## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: January 23, 2024

TIME: 3:22 PM

WSR 24-03-149

Agency: Department of	of Labor & In	dustries (L&I)				
□ Original Notice						
□ Supplemental Notion	ce to WSR					
☐ Continuance of WS	SR					
	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 23-0	<u>6-068</u>	or		
□ Expedited Rule Ma	kingProp	osed notice was filed as W	SR	; or		
$\square$ Proposal is exemp	t under RC	W 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.33	0(1); oı			
☐ Proposal is exemp						
				afety standards for firefighters. WAC 296-305-03002,		
Hazardous materials; WAC 305-04001, Respiratory equipment protection.  Hearing location(s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:		
February 28, 2024	10:00 a.m.	Virtual hearing via Zoom  To join electronically: https://lni-wa- gov.zoom.us/j/8619842844 =MVkyVzE0UINzUjVJTHZuSVovdz09 Password (if prompted): Fire@930  To join by phone (audio of 253-205-0468 or 253-215-8 Meeting ID: 861 9842 8444 Password: 47522467	only): 3782	A pre-hearing overview will occur 30 minutes prior to the start of the hearing at 9:30 a.m. The hearing will begin at the indicated time and will continue until all oral comments are received.		
Date of intended adoption: April 16, 2024 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)						
Submit written comments to:				ance for persons with disabilities:		
Name: Tari Enos  Address: Department of Labor & Industries Division of Occupational Safety & Health PO Box 44620 Olympia, WA 98504-4620				et <u>Tari Enos</u> : 360-902-5541		
Email: Tari.Énos@Lni.wa.gov				Fax: 360-902-5619		
Fax: 360-902-5619				TTY:		
Other:				Email: Tari.Enos@Lni.wa.gov		
By (date) <u>March 6, 2024</u>			Other:			
			By (da	te) <u>February 14, 2024</u>		

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: L&I received a petition requesting L&I engage in rulemaking to update the language in WAC 296-305-03002, Hazardous materials. There have been many technological advances in the years since this WAC code was written, including in personal protective equipment (PPE) materials, certification and testing. The proposed rule updates the required standards for hazardous materials protective equipment. The current rule requires compliance with the following National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards for hazard material protective equipment: NFPA 1991 (2000 edition), NFPA 1992 (2000 edition) and NFPA 1994 (2001 edition). The proposed rule updates the requirements to the NFPA 1990 (2022 edition), which is a consolidation of the currently referenced NFPA standards and the current edition. As requested in the petition, the proposed rule allows an exemption from the requirement that protective ensembles and liquid splash-protective ensembles completely cover the

wearer's respiratory protection when respiratory protection meets the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) testing. WAC 296-305-04001, Respiratory equipment protection, which has guidance for breathing air quality for firefighters will also be updated. The reference to ANSI/CGA G6-1, Commodity Specification for Air, needs to be updated to fix a typo in the standard number. Reasons supporting proposal: The reason supporting this proposal is enhancing the safety of responders. By updating the language in WAC 296-305-03002, this will improve the speed of action by the responders. Technological advancements in protective ensembles have increased the dexterity as well as the overall protectiveness of these ensembles, which allows Fire Fighters and Departments to better protect workers based on the associated hazards of the given emergency response. The changes enhance safety and align with current version of the consolidated NFPA standard for hazardous materials protective equipment. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 49.17.010, 49.17.040, 49.17.050 and 49.17.060 Statute being implemented: Chapter 49.17 RCW Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? ☐ Yes  $\boxtimes$  No Federal Court Decision? □ Yes ⊠ No State Court Decision? ☐ Yes  $\bowtie$  No If yes, CITATION: Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: N/A **Type of proponent:** □ Private □ Public ⊠ Governmental Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Labor & Industries Name of agency personnel responsible for: Name Office Location Phone **Tracy West** Drafting: Tumwater, WA 509-237-2372 Implementation: Craig Blackwood Tumwater, WA 360-902-5828 Enforcement: Craig Blackwood Tumwater, WA 360-902-5828 Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here: The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? ☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: Please explain: The rulemaking is exempt from a cost-benefit analysis per RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(iii) and (iv). This rulemaking proposes to adopt a national consensus standard which provides clarity and updated options for selection of PPE when engaged in activities under the rule, and to adopt a housekeeping update to correct a reference in the rule.

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement  Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <a href="https://chapter.19.85.RCW">chapter 19.85 RCW</a> ). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <a href="https://exemption.guide.published.by.oria">exemption.guide.published.by.oria</a> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.  Citation and description:							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.							
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.							
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u	ınder <u>R</u>	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
$\boxtimes$	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
☐ This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u	nder R	CW 19.85.025(4) (does not affect small businesses).				
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u						
	of how the above exemption(s) applies to the prop						
(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.  ☐ The rule proposal is fully exempt (skip section 3). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.  ☐ The rule proposal is partially exempt (complete section 3). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):  ☐ The rule proposal is not exempt (complete section 3). No exemptions were identified above.							
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.							
If any portion of the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
□ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.							
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Name:							
Address:							
Phone:							
Fax: TTY:							
Email:							
Other:							

Date: January 23, 2024	Signature:
Name: Joel Sacks	Doel Jacks
Title: Director	p-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0