



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: November 30, 2021

TIME: 8:39 AM

WSR 21-24-068

Agency: Department of Labor & Industries (L&I)

Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR _____

Continuance of WSR _____

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 21-20-119 ; or

Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or

Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Proposed amendments to the elevator fees under WAC 296-96-00922, WAC 296-96-01005, WAC 296-96-01010, WAC 296-96-01025, WAC 296-96-01027, WAC 296-96-01030, WAC 296-96-01035, WAC 296-96-01040, WAC 296-96-01045, WAC 296-96-01055, WAC 296-96-01057, WAC 296-96-01060, WAC 296-96-01065.

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
January 5, 2022	9:00 a.m.	Virtual and telephonic hearing only. Please join on your computer or mobile app (Microsoft Teams) by Clicking here to join the meeting Or visiting https://teams.microsoft.com/join/19%3ameeting_Y2FhNDYzZDYtNTIjNy00OTNjLTkwOWMtMzFiMDU2MjExYjRh%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%2211d0e217-264e-400a-8ba0-57dcc127d72d%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22acb1df6f-3588-43aa-b503-63aebce21ddc%22%7d Or calling (audio only) 1-253-372-2181 Phone Conference ID: 559 975 560# (pound sign must be entered)	The virtual/telephonic hearing starts at 9:00 a.m. and will continue until all oral comments are received.

Date of intended adoption: February 15, 2022 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Alicia Curry

Address: Department of Labor & Industries, PO Box 44400, Olympia, WA 98504-4400

Email: Alicia.Curry@Lni.wa.gov

Fax: 360-902-6244

Other:

By (date) 5 p.m. on January 5, 2022

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Alicia Curry
Phone: 360-902-6244
Fax: 360-902-5292
TTY:
Email: Alicia.Curry@Lni.wa.gov
Other:
By (date) December 20, 2021

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of this rulemaking is to propose a 5.79 percent fee increase for inspections of conveyances and other Elevator Program public safety activities. This is the Office of Financial Management's maximum allowable fiscal growth factor rate for fiscal year 2022.

Reasons supporting proposal: The current fee levels are not enough to cover current program expenses. A fee increase is needed to ensure the program's revenues match expenditures; otherwise, service levels may need to be reduced.

Statutory authority for adoption: Chapter 70.87 RCW

Statute being implemented: Chapter 70.87 RCW

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
State Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None.

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Labor & Industries Private
 Public
 Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Gerald Brown, Prog. Mgr.	Tumwater, Washington	360-902-6456
Implementation:	Steve Reinmuth, Asst. Dir.	Tumwater, Washington	360-902-6348
Enforcement:	Steve Reinmuth, Asst. Dir.	Tumwater, Washington	360-902-6348

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? Yes No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

No: Please explain: The rule is exempt from the Cost Benefit Analysis requirement under the Administrative Procedure Act. Specifically, RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(vi) exempts rules that set or adjust fees under the authority of RCW 19.02.075 or that set or adjust fees or rates pursuant to legislative standards, including fees set or adjusted under the authority of RCW 19.80.045.

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)
(Internal government operations)

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
(Dictated by statute)

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)
(Incorporation by reference)

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
(Set or adjust fees)

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)
(Correct or clarify language)

RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW _____.

Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES

If the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated.

Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

Date: November 30, 2021

Name: Joel Sacks

Title: Director

Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Joel Sacks". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'J' and 'S'.