which adopted the Department's August 22, 2019 determination. The administrative law judge looked to the Pipefitters' scope of work (WAC 296-127-01364) and rejected UA's arguments because subsection (1) of WAC 296-127-01364 did not apply to the work because the work was "entirely outdoors" and because section (2) only potentially described certain types of work performed.

- 3. On March 3, 2022, UA timely filed a petition for review with the Director.
- 4. The Director adopts and incorporates the Initial Order's "Hearing" summary.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is jurisdiction to hear and decide this matter under Chapter 39.12 Revised Code of Washington ("RCW"), Chapter 34.05 RCW, and Chapter 296-127 Washington Administrative Code ("WAC").
- 2. The purpose of Washington State's prevailing wage law is to preserve and protect local wages on public works contracts. Everett Concrete Products, Inc. v. Dept. of Lab. and Indus., 109 Wn. 2d 819, 823-24, 748 P.2d 1112 (1988); Southeastern Wash. Bldg. and Const. Trades Council v. Dept. of Lab. and Indus., 91 Wn. 2d 41, 45, 586 P.2d 486 (1978).
- 3. Statutory construction rules apply to administrative rules just as they do to statutes. *Dep't of Licensing v. Cannon*, 147 Wn.2d 41, 56, 50 P.3d 627 (2002) (quoting *City of Kent v. Beigh*, 145 Wn.2d 33, 45, 32 P.3d 258 (2001)). Under plain language analysis, the court determines a rule's meaning from its terms "to give effect to its underlying policy and intent." *Id.* at 56. The fundamental objective in interpreting a statute is to give effect to the drafter's intent. *State v. Larson*, 184 Wn.2d 843, 848, 365 P.3d 740 (2015). If the statute's meaning is plain on its face, then the court gives effect to that plain meaning as an expression of legislative intent. *Associated Press v. Wash. State Legislature*, 194 Wn.2d 915, 920, 454 P.3d 93 (2019). If there is more than one reasonable interpretation of the statute, the statute is ambiguous and the court uses canons of construction. See *Dep't of Ecology v. Campbell & Gwinn, L.L.C.*, 146 Wn.2d 1, 12, 43 P.3d 4 (2002).
- 4. The source of scope of work descriptions are apprenticeship standards, collective bargaining agreements, dictionaries of occupational titles, labor and contractor experts, and recognized industry practice. WAC 296-127-013(2).
- 5. WAC 296-127-01364 provides:

For the purpose of the Washington state public works law, chapter 39.12 RCW, plumbers, pipefitters and steamfitters assemble, install, and maintain piping systems, fixtures and equipment for the transportation of water, steam, gas, air, sewage, oil, fuels, liquids, gases, or similar substances.

The work includes, but is not limited to:

work, relating to assembly, installation and maintenance of 'piping systems, fixtures and equipment' for a broad range of substances" and that "among those substances are 'water, steam . . . gas . . . fuel . . . gases or other similar substances." UA Opening Br. 10 (quoting WAC 296-127-01364). UA suggests that because the two types of work in sections (1) and (2) are only examples, the introductory paragraph's language —"piping systems . . . used for the transportation of water . . . [and] gas"—covers all such pipework. UA also contends that the portions of the rule that explicitly apply to "piping systems installed in structures" (section 1) and "distribution lines" (section 2) should also apply. UA Opening Br. 10-12.

- 9. While the language of the Pipefitters' scope of work could arguably apply to the work at issue here, UA's interpretation that all work relating to the assembly, installation, and maintenance of piping systems falls within this scope of work is not reasonable. Such an interpretation is inconsistent with the language of other scope of work regulations involving piping work. Piping work is included within the Laborers' scope for "positioning, joining, and aligning of pipes" (WAC 296-127-01344), Utilities Construction (WAC 296-127-01389), and Laborers in Utility Construction (WAC 296-127-01340). UA's interpretation would render these scope of work regulations meaningless.
- 10. The leachate and gas line work at Cedar Hills involved simple HDPE fusion. Contrary to UA's contention, the piping was not installed within a "structure," and neither the leachate piping nor the landfill gas piping were "distribution lines" within the meaning of WAC 296-127-01364. Rather, the work involved positioning, aligning, and joining large lengths of HDPE pipe—work encompassed with the language of the Laborers' scope of work. While the workers also trimmed pipe as part of the joining process, there was some pipe that needed to be cut or prefabricated to match specific lengths, and some of the pipe was bent as part of a prefabricated process off-site, none of these activities is inconsistent with the Laborers' scope of work.
- 11. Because the plain language of the scope of work regulations does not resolve which regulation applies, the regulations are ambiguous, and it is appropriate to look beyond their plain language to assess their meaning. The purpose of the prevailing wage laws is to protect employees from substandard wages and "preserve local wage standards." *Everett Concrete Products, Inc. v. Dep't of Lab. & Indus.*, 109 Wn.2d 819, 823-24, 748 P.2d 1112 (1988). At the time the Department adopted the scope of work regulations, it was required to look to approved apprenticeship standards, collective bargaining agreements, dictionaries of occupational titles, construction industry experts, and recognized industry practice. WAC 296-127-013. The parties agree that, for ambiguous scope of work descriptions, it is proper to look to historical industry practice at the time of adoption when determining the meaning of those scopes.

¹ The Department's redetermination applied pipefitter rates to a small amount of pipefitter work associated with the valve and pump installations at the pump structures at the top of cell and any joining of threaded pipe. *See* Initial Order Finding of Facts 4.41, 4.42, 4.43. No party contested these portions of the modified August 22, 2019 determination or the August 26, 2020 redetermination adopting it.

- 12. Here, the record demonstrates that both pipefitters and laborers train at least some of their apprentices in the work at issue here and that both laborers and pipefitters have done such at a public landfill. However, the record reflects that historically, in Washington, laborers have overwhelmingly performed the work at issue since the time the rule was adopted in 2000.
- 13. Given this historical industry practice, the Director concludes that the proper rate for the work at issue is the laborer rate. Therefore, the determination made by the Department as particularly expressed collectively in: the Cedar Hills Regional Landfill Determination letter dated January 29, 2019, signed by Jim P. Christensen; the letter dated August 22, 2019, and signed by Jim P. Christensen, responding to the UA's request for modification of the January 20, 2019, determination; and the letter dated August 26, 2020, signed by Assistant Director Chris Bowe, denying on reconsideration to reverse or modify Mr. Christensen's determination should all be affirmed.²

III. ORDER

- 1. Consistent with the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Initial Order dated February 1, 2022, is AFFIRMED AS MODIFIED.
- 2. The determination by the Department of Labor and Industries expressed in Jim Christensen's determination letter dated August 22, 2019, and Chris Bowe's redetermination letter dated August 26, 2020, relating to Cedar Hills Regional Landfill Leachate and Landfill Gas Piping and Collection Systems, are AFFIRMED.

DATED at Tumwater, Washington this 11

an c

day of April

JOEL SACKS
Director

² UA contends that the Department took an inconsistent position in this case compared to the appeal in Westwater Construction Company (OAH Docket No. 10-2019-LI-0202) about the application of industry practice. After reviewing the briefing, it is clear that work at issue is very different in the two matters and that the Department's position is not inconsistent.

1	<u>SERVICE</u>		
2	This Order was served on you the day it was deposited in the United States mail. RCW 34.05.010(19).		
3	A DDE AL DICHTE		
4	APPEAL RIGHTS		
5	Reconsideration. Any party may petition for reconsideration. RCW 34.05.470. Any petition for reconsideration must be filed within 10 days of service of this Order and must state the specific grounds on which relief is requested. No matter will be reconsidered unless it clearly		
6	appears from the petition for reconsideration that (a) there is material clerical error in the order or (b) there is specific material error of fact or law. A petition for reconsideration, together with any		
7	argument in support, should be filed by emailing it to <u>directorappeal@lni.wa.gov</u> or by mailing or delivering it directly to Joel Sacks, Director of the Department of Labor and Industries,		
8	P. O. Box 44001 Olympia, Washington 98504-4001, with a copy to all other parties of record and their representatives. Filing means actual receipt of the document at the Director's Office. RCW		
9	34.05.010(6). NOTE: A petition for reconsideration is <u>not</u> required before seeking judicial review. If		
11	a petition for reconsideration is filed, however, the 30-day period will begin to run upon resolving that petition. A timely filed petition for reconsideration is deemed to be denied if, within 20 days from the date the petition is filed, the Director does not (a) dispose of the petition or (b) serve the		
12	parties with a written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. RCW 34.05.470(3).		
13	<u>Judicial Review</u> . Any petition for judicial review must be filed with the appropriate court and served within 30 days after service of this Order. RCW 34.05.542. RCW 49.48.084(5) provides,		
14	"Orders that are not appealed within the time period specified in this section and Chapter 34.05 RCW are final and binding, and not subject to further appeal." Proceedings for judicial review may be instituted by filing a petition in superior court according to the procedures specified in chapter 34.05 RCW, Part V, Judicial Review and Civil Enforcement.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	DECLARATION OF MAILING		
2	I, Lisa Deck, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington,		
3	that the DIRECTOR'S ORDER was mailed on the <u>II</u> day of April 2023, to the following via		
4	regular mail, postage prepaid and email.		
5	D 'ID Y		
6	Daniel R. Hutzenbiler McKanna Bishop Joffe, LLP	UA Local 32 597 Monster Rd. SW	
7	1635 NW Johnson St Portland, OR 97209	Renton, WA 98057	
8	Laborers International Union of North America	Washington State Association Cal LLA	
9	c/o Bob Abbott, Northwest Regional Manager &	Washington State Association of the UA P.O. Box 111360	
10	Vice President 12201 Tukwila Int. Blvd Ste. 140	Tacoma, WA 98411	
11	Seattle, WA 98168		
12	Washington & Northern Idaho District Council of Laborers	James Mills, AAG	
13	c/o Jermaine Smiley, Business Manager &	Office of the Attorney General P.O. Box 2317	
14	Secretary Treasurer 12101 Tukwila Int. Blvd Ste. 300	Tacoma, WA 98401	
15	Seattle, WA 98168	James.Mills@atg.wa.gov Carolyn.Currie@atg.wa.gov	
16	Danielle Franco-Malone	litaccal@atg.wa.gov	
17	Sarah E. Derry Barnard Iglitzin & Lavitt, LLP		
18	18 W Mercer St Ste 400 Seattle, WA 98119		
19	Franco@workerlaw.com		
20	<u>Derry@workerlaw.com</u> Valenzuela@workerlaw.com		
21	DATED 41: 1		
22	DATED this day of April 2023, at Tumwater, Washington.		
23	~ 0		
24	MSA DECK		
25			
26			
27			