really quick and just 7 mention, because I think they deserve a kudos as well -- but 8 I've been involved with Labor & Industries for 29 years now, 9 and the management we have in place right now for field 10 services and public safety where we're housed is probably one 11 of the most supportive for class and comp packages, wage 12 increases that I have actually worked with or even been 13 around.

So I know some of them were online here, and I want them to know also that we appreciate that, and the support is always greatly appreciated because it takes that. We have to create that relationship as well. We'll never be successful if we don't all work together so...

 19
 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU:
 Team work makes the dream

 20
 work.

21 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Exactly.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Wayne, does that
 conclude your secretary's report?

24 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Let me just make sure I 25 don't have any other notes. I think we already talked about

the emergency rule for reciprocity.

1

2 The -- because I knew there was some interest around 3 the flipping property, we're actually looking at that again 4 and moving forward and looking for the support on it and 5 making sure that we got the ability to actually go down that 6 path from a legal standpoint -- so the statutory authority. 7 But that was really all I was going to mention with 8 that is that that's in progress, still in play, and we're 9 working hard with that as well. 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you. 11 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Madam Chair --12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Go ahead. 13 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: -- this is Board Member Gray. 14 Would it be out of order to follow up on agenda item 3B while the chief is still on the floor? I missed that 15 16 sharp turn where we went from virtual training to 17 reciprocity, and I had a couple of things I'd like to follow 18 up on if that would be appropriate. 19 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes, Bobby, certainly. Go 20 ahead. Thank you. 21 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 22 First of all, let me welcome you, Chief, to the 23 department and your new role there. 24 You didn't mention it, but it's my understanding also 25 your resume includes a tour of duty with Dodge and as a -- as 106

nearly a 20-year member of the technical committee on electrical safety in the workplace and -- (inaudible) -- the International Association of Electrical Inspectors has now sponsored a representative on that committee recognizing that it's not just electricians that sometimes are exposed to electrical hazards but our inspectors do as well. So anyway, I just wanted to mention that.

8 But what I really wanted to do was provide some 9 individual feedback -- (inaudible) -- Technical Specialist 10 Mutch. When it comes time for you to decide whether or not 11 you -- (inaudible) -- virtual training for basic classroom 12 courses.

And this is my perspective as a provider and instructor, and I would encourage anybody else on the board that has a different opinion that certainly --

16 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Hey, hey, Bobby. Bobby. 17 Bobby, this is Tracy. Let me interrupt you. Not to be rude, 18 but there's a significant amount of background noise, and I 19 don't -- I'm not asking you to mute your phone by any means, 20 Board Member Gray, but if you're -- I would ask board members 21 and even members of the public who are joining us 22 telephonically to take a look at your microphone status. And 23 if you're not Bobby Gray at this moment, please mute your 24 microphone to eliminate that background noise. Thank you. 25 Please continue, Bobby.

BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you, Madam Chair. My personal experience -- and we've been doing this virtual training for a couple of months now. And my experience is, I just don't believe this method is going to be as effective for basic trainees as face-to-face classroom, stand-up-in-front-of-the-group training would be.

I mean, I think our meeting today is a good example of that where we had technical difficulties where two or three board members, myself included, was not able to speak when the appropriate time came.

7

8

9

10

So people are reluctant to ask questions when things aren't clear. They're going to be required to have a good, strong internet signal, or they're going to have problems following all of it.

And so my opinion is I just think it would be a mistake, at least at this point. And, of course, people that are younger than me, which is everybody, are much more fluent using this -- the social media platforms in order to work their way around. But even that, I think there's still problems in there.

And I just -- I just think it would be a mistake right now to extend that. I understand the situation we're in now, and we have to do what we have to do. But going forward, my personal opinion is I think we ought to take a good hard look at that before we allow that for basic training, not

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 necessarily for continuing education but the basic initial 2 training. 3 So I just wanted to point that out. And, again, I'm 4 sure there's others -- Jason or perhaps others that may look 5 at this a little differently and so -- but that's been my 6 experience. 7 Thank you, Madam Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Bobby. 9 BOARD MEMBER JENKINS: Madam Chair, this is Board 10 Member Jenkins. 11 I just want to reiterate exactly what he was saying. 12 I'm totally agreeing with that and -- (inaudible) -- be a 13 limited amount of use. 14 You know, we do -- (inaudible) -- duty to pass this, but definitely really take a really hard look at how much we 15 16 allow or don't allow in the future as far as beyond this 17 pandemic. And there's little to no replacement of classroom 18 in-person training. 19 And, you know, we do have some allowances and some 20 things we -- that we may want to look at, but it's not 21 something we want to run to and say that's the golden ticket 22 or the silver bullet for our issues. 23 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Board Member 24 25 Jason. 109

1 And, Chief Molesworth, you want to weigh in? 2 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. I'd just like to 3 thank you guys for your comments, and I agree completely. 4 This particular online training piece is really just 5 to compensate for COVID-19 situations. I do not perceive 6 this going forward for trainees in the future because I agree 7 with you. 8 The best place for new electricians is sitting in 9 front of each other and an instructor and being able to have 10 those conversations during breaks and just building that 11 comradery as well in the industry, which we don't do as well 12 over this kind of a medium. 13 I want to tell you also that one of the things that 14 we're struggling with is that -- my opinion of this is going to probably be varied from others, but when we talk about 15 16 inspectors starting from home, we have the same problem as we 17 do with trainee training like we're talking about now.

18 I learned to be an inspector -- I learned to be a good 19 inspector in the office for an hour to hour and a half in the 20 morning, listening to other inspectors talk on the phone, 21 have conversations on the whiteboard about what they saw the 22 day before, asking each other's opinions. I did not become 23 an inspector by starting from home and then, when I ran into 24 a problem, calling somebody on the telephone; because that 25 made me feel like I was dumb.

And sitting in a group with a group of people, you don't feel that way, and you're able to absorb a lot more information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

So moving forward, I hope that even though it's convenient for inspectors to start from home, I hope that we can convince them to realize the benefit for new inspectors and more seasoned inspectors as well running into new situations with new technology that we have that opportunity in the office to make -- to help train each other.

10 So I just want to add that part in so that we knew 11 that this doesn't stop with just trainee education. There 12 are benefits for all kinds of different facets of this in the 13 industry that we have to be aware of.

14 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Totally agree with that.
15 Thank you, Wayne.

And you know, Bobby, I agree with you and I agree with Board Member Jenkins regarding the concerns about, you know, providing -- because of the results of COVID-19 and the global pandemic, allowing trainees access to electrical instruction, and that basic classroom training virtually rather than not at all is better than not at all.

But I recognize and agree with you as someone who provides adult educational content unrelated to the technicalities of the electrical construction work, now, virtually it is a completely different experience not only

1 for the participants but for the facilitators. 2 The original intent of the legislation, having been a 3 part of that, was never to allow for remote access for 4 trainees to seek that basic classroom training; although, you 5 heard me say before and I'll say it again today that, you 6 know, recognize and applaud the department's flexibility in 7 allowing access to remote training rather than no training at 8 all. 9 And I -- you know, this is concerning to me as well as 10 I heard it in your voice and in Board Member Jenkins's as 11 well is, you know, I think it's -- I think it would be 12 helpful if there's a majority of board members that agree is 13 -- to entertain a motion to advise the electrical program to 14 revert back to in-person basic classroom training for trainees when the governor's proclamations allow safe 15 16 resumption of basic classroom training requirements. 17 And if there's a majority of board members that would 18 be interested in that, chair would entertain a motion as 19 such. 20 Board Member Jenkins: Jason Jenkins, board member. So moved. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Great. It has been moved to 23 recommend to the department to resume in-person basic 24 classroom training for electrical trainees when consistent 25 with safety practices and governor proclamations.

1 Is there a second to that motion? BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Board Member Gray. 2 Second. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. It has been 4 moved and seconded to for that -- to recommend to the 5 electrical program the prompt resumption of in-person basic 6 classroom training requirements when to do so would be 7 consistent with Governor Inslee's proclamations and local 8 proclamations and the general safety of instructors and 9 trainees. 10 Any discussion on the motion? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing and hearing none, all 13 those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye. 14 (Chorus of ayes.) 15 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any opposed? 16 (No response.) 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Motion carries. 18 And just for the record, we continue to have a quorum 19 of board members, so that is an appropriate directive. 20 Wayne, did you have something you wanted to add? 21 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Yeah. That gives us the 22 flexibility to decide when, depending on the governor's 23 proclamation as for what phase we're in. I think until we reach phase four, I don't think we 24 25 have the open ability to do that. And so I'm just looking

1 for that verification that -- that will be up to us to make 2 sure and abide with that and open it up when appropriate. 3 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you. 4 So I just want to check in with Svetlana, our court 5 Are we still doing -- are you doing all right, reporter. 6 Svetlana? Do you need a break? May we continue? 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah, I'm good. If we can 8 take a break in the next half hour, that'd be okay. 9 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Okay. It is my hope that we 10 might -- I'll be mindful of that. Thank you. Thank you, 11 Svetlana. 12 So with that, unless, Wayne, you have anything Okay. 13 additional to add, we should be under agenda item 8, which is 14 certification report, which generally speaking is delivered by Technical Specialist Vance, right, and he's listed again. 15 16 And, Larry, while you get set --17 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: Madam Chair? 18 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yep, go ahead. 19 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: While Larry's getting set 20 up, if I could just give a brief synopsis of my history with 21 L&I. Bobby mentioned Dodge. I think there's some 22 interesting things that I could mention that would show what 23 I bring to the program as well so... 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Go ahead please. 25 SECRETARY MOLESWORTH: So being here for a long 114

1 time, I was an electrical inspector for 16 years and an 2 electrical supervisor in Region 6, Spokane, for two years. 3 During that period of time, I set a goal that I wanted 4 to be the chief at some point in my life and could see that 5 that's where you had to go to be influential in making 6 change. What I didn't see was good managerial skills. 7 I had owned three businesses, and I was seeing things 8 that I thought I could be effective in changing to bring a 9 better atmosphere to the program, although, the last two chiefs have done a really great job at doing that. 10 11 But I set out to get management experience, so I took 12 a job as the Region 6 compliance manager for Dodge, like 13 Bobby was referring to. And I like the way he mentioned tour 14 of duty because it was a little bit of a war. But I did learn an enormous amount of skills in that position that I 15 16 bring to electrical. 17 And also I spent -- after that six years, I became the 18 special projects manager for FSPS, and I managed the 19 recruitment and retention project, which we talked about with 20 electrical, with the class and comp packages and several 21 other things; and then the continuous improvement project 22 with Elevator to implement 36 different recommendations by a 23 third party study that was required by the legislature. 24 So Jose appointed me to the operations manager for 25 Elevator in order to do that, and that was a good place for

me to learn a lot about how do we change different processes and become very familiar with the lead process and continuous process management, recognizing ways to -- and I also became a change management practitioner during that time, so I learned a lot of ways to help to manage change because, as we know, none of us like to change; we like what we're doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

16

7 So I learned a lot in that period of time. And during 8 that period of time, I actually applied for the chief's 9 position twice and was unsuccessful. And I appreciated that 10 because we got to have Rod Mutch as a chief for a couple of 11 years and then Steve Thornton, which I thought both did a 12 wonderful job.

And it also gave me the opportunity to learn a lot more and to experience the things in these last six years that I experienced to give me those tools to actually help the program become even more successful than it is today.

17 So that's just some of the different things I bring. 18 We've already recognized a few different safety issues that 19 we want to address with the program. And I hope I bring that 20 to the program in a big way because our biggest concern for 21 our inspectors is their safety out there. And without making 22 sure they're safe, we can't bring good inspections to the 23 public. So I'm hoping that we can implement a lot of different things that will help that as well. 2.4 25 But that was just a brief overview of what I've done.

1 If you guys have any other questions -- or ladies have any 2 other questions for me, I'm always available. Feel free to 3 give me a call, and I'm more than willing to talk with 4 anybody. So thank you. 5 Thanks, Wayne. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: 6 And with that, Larry, are you ready to talk about 7 certification and examinations? 8 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: I am. I am. Thank 9 you, Madam Chair. And for the record, I am Larry Vance, 10 V-A-N-C-E. I'm a technical specialist with the Department of 11 Labor & Industries. 12 As part of the board packet there, I included normal 13 reports, exempts, statistics by test center and then exams, 14 statistics by attempts. I also included a -- it's been interesting during the epidemic here that -- cancellation of 15 16 exams that were scheduled, test center closings. 17 We've been really watching what's going on with our 18 exam availability. And just like with continuing education, 19 exam availability has taken a downturn from the standpoint of 20 having to implement social distancing and some of those 21 aspects. 22 Exams are being delivered. There's a lot of --23 there's a lot of tension around availability at this point. 24 Exams are available. I think where we find the greatest 25 tension is some of the longer exams, for instance, a master

electrician exam or an administrator exam, first attempt,
 where they have to schedule to take enough time to take the
 entire exam.

4

5

6

7

8

9

So there may be -- there's some issues there around convenience for exam applicants, meaning that they might not be able to take it in the same town they live in. They may not take it -- be able to take it in the time frame they want to take it. So there's some struggles going around that, and we're working with those issues.

The other thing that we got going on with exams is that, due to test centers closing due to COVID -- and exam eligibility is a one-year period. So if people lost eligibility due to the COVID epidemic, we're working with those folks on case by case too to make sure that COVID didn't shortchange their eligibility.

But there's no real change in the 01 electrician exam -- first-time exam pass rate; it's still hovering right around 50 percent. But there was about a thousand attempts at the 01 exam last year, which is pretty normal, the year period from previous.

But COVID is really stressing people's abilities to get certified in many ways, both from the -- getting their basic classroom instruction completed -- because if they're not able to complete that, then they're not able to apply for the exam. There's just a lot of moving parts here, and we

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 are licensing staff.

2 That's part of what can bog them down is these kinds 3 of requests -- special requests such as, you know, I applied 4 to -- I applied to take the exam but I was -- COVID robbed me 5 of three months of eligibility, and I'd like another shot at 6 it. 7 That actually takes then some special work with PSI in 8 order to get them eligibility because the PSI has some --9 (inaudible) -- around a one year -- a one-year eligibility. 10 So a lot of short order cooking going on, and we're 11 just trying to make sure that we're being fair. 12 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Well, thank you, Technical 13 Specialist Vance. 14 You know, in preparation for this meeting, I actually hadn't thought about the impact of the governor's 15 16 proclamations related to COVID-19 and the pandemic and access 17 to the exam. I was more focused on the basis classroom 18 training requirements, the inspections, this meeting. 19 So I appreciate your attention -- your call to 20 attention to that and your reporting that the department 21 continues to operate in a way that is mindful of PSI and 22 their employee's safety, mindful of exam applicants' safety, 23 but still not trying to strand any capital or strand any 24 applicants, right, in their pursuit of a journey level or 25 specialty journey level examination and ultimately their

1 journey level or specialty journey level certificate. 2 So, you know, again, kudos to you and Technical 3 Specialist Mutch and the new chief and the entire staff 4 really for really shouldering these incredible burdens in 5 terms of furloughs and being mindful of inspector safety and 6 still providing inspections and, you know, plan review and 7 all of the other -- and audit and licensing and certificate 8 renewals and all of the other pieces that go into the 9 day-to-day operation of a program.

And not only, you know, applaud -- you know, it's been 10 11 amazing to see that the program continues to operate and that 12 the program continues to operate with an eye towards fairness 13 and access and safety as we -- as no surprise to me or anyone 14 else but, you know, realizing some of the ways that this -this virus has touched the program and its "normal execution" 15 16 is quite staggering actually. And so, you know, we'll see, 17 you know.

I just -- it's incredibly important and -- you know, to me and to all the other board members and all the stakeholders that the program -- we all agree the program provides vital assistance and services to customers and stakeholders.

And the fact that that has had minor disruption that's been captured in the secretary's report and other places throughout the agenda it's -- again, it's a testament to the

1 program and its individuals' dedication to the pursuit of 2 that program on a day-to-day basis, not excluding during 3 unprecedented global pandemics. 4 So thank you for -- does that conclude your report, 5 Technical Specialist Vance? 6 TECHNICAL SPECIALIST VANCE: It does, yes. 7 Thanks, Madam Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you very much. 9 Any questions from board members for Larry about the 10 certification or exam report? 11 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Madam Chair, this is Board 12 Member Gray. 13 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yep, go ahead, Bobby. Thank 14 you. BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Thank you. Just a guick 15 16 question for Larry. 17 On your exam statistic summary under electrician 18 06A-HVAC, I don't see any line there or any row that says 19 first attempt. Is that -- am I misreading that? Did 20 something get chopped off there or --CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Bobby, scroll the page down. 21 22 I had the same thing. Keep scrolling. You'll see it's 23 listed as the last --24 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Okay. Okay. Okay. Yes, I 25 got it. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: It's the last entry in that 2 matrix. Yep. 3 BOARD MEMBER GRAY: Yeah, I recant the question. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: I'm just glad I'm not the 6 only one that had that -- that was looking at that, Bobby. 7 Thank you for that. Terrific. 8 And other board member questions about certification 9 exam reports? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: All right. Very good. Ι 12 recognize that maybe if we were all in person, we might have 13 more commentary, but it's only so long people can look at a 14 computer screen. I understand that. We are now with agenda item 9, which is public 15 16 comment. And, again, normally when we are in person, at this 17 moment, I would be given a sheet of all the names of the 18 folks from the public attending the meeting. We'd call them 19 by name. We don't have that ability on Skype. 20 I am continually monitoring the participants that are 21 logged in by name and, again, we still have more than a 22 quorum of the electrical board members present. There are 23 several phone numbers that -- that have joined -- there's 24 several guests that have joined us telephonically. 25 So I'm going to do what I did in the April board 122

meeting, which is I'm going to ask for public comment. I'm going to give you three opportunities. I'm going to ask for that public comment. And if there -- if no one takes that advantage, then we'll move on to agenda item 10.

But not to curtail or in any way stifle public comment, if anyone who is attending for the purposes of engaging the electrical board on public comment, just be mindful that the board is interested in what -- any issues that you would care to bring in front of us.

But as a cautionary note, if it is possible that you want to engage the board on a matter that may come before the board, either I or Assistant Attorney General Pam Thomure will -- may ask you some questions about the content to clarify that.

So with that, here's my first attempt. Is there anyone joining the July 30th meeting of the Washington State Electrical Board under agenda item 9, public comment, that wishes to address the board under agenda item 10 [sic], public comment?

20 (No response.)

5

6

7

8

9

21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Second time. Is there 22 anyone joining the July 30, 2020, Washington State Electrical 23 Board meeting telephonically or via the Skype platform who 24 wishes to engage the electrical board under agenda item 9, 25 public comment?

1 (No response.)

2 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Third and final time. Τs 3 there anyone who is joining the July 30, 2020, Washington 4 State Electrical Board meeting either via the Skype platform 5 or telephonically who wishes to engage the Washington State 6 Electrical Board via public comment? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: So, Pam Thomure, do you 9 believe that that is adequate -- at least meets, if not 10 exceeds, the Open Public Meetings Act providing access for 11 public comment to this meeting? 12 AAG THOMURE: Under the current proclamations 13 issued by the governor of the state of Washington, yes, I 14 agree. CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you very 15 16 much, Pam, for that confirmation. 17 So our last agenda item is agenda item 10, which is 18 board updates. 19 And for board members -- and I said this earlier, this 20 is my last official -- this is my last time attending a 21 Washington State Electrical Board meeting as a board member 22 or certainly as your chair unless Governor Inslee decides to 23 change course and consider actually reappointing me to the 24 board, but I haven't received any correspondence as such. 25 And as I said earlier when we were under agenda item 6

discussing WAC 296-46B-995, that was my last policy goal to achieve, and I thank the board members for their unanimous recommendation of petitioning the department to engage in official rulemaking regarding the appeal language, so I appreciate that.

I currently have in front of me the bylaws for the Washington State Electrical Board, and I'm reading from Article 4, which details officers.

6

7

8

And it says "The board shall elect by written ballot
 or voice vote one of its members by a simple majority to
 serve as chairperson and one member to serve as vice
 chairperson."

13 Currently, that's myself and Alice Phillips,14 respectively.

¹⁵ "The elected chairperson and vice chairperson shall ¹⁶ assume the office immediately upon election and shall serve ¹⁷ until their membership on the board has expired. The ¹⁸ chairperson and vice chairperson position may come up for a ¹⁹ vote at a regularly scheduled board meeting by any member in ²⁰ good standing of the board."

21 "A vote to remove the chairperson or vice chairperson
22 shall be by written or voice ballot and by a simple majority
23 of board members shall be required. If voted out of office,
24 he or she shall immediately relinquish their position, and a
25 replacement shall be elected. The replacement shall

immediately take the elected position and continue with the meeting if appropriate."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I have only served on this board since -- proudly since 2005, and I witnessed one change in position as chair, and certainly Alice Phillips will remember when she was elected vice chair. And before I will -- the chair will entertain a motion to potentially nominate a new chair. I don't believe we need to go through the process of actually stripping me of the chair responsibility.

As I said earlier, is -- what I've witnessed in the past is a -- when a previous chair, Chair Gloria Ashford's, tenure was coming to an end, the board members were asked -a motion was made to elect a new chair. And I -- because of that language in the bylaws that once elected, that new chair takes that position. And we have fulfilled all the agenda items.

17 So in the event that this body elects -- likely event 18 that this body elects a new chair today, the reason I --19 that's important is because -- it's particularly important --20 it's always important, but it's particularly important for 21 this board to have a chair as the chair is considered the 22 presiding officer and engages with the program, engages with 23 the chief and in this case his technical specialists and 24 assistant attorneys general in matters of appeal or original 25 appeals or appeals coming from the Office of Administrative

Hearings in the interim to help expedite the meeting -- the appropriate meeting agenda going forward. So we could not elect a -- I just want to advise the board members. It is possible that you not elect a chair today for my replacement, but that would leave this board without a -- without a chair to be the presiding officer.

Those duties would fall on Vice Chair Alice Phillips as stipulated in the bylaws.

7

8

As an outgoing board member, I'm not going to try to
 influence or persuade that process. Before the chair would
 entertain any motion, if one exists, there's a couple of
 things I would like to say.

If I can take a moment of personal privilege and that is, it has been a terrific honor to be -- to serve the electrical board for -- as a board member for 15 years, as the chair for the last 9. The work that we do, I think, is incredibly important. I'm really proud of the record that we have created during my tenure and during the -- really the entire tenure of the existence of the electrical board.

I applaud all the current board members, those seeking reappointment, there's a handful of you; and those potentially not seeking reappointment. I would certainly ask in going forward that if -- for current board members to continue this incredibly important work, and I know that you will, and to support your new chair in terms of continuing to 1 be present at the meetings as you all have done. 2 And when your official term as an appointed board 3 member reaches its end, if you would strongly -- I 4 emphatically implore you to strongly consider reappointment 5 to the board whenever possible. In any event that -- in the 6 event that you're unable to or maybe the governor's unwilling 7 to consider that reappointment, that you would recruit and 8 advocate for a qualified replacement. 9 During my time on the board, we've struggled at

¹⁰ certain points with filling seats. Currently, only one
 ¹¹ vacant seat, which is the public member position. I worked
 ¹² really hard to try to recruit a viable qualified candidate.
 ¹³ I've been told no three times now and still am actively
 ¹⁴ seeking a qualified candidate so that we have -- so this body
 ¹⁵ has a full appointed contingent moving forward.

I know that this vital work of the board will continue
 because it's part of the culture of this board. It's been
 baked into the board, going forward.

19 And I just want to thank all of the current board 20 members. I want to thank the electrical program staff. And 21 I especially want to thank my Assistant Attorney General Pam 22 Thomure for your guidance; your advice; your counsel, even 23 outside of regular business hours; your friendship and your 24 professionalism and pledge that, you know -- just because I 25 am leaving the board doesn't mean that I am leaving the

1 industry.

2	I'm one of those 5,000 folks who renewed their
3	electrical certificate online, so I'm good for another three
4	years and may show up may participate with future meetings
5	of the electrical board particularly and especially in
6	support of the rulemaking process revolving around the appeal
7	language in WAC 296-46B-995.
8	So with that, certainly if there are if there's a
9	board member who would be so inclined as to
10	BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: Madam Chair?
11	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Alice, is that you?
12	BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: Yes. This is Board Member
13	Phillips.
14	I would like to make a motion and nominate Jason
15	Jenkins to serve as the board chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Vice Chair
17	Phillips.
18	So your motion is to nominate Jason Jenkins to serve
19	as chair in the Washington State Electrical Board?
20	BOARD MEMBER PHILLIPS: That's correct.
21	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Is there a second to Alice's
22	motion?
23	BOARD MEMBER NORD: Second by Board Member Nord.
24	CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you, Mike.
25	It's been moved and seconded to elect Jason Jenkins as
	100
	129

chair of the Washington State Electrical Board. 1 2 Discussion on the motion? 3 AAG THOMURE: Madam Chair? 4 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Yes, Pam. 5 AAG THOMURE: I do think -- I want a moment to 6 have some comments before we adjourn the meeting if you don't 7 mind. 8 I do think Board Member Jenkins -- correct me if I'm 9 wrong -- doesn't he have to accept the nomination? 10 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Well, that might be under 11 Robert's rules. But in the bylaws -- well -- that's a --12 okay. 13 Board Member Jason Jenkins, do you accept the 14 nomination? 15 Board Member Jenkins: Board Member Jason Jenkins. 16 Yes, I accept the nomination. 17 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Very good. Thank you, Pam. 18 Other discussion on the motion? 19 (No response.) 20 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Seeing none, all those in favor of electing Jason Jenkins as chair of the Washington 21 22 State Electrical Board, please signify by saying aye. 23 (Chorus of ayes.) 24 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Any opposed? 25 (No response.)

1 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Motion carries unanimously. 2 Congratulations, Jason Jenkins, you are now the chair 3 of the Washington State Electrical Board. And in accordance 4 with the bylaws, if we were together, I would hand you the 5 gavel. So I don't have a gavel to hand you, so I'm going to 6 hand you this pen via Skype. And, Jason, you now are the 7 chair of the Washington State Electrical Board. 8 And we have completed -- we've completed all agenda 9 items as far as I am aware of, and the floor, sir, is yours. 10 Thank you. 11 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Thank you very 12 much, Tracy, for the many years of your service and 13 contributing your time and effort. We really appreciate 14 that. There's no way of replacing you, your talent and/or 15 your expertise. 16 I really hope to somewhat fill your shoes but -- with 17 the help of the board members that are currently here, I 18 think that we'll do an okay job, but there's no way of 19 filling your shoes. You've been such a strong person in this 20 electrical board. I really, really appreciate your efforts. 21 CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Thank you.

If I may, Chair Jenkins, thank you for taking the nomination. Thank you, board members, for your unanimous approval of Jason. I look forward to reading the transcripts, and I know Jason will do a terrific job and

Capitol Pacific Reporting, Inc. 1-800-407-0148

1 Alice will continue to do a terrific job as the vice chair as 2 well as staff and our assistant attorney general, Pam 3 Thomure. 4 Thank you to all the board members, and thank you to 5 the program and to the department. It's been an honor. And 6 with that, I will mute my microphone. 7 AAG THOMURE: Wait, wait. No. BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: 8 Yes, Pam? 9 AAG THOMURE: You know, I'm so used to saying 10 Madam Chair. I'm not sure what the correct phrase is for --11 sir chair? 12 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: You got me on 13 that one. 14 AAG THOMURE: All right. We'll figure it out. If I could just have a couple of moments. I wanted to 15 16 take an opportunity to let you guys know that not only do I 17 consider it a privilege to advise the entire board, but I 18 have had the great privilege of working with Tracy as the 19 chair for all of these last nine years. 20 And I want you guys to know that there is a lot of 21 work that she and I do before the board meetings in terms of 22 getting ready for appeals, a myriad of things. And I have to 23 applaud Tracy for her dedication, her diligence. She reads 24 every single word, and she thinks about it. 25 And one of the things I admire most about working with 132

Tracy, as well as -- is that she has always, always put the needs of the public, the needs of the program, the needs of the board -- those are always in the front of her -- what she wants to accomplish. And she -- my experience has been, she thinks very carefully about how to accomplish all of those things. And I have a great respect for her.

There's one other thing that we as board members always remember her doing, and that's eating her lunch at board meetings. And, Tracy, if I was there -- I'm trying to bring this up a little closer. But I was going to give you this nice bottle of Tabasco.

7

8

9

10

11

And so what I'm going to propose is, I'm going to bring it to the board meetings or we're going to put it in a place of honor to always remember you and what you brought to this board because I think you pulled out lots of bottles of Tabasco over the years and sprinkled things.

And when I was trying to think of how I would remember you, I thought, well, your little lunch box with all your snacks and your Tabasco sauce. And I always applauded your ability to make -- be comfortable and do that.

21 So thank you. Thank you for my perspective. Thank 22 you for helping make my job easier, and thank you so much for 23 everything you've done. I really appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON PREZEAU: Through the chair, thank
 you, Pam. I appreciate it. Thank you very much.

1 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Any other board 2 members have any input before we conclude? 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Here, here. 4 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Well, hearing 5 none, once again -- thank you once again, Tracy, for all the 6 stuff you've done for us and hoping to see and hear from you 7 more beyond here. This is not a goodbye. This is a see you 8 soon, I'm hoping. 9 On that note, if board -- the chair would entertain a motion to complete the July 2020 meeting. 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved. 13 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: First and a 14 second. And any -- any discussion? (No response.) 15 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: Hearing none, 16 17 can I get a vote. 18 (Chorus of ayes.) 19 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And any nays? 20 (No response.) 21 BOARD MEMBER/CHAIRPERSON JENKINS: And I don't 22 have a gavel, but that concludes our July 2020 meeting. 23 Thank you once again for everyone being here, and I hope to 24 see you all at the next meeting. 25 (Proceeding adjourned at 12:47 p.m.) 134

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss 4 COUNTY OF KING) 5 I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify: 6 7 That the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically before me and transcribed under my direction; 8 That the transcript of the proceeding is a full, true 9 and correct transcript to the best of my ability; 10 That I am neither an attorney for, nor a relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or 11 counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome. 12 13 14 15 Svetlana Golub, CCR Washington Certified Court Reporter No. 3445 16 License effective until: 02/07/2021 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 135